

The Sigma Archetype and the Digital Manosphere

Jay Sood

Abstract: *The growing popularity of the Sigma male archetype within the digital Manosphere reflects changing perceptions of masculinity and identity among young men. This article examines how social media, cinematic representations, and online memes contribute to the construction and circulation of Sigma masculinity, particularly in the context of young Indian men. It discusses how independence, emotional detachment, physical strength, and self-optimization become defining characteristics of this identity. The discussion suggests that the Sigma archetype provides a framework through which loneliness, rejection, and personal insecurity can be reinterpreted as independence and superiority. Rather than promoting an alternative masculine hierarchy, the article argues for a more flexible understanding of identity that allows individuals to define themselves beyond restrictive gender expectations and social approval.*

Keywords: Sigma Male, Digital Manosphere, Masculinity, Social Media, Gender Identity

1. Introduction

The Manosphere, described by academics as an unstructured network of groups, websites, forums, and social media threads that are often anti-progressive in their judgement and opinion (**Alpha, Beta, Sigma: A Critical Analysis of Sigma Male Ideology** by Mateo Valdivia), has seen a growing trend towards the adoption of the Sigma archetype. The term “sigma” in recent years has become impossible to separate from the language of the internet culture. The “Sigma Male” has been idolized as the ultimate version of masculinity on content-sharing platforms such as Instagram reels, TikToks as well as YouTube shorts that have become seminal parts of our everyday life. The sigma male is portrayed as an independent, physically strong, socially detached man, showing no signs of vulnerability, and uninterested in the validation of others. He is a contemporary internet-born subcultural archetype defined as an introverted, highly successful, and independent man who exists entirely outside traditional social hierarchies. Often referred to as a “lone wolf,” the sigma male is positioned as the conceptual equal to the “alpha male” in terms of capability and charisma but differs by rejecting the alpha’s need for public validation, leadership roles, and social dominance. He is usually shown walking alone, not acknowledging others and having limited social interaction in ways that can be more harmful than productive. Sometimes the content is clearly satire. At times it is completely serious. The distinction as well as the boundary separating the two is incredibly unclear.

As a young Punjabi queer boy at school, the sigma culture is something I have encountered not as a distant sociological phenomenon but as part of the everyday language of my generation. Punjabi males are viewed as socially confident, wearing their heterosexuality as a marker; interacting with girls and women as the mood takes them. Such stereotypes are still persistent, and they interact with older expectations of what a Punjabi man is supposed to be – strong, assertive, and loud.

For young people growing up in India, masculinity has been defined by much older and complicated ideas of identity shaped by familial expectations, caste and class, religion, education, sports, friend groups, Bollywood, and increasingly, social media. Growing up in a digital environment in which every aspect of masculinity is heavily dissected in different forms can be extremely confusing, resulting in a landscape

where masculinity is no longer just produced by family, caste, class, education, or friend groups, but also predominantly by algorithms and online cultures. The social-media algorithms promote forms of toxic masculinity that are rigid and often associated with gaining dominance and power over the other, whether that “other” be another man, woman or non-binary person, and celebrate misogyny, homophobia, racism, anti-Bihari sentiments, colorism and online harassment. This kind of online harassment and subtle or extreme forms of extreme hatred “dark humor,” wrongfully subverting the marginalization of minorities to mere laughter. The idolization of such forms of marginalization can be credited to the so-called ‘Manfluencers’ who promote exactly these things without considering the consequences that would follow: creation of uncondusive and hateful impressions in the minds of male youth who are pining for validation.

For Gen Z, this matters deeply because the space in which identity is formed is no longer separate from social media. Masculinity is encountered not only physically through family, schools, friendships, i.e., the markers mentioned above, but through an endless scroll of algorithmically selected images, influencers, posts, and memes. The sigma therefore becomes more than a distant and isolated internet joke. It has become a language through which Gen Z males process and interpret loneliness, attractiveness, self-worth, and rejection. It is also highly ambiguous: the same content can be viewed ironically by one and seriously by another.

