

English Language Education and Social Mobility: A Critical Review of Research in the Indian Context

Subash P

Assistant Professor (English), Tamil Nadu College of Engineering, Coimbatore

Abstract: English language education has, more and more, been framed as a strong instrument for social mobility in modern day India. Since globalization is moving together with higher education, and also employment chances are almost always described to be in the need of English proficiency, the language has started to carry a lot of social cultural, and economic weight. In this paper, the researcher critically re-check what earlier research says about how English language education is linked to social mobility, specifically in the Indian setting. The discussion looks at different studies, done across the patchwork of schooling situations, like government schools, private institutions, rural communities, and even the higher education sector, so we can understand how English works, as a kind of gateway for academic access and for job related opportunities. This paper also looks at the various ways English proficiency shapes hope for upward movement, employability, social standing, and empowerment among learners coming from different socio-economic backgrounds. And at the same time, it keeps asking about the unequal realities that remain, especially the gaps in getting to quality English language education. Those gaps seem to hit hardest for students from rural areas, marginalized groups, and families that are economically disadvantaged. Using Critical Pedagogy included with social justice viewpoints, the review brings out the contradictions on one hand English is often promised as a language of opportunity, but on the other hand, learners meet unequal resources, uneven teaching, and learning conditions that are not the same for everyone. Overall, the findings suggest that English language education can help social mobility, and it can widen life chances, but the gains are usually not shared evenly. They end up depending on structural factors connected to class, location, and educational infrastructure. The review also points to missing pieces in the existing studies, like how little attention is given to learners lived experiences, their own agency, and their sense of empowerment, particularly in government school contexts. Finally, the paper ends with recommendations for the future research, calling for studies that treat English language education through critical and context sensitive frameworks. This would help clarify both its transformative possibilities and its limitations, when the goal is equitable social mobility across India.

Keywords: English Language Education, Social Mobility, Critical Pedagogy, Empowerment, Government School Education, Social Justice

1. Introduction

English language teaching has lately been seen as pretty important when we talk about social mobility in today's India. It means in a time where globalization keeps moving, technology is accelerating and schools are expanding in number, being good in English is often treated like a kind of "doorway" into higher education, better jobs, and overall socio-economic progress. So, English doesn't stay just a communication tool rather it gathers social, cultural, and economic meaning, and it can work like a kind of capital, which later affects how far someone can reach, and where they tend to sit in terms of status.

India also has a lot of languages, which makes the whole story a bit different. Even though regional languages still act as the everyday means of communication, English stays strongly linked with academic achievement, career success, and also the idea of global connection. Because of that, parents, people who make policies and even schools are more and more choosing to emphasize English language education. They often see it as a road toward social mobility, not just a subject to pass and forget.

In this paper the researcher critically looks at what existing research says about the relationship between English language education and social mobility in India. Researcher bring together studies connected to English Language Teaching, education sociology, and language policy, and in that review, here it discusses how English can operate as a channel for opportunities, while at the same time it may end up re-creating inequalities that were already there. The paper

uses a Critical Pedagogical lens, so it can examine the potential of English to transform things, but also the boundaries, where English language education might fail to support equal social mobility

English Language Education and Social Mobility: Conceptual perspective

Social mobility basically means the movement of people, or even whole groups from one socio economic position to another, kind of upward or downward. Education, for a long time, is always seen as one of the most important ways to help this kind of movement happen. In that whole idea, English language education ends up in a special place, mainly because it is thought to matter a lot for education itself as well as for getting jobs.

There is also the notion of linguistic capital, which implies that knowing a language can open doors to resources, social ties, and various opportunities. English, in this sense, can work like cultural capital, it tends to improve an individual's odds in academic and professional arenas. In India, English proficiency is often connected with status, employment prospects, and social acknowledgment. Like, people read it as a sign that someone is more "advanced" or more suitable for certain work.

Still, Critical Pedagogy kind of questions the idea that language learning is only instrumental. So, instead of treating English like just a tool for earning and economic involvement, this view says language education should also help learners build critical awareness, gain agency, and push broader social change. Because of that, when you look at English language education through a Critical Pedagogical lens, researchers can

Volume 15 Issue 7, July 2026

Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal

www.ijsr.net

check if it really encourages empowerment or if it quietly repeats the same old social hierarchies, just in a different outfit.

Historical Development of English Education in India

The history of English education in India kind of starts in the colonial period. Back then, during British rule, English got brought in mostly for administrative reasons and over time it sort of became linked with elite schooling, and you know it slowly grew into that sort of status. After independence, there was a lot of discussion about what English should even do for national development, and also how it fits with cultural identity.

