International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN (Online): 2319-7064
Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2017): 7.296

A Classical Unification of Classical Mechanics with Quantum Mechanics: Why Photon Should Have an Intrinsic Mass

Prasenjit Debnath

PhD Student, NIT Agartala, India

Abstract: ***A very recently discovered new particle in the Large Hadron Collider at CERN, Geneva, with a mass of 125 GeV/C^2 is actually a Higgs particle of some type. The Higgs field or natural Higgs Particle gives rest masses to elementary particles that would otherwise travel at a speed of light (C). But it is only the energy of the particles which bends space-time and resultantly causes gravity. Even photons with no rest mass (assumed) will bend the space-time. All that Higgs does is forces these formerly mass-less particles to slow down significantly in comparison with the speed of light (C) to sub-light speeds or resist their acceleration. But Higgs does not give masses to all particles like photons, gluons gravitons, that is the reason why Higgs field is not called an Universal force while gravity is always a universal force (the term universal is not referring to the Universe but to the notion universality – of complete generality). In this paper, I will show how classical mechanics can be unified to the quantum mechanics with the help of force, energy, mass, gravity, and momentum. I will also show that why photons should have an intrinsic mass.***

Keywords: Large Hadron Collider, Higgs Particle, Speed of Light (C), Space-Time, Classical Mechanics and Quantum Mechanics

1. Introduction and the Theories

The first tutorial about gravity in school was basically Newton's laws [1, 2]. The force of gravity between two objects separated by a distance d with mass of one body M_1 and the mass of the other body M_2, has a strength proportional to the product M_1M_2 [3, 4]. If the force of gravity is F, then,

$$F \propto M_1M_2$$

$$F \propto \frac{1}{d^2}$$

$$F = G\frac{M_1M_2}{d^2}$$

G is the Gravitational Constant.

But it was true before Einstein. After Einstein [5, 6], it is turned out that Newton's Law need to be revised [7, 8]. The Einsteinian statement of the Law of gravity is two objects that are slow moving (their speed relative to one another is much less than C [9, 10], and have energy E_1 and E_2, the gravitational force between the two objects has a strength proportional to the product E_1E_2 [11, 12]. Are those two statements consistent [13, 14]? They are consistent because Einstein established a theory that relates energy E, momentum ρ and mass M (sometime called rest mass or simply mass by particle physicists) is [15, 16] –

$$E^2 = (\rho C)^2 + (MC^2)^2$$

For slow moving objects, $\rho = M\nu$ (where ν is the objects velocity) and $\rho C = M\nu C$ is much smaller than MC^2 [17, 18]. And therefore,

$$E^2 \approx (MC^2)^2$$

$$E = MC^2 \quad \text{---------- (1)}$$

This is only valid for slow moving objects.

2. The Unification of Classical Mechanics With Quantum Mechanics

According to the laws of Newton [19, 20], if the force of gravity between two objects is F, then,

$$F \propto M_1M_2$$

$$F \propto \frac{1}{d^2}$$

$$F = G\frac{M_1M_2}{d^2}$$

If both objects have the same mass $M_1 = M_2 = M$

$$F = G\frac{M^2}{d^2}$$

G is the Gravitational Constant.

If g is the gravitational acceleration due to force of gravity F, then,

$$g = G\frac{M}{d^2}$$

g is also called Gravitational Field Intensity.

From the Newton's second law of motion, if a body with mass M is under a force F will experience acceleration a [21, 22].

$$F = Ma$$

If a body with mass M is under a gravitational force F will experience a gravitational acceleration g [23, 24]

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

$$F = Mg \quad \text{---------- (2)}$$

Comparing equation (1) and (2), we get,

$$\frac{E}{F} = \frac{MC^2}{Mg}$$

$$\frac{E}{F} = \frac{C^2}{g} \quad \text{---------- (3)}$$

If a mass M travels a distance d against the force F, the energy gained by the mass is E with the following relationship,

$$E = Fd \quad \text{---------- (4)}$$

Putting equation (4) in equation (3), we get,

$$\frac{Fd}{F} = \frac{C^2}{g}$$

$$d = \frac{C^2}{g} \quad \text{---------- (5)}$$

$$d = \frac{K}{g} \quad (K = C^2)$$

Therefore, gravitational field intensity is inversely proportional to the distance between both bodies. If $d \to \infty$, then $g \to 0$. It proves that, for a very large distance, gravitational intensity approaches to zero. Thus, almost all the gravitational intensity is within the galaxy for astronomical bodies, and hardly any significant effect outside the galaxy.

