International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
Impact Factor 2025: 7.089

Global Stability Analysis of Retaining Walls

Deepak Parkash Gupta

Senior Geologist, M/S Lion Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
In association with Hadimba Consultants Professionals & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd.

Abstract: ***Global stability assessment is an important component in the design and evaluation of retaining-wall and slope systems because potential failure mechanisms can extend beyond the immediate wall foundation. This technical review summarizes the principal conditions requiring global stability assessment, relevant geological and geotechnical parameters, commonly applied limit-equilibrium approaches, stabilization measures, and practical analysis procedures. Particular attention is given to groundwater, weak foundation strata, slope geometry, surcharge loading, seismic conditions, and soil or rock reinforcement. Reliable assessment requires representative ground models, appropriate strength parameters, realistic groundwater and loading conditions, and systematic searches for critical failure surfaces. Where stability requirements are not achieved, suitable drainage, reinforcement, foundation improvement, anchoring, or geometric modifications should be evaluated and verified through revised analysis. The required Factor of Safety should be selected according to applicable design standards, project conditions, loading cases, and consequences of failure***

Keywords: Global Stability; Retaining Wall; Slope Stability; Factor of Safety; Limit-equilibrium method; Groundwater; Geotechnical engineering; Geotechnical considerations; Drainage system; Soil conditions

1. Introduction

Global stability analysis is an important component of the assessment and design of retaining-wall and slope systems. Unlike conventional stability checks, which primarily evaluate **sliding, overturning, and bearing capacity**, global stability analysis considers the stability of the **complete retaining-wall–soil–foundation system**.

The analysis is undertaken to identify potential deep-seated, rotational, translational, or compound failure mechanisms that may extend behind, beneath, or through the retaining wall and its foundation.

Global stability is particularly important where retaining structures are constructed along or adjacent to slopes, highways, bridges, buildings, or other critical infrastructure. The assessment considers the interaction between the retaining structure, retained soil, foundation strata, slope geometry, groundwater conditions, surcharge loading, and other relevant external influences.

The objective is to determine the **critical potential failure surface** and the corresponding **Factor of Safety (FS)** under the governing design conditions.

2. Conditions Requiring Global Stability Analysis

Global stability assessment should be considered where site geometry, geological conditions, groundwater, loading, or the consequences of failure indicate the possibility of a failure mechanism extending beyond the immediate retaining-wall zone.

2.1 High Groundwater Levels

High groundwater levels, uncontrolled seepage, or inadequate drainage can generate significant pore-water pressure within the retained and foundation soils.

Increased pore-water pressure reduces effective stress and may consequently reduce the available shear strength of the soil. It can also increase the driving forces acting on a potential failure mass.

Therefore, groundwater conditions should be appropriately incorporated into the global stability model, together with suitable drainage and groundwater-control measures.

2.2 Steep Slopes

Where the retained ground or the slope above or below the retaining wall is steep, the potential failure surface may extend considerably beyond the immediate vicinity of the wall.

For slopes of approximately **3H:1V or steeper**, or where other adverse geometric conditions exist, the complete slope and wall configuration should be considered in the global stability assessment.

The analysis should include the actual or proposed ground profile, crest and toe geometry, wall location, foundation level, and relevant surcharge loads.

2.3 Weak or Compressible Foundation Soils

The presence of soft clay, highly compressible soils, organic deposits, peat, loose uncontrolled fill, highly plastic soils, swelling soils, or other weak strata can result in deep-seated failure mechanisms.

Under such conditions, the critical slip surface may pass beneath the wall foundation and involve a substantial volume of foundation soil.

The thickness, extent, strength, and groundwater conditions of weak strata should therefore be established through an appropriate site investigation.

2.4 Tiered or Multi-Level Retaining Walls

For tiered or multi-level retaining-wall systems, the individual walls should not necessarily be considered as isolated structures.

The surcharge and stabilizing effects associated with one wall can influence adjacent walls and the overall soil mass. A global stability analysis should therefore consider the **complete multi-level configuration**, particularly where the spacing between walls is relatively small.

