

# Extended Bolzano Weierstrass Theorem

Dr. K. L. Kaushik

Associate Professor in Mathematics, Aggarwal College Ballabgarh, Faridabad, India

**Abstract:** In this paper we have proved the Extended Bolzano Weierstrass theorem i.e. "Let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an bounded sequence . Define the set  $G = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < a_n \text{ for infinitely many terms } a_n\}$  Then  $\exists$  a subsequence  $(a_{n_k})$  converges to a supremum of the set  $G$ ."

**Keywords:** Convergence, Bounded, supremum, Completeness

## 1. Introduction

We define the convergence of a sequence, bounded sequence and the supremum. Then in Theorem 2.1 we showed that " $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are three real sequences such that  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n \forall n$ . If  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  both converges to the same limit then  $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  also converges to the same limit" Then in Theorem 2.2 we showed that "Supremum of a bounded set is the least element of the set of upper bounds of that set".

Then Finally we proved the Extended Bolzano Weierstrass theorem in which we defined a set for each bounded sequence whose supremum is the limit of the subsequence of that sequence.

**Notation:**  $B(a, \epsilon)$  denotes an neighbourhood of a which is an open ball with centre  $a$  and radius  $\epsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . i.e.  

$$B(a, \epsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - a| < \epsilon\} \quad [1]$$

### 1.1 Convergence of Sequence

A Real sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be converges to a real number  $x$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a natural number  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|x_n - x| < \epsilon \quad n \geq m$$

OR

A Real sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be converges to a real number  $x$  if the sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is eventually in each neighbourhood of  $x$ . [3]

### 1.2 Bounded Sequence

A Sequence  $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is said to be bounded if there exist a real number  $M > 0$  such that

$$|x_n| \leq M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

### 1.3 Supremum (Least upper bound)

Let  $S$  be any non empty subset of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then a real number  $\alpha$  is said to be the supremum of the set  $S$  if

- $x \leq \alpha \quad \forall x \in S$
- For any  $\epsilon > 0 \exists$  an element  $x \in S$  such that  

$$\alpha - \epsilon < x$$

### 1.4 Least element of a set

Let  $S$  be any subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  then a real number  $\alpha$  is said to be a least element of the set  $S$  if

- $\alpha \leq x \quad \forall x \in S$
- $\alpha \in S$

### 1.5 Completeness Property

Every non empty bounded above subset of real numbers have a least upper bound (i.e. supremum).

### 1.6 Properties of order relation in $\mathbb{R}$

- Law of Trichotomy:- For any two numbers  $a, b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  only one of the following holds

$$a > b, a = b, a < b$$

- Transitive:- For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$a < b \text{ and } b < c \Rightarrow a < c$$

- Compatibility of order relation with addition :- For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$a < b \Rightarrow a + c < b + c$$

## 2. Related Theorems

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are three real sequences such that  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  both converges to the same limit then  $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  also converges to the same limit. [2]

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are any sequence of real numbers such that

$$a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n \quad \forall n$$

Suppose  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  converges to the same limit say  $\alpha$ .

Then for any  $\epsilon > 0 \exists$  natural number  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  such that  
 $|a_n - \alpha| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_1$

and

$$|c_n - \alpha| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_2$$

$$\Rightarrow -\epsilon < a_n - \alpha < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_1 \text{ and } \epsilon < c_n - \alpha < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_2 \quad - (1)$$

Now let  $N = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ . Then as

$$a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n \quad \forall n \Rightarrow a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n \quad \forall n \geq N$$

By the Compatibility of order relation with addition in R (1.4) we have

$$\Rightarrow a_n - \alpha \leq b_n - \alpha \leq c_n - \alpha \quad \forall n \geq N$$

Then by (1) we have

$$-\epsilon < a_n - \alpha \leq b_n - \alpha \leq c_n - \alpha < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N$$

$$\Rightarrow |b_n - \alpha| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N$$

$\therefore$  the sequence  $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  also converges to the same limit  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 2.2:** *Supremum of a bounded subset of Real numbers is the least element of the set of all the upper bound of that set*

