International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

From Policy to Practice: A Comprehensive Review of Accessibility, Education and Employment in Karnataka Under the RPWD Act

Narayanaswamy G[1], Dr. K. Siva Murugan[2]

[1]Research Centre in Commerce, St. Claret College, Autonomous, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
[2]Research Associate, The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, Centre of Excellence, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Abstract: ***This paper critically examines of the enactment of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, in Karnataka, through a specific emphasis on accessibility, education and employment. The research is grounded in the findings of the Comptroller and Auditor General Report No.2 of 2024, which identifies systemic challenges and gaps that impede the effective realization of the rights of persons with disabilities in the state. The study uncovers significant deficiencies in infrastructure accessibility, inclusive education and employment opportunities. Despite the existence of legal mandates and policy frameworks under the RPwD Act, the state has faced considerable obstacles, such as insufficient resource allocation, weak stakeholder coordination and low public awareness. In the area of accessibility, persistent issues include non - compliance with universal design principles and the absence of accessible public spaces. In education, the research underscores the necessity for trained personnel, accessible learning materials and inclusive curricula. Employment challenges are linked to the low participation of PwDs in the workforce, further exacerbated by limited enforcement of reservation policies and inadequate workplace accommodations. To address these challenges, the paper introduces a policy evaluation framework that integrates international best practices, particularly those aligned with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD). The proposed framework advocates for inter - departmental collaboration, community involvement and the adoption of technology - driven solutions to improve accessibility and promote inclusion. The paper concludes with recommendations for targeted policy interventions, capacity - building initiatives and effective monitoring mechanisms. By narrowing the gap between policy and practice, Karnataka can develop the quality of life then prospects for persons with disabilities, ensuring their full and equal involvement in society.***

Keywords: Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 Accessibility, Education and Employment.

1. Introduction

The Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwD) Act, 2016 is a crucial legislative measure aimed at enhancing the survives of persons with disabilities in India. This article provides a critical analysis of the Act, highlighting its strengths and challenges. Disability is a multifaceted concept influenced by bodily functions, activities, participation, and environmental factors. Societal, cultural and legal frameworks significantly shape perceptions of disability. While definitions vary globally, approximately 6% of India's population is estimated to have a disability. The term 'disabled' denotes a deviation from typical physical fitness (Rao and Usha, 1995).

The terms 'physically challenged' and 'physically handicapped' are often used synonymously with 'impairment' and 'disability', though the World Health Organization (1980) distinguishes them. 'Impairment' refers to the loss of function, 'disability' indicates the inability to perform certain tasks and 'handicap' describes social and economic disadvantages. Together, these terms represent the absence of certain physical or mental abilities.

In developed nations, disabilities often result from aging and occupational hazards. In contrast, developing countries face challenges like poverty, ignorance, insufficient healthcare and pseudo - religious beliefs as primary causes (Lal Das, 1997).

Under the RPwD Act, a 'Person with Disability' is defined as someone with a long - term physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairment that, along with barriers, restricts full societal participation. A 'Person with Benchmark Disability' is one with at least 40% of a specified disability, as certified by the relevant authority.

The Act recognizes the following identified disabilities: Blindness, Low vision, Persons cured of leprosy, hearing impairment (including deafness and hard of hearing), Locomotor disability, Dwarfism, Intellectual disability, Mental illness, autism spectrum disorder etc.

Dimensions of disability: Census of India, 2011

The RPwD Act, 2016, is a landmark legislation designed to empower persons with disabilities (PwDs) by ensuring their rights across various sectors, including education, employment, and accessibility. Karnataka, with 13.24 lakh PwDs as per the 2011 Census, faces critical challenges in translating this policy into practice. While the CAG report provides a comprehensive assessment of the state's efforts, this paper extends the analysis by addressing systemic barriers and proposing actionable solutions based on secondary data.

According to the 2011 Census, India had a total population of 121 crores, out of which 2.68 crore individuals were recorded as having disabilities, accounting for 2.21% of the total population. Among them, male constituted 56%, while female made up 44%.

