







**Hypothesis 1:** Given a resource identifier  $Ir$ , the routing in the virtual peer  $pp'$ , which is closer to the destination virtual peer  $pp$  in the clockwise direction at the identifier ring than the other virtual peer  $pp''$ , takes equal or less number of messages to reach  $pp$  than the routing in a peer  $pp''$ . We formalize the hypothesis as follows:

Let  $PATH(pp', pp, Ir)$  be the routing path from  $p'$  to  $p$  and  $PATH(pp'', pp, Ir)$  be the routing path from  $pp''$  to  $pp$ . Let  $Distance(pp', pp, Ir)$  be the number of hops of  $PATH(pp', pp, Ir)$  and  $Distance(pp'', pp, Ir)$  be the number of hops of  $PATH(pp'', pp, Ir)$ .

If  $pp'$  is closer to  $pp$  than  $pp''$  is close to  $pp$  in the clockwise direction, we have  
 $Distance(pp', pp, Ir) \leq Distance(pp'', pp, Ir)$

The hypothesis is correct if  $pp'$  and  $pp''$  are virtual peers that reside in the same physical node  $pp^*$  since our routing algorithm will search routing tables and successor sets in  $pp^*$  to find the same or closer next peer so that  $Distance(pp', pp, Ir) \leq Distance(pp'', pp, Ir)$ .

**Table 2:** Lookup Operation

```

0   Lookup (resource_category, resource_group,
resource_object_name, resource_properties) {
1 // Generate the resource identifier based on the resource
group
2 // and resource object name
3   Ir= GenerateResourceIdentifier (resource_category,
resource_group,
4 resource_object_name);
5    $(Ip', p') = \text{Routing}(Ir, Ip, p)$ ;
6    $(Ip'', p'') = (Ip, p)$ ;
7 // Find the node that stores the information about the
resource object.
8   While  $((Ip', p') \neq (Ip, p))$  {
9   Forward the lookup requests to node  $p'$ 
10  Continue the lookup operation in node  $p'$ 
11   $(Ip'', p'') = (Ip', p')$ ;
12   $(Ip', p') = \text{Routing}(Ir, Ip', p')$ ;
13 }
14 Now the node  $p'$  is the node that stores the information
about the resource object.
15 Query the resource database in the node  $p'$  to return the
records that satisfies resource properties including both
functional properties and non-functional properties.
16 }

```

## 5. Conclusion

Structured peer-to-peer systems are popular solutions for large scale distributed computing and query processing. We implement a scalable peer-to-peer based directory service called PeerDS, which is built on an improved distributed hashed table protocol. PeerDS supports both pull-based queries and push-based update multicasts to address dynamism, heterogeneity, complexity and scalability of information.

Heterogeneity among peers calls for peer virtualization to maintain a simple, yet powerful peer-to-peer overlay network. Nevertheless, peer virtualization generates a huge

number of virtual peers and causes the unnecessary communication overhead in the routing process. In this paper, we propose a new peer-to-peer routing algorithm that reduces the number of hops of message forwarding and improves the performance of routing.

We study the new and previous algorithms from the analytical perspective and through simulations. It shows that the average number of hops per query is improved by 15% to 25% in our algorithm. The load balancing scheme is based on multiple factors which could be optimized on cost, proximity, reputation and other factors. This scheme eliminates the need to periodically maintain metadata for load balancing. And it does not need a central pool available to maintain load information of overloaded peers and lightweight peers.

$$E = \sum_{p=1}^P \sum_{k=1}^K (\delta_{pk}^o)^2 \quad (1)$$

## References

- [1] M. Carey, S. Krishnamurthi and M. Livny, "Load Control for Locking: The 'Halfand- half' Approach", in the 9th Symp. On Principles of Database Systems (PODS), April 1990.
- [2] J. Chen, D. J. Dewitt, F. Tian and Y. Wang, "NiagaraCQ: A Scalable Continuous Query System for Internet Databases". In ACM SIGMOD, 2000.
- [3] P. K. Chrysanthis, "Transaction Processing in a Mobile Computing Environment", in IEEE workshop on Advances in Parallel and Distributed Systems, October 1993.
- [4] Dirckze, R. and L. Gruenwald, "A Pre-Serialization Transaction Management Technique for Mobile Multidatabases", ACM Mobile Networks and Applications, Volume 5, Number 4, December 2000, pp. 311-321.
- [5] Dirckze, R. and L. Gruenwald, "A Toggle Transaction Management Technique for Mobile Multidatabases", ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), November 1998, pp. 371-377.
- [6] M.H. Eich and A. Helal, "A Mobile Transaction Model that Captures Both Data and Movement Behavior", ACM-Baltzer Journal on Special Topics on Mobile Networks and App, 1997.
- [7] B. Gedik and L. Liu. "PeerCQ: A Decentralized and Self-Configuring Peer-to-Peer Information Monitoring System". ICDCS 2003.
- [8] G. Kortuem, J. Schneider, D. Preuit, T. Thompson, S. Fickas and Z. Segall. "When Peer-to-Peer comes Face-to-Face: Collaborative Peer-to-Peer Computing in Mobile Ad-hoc Networks," First International Conference on Peer-to-Peer Computing (P2P 2001), Linköping, Sweden, August, 2001, pp. 75-91.
- [9] S. K. Prasad, V. Madiseti, R. Sunderraman, et al. "System on Mobile Devices (SyD): Kernel Design and Implementation", in First International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services (MobiSys), Poster and Demo Presentation, May 5-8, 2003, San Francisco.
- [10] S. K. Prasad, A. G. Bourgeois, E. Dogdu, et al. "Enforcing Interdependencies and Executing Transactions Atomically Over Autonomous Mobile

- Data Stores Using SyD Link Technology”, in Mobile Wireless Network Workshop held in conjunction with The 23rd International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS), May 19-22, Providence, Rhode Island.
- [11] S. K. Prasad, A. G. Bourgeois, E. Dogdu, et al. “Implementation of a Calendar Application Based on SyD Coordination Links”, in the Third International Workshop on Internet Computing and E-Commerce in conjunction with the 17th Annual International Parallel & Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS), April 2003, Nice, France.
- [12] A. Rao, K. Lakshminarayanan, R. K. Sonesh Surana, and I. Stoica. “Load balancing in structured p2p systems.” In the 2nd International Workshop on Peer-to-Peer Systems (IPTPS), Feb. 2003.
- [13] S. Ratnasamy, P. Francis, M. Handley, et al., "A Scalable Content-Addressable Network." In SIGCOMM 2001.
- [14] A. Rowstron and P. Druschel, "Pastry: Scalable, distributed object location and routing for large-scale peer-to-peer systems". IFIP/ACM International Conference on Distributed Systems Platforms (Middleware), Heidelberg, Germany, pages 329-350, November 2001.
- [15] Ion Stoica, Robert Morris, David Karger, Frans Kaashoek, Hari Balakrishnan. "Chord: A Scalable Peer-To-Peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications." SIGCOMM 2001.
- [16] System on Devices (SyD): A Model with Coordination Links and a Calendar Application, Utility Patent Filed, 2002
- [17] W. Tang, L. Liu and C. Pu, “Trigger Grouping: A Scalable Approach to large Scale Information Monitoring”, In NCA 2003.
- [18] W. Xie, S. B. Navathe and S. K. Prasad, “PeerDS: a scalable directory service”, in submission.
- [19] W. Xie, S. B. Navathe and S. K. Prasad, “Optimizing Peer Virtualization and Load Balancing”, To appear in the 11th International Conference on Database Systems for Advanced Applications (DASFAA), Apr 2006.
- [20] W. Xie, S.B. Navathe and S. K. Prasad, “Supporting distributed transactions over dynamically partitioned networks”, in preparation.