$$d \propto \frac{1}{g}$$

Distance and gravitational field intensity have linear relationship and inversely proportional to each other.

$$dg = C^2 = K$$

The product of distance between two bodies and gravitational intensity is a Universal constant.

$$F = G\frac{M_1 M_2}{d^2}$$

If mass M_1 possess energy E_1 and mass M_2 possess energy E_2, then from equation (1) and (5), we get,

$$F = G\frac{(E_1/C^2)(E_2/C^2)}{(C^2/g)^2}$$

$$F = G\frac{E_1 E_2 g^2}{C^8}$$

$$F \propto g^2$$

For particular two bodies (slow moving), M_1 (or E_1) and M_2 (or E_2) are constants. Then, gravitational force and gravitational field intensity have non linear relationship but directly proportional to each other.

Putting equation (5), we get,

$$F \propto \frac{1}{d^2}$$

But, $E = Fd$, then,

$$\frac{E}{d} \propto \frac{1}{d^2}$$

$$E \propto \frac{1}{d}$$

The effect of energy on the other object and the distance between two objects having both masses M have linear relationship but inversely proportional to each other.

But, $F = G\frac{M_1 M_2}{d^2}$

$$\frac{E}{d} = G\frac{M_1 M_2}{d^2}$$

$$E = G\frac{M_1 M_2}{d}$$

But, $E = MC^2$

Then, If $M_1 = M_2 = M$,

$$MC^2 = G\frac{M^2}{d}$$

$$C^2 = G\frac{M}{d}$$

$$\frac{M}{d} \propto C^2$$

The ratio of mass of a body with separation between two bodies is always a constant. If mass increases, the separation will increase proportionally to maintain stability of both the bodies. Otherwise, it will be an unstable system.

But, $d = \frac{C^2}{g}$

$$C^2 = G\frac{Mg}{C^2}$$

$$Mg = G^{-1}C^4$$

But, $F = Mg$

$$Thus, F = G^{-1}C^4$$

The product of mass of a body with gravitational field intensity (gravitational force between two bodies) is always a constant for a stable system. Otherwise, the system will be unstable.

$$d = \frac{C^2}{g}$$

$$or, g = \frac{C^2}{d}$$

$$But, g = G\frac{M}{d^2}$$

$$or, d^2 = G\frac{M}{g}$$

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

$$or, d^2 = G\frac{M}{(C^2/d)}$$

$$or, d = G\frac{M}{C^2}$$

The distance between two objects having both fixed masses M is always a constant to be a stable system. Otherwise, the system will be unstable.

3. Why Photon Should Have An Intrinsic Mass

According to Einstein [25, 26],

$$E^2 = (\rho C)^2 + (MC^2)^2$$

For fast moving objects (photon), $\rho = M\nu$ (where ν is the objects velocity) and $\rho C = M\nu C = MC^2$, because $\nu = C$ and therefore,

$$E^2 = 2(MC^2)^2$$

$$E = \sqrt{2}MC^2$$

$$\frac{E}{M} = \sqrt{2}C^2$$

We know that photon have finite energy E. For finite energy E, mass of photon cannot go to zero.