Total Population and the population of disabled persons in India - Census, 2011

Population, India 2011 (Crores)			Disabled persons, India 2011 (Crores)		
Persons	Male	Female	Persons	Male	Female
121.08	62.32	58.76	2.68	1.50	1.18

Total Population and the population of disabled persons in Karnataka - Census, 2011

Population, India 2011 (Crores)			Disabled persons, India 2011 (Crores)		
Persons	Male	Female	Persons	Male	Female
6.11	31.51	30.07	13.24	7.27	5.97

Objectives

1) To critically analyze the implementation gaps in the RPwD Act in Karnataka.
2) To propose a policy evaluation framework for measuring progress in accessibility, education and employment.
3) To identify innovative solutions and international best practices for addressing barriers to inclusion.

Methodology

This study is based entirely on secondary data, primarily drawn from the CAG report, official government publications and academic literature. A comparative analysis with best practices from other states and countries is conducted to contextualize the findings and offer actionable recommendations.

Scope

The scope of this paper is restricted to analyzing secondary data available through government reports, official statistics and academic studies. This approach enables a comprehensive overview of systemic challenges and progress in implementing the RPwD Act in Karnataka.

Limitations

This paper does not include primary data collection, such as interviews or field surveys, which could have offered deeper insights into stakeholder experiences. Additionally, the findings are specific to Karnataka and may not be directly generalizable to other states.

2. Literature Review

- **Sharma and Gupta (2020).** In this paper they examine the challenges faced at the state level in implementing the RPwD Act, 2016. Their research highlights issues in policy implementation, resource distribution and public awareness. The authors stress the importance of enhanced governance, improved coordination between agencies and greater community involvement to ensure effective inclusion of people with disabilities.
- **Chauhan et al. (2021):** In this research article they explore the difficulties faced by caregivers in implementing the RPwD Act, 2016, particularly for children and youth with disabilities. It highlights challenges such as limited support, lack of resources and public awareness and calls for more accessible and inclusive policies to address these issues.
- **Lalrochami Ralte & Hnamte (2024):** In this article they analyse the execution of the RPwD Act, 2016, in the education sector in Mizoram. It assesses government efforts to promote inclusive education for children with disabilities, focusing on obstacles like insufficient teacher training, resource shortages, and lack of community participation in policy implementation.
- **Supreme Court of India (2024):** In a recent case, the Supreme Court issued notices regarding the ineffective enforcement of the RPwD Act, 2016, highlighting concerns over the lack of accountability and enforcement. The Court urged the government to strengthen the systems in place to ensure the Act's provisions are fully implemented.
- **Chauhan et al. (2022):** In this article they critically evaluate the RPwD Act, 2016, in conjunction with the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017. It identifies challenges in securing the rights of individuals with mental health conditions, such as inadequate infrastructure, limited mental health services, and persistent societal stigma, all of which hinder the full implementation of these laws.
- **Sharma & Gupta (2020)**: In this paper their study looks into the state - level hurdles in implementing the RPwD Act, 2016. The authors point out gaps in policy execution, resource distribution and public awareness, stressing the need for enhanced inter - agency cooperation, better governance, and more community involvement to achieve effective disability inclusion.
- **Leslie et al. (2022):** In this Article they highlighted how inclusive data practices can be pivotal for upholding the rights of individuals with disabilities. They argue for policies that promote equal access to digital services, assistive technologies, and data resources to ensure full inclusion.
- **Bastola et al. (2024):** In This paper they discussed how inclusive design principles in autonomous vehicle technologies can contribute to the goals of the RPwD Act, 2016. It advocates for integrating accessibility features into transportation systems, noting that such innovations can improve mobility for people with disabilities and help achieve the Act's objectives of inclusion.
- **Panicker (2020):** In this article he examines the implementation challenges of educational technologies in developing countries, focusing on their potential to support inclusive education for students with disabilities. The paper proposes frameworks for overcoming technological barriers and enhancing accessibility in education systems to comply with the RPwD Act's mandates.

1) Accessibility

Current Status

The CAG report highlights significant gaps in accessibility infrastructure. For instance, ₹27.09 crore allocated for accessibility under the Accessible India Campaign, only partial implementation was observed, with delays in fund utilization. Karnataka also lags in making public transport accessible to PwDs, and a majority of government websites and public documents fail to meet accessibility standards.