2.5 Critical Infrastructure

Global stability assessment is particularly important where retaining walls support or protect:
- National and State highways;
- Bridges and approaches;
- Railway infrastructure;
- Buildings and other structures;
- Utility corridors;
- Deep excavations; and
- Other infrastructure where failure could have significant safety or economic consequences.

The required Factor of Safety should be established in accordance with the **applicable Indian Standards, IRC provisions, project specifications, design basis, loading conditions, and consequence of failure**.

3. Methods of Global Stability Analysis

Global stability is commonly evaluated using **limit-equilibrium methods (LEM)**. In these methods, a potential sliding or failure mass is identified and the available resistance is compared with the corresponding driving action.

The Factor of Safety is generally expressed as:
FS = Available Resisting Capacity / Driving Demand

Commonly used limit-equilibrium methods include:
1) Bishop's Method
2) Janbu's Method
3) Spencer's Method
4) Morgenstern–Price Method

Depending on the site geometry and geological conditions, potential failure surfaces may be represented by:
- Circular slip surfaces;
- Non-circular slip surfaces;
- Planar failure surfaces; and
- Compound failure surfaces.

The analysis should search an adequately large range of potential failure surfaces so that the **critical failure surface**, corresponding to the minimum calculated Factor of Safety, can be identified.

For complex geological conditions, numerical methods such as finite-element or finite-difference analysis may also be considered where justified by the project requirements.

4. Parameters to be Considered

A reliable global stability assessment requires appropriate representation of the ground, retaining structure, loading, and groundwater conditions.

The analysis model should, as applicable, incorporate the following parameters:
- Soil and rock stratigraphy;
- Unit weight of soil and rock;
- Cohesion and angle of internal friction;
- Undrained shear strength, where applicable;
- Groundwater table;
- Pore-water pressure conditions;
- Surface and construction surcharge;
- Highway and traffic loading;
- Existing and proposed slope geometry;
- Retaining-wall geometry;
- Foundation level and embedment;
- Soil–structure interface conditions;
- Seismic loading, where applicable;
- Drainage conditions;
- Reinforcement systems such as geogrids;
- Anchors, soil nails, piles, or other stabilizing elements; and
- Existing distress or observed ground movements, where the assessment concerns an existing structure.

The soil and rock parameters used in the analysis should be based on appropriate **site investigation, field testing, laboratory testing, published correlations, and sound engineering judgement**, as applicable.

Where significant uncertainty exists in the ground parameters, sensitivity analyses should be undertaken to evaluate the effect of parameter variation on the calculated Factor of Safety.

5. Reinforcement and Stabilization Measures

Where the calculated Factor of Safety does not satisfy the applicable project criterion, suitable stabilization measures should be evaluated.

Depending on the governing failure mechanism and site constraints, possible measures include:
- Increasing the width or embedment of the retaining-wall foundation;
- Improving or replacing weak foundation soil;
- Providing geogrid or other soil-reinforcement systems;
- Installing piles or other deep foundations, where appropriate;
- Reducing or relocating surcharge loads;
- Flattening the slope;
- Providing surface and subsurface drainage;
- Installing toe drains, weep holes, drainage blankets, or geocomposite drainage systems;
- Providing soil nails or anchors;
- Constructing additional retaining or stabilization structures; and
- Improving groundwater-control measures.

The selected remedial measure should subsequently be verified through a **revised global stability analysis** to demonstrate that the required stability criterion is achieved.