I argue that sigma masculinity is not just another internet meme or culture, but instead arises from anxieties relating to loneliness, economic instability, insecurities around masculinity, and status in belonging. It takes feelings of alienation and loneliness and transforms them into goals that should be achieved by those that are “superior,” making alienation appear as evidence of superiority and a desirable identity. It is a throwback to the alienation that was celebrated in early 20th C Europe to showcase a kind of manly sophistication. According to the well-circulated logic of sigma culture, the lone wolf is not lonely but is simply too independent to need or ask for help from other people or at least he attempts to maintain that facade. Further, the paper examines how this identity is performed through the body, specifically in the gym, and how it is reinforced through language in homosocial spaces such as schools and sports teams.

2. Proliferation of the Sigma Male

Proliferation of the sigma identity was believed to have occurred through two distinct waves: fictional idolization through characters like Patrick Bateman (*American Psycho*), Tyler Durden (*Fight Club*), and Travis Bickle (*Taxi Driver*), and through ironical and satirical memes and media including the viral “Sigma Face” memes. Manfluencers all over social media apps began idolizing Patrick Bateman – the ultimate sigma icon. This caused younger men to believe that that is what they need to achieve to be the ultimate sigma, not knowing that what they were trying to achieve was, in fact, toxicity labelled as superiority. And this very influence is noticed in schools, gyms, and other such spaces, not only by me, but countless others. This proliferation has reached such an intensity that Martin Scorsese, the director of *Taxi Driver* (1976) himself made a chilling remark in an interview with *GQ* magazine - “Every other person is like Travis Bickle now.” (*GQ*, 2023, 1:26) (Mateo Valdivia, 2023). WHAT DOES this mean-explain

Through this fictional idolization, morally complicated characters become a simple aesthetic or a blueprint that must be followed by the adherents of sigma to appear masculine. The clearest example of this transformation is Patrick Bateman from *American Psycho*. They portray carefully selected fragments of the movie – moment when he is alone or chooses to be alone; walking with headphones on, making his expressionless sigma face, or appearing alongside violent imagery. These visuals are often paired with extremely slowed down and reverberated TikTok audios or “phonk.” These edits detach Patrick from the satire and psychological complexity of the original film, reducing him to his physique, attractiveness, and emotional detachment. At times, even the darker elements of his character are retained and aestheticized, turning violent and bloody images into part of an aspirational masculine image. This shows how digital culture can strip a complicated character of his complexity and transform him into an endlessly reproducible aesthetic of masculinity – an aesthetic that *American Psycho* intended to critique.

3. The Architecture of the Lone Wolf

The sigma male, similar to other masculinities like alpha and beta, relies on the hierarchy that was popularized by David Mech’s 1970 study on captive wolves. The public and media latched onto this catchy “alpha wolf” terminology, framing it as a biological shorthand to refer to someone that is dominant and aggressive. The irony lies in the fact that Mech spent years trying to correct his work, striving to convey that wild wolf packs are cooperative family units led by the breeding parents instead of being led by the so-called aggressive “alphas;” yet, the usage of this hierarchy is still deeply embedded in popular culture and modern commentary. Later, the creation of the sigma male occurred – a design flaw in the alpha model was fixed by internet subcultures: the fact that it required high sociability, external validation, and constant dependence. These subcultures aimed at fabricating a tier that is equal to the alpha but fully independent and achieved just that through the sigma masculinity – an escape for those that were socially anxious or introverted. Traditional masculinity was based on competition, that is, being richer, more successful, and ultimately better than the other man. The

fascination around the sigma archetype arose because it freed people from the option of failure – the sigma male does not need to win the competition since he supposedly refuses to participate; yet, paradoxically, he still has all the ideal qualities of a winner.