Analysis

Urban areas in Karnataka shows better accessibility infrastructure compared to rural districts, reflecting an uneven allocation of resources. Delays in fund utilization further highlight inefficiencies in planning and execution. Additionally, Indian accessibility policies, modeled on

international standards like the ADA and EN 301 549, often fail in execution. For instance, while guidelines for barrier - free environments exist, implementation on the ground remains inconsistent, with many public spaces still inaccessible.

International Best Practices

Globally, countries have demonstrated effective practices for improving disability inclusion. The United States, through the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), mandates universal design standards for public buildings, transport, and ICT, ensuring accessibility for all. The European Union's EN 301 549 sets accessibility standards for ICT products and services, applicable to public procurements, while Australia's Livable Housing Design Guidelines focus on creating accessible and inclusive housing. Finland's inclusive education system integrates children with disabilities into mainstream schools with individualized plans and support services. Japan mandates accessibility in all school facilities, ensuring barrier - free learning environments, and Canada widely adopts assistive technologies such as text - to - speech software and screen readers. In the employment sector, Germany's quota system mandates 5% of jobs for PwDs in companies with more than 20 employees, while the UK's Access to Work program provides monetary support to employers for workplace accommodations. Singapore incentivizes inclusive hiring through grants, tax benefits and mandatory accessibility training.

Recommendations

To bridge gaps across accessibility, education and employment in Karnataka, a comprehensive strategy is essential. This includes conducting audits to identify infrastructure deficiencies, developing a phased plan for barrier - free access in rural areas, and ensuring digital platforms comply with accessibility standards. Karnataka should also prioritize the adoption of inclusive teaching practices and assistive technologies in schools, supported by teacher training programs. In employment, the state must expedite the identification of posts for PwDs, enforce reservation policies, and promote awareness campaigns for employers. Equitable CSR investment across districts and leveraging public - private partnerships can further bolster inclusive development. By learning from international best practices, Karnataka can enhance its policy implementations and create a more equitable environment for PwDs. Additionally, digital platforms must comply with accessibility standards by leveraging assistive technologies like screen readers and voice navigation.

2) Education Challenges

The CAG report identifies several barriers to inclusive education in Karnataka. These include the lack of higher secondary schools for visually and hearing - impaired students, inadequate infrastructure in both special and regular schools, and a shortage of trained teachers and therapists. Such gaps hinder the effective delivery of inclusive education and limit opportunities for students with disabilities.

Analysis

Karnataka's failure to enforce the reservation policy in higher education highlights systemic governance challenges that need urgent attention. Many schools also lack basic accessibility features such as ramps and railings, further limiting access to education for students with disabilities. While Indian policies often cite global models like Finland's inclusive education system or Canada's use of assistive technology, these practices are rarely adapted effectively. Inclusive teaching methodologies and technology adoption remain limited to a few institutions, leaving many students underserved.

International Best Practices

Finland integrates children with disabilities into mainstream schools through individualized education plans and support services, providing a strong model for inclusive education. Japan mandates accessibility in all school facilities, including ramps, elevators, and auditory aids, ensuring barrier - free learning environments. Canada widely adopts assistive technologies, such as text - to - speech software and screen readers, to support students with disabilities in classrooms.

Recommendations

To strengthen inclusive education, Karnataka should develop uniform syllabi and accessible infrastructure for special schools. Teacher training programs focusing on inclusive education must be introduced to equip educators with the necessary skills. Additionally, monitoring mechanisms should be established to ensure adherence to reservation policies in higher education institutions.

3) Employment Barriers

Employment opportunities for PwDs in Karnataka remain constrained despite existing policy provisions. Delays in identifying suitable posts across government departments, underutilization of the mandated 5% budget reservation for PwDs, and the concentration of CSR initiatives in urban centers like Bengaluru are significant challenges. These issues limit access to equitable employment opportunities for PwDs across the state.