5.1 Retaining-Wall Types and Global Stability

Wall Type	Global Stability Considerations	Typical Applications / Conditions
Gravity Wall	Stability primarily depends on wall self-weight, foundation strength, geometry, and groundwater conditions. Deep-seated stability may be critical where weak foundation soils are present.	Short to moderate-height walls with competent foundation conditions.
Cantilever Wall	Overall stability depends on wall geometry, foundation conditions, retained soil properties, groundwater, and surcharge. Deep slip surfaces may extend beneath the foundation.	Medium-height walls where adequate foundation strength is available.
Counterfort Wall	Provides improved structural efficiency for taller walls; however, global stability remains dependent on foundation and surrounding ground conditions.	Taller walls and locations with significant retained height or surcharge.
Mechanically Stabilized Earth (MSE) Wall	Reinforcement can improve the stability of the reinforced soil mass, but external/global stability may still involve the foundation and surrounding soil.	Flexible systems, highway embankments, seismic areas, and sites where suitable reinforcement can be accommodated.
Soil Nail Wall	Long and adequately bonded nails can improve stability by reinforcing the potential failure mass. Nail length and orientation should extend sufficiently into stable ground beyond the critical failure mechanism.	Steep cut slopes, restricted sites, and excavation support applications.
Anchored Wall	Anchors can provide resistance beyond the potential failure mass. Anchor length, bond zone, inclination, and ground conditions are critical to overall stability.	High loads, deep excavations, and locations requiring substantial lateral restraint.

Note: No retaining-wall type should be considered inherently suitable for all ground conditions. Final selection should be based on wall geometry, geological and geotechnical conditions, groundwater, loading, constructability, durability, seismic requirements, and the applicable design standards.

5.2 Geological and Geotechnical Considerations

1) Soft Clay and Compressible Soils

Where soft or compressible foundation soils are present, the possibility of deep-seated failure and excessive settlement should be investigated.

Potential measures may include foundation improvement, deep foundations, reinforced soil systems, staged construction, or other stabilization techniques, depending on the site-specific conditions.

2) Loose Sand

loose granular soils require assessment for global instability, excessive deformation, groundwater effects, and, where applicable, seismic liquefaction susceptibility. Adequate drainage and suitable reinforcement or foundation treatment may be required.

3) Rock Slopes

For rock slopes, the geological structure, discontinuities, joint orientation, weathering, rock mass strength, and potential planar, wedge, or toppling mechanisms should be considered. Soil nails, rock bolts, anchors, shotcrete, drainage, or other stabilization systems may be considered depending on the identified failure mechanism.

4) High Groundwater Levels

High groundwater conditions require particular attention because elevated pore-water pressures can substantially reduce effective stress and slope stability. Surface-water diversion, toe drains, weep holes, drainage blankets, horizontal drains, or other suitable groundwater-control measures may be required.

5) Seismic Conditions

In seismic regions, the retaining-wall and slope system should be assessed for appropriate seismic loading and potential deformation. Flexible systems such as MSE and soil-reinforced systems may offer advantages in certain conditions; however, their suitability must be established through project-specific design and analysis.

5.3 Practical Design Notes

The following points should be considered during global stability assessment:

- **Factor of Safety:** The required FS should be established from the applicable design code, project specification, loading condition, and consequence of failure. Typical preliminary values may differ between static and seismic conditions and should not be adopted without verification.
- **Drainage:** Effective surface and subsurface drainage should be provided wherever groundwater or rainfall infiltration can adversely affect stability.
- **Reinforcement Length:** For MSE walls, reinforcement dimensions should be determined through internal, external, and global stability requirements rather than relying solely on a generic minimum length.
- **Anchors and Soil Nails:** Anchors and nails should extend sufficiently beyond the critical failure mechanism and develop adequate bond resistance within stable ground.
- **Sensitivity Analysis:** Where soil parameters or groundwater conditions are uncertain, sensitivity analyses should be carried out to assess their influence on the Factor of Safety.
- **Construction Sequence:** Temporary and construction-stage conditions should be evaluated where they may represent a critical stability condition.

6. Software and Numerical Modelling

Global stability analysis may be undertaken using established geotechnical analysis software such as **Rocscience Slide2, GeoStudio SLOPE/W**, or other validated limit-equilibrium and numerical analysis programs.

The selected method and software should be appropriate for:

- Site geometry;
- Geological and geotechnical conditions;
- Groundwater conditions;
- Loading conditions;
- Retaining-wall configuration;
- Expected failure mechanism; and
- Required level of design assessment.

The analysis should clearly document the input parameters, groundwater assumptions, boundary conditions, loading conditions, material models, search methodology, and assumptions used.

For critical projects or complex geological conditions, numerical modelling may be used in conjunction with limit-equilibrium analysis to provide additional assessment of deformation and failure mechanisms.