Thus, $M \neq 0$ for photon. Therefore photons have an intrinsic mass too.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, I unified Einstein's laws of gravity with Newton's laws of gravity. In other words, I unified a classical unification of classical mechanics with quantum mechanics. Also I showed that gravitational field intensity is inversely proportional to the distance between both bodies. If $d \to \infty$, then $g \to 0$. It proves that, for a very large distance, gravitational intensity approaches to zero. Thus, almost all the gravitational intensity is within the galaxy for astronomical bodies, and hardly any significant effect outside the galaxy. Distance and gravitational field intensity have linear relationship and inversely proportional to each other. Gravitational force and gravitational field intensity have non linear relationship but directly proportional to each other. Energy and the distance between two objects having both masses M have linear relationship but inversely proportional to each other. For a stable system, the ratio of mass of a body with separation between two bodies is always a constant. If mass increases, the separation will increase proportionally to maintain stability of both the bodies. Otherwise, it will be an unstable system. The distance between two objects having both fixed masses M is always a constant to be a stable system. Otherwise, the system will be unstable. Lastly, I showed that photons have an intrinsic mass too.

5. Acknowledgment

I am cordially grateful to **Dr. Aparna Nath**, Associate Professor and my PhD Guide, The department of Physics, National Institute of Technology, Agartala, India, for the epitome of inspiration and motivation to write this particular paper with perfection and accuracy. Also I am thankful to The Department of Physics of National Institute of Technology Agartala (NIT Agartala) for proper conduct and coordination.

References

[1] https://profmattstrassler.com/2012/10/15/why-the-higgs-and-gravity-are-unrelated/
[2] http://www.astronomy.ohio-state.edu/
[3] http://www.curious.astro.cornell.edu/
[4] Barrow, John D., Tipler, Frank J. "The Anthropic Cosmological Principle", Oxford University Press, ISBN 978-19-282147-8, LCCN 87028148, 1988.
[5] Cirkovic, M.M. "On The First Anthropic Argument in Astrobiology", Earth, Moon, and Planets. 91 (4):243-254, doi:10.1023 /A:1026266630823, 2002.
[6] Cirkovic, M.M. "The Anthropic Principle and the Duration of Cosmological Past", Astronomical and Astrophysical Transactions. 23(6): 567-597, 2004.
[7] Roger Penrose, "Cycles of Time", Vintage Books, London, pp. 50-56.
[8] Stephen Hawking, "A Briefer History of Time", Bantam Books, London, pp. 1-49.
[9] Stephen Hawking, "Black holes and Baby Universes and other essays", Bantam Press, London 2013, ISBN 978-0-553-40663-4
[10] Stephen Hawking, "The Grand Design", Bantam Books, London 2011
[11] Stephen Hawking, "A Brief History of Time", Bantam Books, London 2011, pp. 156-157. ISBN-978-0-553-10953-5
[12] Stephen Hawking, "The Universe in a Nutshell", Bantam Press, London 2013, pp. 58-61, 63, 82-85, 90-94, 99, 196. ISBN 0-553-80202-X
[13] Stephen Hawking, "The Beginning of Time", A Lecture.
[14] Stephen Hawking, "Stephen Hawking's Universe: Strange Stuff Explained", PBS site on imaginary time.
[15] Gerald D. Mahan, "Many-Particle Physics", Third Edition, Springer, 2000
[16] Uno Ingard, K "Fundamental of Waves & oscillations", Cambridge University Press. P. 38, ISBN-0-521-33957-XOxford: The British Academy, 1999
[17] A. Zee, "Quantum Field Theory in a Nutshell", Princeton University Press, 2003
[18] Storrs McCall, "A Model of the Universe", Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1994
[19] Craig Callender, "Time, Reality and Experience", Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
[20] Craig Callender, "Thermodynamic Asymmetry in Time", The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2002 Edition)
[21] Storrs McCall, "A Model of the Universe", Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1994

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

[22] Robin Le Poidevin and Murray McBeath, "The Philosophy of Time" Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993
[23] Whitrow, G., "The Natural Philosophy of Time". Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1961. (2nd edn., 1980.)
[24] Smart, J. J. C., "Problems of Space and Time". London: Macmillan, 1964
[25] Stephen Hawking, "A stubbornly persistent illusion- The essential scientific works of Albert Einstein", Running Press Book Publishers, Philadelphia, London 2011.
[26] William L.Craig, "Time and the Metaphysics of Relativity", Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2001

Author Profile



Prasenjit Debnath, born in Agartala, Tripura, India on 15th of March 1979. I am pursuing a PhD degree in the Department Of Physics in National Institute of Technology Agartala (NIT Agartala), India.

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY