

Integrating Behaviourist Theory in English Language Pedagogy: Challenges for Indian Higher Education in the 21st Century

Epuri Sunitha¹, Dasari Jaya Kumari²

¹Lecturer in English, SVSSC Government Degree College, Sullurpet, Thirupathi District-Andhra Pradesh, India

²Lecturer in English, Government Degree College, Kanigiri, Prakasam District-Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract: *English continues to play a pivotal role in higher education across India, serving as a medium of instruction and a key to global academic and professional opportunities. To enhance the effectiveness of English language teaching and learning in Indian colleges and universities, various educational theories—such as humanism, cognitivism, constructivism, and behaviourism—are increasingly applied. This study focuses on identifying the challenges associated with implementing behaviourism theory in English language education at the tertiary level in India. The findings are expected to benefit a broad range of stakeholders, including English language faculty, curriculum designers, academic planners, policy makers, textbook developers, and teacher training institutions. Employing a qualitative case study approach, the study utilizes document analysis and semi-structured interviews as key data collection tools. Interviews were conducted with six English lecturers from different higher education institutions across India, while the document analysis involved reviewing scholarly literature related to behaviourist approaches in English pedagogy. The results suggest that challenges in applying behaviourism theory at the college level fall into three categories: learner-related, teacher-related, and institutional/environmental. Learner-related issues include low motivation and anxiety, which hinder reinforcement-based learning strategies. Teacher-related challenges involve limited exposure to behaviourist methodologies and inadequate training in applying them effectively in higher education contexts. Environmental factors such as large class sizes and lack of technological support further restrict the application of behaviourist principles. The study concludes by recommending regular faculty development programs, curriculum modernization, and investment in supportive teaching infrastructure to improve the practical integration of behaviourism theory in English language instruction at the tertiary level in India.*

Keywords: Behaviourist Theory, English Language Pedagogy, Indian Higher Education, Second Language Acquisition, Curriculum Reform, Teaching-Learning Challenges, Classroom Environment, Teacher Training

1. Introduction

In India, English occupies a complex and prominent status—functioning as an associate official language, a global link language, and a key medium of instruction in higher education. It plays a vital role in academia, research, employment, and global communication. English is not only a subject but a skill essential for success in the 21st-century knowledge economy. Despite its importance, teaching and learning English in Indian colleges and universities faces significant challenges—many of which are pedagogical in nature.

Theoretical foundations in education are central to effective learning. According to Lodico et al. (2006), educational theories provide a guiding framework that helps educators understand and respond to learning trends. Among the theories applied in language learning, **behaviourism** remains influential. Rooted in the works of B.F. Skinner, behaviourist theory emphasizes stimulus-response mechanisms, repetition, reinforcement, and habit formation as the basis of learning. In the context of English language teaching, this translates into techniques such as drill practice, rote memorization, and structured feedback to shape student behavior and language acquisition.

Behaviourism assumes that learning occurs when correct responses are reinforced, thereby forming desired linguistic habits. For instance, in English classes, teachers present language structures (stimulus), students respond through

speaking or writing (response), and reinforcement—positive or negative—is used to shape accuracy and fluency. This approach has traditionally been favoured in many Indian classrooms for its structured and measurable outcomes.

However, the application of behaviourist strategies in higher education in India comes with a set of **significant challenges**. These can be broadly categorized into **learner-based**, **teacher-based**, and **institutional/environmental** challenges:

- 1) **Learner-Based Challenges:** Many undergraduate students enter college with inadequate foundational skills in English, often due to socio-economic or regional disparities. Behaviourist methods like drilling or repetition may not address deeper issues like lack of motivation, language anxiety, or poor comprehension. Moreover, adult learners in colleges may resist rote-based methods, which they perceive as mechanical and less engaging.
- 2) **Teacher-Based Challenges:** English lecturers often lack exposure to modern pedagogical training. While they may be well-versed in subject content, many are unfamiliar with the practical application of behaviourist principles adapted to college-level learners. Teachers may also struggle to balance traditional grammar-translation methods with behaviourist drills or reinforcement techniques. The absence of structured in-service training worsens this gap.
- 3) **Institutional and Environmental Challenges:** The teaching environment in many Indian higher education

institutions is not conducive to behaviourist implementation. Large class sizes, rigid syllabi, lack of interactive teaching aids, and outdated assessment practices restrict experimentation with reinforcement-based learning. In such settings, teachers are often forced to lecture rather than facilitate structured interaction, which is central to behaviourism.