7. Factor of Safety

The Factor of Safety is generally defined as the ratio between the available resisting capacity and the driving demand:

FS = Resisting Forces / Driving Forces

For rotational failure analyses, the corresponding relationship may be expressed in terms of resisting and driving moments.

The minimum acceptable Factor of Safety should **not be assumed to be universally applicable**. It should be established in accordance with the relevant **Indian Standards, IRC guidelines and provisions, project specifications, design basis, loading condition, and consequence of failure**.

Separate analyses may be required for different design conditions, including:
1) Normal or long-term condition;
2) Construction condition;
3) High groundwater condition;
4) Rapid drawdown condition, where applicable;
5) Surcharge or traffic loading condition;
6) Seismic condition, where applicable; and
7) Other project-specific critical conditions.

The most critical condition should be identified based on the minimum calculated Factor of Safety and the associated failure mechanism.

8. Recommended Analysis Procedure

A systematic procedure should be followed for a reliable global stability assessment:

Step 1- Site Investigation
Establish the geological and geotechnical profile through appropriate field investigation, boreholes, trial pits, laboratory testing, and groundwater observations.

Step 2- Establish Ground Model
Develop a representative subsurface model showing soil/rock layers, weak strata, groundwater conditions, and relevant discontinuities.

Step 3- Define Geometry
Incorporate the existing/proposed slope profile, retaining-wall geometry, foundation level, crest and toe conditions, and adjacent infrastructure.

Step 4- Define Loading
Include self-weight, surcharge, traffic loading, construction loading, groundwater forces, seismic loading, and other relevant loads.

Step 5- Define Material Parameters
Assign appropriate unit weights and shear-strength parameters based on site investigation and justified engineering correlations.

Step 6- Identify Potential Failure Surfaces
Conduct an adequately broad search for circular, non-circular, planar, and compound failure mechanisms as appropriate.

Step 7- Determine Critical Factor of Safety
Identify the minimum Factor of Safety and corresponding critical failure surface.

Step 8- Evaluate Remedial Measures
Where the required stability criterion is not achieved, evaluate suitable drainage, reinforcement, foundation improvement, geometric modification, anchoring, or other stabilization measures.

Step 9- Reanalyse
Carry out a revised global stability analysis incorporating the proposed remedial measures.

Step 10- Document Results
Present the critical failure surface, Factor of Safety, governing load case, input parameters, assumptions, and proposed recommendations in the final technical report.

9. Conclusion

Global stability analysis is an essential component of the assessment and design of retaining-wall and slope systems, particularly where **complex ground conditions, steep slopes, groundwater, weak foundation strata, tiered retaining walls, heavy surcharge, or critical infrastructure** are involved.

Unlike conventional checks for sliding, overturning, and bearing capacity, global stability analysis evaluates the **complete retaining-wall–soil–foundation system** and identifies deep-seated, rotational, translational, or compound failure mechanisms that may extend behind or beneath the retaining structure.

A reliable assessment requires an appropriate geological and geotechnical model supported by adequate site investigation, representative soil and rock parameters, realistic groundwater conditions, accurate geometry, and appropriate loading conditions.

Where instability is identified, suitable remedial measures such as **drainage improvement, foundation treatment, soil reinforcement, soil nailing, anchoring, geometric modification, deep foundations, or additional stabilization structures** may be considered. The effectiveness of the selected measure should be confirmed through a revised global stability analysis.

The required Factor of Safety should be established with reference to the **applicable Indian Standards, IRC provisions, project specifications, design basis, loading condition, and consequence of failure**, rather than relying on a single universal value.

A comprehensive global stability assessment, supported by reliable ground-investigation data and appropriate analytical methods, is therefore essential to ensure the **safety, serviceability, durability, and long-term performance of retaining-wall and slope systems** associated with highways and other critical infrastructure.

References

[1] Case study of Bali Landslide along NH-44, Jammu & Kashmir, India
[2] Case Study on Surface and Subsurface Water Ingress of Barog Tunnel in the State of Himachal Pradesh