*Proof.* Let S be any bounded subset of R Then By the Completeness property S have a least upper bound say  $\alpha$

Define a set

$$T = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : a \text{ is a upper bound of } S\}$$

By the definition of least upper bound, clearly  $\alpha$  is a upper bound of S

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \in T$$

Suppose if possible  $\alpha$  is not a lower bound of T

$\Rightarrow \exists a \in T$  such that

$$a < \alpha \quad \text{Let}$$

$$\epsilon = \alpha - a > 0,$$

Then by the definition  $\exists b \in S$  such that

$$\alpha - \epsilon < b \Rightarrow a < b$$

which contradicts that  $\alpha$  is a upper bound of S

$\Rightarrow \alpha$  is a lower bound of T

$\therefore \alpha$  is a least element of T

### 3. Results and Discussion

**Theorem 3.1.** {BolzanoWeierstrass theorem }

Let  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be any sequence of real numbers which is bounded . Then there exists a subsequence  $(a_{n_k})_{k=0}^{\infty}$  of this sequence which converges to a real number.

**Theorem 3.2.** {Extended Bolzano Weierstrass theorem }

Let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an bounded sequence . Define the set

$$G = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < a_n \text{ for infinitely many terms } a_n\}$$

Then  $\exists$  a subsequence  $(a_{n_k})$  converges to a supremum of the set G.

*Proof.* Since  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an bounded sequence.

$\therefore \exists$  a real  $M > 0$  such that

$$|a_n| \leq M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Clearly  $(-\infty, -M) \subseteq G \Rightarrow G \neq \emptyset$

Therefore G is an non empty bounded above subset of real numbers which is bounded above.

Hence By the completeness property of the set of real numbers we have the set G have a least upper bound let  $\text{Sup}(G) = \gamma$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \gamma < \gamma + \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall x \in G$$

i.e.  $\gamma + \frac{1}{k}$  is an upper bound of G which is strictly greater than  $\gamma$

Since the supremum of a subset of a real number is the least element of the set of all the upper bound of that set (Theorem 2.2)

$$\therefore \gamma + \frac{1}{k} \notin G \Rightarrow \gamma + \frac{1}{k} \in G^c$$

$\Rightarrow \gamma + \frac{1}{k} < a_n$  for atmost finitely many n

Therefore, For infinitely many natural numbers we have

$$\alpha + \frac{1}{k} \geq a_m \tag{1}$$

Now

For the same k,  $\alpha - \frac{1}{k}$  is not an upper bound of G.

Therefore there exist an element  $x \in G$  such that

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{k} < x$$

Since  $x \in G$  then by the definition of G for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{k} < x < a_n \tag{2}$$

i.e there are infinitely many terms of the sequence are strictly less than  $\alpha - \frac{1}{k}$  for each natural number k.

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Define

$$A_k = \{a_n : \alpha + \frac{1}{k} \geq a_n\}$$

$$B_k = \{a_n : \alpha - \frac{1}{k} < a_n\}$$

From (1) and (2) we have each  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  are infinite for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Define

$$n_k = \min\{m : a_m \in A_k \cap B_k\}$$

Then

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{k} < a_{n_k} \leq \alpha + \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{k} \rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\alpha + \frac{1}{k} \rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty$$

Then by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$a_{n_k} \rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty$$

i.e. there exist a subsequence  $(a_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of the bounded sequence  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  which converges to the least upper bound of G.

#### 4. Conclusion

We have extended the Bolzano Weierstrass theorem by defining a subset of real numbers  $G$  whose supremum always exists for any bounded sequence and also there exists a subsequence which converges to that supremum.

#### References

- [1] Stephen Abbott. *Understanding analysis*, volume 2. Springer, 2001.
- [2] Robert G Bartle and Donald R Sherbert. *Introduction to real analysis*, volume 2. Wiley New York, 2000.
- [3] Walter Rudin et al. *Principles of mathematical analysis*, volume 3. McGraw-hill New York, 1964.