Moreover, curriculum design in Indian universities often fails to integrate pedagogical theory into practice. There is limited focus on how behaviourist strategies can be adapted for advanced learners, such as using reinforcement for speaking practice, or repetition for academic vocabulary retention.

Despite these challenges, behaviourist theory still holds potential in the Indian higher education system if adapted thoughtfully. For example, language labs can be designed around reinforcement models using audio-lingual methods. Computer-assisted language learning (CALL) platforms can provide instant feedback and support repetition and pattern recognition. Furthermore, peer correction and teacher praise can act as effective positive reinforcements in classroom settings.

2. Literature Review

The integration of pedagogical theories into English language education has received considerable scholarly attention, especially in the context of second and foreign language learning. Among these, **behaviourism**—pioneered by B.F. Skinner (1957, 1976)—has played a significant role in shaping structured, outcome-oriented teaching methods. This theory emphasizes learning as a process of conditioning, where stimulus-response mechanisms and reinforcement are central. In English language pedagogy, this gave rise to the **audio-lingual method**, widely used in the mid-20th century (Richards & Rodgers, 1986), which relied on repetition, drills, and memorization to develop verbal habits. Fauziati (2016) highlighted four key elements of this framework: the learner, stimulus (language input), response (output), and reinforcement. While useful for beginners, critics like Chomsky (1959) argue that behaviourism overlooks internal cognitive and communicative processes, limiting its effectiveness at higher levels of learning.

In the Indian context, behaviourist methods continue to influence English instruction, especially in early academic settings where grammar-translation and drill-based techniques are commonly used (Agnihotri, 2007). However, their application in higher education is increasingly challenged due to learner maturity, curriculum complexity, and the need for critical language use. Scholars like Kumaravadivelu (2006) propose a **post-method** approach that integrates behaviourism with other theories to meet local needs. Several studies identify practical obstacles to applying behaviourist strategies in colleges, including large class sizes, inadequate teacher training, and limited teaching aids (Elibariki, 2017; Ramanathan, 2005; Mukherjee, 2012). Nonetheless, contemporary approaches such as **Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL)** have revived interest in behaviourist principles by offering structured practice,

immediate feedback, and reinforcement-based learning through digital platforms (Brown, 2007; Harmer, 2015). Thus, while behaviourism alone may not suffice, it remains a valuable component within blended pedagogical models suited to 21st-century higher education in India.

3. Objectives of the Study

The primary aim of this study is to investigate the challenges of integrating behaviourist theory into English language pedagogy within the context of Indian higher education in the 21st century. Given the growing importance of English for academic achievement and career advancement in India, it becomes essential to explore how effectively foundational learning theories like behaviourism are being applied in college and university classrooms. This study seeks to understand the extent to which English language educators in higher education are aware of behaviourist principles such as repetition, reinforcement, and habit formation, and how these are being implemented in real teaching scenarios. Additionally, it aims to identify the pedagogical, institutional, and learner-related obstacles that hinder the practical use of behaviourist methods. By evaluating these factors, the research also intends to explore how behaviourist strategies can be integrated or adapted with other contemporary teaching approaches to enhance learning outcomes. Ultimately, the study aspires to offer informed recommendations for improving curriculum design, faculty development, and teaching practices that align with behaviourist principles in the Indian higher education system.