Even though there were real attempts to bolster regional languages, English still managed to stay prominent because it was useful for higher education, science, technology, and international communication. In the 1990s, economic liberalization also helped push up the need for English proficiency. Then globalization showed up, and it basically opened fresh job chances in areas like information technology, business process outsourcing, and international commerce. In practice, all those spaces placed extra weight on English language ability.

So, in the end, English started to be widely seen as a route toward economic progress. Educational policies gradually leaned more toward English language instruction, and a number of state governments introduced English-medium programs in government schools, partly to expand learning opportunities for learners who were disadvantaged.

English as a Gateway to Educational Opportunities

Research keeps showing that English proficiency sort of affects access to schooling chances in India. In lots of respected universities, and also professional institutions, English is used as the main medium of teaching or instruction. Learners who have a solid grasp of English usually end up with some real advantages in admission tests, overall study results, and the ability to reach educational materials.

A few studies also mention that students from English-medium schools tend to carry more confidence into higher education, and they participate more actively during classes. In practice, better English helps people reach textbooks, research sources, online learning platforms and even wider international academic connections.

Still, the availability of good English training is not even everywhere. Students from cities, private schools, and more economically well positioned families often get stronger language coaching than students in rural areas who go to government schools. So, the educational benefits linked with English proficiency do not spread fairly across different social groups.

2. Review of Literature

Over the last two decades, research on English language education and social mobility in India has grown a lot. A number of scholars keep pointing out that English is getting more and more important for higher education, jobs, and basically socio-economic advancement. It's like, everyone

seems to agree, in different ways, that English now sits closer to the centre of opportunity.

Several studies suggest that a learner's English proficiency is often tied to better educational results and smoother employment pathways. People who communicate in English more confidently tend to have greater access to professional spaces especially in information technology, business, and even higher education. Because of this, many families treat English medium schooling as a kind of ladder, a practical route towards upward social mobility, not just a language preference.

Still, when researchers look specifically at government schools, things become more tangled. Even though policy moves have increased English medium instruction, there are persistent problems with infrastructure, teacher readiness, and learning materials. A number of studies report that government school students frequently get only a narrow amount of English exposure outside the classroom. So, they can face barriers when it comes to building real proficiency over time, and not just passing school assessments. Scholars have also explored English as a form of cultural capital. In many settings, English skills bring symbolic value and help people gain social prestige. But, access to this kind of linguistic capital isn't evenly spread. It often mirrors existing socio-economic gaps, which means advantage and disadvantage travel together, quietly.

Even with all these contributions, the literature still has limitations. A lot of the work focuses on proficiency levels, academic performance, and policy delivery. Comparatively less attention has gone to students' day to day experiences, how they interpret empowerment, and what they actually want for future social mobility. Also, studies using Critical Pedagogy as an analytical lens are still rather limited, particularly in the setting of Tamil Nadu government schools. These gaps indicate that more research is needed, maybe more listening, about how learners themselves understand the link between English language education, empowerment, and social mobility.

English Language Education and Employability

One of the most frequently mentioned benefits of English language education is its contribution to employability, you know. Employers in many sectors consider English proficiency an essential skill, and in practice that matters more than people think. Research shows that individuals with strong English communication abilities often get more employment chances, earn higher salaries, and see better career progression possibilities, overall.

The rise of multinational corporations, information technology industries, and service-sector jobs has pushed the demand for professionals who can speak and interact in English. Because of that, English has become tightly linked with economic mobility, almost automatically. Several studies also note that students and parents often treat English education as a type of investment, aimed at future employment. That view seems to support the growing popularity of English-medium instruction across India.

Still, scholars warn not to assume a straight line between English proficiency and getting a job that leads to success. Employability is shaped by many moving pieces such as educational quality, socio economic background, professional connections, and access to resources. So, English should be understood as just one part within a wider setup, not the whole solution by itself.

English, Identity, and Empowerment

Beyond economic thoughts, English language education can shape identity formation in a more personal and tangled way, it also feeds into empowerment, so yeah not only the “money” part. Studies often say that better English proficiency may boost learners’ confidence, self-esteem, and help them show up more actively in both social and academic spaces. For many marginalized learners, learning English is like getting a key to doors that were shut before, access that felt almost out of reach. In practical terms, English supports communication across linguistic borders and it can raise their participation in national talks, and also in those wider global conversations.

But, the link between English and identity is still complicated, like it doesn’t behave in a simple line. Some researchers claim that putting too much weight on English might edge out local languages and weaken cultural identities. Others argue the opposite, that being multilingual makes it easier to move through different social settings, without having to drop their linguistic heritage.

From a critical pedagogical standpoint, language education should be used to foster critical awareness and democratic involvement, as well as social empowerment. In other words, it’s not just about economic progress, it’s more about how learners understand power and agency in everyday life.

