Open Umbilical Hernia Repair versus Laparoscopic Hernia Repair

Viswanath Nallapaneni

Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Katuri Medical College, Katuri Nagar, Chinakondrupadu, Guntur, Guntur Dt, PIN: 522019, India

Abstract: An umbilical Hernia can occur in both men and women. It can occur at any age although it is often present at birth. Umbilical hernias are found in about 20% of new born, especially premature infants. Umbilical hernias are more common in m ale than in female infants; with regard to race, they are eight times more common in African Americans than in Caucasians (or) Hispanics. In adults the female to male ratio is 3:1. The repair used depends on the size of the hernia. The repair presents challenge even for the experienced surgeon because of high incidence of morbidity and recurrence. Laparoscopic umbilical hernia repair has grown in popularity since it was first reported in the early 1990s. Low recurrence, fewer complications and shorter hospital stay have led to believe that it sets the new standard for umbilical hernia repair. With the introduction of inert prosthetic material such as PTFE and dual sided meshes the laparoscopic repairs of ventral hernias have gained more momentum.

Keywords: umbilical Hernia, Laparoscopic umbilical hernia, Open umbilical hernia repair, Primary Closure, Mesh repair

1. Introduction

Embryology and Anatomy of the umbilicus

Embryologically, the fascial margins of the umbilical defect are formed by the third week of foetal life when the four folds of the somatopleurae tend to fold inward. An umbilical cord is produced in the fifth week. By the tenth week of embryonic life, abdominal contents return from their location outside the coelom into the developing abdominal cavity. The vitelline duct and the allantois regress by the fifteenth to sixteenth week. If any of these processes are defective, umbilical malformations occur. At birth, the umbilical arteries and the umbilical vein are thrombosed, and the vitelline duct and the allantois have already been obliterated. The umbilical ring then scars and contracts. The obliterated umbilical vein (round ligament) is usually attached to the inferior border of the umbilical ring along with remnants of the urachus and the two obliterated umbilical arteries. The round ligament, by crossing and partially covering the umbilical ring, may protect against herniation.

An umbilical Hernia can occur in both men and women. It can occur at any age although it is often present at birth. Umbilical hernias are found in about 20% of new born, especially premature infants. Umbilical hernias are more common in m ale than in female infants; with regard to race, they are eight times more common in African Americans than in Caucasians (or) Hispanics. In adults the female to male ratio is 3:1.

The pathophysiology of umbilical hernia in adults is disputed. It is generally believed that these hernias do not represent persistence from childhood but arise de novo in adult life. A retrospective review of adults with umbilical hernias found that only 10.9% recalled having hernias from child – hood. In a separate serried of 71 women and 82 men, it was noted that only two women had recurrence of their infantile umbilical hernias and this occurred during pregnancy. In both cases, the hernia resolved completely after delivery. None of the men followed developed a recurrence. While the infantile umbilical hernia is a direct hernia, umbilical hernias in adults are indirect herniations through an umbilical canal that is bordered by umbilical fascia posteriorly, the linea alba anteriorly, and the medial edges of the two reactus sheaths on each side. Therefore, theses hernias tend to incarcerate and strangulate, and do not resolve spontaneously. Askar suggests that they are really paraumbilical hernias that occur just above and laterally to the umbilicus. Their clinical behavior is certainly more akin to paraumbilical hernias. The incidence of incarceration of umbilical hernias in adults is 14 times than in children. In addition there is a high associated morbidity and moratility. There is a large sex difference with over 90% occurring in women, and almost all are obese and multiparous.

2. Aim & Objective

To study the outcome of

- 1) Open repair and Laparoscopic repair for umbilical hernias a comparative study.
- 2) Primary closure versus mesh repair.

3. Methods

These is a prospective type of comparative study conducted who underwent open anatomical and mesh repair and laparoscopic anatomical and mesh repair methods of umbilical Hernia repair. The patients included in this study were randomly selected from those who underwent open anatomical and mesh repair and laproscopic anatomical and mesh repair including elective and emergency procedures for complications. The relevant data of patients included in the study were collected recorded as follows, Name, age, sex, occupation, Nutritional Status, present history, size of complications, Post operative defect, period and complications were noted.

Materials used

Open Repair

Anatomical repair: No '1' prolene

Volume 9 Issue 7, July 2020 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

No "1" Ethilon

Mesh Repair: Poly propylene mesh

Laparoscopic Repair

Anatomical repair - No "1" Prolene , No "1" Ethilon Mesh Repair - ePTEE mesh Poly tetra fluro ethylene mesh

Case Selection

Type of Repair Defect Size Laproscopic repair < 3cm & 3cm Open Repair > 3cm Types of umbilical Hernia Repair

Conventional Repairs

Mayo's Repair Primary closure Prosthetic mesh Repair Onlay mesh Repairs Underlay mesh Repairs (River's stoppa wahtz) Inlay mesh repairs (Intraperitoneal river type repair)

Laproscopic repairs

Primary closure [Shoe lace technique] *Prosthetic mesh repairs* Intraperitoneal onlay mesh repair with defect closure.