Analysis

Delayed identification of posts suitable for PwDs reflects significant gaps in inter - departmental coordination within Karnataka's administrative framework. Moreover, corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives remain concentrated in urban areas, leaving rural PwDs largely underserved. While India's employment policies reference global models like Germany's quota system and the UK's Access to Work program, these remain aspirational. Mechanisms for enforcement and financial support in India are either weak or absent, leading to limited progress in creating inclusive workplaces.

International Best Practices

Germany's quota system mandates that companies with more than 20 employees reserve at least 5% of positions for PwDs, with penalties for non - compliance, creating accountability for inclusion. The United Kingdom's Access to Work program offers financial assistance to employers for workplace accommodations for PwDs, reducing financial barriers. Singapore incentivizes inclusive hiring through grants, tax benefits, and mandatory accessibility training for companies employing PwDs.

Recommendations

To enhance employment opportunities for PwDs, Karnataka must expedite the identification of posts suitable for them and enforce the reservation policy more effectively. Awareness campaigns targeting both employers and PwDs are essential to bridge knowledge gaps. Moreover, guidelines should be formulated to ensure equitable CSR investment across districts, addressing the rural - urban divide.

Policy Evaluation Framework

To measure the effectiveness of RPwD Act implementation, this paper proposes a framework with the following indicators:

1) **Accessibility**: Number of public buildings and transport systems meeting accessibility standards.
2) **Awareness**: Percentage of stakeholders aware of the RPwD Act and its provisions.
3) **Education**: Enrollment rates of PwDs in schools and higher education institutions.
4) **Employment**: Number of PwDs employed in public and private sectors.

Innovative Solutions

1) **Leveraging Technology**: Use mobile apps to provide real - time information on accessible public facilities.
2) **Public - Private Partnerships**: Collaborate with corporates to fund infrastructure and training programs.
3) **Localized Interventions**: Tailor solutions to the unique needs of rural and urban districts.

3. Conclusion

Karnataka's implementation of the RPwD Act demonstrates both progress and persistent challenges. By addressing gaps in accessibility, education, and employment, and leveraging secondary data analysis and international best practices, this paper offers a roadmap for achieving inclusivity. Effective implementation of these recommendations could position Karnataka as a model state for disability inclusion.

References

[1] Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U. S. C. § 12101 et seq. (1990).
[2] Comptroller and Auditor General of India. (2024). Audit Report No.2 of 2024 – Karnataka. https: //cag. gov. in/ag2/karnataka/en/audit - report
[3] Das, M., & Patel, H. (2022). Employment of persons with disabilities in India: A review of policies and programs. Journal of Social Policy & Inclusion, 16 (1), 30 - 55.
[4] European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI). (2018). *EN 301 549:* Accessibility requirements for ICT products and services. https: //www.etsi. org/
[5] Finnish National Board of Education. (n. d.). Inclusive education in Finland: Policies and practices. https: //www.oph. fi/en
[6] Government of Germany. (n. d.). Quota system for employment of persons with disabilities. https: //www.bmas. de/EN
[7] Government of Singapore. (n. d.). Disability employment incentives and accessibility policies. https: //www.mom. gov. sg/
[8] Kumar, S., & Mehta, D. (2019). Accessibility in India: A review of policy frameworks and implementation gaps. Disability and Society, 34 (3), 89 - 112.
[9] Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment. (n. d.). Accessible India Campaign *(Sugamya Bharat Abhiyan)*
[10] Ministry of Statistics and Programmed Implementation. (n. d.). National Sample Survey Office (NSSO) reports on disability statistics. https: //www.mospi. gov. in/national - sample - survey - officensso
[11] Mukherjee, A. (2018). The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016: A critical analysis. Indian Law Review, *5* (2), 190 - 210
[12] Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, India. (2011). *Census of India 2011: Data on disability.* https: //censusindia. gov. in/census. website/data/census - tables
[13] Rao, V., & Sen, A. (2021). Inclusive education in India: Policies, practices, and challenges. Educational Review, *58* (4), 223 - 240.
[14] Sharma, R., & Gupta, P. (2020). Implementation challenges of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016: A state - level analysis. Indian Journal of Disability Studies, *12 (2),* 45 - 67.
[15] United Kingdom Government. (n. d.). Access to Work program: Financial support for disabled employees. https: //www.gov. uk/access - to - work