Inequalities in Access to English Language Education

Despite its potential benefits, access to quality English education remains highly unequal. Significant disparities exist between urban and rural schools, private and government institutions, and different socio-economic groups. Government schools often face challenges including limited resources, inadequate teacher training, overcrowded classrooms, and insufficient exposure to English outside the classroom. These conditions can restrict students’ opportunities to develop communicative competence.

Research consistently demonstrates that students from disadvantaged backgrounds encounter greater obstacles in acquiring English proficiency. Such inequalities can contribute to the reproduction of existing social hierarchies, as privileged students continue to enjoy greater educational and professional advantages.

These findings suggest that English language education alone cannot eliminate social inequality. Broader structural reforms are necessary to ensure equitable access to quality educational resources.

Critical Pedagogy and English Language Education

Critical Pedagogy gives this useful kind of map for seeing how language study connects to social mobility, in a way that’s kind of deeper than it sounds at first. It sorts of leans on dialogue and, well, critical reflection, plus it wants learner

empowerment to be more than just a slogan. Seen from a Critical Pedagogical angle, English language teaching should push students to pick apart the social world around them, ask why some inequalities keep showing up, and take part in social change in practical ways. Instead of handling learners like they’re quiet containers of knowledge, teachers ought to nurture critical thinking, and also let collaboration do some of the work, not only lecturing.

There is research suggesting that classrooms with dialogue, real participation, and learner agency can support stronger empowerment outcomes. Yet a lot of educational settings still lean toward exam focused routines and teacher cantered control, and that usually cuts down the chance for genuine critical engagement.

If Critical Pedagogy is actually applied to English language education, it may help shrink the distance between learning the language and achieving meaningful social empowerment, not just in theory but day to day.

3. Research Gap in Existing Literature

When looking over the existing studies, it becomes pretty clear there are some noticeable holes, kind of like missing pieces in a puzzle.

First, a lot of them seem to concentrate mostly on language proficiency, and academic outcomes, but not really on the learner’s day to day realities, their lived experiences you know.

Second, there are relatively few studies that dig into English language education using Critical Pedagogy, and also the whole idea of social justice.

Third, not enough work looks specifically at government school students, and especially those in rural areas, or communities that are economically disadvantaged, where conditions can be very different.

Fourth, limited focus is placed on learner’s agency, their goals and motivations, and even what they think about English, and how they believe it might influence their futures.

Finally, there is still a real need for longitudinal research, meaning studies that follow participants over time, so we can understand the long-term impact of English language education on social mobility outcomes.

4. Implementations for Policy and Practice

Policymakers should really try to boost the quality of English language education in public schools, by improving teacher training, putting more resources where they are needed, and also revising the curriculum a bit. It’s a bit like you can’t just change the pages; you have to change the whole practice around them.

Schools and educational institutions should use learner cantered methods, to support real communication, sharper critical thinking, and more active participation from students. Not only lecturing, more kind of dialogue, and students

actually doing things. Teacher education programs ought to weave in Critical Pedagogical ideas, so classroom life becomes more inclusive and empowering. In other words, teachers should have the tools to manage diverse learners in a practical way, not just in theory.

Also, educational policies can't ignore the broader structural inequalities, because those gaps strongly shape who gets quality English learning opportunities, and who doesn't

5. Conclusion

English language education kind of sits in a major spot within India's educational and social landscape. From the research that is out there, English proficiency can help with educational achievement, employability, and social mobility, yes, but it does not really reach everyone in the same way. The gains are sort of uneven across social groups. There are ongoing structural inequalities, tied to things like class, where people live, and the educational resources available, and these factors continue to shape who can access quality English education.

From a Critical Pedagogical angle, it feels important to not just treat English as an instrumental skill, like only a means to an end, but to also look at what it can do for empowerment, learner agency, and even social transformation. English, in its own way, has a lot of transformative potential, though whether it actually supports social mobility depends on fairly shared access, inclusive teaching practices, and also wider social reforms that go beyond the classroom.

Going forward, future research should really make room for learners' voices, their lived experiences, and their own aspirations, especially among students in government schools and communities that are often marginalized. When that kind of work is done, it can offer a more textured understanding of how English language education might support both social mobility and educational justice in today's India.

References

- [1] Abhimanyu Sharma. "English as a Facilitator of Social Mobility in India." *English Today*, vol. 36, no. 4, 2020, pp. 1–7.
- [2] Ajit Kumar Mohanty. *The Multilingual Reality: Living with Languages*. Multilingual Matters, 2019.
- [3] Alastair Pennycook. *Critical Applied Linguistics: A Critical Introduction*. Routledge, 2001
- [4] Bonny Norton. *Identity and Language Learning: Extending the Conversation*. 2nd ed., Multilingual Matters, 2013.
- [5] Sazana Jayadeva. "English-Medium Education and Social Mobility in India." *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, vol. 50, no. 3, 2019, pp. 265–281.