Furthermore, the sigma rebrands alienation as a choice – the crucial shift from I am alone to “I choose to be alone,” simply because he is made to feel that alienation is key to being the ideal masculine figure, which does not exist. This shift is extremely prized by many young men who feel the sting of loneliness, uncertainty, and social disconnection since it gives them a language to re-interpret this situation as something they chose, rather than something that was thrust upon them. The sigma narrative takes the genuinely important aspects of life such as friendship, intimacy, and emotional vulnerability and reframes them as weakness; the young male thinks that he’s becoming stronger by convincing himself to overcome his desires. This explains the commercial appeal of the sigma culture – the grindset. The grindset prompts the adherents of sigma culture to wake up early, work out excessively, develop discipline, make money, avoid emotional distractions, and constantly improve. These qualities appear positive on the surface and are not inherently harmful, but the problem arises when they become attached to the idea of human relationships and emotional intimacy as being obstacles to success. By focusing entirely on self-optimization, digital culture offers a comforting illusion of being in control and deceptively makes the adherents believe that socio-economic anxieties can be overcome solely by improving oneself and focusing on self-growth to unproductive extents, laying off the needed emphasis- that is the human need for community. The paradox is that even though the sigma male is encouraged to reject society, the lone wolf needs validation from an audience to recognize him as the lone wolf; his identity is built relative to others and cannot stand alone.

4. Satire, Memes, and the Algorithm

It is important to note that not every young person who uses the word sigma believes in the ideology behind it. Sigma culture is built explicitly around satire, irony, and memes. Many teenagers share/post exaggerated edits of Patrick Bateman, or extremely muscular men who claim to be sigma simply because the absurdity is funny, and while for many the word “sigma” is plain internet humour and satire, satire can still function as an entry point into a larger culture that one may not fully understand. The algorithm does not interpret satire the way humans do. It simply reinforces the content liked one to show up increasingly on one’s ‘for you page (FYP).’ It does not understand whether the person likes the content because of the satire behind it or truly believes in the message being depicted. Therefore, a person who watches such content may be consequently shown more of the similar content, and this time it may not be satire: it may be a fitness video, and then a motivational speech. But eventually the algorithm can move towards more explicit ideas of gender, relationships, identity, and male supremacy. The visuals behind such videos are highly recognizable: dark clothes, high-contrast lighting, desaturated colors, and slow phonk music playing in the background. In this way, characters such as Patrick Bateman (*American Psycho*) and Travis Bickle (*Taxi Driver*) who are morally complicated may be portrayed

as the ultimate idols of masculinity, leading to distorted views on such seminal tropes. Patrick Bateman is portrayed as someone who is extremely narcissistic and insecure, and Travis Bickle is someone who's very socially awkward and misanthropic. What these edits do is relegate the meaning and complexity into the background and show only the aesthetics, leading to altered perceptions on masculinity.

The evolution of sigma into the meme phrase "What the sigma?" demonstrates how far the archetype has travelled from its original meaning. It emerged as a viral TikTok audio in 2024 and became an absurd expression of confusion. It was completely detached from anything related to the archetype. Compared to the Patrick Bateman edits, where sigma masculinity functioned as an aspirational ideal to be achieved, "what the sigma?" turns the word into a joke. This shows how the archetype can be admired in one context, and mocked in another, reducing it to a piece of meaningless internet slang. Sigma masculinity therefore exists in an unstable, or liminal space between ideology and parody.

The gigachad meme is another example. Centering around Ernest Khalimov, a digitally enhanced, hyper-muscular model photographed by Krista Sudmalis for the Sleek'N'Tears art project, Gigachad meme is the ultimate visual anchor for the Sigma archetype. In Gen Z edits, the Gigachad meme is often used for the total rejection of emotional vulnerability. This portrays the death of emotional vulnerability as a pedestal to be reached and glorifies the same. Another such meme is The Alpha Werewolf meme. It emerged on TikTok through augmented reality (AR) filters that overlay the characteristic features of a werewolf (such as fangs, red glowy eyes, and dark shadows) onto a user's face. This meme is the literal realization and visualization of the "lone wolf" ideology. Although satirical, it may be seen by some as the ultimate romanticization of a man that needs isolation to reach his full potential. The core irony of the meme is that the sigma archetype claims that it does not seek validation but performs his disinterested attitude on TikTok and other social media platforms for maximum views.