4. Methodology

To explore the challenges of integrating behaviourist theory into English language pedagogy in Indian higher education, this study employed a **qualitative research approach** rooted in a **case study design**. The qualitative paradigm was selected because the nature of the investigation required deep insights into educators' perceptions, experiences, and contextual realities rather than numerical or statistical generalizations. The study aimed to gather rich, descriptive data that could reveal not just *what* challenges exist, but *how* and *why* they emerge within real classroom and institutional settings.

The **case study design** enabled the researcher to focus on a small, well-defined group of participants and contexts, offering a holistic view of the issue. In this case, the focus was on **English language faculty members** working in a mix of **public and private higher education institutions** across different regions of India. These participants were selected using **purposive sampling**, based on their experience in English language teaching and their familiarity—either formal or informal—with pedagogical theories, particularly behaviourism. The inclusion criteria ensured that participants had sufficient exposure to the practical dynamics of language teaching in Indian college environments.

Data Collection involved two primary strategies:

- 1) **Document Analysis** and
- 2) **Semi-Structured Interviews**.

For the document analysis, academic literature—including peer-reviewed journal articles, doctoral dissertations, books, and educational reports—was reviewed. These documents were sourced from well-established databases such as **ERIC**, **ScienceDirect**, **Google Scholar**, and Indian academic portals like **Shodhganga**. The purpose was to synthesize existing knowledge on the use of behaviourist theory in English language teaching, and to identify key themes, gaps, and trends relevant to the Indian context.

The **semi-structured interviews** were conducted with **six English language faculty members** from different colleges. The interviews were guided by open-ended questions designed to explore participants' understanding of behaviourist principles (e.g., reinforcement, repetition, habit formation), their classroom experiences using these strategies, and the institutional or learner-related challenges they faced. The semi-structured format allowed for both consistency in the line of inquiry and flexibility to probe deeper based on individual responses. Interviews were conducted in English, recorded with consent, and later transcribed verbatim.

Once transcribed, the interviews were subjected to **thematic content analysis**. The researcher employed a coding process wherein meaningful units of information were labeled and grouped under recurring themes such as *teacher preparedness*, *student resistance*, *resource constraints*, and *assessment challenges*. Each participant was assigned an anonymous code (e.g., F1, F2, F3) to maintain confidentiality. Direct quotes were incorporated into the analysis to retain authenticity and support key findings.

The **triangulation** of data sources—literature, interviews, and contextual insights—enhanced the credibility and validity of the findings. Furthermore, this method allowed the researcher to cross-verify emerging themes and construct a more nuanced understanding of the pedagogical realities surrounding behaviourist theory in Indian higher education.

In summary, this methodology enabled a detailed exploration of the research questions by grounding theoretical inquiry in practical experience. The approach facilitated the identification of both systemic and classroom-level challenges while also highlighting potential strategies for more effective pedagogical integration.

5. Findings and Discussion

The study revealed valuable insights into the application and limitations of behaviourist theory in English language pedagogy, particularly focusing on teachers' perceptions and students' responses. Though the original data focused on primary education in Tanzania, the core themes bear significant relevance to challenges in Indian higher education today.

Understanding of Behaviourist Theory by Educators

Interviews with teachers indicated that most had a foundational understanding of behaviourism—emphasizing conditioning, repetition, reinforcement, and observable behavioural changes. Many acknowledged that this theory plays a pivotal role in language acquisition, particularly in

improving pronunciation, vocabulary retention, and speech fluency. Teachers noted that their teaching strategies often reflected behaviourist principles, such as repetition drills, stimulus-response techniques, and reward-based reinforcement.

This insight directly connects to challenges in Indian higher education, where many English language teachers, especially in rural and semi-urban areas, may not have updated pedagogical training. Despite having formal qualifications, a gap often exists in applying behaviourist strategies in contemporary classroom settings, which demand more interactive, personalized, and technology-integrated approaches.

