International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
Impact Factor 2025: 7.089

Effect of Pranayama Practice on Cognitive Functions in Adolescents

Shivakumar Panchakshari Rudraxi[1], Dr. Mekhala Venkatesh[2]

[1]Research Scholar
[2]Associate Professor
Department of Psychology PG Studies Centre for Distance and Online Education
JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Abstract: ***Adolescence is a critical developmental period characterized by rapid cognitive, emotional, and neurological changes. Enhancing cognitive functioning during this stage is essential for academic performance and psychological well-being. Pranayama, a yogic breathing practice, has been associated with improved attention, memory, emotional regulation, and executive functioning in adults. However, empirical research focusing specifically on adolescents remains limited. The present study proposes to examine the effect of an 8-week structured pranayama intervention on cognitive functions among adolescents aged 13-17 years. A randomized controlled experimental design will be employed with two groups: an experimental group receiving pranayama training and a control group receiving no intervention. Standardized cognitive assessments measuring attention, working memory, processing speed, and executive functioning will be administered pre- and post-intervention. It is hypothesized that adolescents practicing pranayama will demonstrate significant improvements in cognitive performance compared to the control group. Findings from this study may contribute to the integration of evidence-based yogic breathing techniques into school-based mental health and cognitive enhancement programs.***

Keywords: pranayama, cognitive functions, adolescents, attention, executive functioning, yoga

1. Introduction

Adolescence is a transitional stage between childhood and adulthood marked by significant biological, psychological, and social transformations. Cognitive development during this period involves refinement of executive functions, improved abstract reasoning, enhanced working memory, and greater attentional control (Steinberg, 2014). These cognitive capacities are critical for academic success, decision-making, and emotional regulation.

However, adolescents today face increasing academic pressures, digital distractions, and psychosocial stressors, which may negatively affect attention span, memory retention, and executive functioning (Blakemore & Mills, 2014). Consequently, there is growing interest in cost-effective, school-based interventions that can enhance cognitive performance and emotional regulation.

Yoga-based practices, particularly pranayama (controlled breathing techniques), have gained recognition for their potential psychological and physiological benefits. Pranayama involves conscious regulation of breath patterns to influence autonomic nervous system functioning (Brown & Gerbarg,2005). Research suggests that slow, rhythmic breathing enhances parasympathetic activation, reduces stress, and improves attentional control (Jerath et al., 2015).

Although several studies have examined the benefits of yoga and meditation in adults, fewer investigations have focused exclusively on pranayama and its specific effects on adolescent cognitive functioning. This research aims to address this gap by evaluating whether structured pranayama practice improves attention, memory, processing speed, and executive functioning among adolescents.

1.1 Significance of the Study

The present study is significant as it examines the impact of pranayama practice on cognitive functions during adolescence, a critical period of brain development. Since executive functions such as attention, working memory, and cognitive control are still maturing during this stage, identifying effective interventions is essential.

This study contributes to psychological research by isolating pranayama as a breathing-based intervention and examining its direct effects using standardized cognitive assessments. The findings may provide empirical support for incorporating pranayama into school-based programs to enhance academic performance, stress management, and overall cognitive development.

Furthermore, as pranayama is cost-effective, non-invasive, and easy to implement, the study holds practical value for educators, school psychologists, and policymakers seeking accessible strategies to promote adolescent well-being and cognitive growth.

1.2 Need for the Study

Adolescence is a critical developmental stage marked by rapid neurological, psychological, and social changes. During this period, the prefrontal cortex- responsible for executive functions such as attention, working memory, inhibitory control, and decision-making- undergoes significant maturation (Blakemore & Choudhury, 2006; Casey et al., 2008). However, adolescents are increasingly exposed to academic pressure, digital distractions, emotional stress, and lifestyle imbalances, all of which may negatively affect cognitive performance.