5. Performativity in Action: The Body and Gym Culture

Judith Butler defined gender not as something related to biology, but as a set of actions repeated to appear natural, even though it is socially constructed, and if gender is a performance, the body becomes the most visually conspicuous space for constructing masculinity. The gym has become a space not just for exercise, but for exercising the sigma archetype through one's body. Online, it has become a visual theatre for displaying and measuring bodies. Men are expected to appear physically strong, but emotionally detached and inaccessible. The body here becomes nothing short of an armor, and when such messages are conveyed to younger audiences, the results can be adverse and detrimental to their growth. Exercise is not only about physical health or enjoyment anymore, but a performance of self-control. This also creates anxiety around the kinds of movements done in the gym. Certain movements that are considered too feminine are completely avoided no matter how highly effective or important they may be to one's health. Exercises related to the movement of the hips, flexibility, or horizontal movement

may be mocked or criticized due to gendered connotations held by those movements. The body is therefore expected to remain in the bounds of stiff or rigid masculinity.

Through dark clothes, rigid postures, and minimal emotional expression, the adherents strive to convey that the reason they cannot be hurt is because they do not care. This is where the theory of gender performativity ties in. Adherents repeatedly perform emotional detachment, until their entire personality becomes fraught with the same, leading to this act appearing natural. This also underscores the fact that the reason they perform is ultimately validation, hinting that the approval of others still matters to them, but they just hold up the facade of being unconcerned.

6. Identity and Linguistic Violence in Homosocial Spaces

The performance of masculinity is not limited only to the scene. The places where I've seen masculinity being performed the most are schools, sports grounds, as well as other homosocial spaces wherein young men primarily interact with one another.

Language is one of the most ubiquitous tools through which masculinity is exercised. In schools, many young men use words related to homosexuality, femininity, and softness as insults. Calling someone gay in homosocial spaces is a way to tell someone that he has failed at masculinity. This acts as a signal wherein the words function as tools to enforce discipline and control over one's actions. C.J. Pascoe, in her research on masculinity in high schools, mentions that such insults or slurs are therefore not homophobic in intention, but are more about one's status in the accepted group. Words become the tools of ostracization and power through which the insulted person's masculinity is forsaken, and the speaker of the insult gains superiority, reflecting a hierarchy that the sigma narrative very openly denounces or rejects. This connects sigma culture to older forms of gender policing. The reason a sigma appears strong is because another male appears weak, bringing us to the homosocial paradox. Although the sigma male rejects hierarchy and celebrates independence and self-sufficiency, many of his performances are directed towards other males. In other words, the sigma male's performance is still controlled by the group's gaze. Such a system also indicates that dominance is ephemeral and must be defended actively by the subordination of others. Ultimately, these young men are dependent on each other, but the sigma narrative makes it extremely difficult for them to acknowledge this dependence and vulnerability.

This is something I've noticed at my school in real time: most boys who claim to be a sigma male often roam around in a group with other boys and perform for each other to gain each other's validation. The usage of derogatory words (that are usually used as tools for ostracization of women, queer people, physically disabled people as well as people with neurodevelopmental disorder) is prevalent. Being queer, it's extremely hurtful to hear them use these words even if not always directed towards me.

7. Conclusion

The sigma male is often viewed as a mere internet joke, and a lot of the times, it ends up being one. However, its popularity reveals that it represents a fantasy of escaping masculine hierarchies while reaping all the rewards that come out of it. The sigma male is supposedly outside the hierarchy, but remains/tries to remain just as strong, rich, successful, and attractive as those that are part of it. He rejects social approval, but still constantly performs for an audience.

The importance of understanding sigma culture therefore is not in examining which masculinity is better or more real but in understanding how and why men have enabled it to become culturally useful in the first place. It is a useful or expedient tool that provides sigma men with a language for re-interpreting their loneliness as independence, rejection as overcoming desires and gaining superiority, and optimization as the only plan for saving oneself from the anxieties of modern life.

Therefore, our aim mustn't be to replace the sigma with another category of masculinity, but question why such categories have to exist. A more liberating understanding of masculinity would allow expression to be just expression without the act of gendering anything. The most meaningful alternative to the sigma is not another form of masculinity, but the freedom to define oneself without being restricted by the bounds of hierarchy, or approval.

Endnote

The cultural significance of internet irony has become even clearer when it moves beyond just a few scrolls. Recent youth-led protests in India, specifically in reference to the student mobilization at Jantar Mantar, demonstrates how Gen Z uses the language of internet irony and satire in the form of memes, and online humour to create community and collective identities in order to put forth their political frustrations. The use of deliberate memes and unserious content is not necessarily indicative of political apathy; instead, such irony is used as a form of accessibility – to spread their messages to a wider audience and increase participation: usage of memes and social media content to spread messages to a generation that is accustomed to communication through digital culture. This shows that what begins as a sort of internet language can become a form of public performance.

Although the protests are not sexually oriented, they prove significant to the study of sigma culture and the digital manosphere because they show that ironic internet sub-cultures are not necessarily meaningless. The same generation capable of transforming masculine ideals into meaningless memes can also appropriate internet satire and humour for collective expression and political action. So, the importance lies not in the seriousness of the content, but how the language of internet irony is used.

References

- [1] Busse, Anna. (2025). Loving Patrick Bateman: American Psycho, Masculinity & and the problem of Interpretation. University of Michigan. <https://hdl.handle.net/2027.42/199749>
- [2] Bridges, T., and Pascoe, C.J. (2014), Hybrid Masculinities: New Directions in the Sociology of Men and Masculinities. *Sociology Compass*, 8: 246- 258. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12134>
- [3] Connell, R. W., & Messerschmidt, J. W. (2005). Hegemonic Masculinity: Rethinking the Concept: Rethinking the Concept. *Gender & Society*, 19(6), 829-859. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0891243205278639>
- [4] Dhoest, Alexander. (2025). From Manosphere to Mainstream: Representations of Masculinity on TikTok. *International Journal of Communication*. <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/24706>
- [5] Diallo, A., Le, P. H., Holzer, M., & Popova, E. (2025). Online conversations between “real men”: A reflexive thematic analysis of sigma male discourse on Twitter. *First Monday*, 30(3). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v30i3.13648>
- [6] Milne, B., & Baker, C. R. (2026). From ‘villains’ to ‘idols’: exploring teenage boys’ conflicting attachments to manospheric masculinities. *Gender and Education*, 38(6), 576–597. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2025.2568407>
- [7] Pascoe, C. J. (2005). ‘Dude, You’re a Fag’: Adolescent Masculinity and the Fag Discourse. *Sexualities*, 8(3), 329-346. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363460705053337>
- [8] Ging, Debbie. (2017). Alphas, Betas, and Incels: Theorizing the Masculinities of the Manosphere. *Men and Masculinities*. 22. 638-657. [10.1177/1097184X17706401](https://doi.org/10.1177/1097184X17706401).
- [9] Sipungu T. ‘Iron women’ dislodging ‘provider masculinities’: Why Connell’s framework of Hegemonic Masculinities is ‘useful but unhelpful’? [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]. *Routledge Open Res* 2023, 2:49 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/routledgeopenres.17964.1>)
- [10] Tanner, S., & Gillardin, F. (2025). Toxic Communication on TikTok: Sigma Masculinities and Gendered Disinformation. *Social Media + Society*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051251313844>
- [11] Valdivia, Mateo. (2023) Alpha, Beta, Sigma: A Critical Analysis of Sigma Male Ideology. University of Windsor. <https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14776/10047>