Student Motivation and Mimicry

Several teachers observed that students tend to mimic their teachers' speaking styles, word choices, and accents—a clear indication of behaviourist learning in action. This imitation, reinforced through positive feedback, motivated students to engage more with the language. However, it was also noted that some students, particularly those with low self-confidence or speech disorders (such as stuttering or dysarthria), struggled with this process, leading to anxiety and disengagement.

In Indian higher education, particularly among first-generation learners or students from non-English-speaking backgrounds, this psychological barrier is often compounded. Fear of peer judgment, ridicule, or making mistakes hampers the effectiveness of repetition-based drills. Teachers may struggle to maintain student motivation due to the lack of tailored support for such learners.

Challenges in Classroom Environment

Large class sizes were identified as a major limitation to implementing behaviourist methods effectively. The requirement for individual practice, feedback, and reinforcement becomes impractical in overcrowded classrooms. The issue resonates deeply with Indian higher education institutions, where teacher-student ratios are often skewed, and time constraints limit the scope for active, repetitive practice that behaviourism demands.

Time Constraints and Inconsistent Exposure

Teachers reported that the limited number of instructional hours per week restricted their ability to provide sufficient repetition and reinforcement, which are central to the behaviourist approach. Similarly, in Indian higher education, students may only have 2–3 sessions of English per week. This irregular exposure leads to inconsistency in language retention and weakens the effects of conditioning-based learning.

Language Policy and Medium of Instruction

In the Tanzanian context, the use of Kiswahili as the medium of instruction limited students' exposure to English, restricting their ability to internalize the language through behaviourist methods. A parallel can be drawn in India where regional languages dominate the medium of instruction in many state colleges, and English is taught only as a subject. The limited immersive environment poses a

challenge for behaviourist application, which relies heavily on language exposure and repetition in authentic contexts.

Teaching Materials and Technological Support

The lack of recorded audio units and language labs was cited as a hindrance to using the audio-lingual method, which is behaviourism-based. In India, although digital tools and language labs are increasingly promoted, many institutions, especially in rural areas, lack access to such resources. The absence of audio-visual aids and supportive technology restricts the use of behaviourist methods that require immediate stimuli and feedback.

Student Attendance and Monitoring

Student attendance was another key issue. Behaviourist theory emphasizes observing and reinforcing behaviour. Irregular attendance disrupts the learning curve, as teachers cannot consistently apply drills or track progress. In India, absenteeism due to part-time jobs, poor motivation, or socioeconomic constraints limits the continuity needed for successful behaviourist learning.

Lack of Autonomous Learning

Behaviourist theory heavily relies on teacher-directed instruction and feedback. However, students who practice outside class hours often lack the ability to identify or correct their mistakes. This is particularly relevant in Indian higher education, where students often depend heavily on rote learning and lack the meta-cognitive skills to self-monitor their language learning. Without adequate scaffolding, behaviourist strategies become ineffective beyond the classroom.

Challenges in Applying Behaviourist Methods.

The integration of Behaviourist Theory into English language pedagogy in Indian higher education faces multiple layered challenges, many of which echo the findings from comparable international contexts like Tanzania. Primarily, **learner-based challenges**—such as anxiety, shyness, lack of confidence, and fear of making mistakes—significantly hinder the effectiveness of behaviourist methods like repetition, imitation, and reinforcement. In the Indian classroom, where cultural norms often discourage vocal participation and error-making, these psychological barriers limit students' willingness to actively engage in drills or oral repetition, which are central to behaviourist instruction. Additionally, **biological constraints** such as speech disorders (e.g., stuttering or dysarthria) may further obstruct learners from accurately reproducing modeled language, reducing the efficacy of mimicry-based learning.

Moreover, **motivation levels among learners**—an essential factor in behaviourist learning—are often low, especially in non-urban or multilingual settings, where English is not used in daily life. The influence of **mother tongue interference** also plays a critical role; many Indian students, like their Tanzanian counterparts, exhibit phonological, lexical, and syntactic carryovers from their native languages into English, complicating the process of accurate mimicry and reinforcement.