4. Results



Gender	No of patients	Percentage
Male	16	32%
Female	34	68%



Table 2:	Age	wise	distribution	of the o	cases
I able 2.	лgu	wise	uisuibuiloii	or the t	lases

Age in years	No. of patients	Percentage
13-20	1	2%
21-30	13	26%
31-40	9	18%
41-50	9	18%
51-60	9	18%
>60 yrs	9	18%
Total	50	100%

Age distribution of patients



Table 3: Type of hernia among patients

	~ ~
Umbilical	14
Paraumbilical	
Supraumbilical	29
Infraumbilical	7
Total	50

Type of hernia among patients



Table 4: Post operative complications

Complications	Open repair		Laproscopic repair	
Complications	Anatomical	Mesh	Anatomical	Mesh
Wound infection	1	5	Nil	Nil
Seroma formation	1	1	1	Nil
Pain	1	2	Nil	Nil
Recurrence	2	Nil	1	Nil

Post operative complication rate in GRH among 50 pts.

Method	Recurrence %	Other complications %
Open repair		
a. Anatomical repair [11]	18%	27%
b. Mesh repair [18]	Nil	44%
Laparoscopic repair		
a. Anatomical repair [10]	10%	10%
b. Mesh repair [10]	Nil	Nil

Table 5				
Availability of facilities and	Open repair	Lap. Repair		
expertise	More number	Less number		
Effectivity	Equal	Equal		
Feasible	Equal	Equal		
Safe	Equal	Equal		
No. of hospital stay days	More	Less		
Postoperative complication	More	Less		
Cosmetic & functional results	Good	Excellent		
Cost effectiveness	More	Less		

5. Discussion

In our study we selected 50 patients.30 of them subjected to open repairs. Among them 11 of them underwent anatomical

Volume 9 Issue 7, July 2020

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

repair and 18 of them underwent open mesh repair. 20 patients were selected for laproscopic repair. 10 of them underwent primary closure. 10 of them underwent primary closure with mesh repair. The laproscopic approach to umbilical hernia has shown to be safe and effective. The benefits of laparoscopy includes;

- Reduction in postoperative pain no cases complained of pain to
- 3 cases in open repair.
- Shorter length of stay 3 days compared to 9 14 days.
- Seroma formation one case compared to 2 cases.
- Wound infection no cases compared to 6 cases.
- Decreased morbidity due to early bowel movements.
- Improvements in recurrence rates 10% as compared to 18% with the open procedure.
- The comesis is good.

Voeller et al. presented 407 laparoscopic ventral/incision Repairs The patients were large, with a mean body mass index of 32 kg2, and 90% had previous abdominal surgery, with 136 of the hernias being recurrent. The average hernia size was 100 cm'. Length of stay was short, with few serious complications and no mortality. The mean follow-up has been approximately 2 years, with a range 0f up to 5 years. There were six bowel injuries and four mesh infections. The 14 recurrences (3.4%) compares favorably to the 10% to 36% described in the literature for open ventral/incisional hernia repair. The majority of recurrences were from mesh removal due to infection.

The laparoscopic technique described above has been used to repair lumbar hernias as well as parastomal hernias as described via an incision by Sugar baker. The high coronary artery bypass graft ("CABG') epigastric hernia and the low juxtapubic bone hernia can present many challenging aspects laparoscopically. The mesh in the low hernia must be sutured to Cooper's ligament, and in the high epigastric hernia sutured to any available tissues around the sternum and ribs. The author has laparoscopically reoperated upon several patients who have had a prior laparoscopic ventral/incisional hernia repair and found any adhesions to be filmy and readily taken down when PTFE mesh, especially the dual-sided mesh from W. L. Gore, is used. There is a "pseudoperitoneum" covering the mesh, and if one dissects between this and the mesh the adhesions are quickly lysed much more readily than the dense adhesions seen with polypropylene mesh. Thus, laparoscopic repair of ventral/incisional hernias now appears to be a very safe technique that can give a very low recurrence rate. It is absolutely essential that suture fixation of the prosthesis be a part of the procedure to continue to yield low recurrence rates. A long-term follow-up will certainly be necessary to further evaluate the procedure.

Complications

	No	Percent
Prolonged ileus	9	2.21
Seroma (>6 wk)	8	1.97
Suture pain (>8 wk)	8	1.97
Intestinal injury	6	1.47
Mesh cellulitis	5	1.23
Haematoma/bleeding	4	0.98

Trocar cellulitis	3	0.75
Urinary retention	3	0.75
Fever of unknown origin	3	0.75
Respiratory distress	2	0.49
Intraabdominal abscess	1	0.25
Trocar site hernia	1	0.25