Modern educational systems emphasize academic achievement but often neglect structured cognitive enhancement and stress-regulation practices. Impaired

attention, reduced working memory capacity, and poor executive control can significantly influence academic outcomes and emotional well-being. Therefore, identifying cost-effective, non-pharmacological, and easily implementable interventions to enhance cognitive functioning during adolescence is essential.

Pranayama, a structured yogic breathing practice, has demonstrated promising results in improving autonomic regulation, reducing stress, and enhancing attentional processes in adults (Jerath et al., 2015; Zaccaro et al., 2018). However, most existing research combines breathing practices with yoga postures and meditation, making it difficult to isolate the specific effects of pranayama. Furthermore, experimental research focusing exclusively on healthy adolescent populations remains limited.

Given the heightened neuroplasticity during adolescence, interventions targeting physiological self-regulation may produce measurable cognitive benefits. Therefore, the present study is needed to:

- Examine the isolated effect of pranayama on cognitive functions.
- Provide empirical evidence using standardized neuropsychological assessments.
- Establish whether pranayama can be integrated into school-based cognitive enhancement programs.

This study aims to bridge the gap between developmental neuroscience and traditional mind–body practices by scientifically evaluating pranayama as a cognitive intervention for adolescents.

1.3 Scope of the Study

The present study focuses on examining the effect of pranayama practice on selected cognitive functions among adolescents.

The scope includes:

- Adolescents aged 13–17 years
- Assessment of attention, working memory, processing speed, and executive functioning
- An 8-week structured pranayama intervention
- Use of standardized cognitive assessment tools
- A randomized controlled experimental design

The study contributes to the fields of cognitive psychology, developmental psychology, school psychology, and health psychology.

1.4 Delimitations of the Study

The study is delimited to:

- A specific age group (13–17 years); findings may not generalize to children or adults.
- A limited sample size (e.g., 60 participants) drawn from selected schools.
- An 8-week intervention period; long-term effects will not be assessed.
- Selected pranayama techniques (Anulom Vilom, Bhramari, and diaphragmatic breathing).
- Selected cognitive domains only (attention, working memory, processing speed, executive functioning).
- Self-reported compliance outside supervised sessions may not be fully controlled.

These delimitations help maintain feasibility while ensuring scientific rigor.

1.5 Limitations of the Study

The present study may have the following limitations:

- **Limited Sample Size**: The study may involve a relatively small sample (e.g., 60 participants), which may limit the generalizability of the findings to the broader adolescent population.
- **Restricted Age Group**: The research focuses only on adolescents aged 13–17 years. Therefore, the findings cannot be generalized to children or adults.
- **Short Duration of Intervention**: The pranayama intervention is limited to 8 weeks. Long-term effects of continued practice on cognitive functioning will not be assessed.
- **Geographical Limitation**: Participants may be selected from specific schools or a particular region, which may limit cultural and demographic diversity.
- **Extraneous Variables**: Factors such as sleep patterns, nutrition, academic stress, physical activity, and home environment may influence cognitive performance and cannot be completely controlled.
- **Practice and Testing Effects**: Repeated administration of cognitive tests (pretest–posttest) may lead to familiarity effects, potentially influencing performance scores.
- **Compliance Issues**: Although sessions are supervised, adherence outside the structured practice sessions cannot be fully monitored.
- **Self-Selection Bias**: Participants who consent to participate may be more motivated or health-conscious, which may influence outcomes.
- **Lack of Physiological Measurements**: The study focuses on cognitive outcomes and does not directly measure physiological markers (e.g., heart rate variability, cortisol levels) that could explain underlying mechanisms.

1.6 Research Questions

Based on the objectives of the study, the following research questions are formulated:

1) Does pranayama practice significantly improve attention among adolescents?
2) Does regular practice of pranayama enhance working memory in adolescents?
3) Is there a significant effect of pranayama practice on processing speed among adolescents?
4) Does pranayama practice significantly improve executive functioning in adolescents?
5) What is the overall effect of an 8-week pranayama intervention on cognitive functions in adolescents?
6) Are there significant pretest–posttest differences in cognitive functions within the pranayama group?
7) Are there significant differences in post-intervention cognitive performance between the experimental and control groups after controlling for baseline scores?

8) Which cognitive domain (attention, working memory, processing speed, or executive functioning) shows the greatest improvement following pranayama practice?

1.7 Operational Definitions of Variables

Operational definitions clarify how variables are measured in the present study.

Independent Variable

Pranayama Practice: Pranayama practice refers to a structured breathing intervention consisting of Anulom Vilom (alternate nostril breathing), Bhramari (humming bee breath), and deep diaphragmatic breathing, practiced for 20 minutes per session, 5 days per week, for 8 weeks under supervision.

Dependent Variables

a) **Attention:** Attention refers to the ability to sustain focus and respond accurately to stimuli. In this study, attention will be operationally defined as the score obtained on the Continuous Performance Test (CPT) or equivalent standardized attention measure.
b) **Working Memory:** Working memory refers to the capacity to temporarily hold and manipulate information. It will be operationally defined as the total score obtained on the Digit Span Test (forward and backward).
c) **Processing Speed:** Processing speed refers to the rate at which an individual can perceive, process, and respond to information. It will be operationally defined as the completion time and accuracy score on the Trail Making Test (Part A).
d) **Executive Function:** Executive function refers to higher-order cognitive processes including inhibitory control, cognitive flexibility, and task switching. It will be operationally defined as performance scores on the Stroop Color-Word Test and/or Trail Making Test (Part B).

Control Variables

- Age (in completed years)
- Gender (male/female/other as reported)
- Socioeconomic status (based on demographic questionnaire)
- Prior yoga experience (screened during selection process)

2. Literature Review

2.1 Review of Works

a) Adolescent Brain Development and Cognitive Functions

Blakemore and Choudhury (2006) examined structural and functional changes in the adolescent brain, emphasizing the maturation of the prefrontal cortex and its implications for executive functions such as planning, inhibition, and working memory. Their work highlights adolescence as a critical period for executive control development.

Similarly, Casey et al. (2008) described adolescence as a stage of imbalance between limbic systems and prefrontal control systems, which explains heightened emotional reactivity and developing cognitive control. These findings underscore the importance of interventions that strengthen executive regulation during adolescence.

Blakemore and Mills (2014) further proposed adolescence as a sensitive period for sociocultural processing, suggesting that cognitive and emotional systems are particularly malleable. Steinberg (2014) reinforced this idea by describing adolescence as a developmental window of opportunity for interventions that can positively shape cognitive and behavioral outcomes.

Together, these studies provide a neurodevelopmental foundation for implementing cognitive enhancement interventions during adolescence.

b) Pranayama and Physiological Regulation

Brown and Gerbarg (2005) investigated Sudarshan Kriya yogic breathing and proposed a neurophysiological model explaining how rhythmic breathing regulates stress and autonomic balance. Their findings indicate that pranayama reduces anxiety and improves emotional stability through vagal stimulation and parasympathetic activation.

Jerath et al. (2015) expanded this understanding by proposing that slow breathing influences neural respiratory elements that affect emotional regulation and cortical activity. Their work suggests that breathing techniques can modulate higher-order cognitive processes through autonomic regulation mechanisms.

Zaccaro et al. (2018), in a systematic review, found that slow breathing improves attention, emotional regulation, and psychophysiological coherence. The authors highlighted increased heart rate variability and improved cortical functioning as potential mechanisms.

These studies collectively suggest that pranayama influences neurophysiological pathways linked to cognitive performance.

c) Pranayama, Yoga, and Cognitive Functions

Sharma et al. (2006) examined the effects of Sahaj Yoga on neuro-cognitive functions in patients with major depression and found improvements in attention and cognitive flexibility. Although conducted in a clinical adult population, the findings support the cognitive-enhancing effects of yogic practices.

Khalsa et al. (2012) conducted a randomized controlled trial in a secondary school setting and reported improved mental health outcomes among adolescents practicing yoga. While the study included postures and meditation along with breathing, it demonstrated the feasibility and psychological benefits of school-based yoga interventions.

Saoji et al. (2019) provided a narrative review highlighting improvements in attention, memory, and executive functions following yogic breath regulation practices. However, the review emphasized the need for well-controlled experimental studies isolating breathing techniques.

Telles et al. (2013) explored yoga as a therapeutic intervention for mental health disorders and found

improvements in cognitive and emotional regulation. However, the interventions typically combined asanas, meditation, and pranayama, limiting the ability to isolate breathing effects.

Overall, existing research indicates that yogic breathing practices positively influence cognitive functioning, particularly attention and executive control

2.2 Research Gaps

Despite promising findings, several significant gaps remain in the literature:

a) **Limited Research Focused Exclusively on Pranayama**
Most studies combine pranayama with yoga postures and meditation (Khalsa et al., 2012; Telles et al., 2013), making it difficult to determine whether cognitive improvements are specifically attributable to breathing techniques. There is a need for research isolating pranayama as the sole intervention variable.

b) **Insufficient Adolescent-Specific Experimental Studies**
While adolescent brain development is well documented (Blakemore & Choudhury, 2006; Casey et al., 2008), most pranayama studies focus on adults or clinical populations (Brown & Gerbarg, 2005; Sharma et al., 2006). Controlled experimental research examining pranayama's direct cognitive effects in healthy adolescents is limited.

c) **Lack of Randomized Controlled Designs**
Several studies rely on narrative reviews (Saoji et al., 2019) or quasi-experimental designs. More rigorous randomized controlled trials with pretest–posttest measures are required to establish causal relationships between pranayama practice and cognitive outcomes.

d) **Limited Use of Standardized Cognitive Assessments**
Many yoga-related studies measure general psychological well-being rather than specific cognitive domains such as working memory, processing speed, and executive functioning. There is a need for studies using standardized neuropsychological tools (e.g., Stroop Test, Trail Making Test, Digit Span).

e) **Short-Term Interventions and Lack of Longitudinal Data**
Most interventions are short-term, and long-term cognitive effects of pranayama remain unclear. Research examining sustained cognitive improvements over time is needed.

f) **Mechanistic Understanding in Adolescents**
Although physiological mechanisms such as vagal stimulation and autonomic regulation are proposed (Jerath et al., 2015; Zaccaro et al., 2018), empirical studies directly linking these mechanisms to measurable cognitive improvements in adolescents are scarce.

The existing literature strongly supports adolescence as a critical period for cognitive development and identifies pranayama as a promising intervention for enhancing attention and executive functioning. However, research specifically examining the isolated effects of pranayama on cognitive functions in adolescents using rigorous experimental methods remains limited.

The present study seeks to address these gaps by employing a randomized controlled design, standardized cognitive assessments, and an adolescent sample to evaluate the direct impact of pranayama practice on cognitive functioning.

3. Methodology

Research Design
The study will employ **a randomized controlled pretest-posttest experimental design.**

Objectives
- To examine the effect of pranayama practice on attention among adolescents.
- To assess changes in working memory following pranayama training.
- To evaluate the impact of pranayama on executive functioning and processing speed.

Hypotheses
H1: Adolescents practicing pranayama will show significantly higher post-test attention scores compared to the control group.

H2: Adolescents practicing pranayama will demonstrate improved working memory compared to the control group.

H3: Pranayama practice will significantly enhance executive functioning and processing speed.