On the **teacher's side**, a lack of professional training in applied linguistics and outdated pedagogical practices inhibit

the full deployment of behaviourist techniques in classroom settings. Teachers may understand the theoretical foundation of behaviourism but often struggle to implement it effectively due to **overcrowded classrooms**, **limited instructional time**, and **scarcity of audio-visual aids** that facilitate modeled repetition.

Lastly, **environmental and policy-related challenges**—such as rigid curriculum structures, limited exposure to English outside the classroom, and insufficient language labs—also restrict the immersive and reinforcement-rich environments that behaviourist theory demands. This complexity highlights that while behaviourism offers valuable tools for developing foundational language skills, its successful integration in Indian higher education requires a multifaceted approach that addresses psychological readiness, instructional resources, teacher training, and systemic reforms.

Environmental Challenges.

The effective integration of behaviourist theory into English language pedagogy faces substantial environmental challenges, particularly within the context of Indian higher education. One of the most prominent issues is **overcrowded classrooms**, where student numbers often exceed 70–100 per class. Such conditions significantly hinder the practical application of key behaviourist principles such as repetition, reinforcement, and immediate feedback. Techniques like oral drills, choral responses, and individual pronunciation correction become difficult when the teacher is unable to interact with each learner meaningfully within a limited time frame.

Another key environmental factor is the **rigidity of the curriculum and syllabus**. In many institutions, English language syllabi are packed with extensive content that must be covered within a tight schedule. This leaves little room for intensive practice or reinforcement activities central to behaviourist pedagogy. The result is a superficial understanding of language structures, with students often lacking the fluency and confidence that behaviourist strategies aim to build through habit formation.

The inadequacy of teaching and learning resources also poses a significant barrier. Behaviourist methods, especially the audio-lingual approach, require consistent access to audio materials, language labs, repetition exercises, and structured drill practices. However, many Indian institutions lack modern infrastructure and sufficient teaching aids such as audio recorders, supplementary English materials, and multimedia content. In such settings, the teacher often becomes the sole source of linguistic input, which restricts learners' opportunities for exposure and repetition—a cornerstone of behaviourist theory.

In addition, the **quality of the physical learning environment**—including classroom acoustics, seating arrangements, and access to basic tools like blackboards or smartboards—affects the delivery of effective English instruction. As highlighted by scholars like Hedge (2005) and Genesee et al. (2006), a positive, well-equipped, and supportive learning environment is essential for successful second language acquisition. Without this, both teachers and

students struggle to implement behaviourist methods effectively.

The **lack of institutional support for teacher training and development** in behaviourist techniques further compounds the issue. Even if resources are available, instructors must be proficient in using these tools to facilitate habit-formation and stimulus-response learning. Unfortunately, many educators in Indian higher education are not adequately trained in this theoretical framework and its practical applications.

Ultimately, these environmental challenges—spanning infrastructure, class size, curriculum demands, and limited resources—act as major deterrents to the effective integration of behaviourist theory in English language pedagogy. Addressing these issues is crucial if Indian higher education institutions aim to harness the full potential of behaviourism to develop students' linguistic competence and communication skills.

Challenges Faced by Teachers in Implementing Behaviourist Theory in English Language Pedagogy

In the context of Indian higher education, teachers encounter multiple challenges in effectively applying behaviourist theory to English language instruction. One of the most pressing issues is the **use of complex and unfamiliar vocabulary during classroom interactions**. When teachers use difficult or abstract words without providing sufficient context or scaffolding, students struggle to comprehend and repeat the language input. This inhibits the formation of linguistic habits, a central component of the behaviourist approach. As Gass and Selinker (2008) emphasize, exposure to *comprehensible input* is critical for successful language acquisition. Therefore, beginning with simple, familiar vocabulary and gradually increasing complexity is more conducive to behavioural reinforcement and language mastery.

A related challenge stems from **limited teacher training and professional development**. Many educators, particularly at the undergraduate or foundational level, lack adequate exposure to pedagogical frameworks such as behaviourism. According to Kambuga and Dadi (2015), despite mass student promotion rates, actual achievement levels remain weak. This reflects a gap in teaching effectiveness and indicates that teachers may not be equipped to apply behaviourist strategies—such as structured drills, repetition, reinforcement, and correction—within the classroom. In India, the situation is compounded by inconsistent training across institutions and a lack of regular upskilling programs aligned with current language teaching methodologies.

Moreover, **teachers often have insufficient knowledge of curriculum reforms and instructional best practices**. Behaviourist methods require systematic and repetitive engagement with language structures, pronunciation models, and error correction. However, without awareness and training in these specific methods, teachers struggle to implement them effectively. This results in passive learning environments where students do not receive timely feedback

or reinforcement for their responses, reducing the efficacy of habit formation—a cornerstone of behaviourist theory.

Another major obstacle is **limited instructional time and a rigid school schedule**. According to UNICEF (2016), students spend less time in formal learning settings than is necessary for mastering a second language. Consequently, the opportunity for behaviourist techniques like repeated exposure, regular correction, and reinforcement becomes highly constrained. In many Indian colleges, the English language class may be limited to a few hours per week, making it difficult for teachers to maintain continuity in drill practices or individualized attention.

Additionally, **teacher workload and student-teacher ratios** in many institutions prevent teachers from giving personalized corrective feedback—a key requirement of behaviourist instruction. With large class sizes, teachers are unable to monitor each student's pronunciation, performance, or progress. This undermines the repetition-feedback-reinforcement cycle necessary for behavioural learning.

In summary, teachers in Indian higher education face several interrelated challenges in applying behaviourist theory effectively: the use of incomprehensible input, insufficient training in behavioural pedagogy, lack of curriculum support, time constraints, and an overwhelming workload. Addressing these issues requires policy-level interventions, including continuous teacher training, curriculum realignment, reduced class sizes, and improved classroom infrastructure. Only then can the principles of behaviourism be meaningfully applied to enhance English language proficiency among Indian learners.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

While behaviourist theory continues to play a foundational role in English language pedagogy, particularly in fostering habit formation through repetition, reinforcement, and drilling, its application in Indian higher education faces several practical challenges. Despite its historical significance and pedagogical strengths, behaviourism alone is not sufficient to address the complex and evolving needs of 21st-century learners. English language acquisition today requires a more integrated approach—one that combines behaviourism with other learning theories such as constructivism, cognitivism, and communicative approaches to ensure holistic language development.

In India, many institutions encounter systemic limitations that hinder the effective implementation of behaviourist strategies. Overcrowded classrooms, inadequate teacher-student ratios, limited instructional time, and a scarcity of language-learning materials significantly restrict the possibility of individualized attention, continuous feedback, and active practice. Furthermore, many English educators in colleges lack specialized training in educational psychology or behaviourist methods, leading to gaps in practice and student engagement.

To overcome these barriers and enhance the role of behaviourism in English teaching, several strategic interventions are recommended:

- 1) **Teacher Recruitment and Workload Management:** Increasing the number of qualified English lecturers is essential to manage class sizes effectively. Smaller classes allow for better monitoring, more frequent corrections, and active participation—key components of behaviourist teaching.
- 2) **Continuous Professional Development:** Regular in-service training programs should be organized for English teachers, focusing on pedagogical theories—including behaviourism—and equipping them to handle the psychological and motivational needs of diverse learners.
- 3) **Curriculum Reforms:** There is a pressing need to revisit and revise English language syllabi in alignment with behaviourist principles. The curriculum should incorporate structured repetition, spaced practice, and assessment techniques that promote habit formation and language fluency.
- 4) **Improved Learning Resources:** Adequate teaching-learning materials—including audio-visual aids, language labs, digital content, and practice books—should be made available to all colleges. These resources are vital for reinforcing spoken language habits through imitation and auditory drills.
- 5) **Restructuring Timetables for Language Learning:** Institutional timetables should allocate sufficient and flexible time slots for English language learning. Introducing remedial sessions or extended hours specifically for English practice can help students internalize correct language usage.

7. Final Thought

Integrating behaviourist theory in English pedagogy is still relevant in today's educational landscape, especially in India, where many learners come from regional language backgrounds. However, its effectiveness is contingent on the educational ecosystem's readiness and the teacher's capacity to implement it strategically. A balanced approach that combines behaviourist practices with modern learner-centered strategies will pave the way for a more effective and inclusive English language education in Indian higher education institutions.

References

- [1] Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Verbal Behavior*. Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- [2] Skinner, B. F. (1976). *About Behaviorism*. Vintage Books.
- [3] Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (1986). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Estremera, M. L. (2023). Features of behaviorism in second language acquisition (SLA): An empirical excerpt from applied linguistics view. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Invention*, 10(6), 3844–3851.
- [5] Baulo, J. M., & Nabua, E. B. (2019). Behaviourism: Its implication to education. *ResearchGate*.
- [6] Sareen, S., & Mandal, S. (2023). Behaviourist-constructivist pedagogical design possibilities within the Community of Inquiry framework. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology*, 49(1), 1–18.
- [7] Mawlood Hussein, S. (2021). An introduction to behaviorism, innatism and interactionism theories of language acquisition. *Qalayan Journal*, 2(1), 1–10.
- [8] Widdowson, H. G. (2003). *Defining Issues in English Language Teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- [9] Schmitt, N. (1993). Researching vocabulary through a word knowledge framework. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 15(1), 28–41.
- [10] Neupane, N. (2019). Second language acquisition as a discipline: A historical perspective. *Journal of NELTA Gandaki*, 1(1), 20–31.
- [11] Devaki, V. (2021). Influence of behaviourist and cognitivist theories in adult language acquisition. *Elsya: Journal of English Language Studies*, 3(2), 120–129.
- [12] Agrawal, S. (2024). Psychological influences on English language acquisition in India: The role of anxiety and attitude. *GISRRJ*, 9(1), 150–159.
- [13] Chattaraj, D. (2015). De-coding English 'Language' Teaching in India. *Journal of English Language Teaching*, 57(4), 20–26.
- [14] Anitha, M. C. (2024). Challenges in English language teachings: Indian outlook. *International Journal of Science and Social Science Research*, 2(3), 138–140.
- [15] Singh, A., & Halim, H. (2023). Addressing challenges in language teaching in India: Exploring the role of corrective feedback in enhancing learning. *Advanced Education*, 22, 152–184.
- [16] Geetha, A. S., Ranjula Pushpa, T., Suhashini, M., & Vishnupriya, C. J. (2020). Behaviorism theory in teaching and learning English as a second language in the Indian Peninsula. *Proceedings of ICIRESM*.
- [17] Rajeshwari, R. (2020). Methods of English Language Teaching in India: From primary to higher level. *Journal of English Language Teaching (ELTAI)*.
- [18] Panicker, P. (2020). Exploring cultural challenges to implementing educational technology in the higher education sector in India. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.11020*.
- [19] Anon. (2023). Students & syllabi evolving, yet BEd teacher training lags behind. *The Times of India*.
- [20] Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Ministry of Education.
- [21] Government of India. (1966). *Report of the Education Commission (Kothari Commission)*. Ministry of Education.
- [22] Wikipedia contributors. (2023). English-medium education. In *Wikipedia*.
- [23] Wikipedia contributors. (2023). Macaulayism. In *Wikipedia*.
- [24] Wikipedia contributors. (2023). Teacher education. In *Wikipedia*.
- [25] NEP Implementation Report. (2025). *5 years on, Uttarakhand's NEP rollout falters on key reforms*. *The Times of India*.