Variables
- **Independent Variable:** Pranayama practice (8-week intervention)
- **Dependent Variables:** Attention, working memory, processing speed, executive functioning
- **Control Variables:** Age, gender, socioeconomic status

Sample
a) **Population:** Adolescents aged 13–17 years
b) **Sample Size:** 60 participants (30 experimental, 30 control)
c) **Sampling Technique:** Random sampling from selected schools
d) **Inclusion Criteria:**
   - Enrolled in school
   - No diagnosed neurological disorders
   - Not practicing yoga regularly

Tools
a) **Digit Span Test** (Working Memory)
b) **Stroop Color-Word Test** (Executive Function)
c) **Trail Making Test (TMT)** (Processing Speed and Cognitive Flexibility)
d) **Continuous Performance Test (CPT)** (Attention)

Intervention Procedure
The experimental group will receive pranayama training for 8 weeks, 5 days per week, 20 minutes per session. Techniques include:
- Anulom Vilom (Alternate Nostril Breathing)

- Bhramari (Humming Bee Breath)
- Deep Diaphragmatic Breathing (Yogic breathing)

Sessions will be supervised by a certified yoga instructor.

The control group will continue routine activities without intervention.

Data Collection Procedure

1) Pre-test assessment of cognitive functions
2) 8-week pranayama intervention
3) Post-test assessment using the same tools

Data Analysis

- Independent samples t-test
- Paired samples t-test
- ANCOVA (if required to control baseline differences)
- Effect size calculation

4. Expected Results and Discussion

It is expected that the experimental group will demonstrate statistically significant improvements in attention, working memory, and executive functioning compared to the control group.

These improvements may be attributed to:

1) Enhanced parasympathetic activation reducing stress
2) Improved oxygenation and cerebral blood flow
3) Increased neural synchronization
4) Strengthened attentional control mechanisms

The findings would align with previous research suggesting that breathing-based interventions improve cognitive performance (Saoji et al., 2019; Zaccaro et al., 2018). If supported, this study could recommend incorporating pranayama into school wellness programs to enhance academic performance and psychological well-being.

References

[1] Blakemore, S. J., & Choudhury, S. (2006). Development of the adolescent brain: Implications for executive function. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 47(3–4), 296–312. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01611.xhttps://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01611.x

[2] Blakemore, S. J., & Mills, K. L. (2014). Is adolescence a sensitive period for sociocultural processing? Annual Review of Psychology, 65, 187–207. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115202https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115202

[3] Brown, R. P., & Gerbarg, P. L. (2005). Sudarshan Kriya yogic breathing in the treatment of stress, anxiety, and depression. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, 11(4), 711–717. ttps:// DOI: 10.1089/acm.2005.11.18910.1089/acm.2005.11.189

[4] Casey, B. J., Getz, S., & Galvan, A. (2008). The adolescent brain. Developmental Review, 28(1), 62–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2007.08.003https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2007.08.003

[5] Jerath, R., Crawford, M. W., Barnes, V. A., & Harden, K. (2015). Self-regulation of breathing as a primary treatment for anxiety. Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback, 40(2), 107–115. DOI: 10.1007/s10484-015-9279-810.1007/s10484-015-9279-8

[6] Khalsa, S. B. S., et al. (2012). Evaluation of the mental health benefits of yoga in a secondary school. Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research, 39(1), 80–90. DOI: 10.1007/s11414-011-9249-810.1007/s11414-011-9249-8

[7] Saoji, A. A., Raghavendra, B. R., & Manjunath, N. K. (2019). Effects of yogic breath regulation: A narrative review. Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine 12(2), 99–106. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaim.2017.07.00810.1016/j.jaim.2017.07.008

[8] Sharma, V. K., Das, S., Mondal, S., Goswami, U., & Gandhi, A. (2006). Effect Of Sahaj Yoga on Neuro-Cognitive Functions in Patients Suffering from Major Depression, Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology, 50 (4): 375–383 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17402267/

[9] Steinberg, L. (2014). Age of opportunity: Lessons from the new science of adolescence. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2014-35308-000

[10] Telles, S., Singh, N., & Balkrishna, A. (2013). Managing mental health disorders resulting from trauma through yoga. Depression Research and Treatment. DOI: 10.1155/2012/40151310.1155/2012/401513

[11] Zaccaro, A., et al. (2018). How breath-control can change your life: A systematic review. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 12, 353. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00353https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00353