In one of the largest series of laproscopic hernia repairs, Heinford et al has reported a low rate of conversion, Shorter hospital stay and low risk for recurrence. In an analysis of 850 patients who underwent laparoscopic ventral including umbilical hernia repairs over 9 years the following results were published: Mean operating time was 120 min, mean estimated blood loss was 49 and hospital stay averaged 2-3 days. There were 128 complications in 112 patients (13.2%). The most common complications were ileus (3%) and prolonged seroma 2.6%. During a mean follow up time of 20.2 months the hernia recurrence rate was 4.7%. The recurrence was found in larger hernias, longer operating times, previous hernia repairs and higher complication rates. Patients who were morbidly obese (BMI >40), also had recurrences. A series of comparative trials have shown persistent benefits in terms of shorter hospital stay, decreased infection and recurrence rates compared to open repairs. In review of comparison of lap and open ventral hernia studies reported higher complication rates and longer hospital stay in the open group. The conclusion from these studies was that laparoscopic hernia is as effective and safe as open mesh repair in terms of recurrence.

Causes of recurrence

- Transfascial sutures not employed
- Use of smaller sized meshes
- Ineffective anchoring of mesh
- Steep learning curve
- Size of the defect
- Obesity
- Diabetes mellitus
- Chronic cough
- Multiparity are considered as risk factors for recurrence.

In a study by Hesselink et al hernias smaller than 4 cm, had a significantly lower recurrence rate 25% than larger hernias 41%.Careful dissection, minimal bowel handling, proper fixation with either sutures (or) anchors and selection of ideal cases will reduce rates considerably.

6. Conclusion

Laparoscopic mesh repair produce low recurrence rate with less morbidity. The evidence available at present suggests that laparoscopic repair is feasible, safe although experience with the new meshes is still limited and less cost effective. With the existing data, it will be prudent to recommend laparoscopic repair as the first line treatment for umbilical hernia where the facilities and expertise are available, where it is not, open mesh repair remains a suitable alternative. As laparoscopic skills improve, it is likely that laparoscopic repair will be more widely performed in future. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

References

- [1] Heniford BT, park A, Ramshaw BJ, Voeller G, Laproscopic repair of ventral hernias: nine years' experience with 850 consecutive hernias. Ann Surg 2003; 238:391-9; discussion 399-400.
- [2] Hesselink VJ, Luijendijk RW, de Wilt JH, Heide R, Jeekal J, An evaluation of risk factors in incisional hernia recurrence. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1993; 176" 228-34.
- [3] Luijendijk RW, Hop WC, Van den Tol MP, de Lange DC, Braaksma MM, JNIJ, Boelhouwer RU, de Vries BC, Sam MK, Wereldsma JC, Bruijninckx CM, Jeekel J. A comparison of suture repair with mesh repair for incisional hernia N EngI .1 Med 2000;343: 392-8.
- [4] White TJ,santos MC, Thompson JS. Factors affecting wound complications in repair of ventral hernias. Am Sung 1998; 64:276-80
- [5] Leber GE, Garb JL, Alexander Al, Reed WP. Long term complications associated with prosthetic repair of incisional hernias. Arch Surg 1998; 133: 378-82.
- [6] LeBlanc KA, Booth WV. Laproscopic repair to incisional abdominal hernias using expanded polytetrafluoroethy-lene: preliminary findings. Surg Laparose Endose 1993; 3: 39-41.
- [7] Lanzafame RJ. Laproscopic cholecystectomy combined with ventral hernia repair. J Laparoendosc Surg 1994;4: 287.
- [8] DeMaria El, Moss JM, Sugerman HJ. Laproscopic intraperitoneal polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) prosthetic patch repair of ventral hernia. Prospective comparison to open prefascial polypropylene mesh repair. Surg Endosc 2000; 14:326-9.
- [9] Farralcha M. Laproscopic treatment of ventral hernia. A bilayer repair, surg Endosc 200;14: 1 156-8.
- [10] Heniford BT, Park A, Ramshaw BJ, Voeller U. Laproscopic ventral and incisional hernia repair in 407 patients. JAm Coil Surg 2000;190:645-50.
- [11] Goodney PP. Birkmeyer CM, Birkmeyer JD. Shortterm outcomes of laproscopic and open ventral hernia repair: a meta-analysis. Arch Surg 2002; 137:1161-5.
- [12] Liberman MA, Rosenthal RJ, Philips EH. Laproscopic ventral and incisional hernia repair: a simplified method of mesh placement. 3 Am Coll Surg 2002;194:93-5.
- [13] Thoman DS, Philips EH. Current status of LAPROSCOPIC ventral hernia repair. Surg Endosc 2002; 16:939-42.
- [14] Larson GM. Ventral hernia repair by the Laproscopic ventral hernia repair. Surg Endosc 2002;16:939-42.
- [15] Robbins SB, Pofahi WE, Gonzalez RP. Laproscopic ventral hernia reduces wound complications. Am Surg 2001;67:896-900.
- [16] Araki Y, Ishibashi N, Kanazawa M, Kishikoto Y,Matono K, Sasatomi T, Ogata Y, Shirouzu K. Laproscopic iritraperitoneal.
- [17] Amid PK, Classification of biomaterials and their related complications in abdominal wall hernia surgery. Hernia1997; 1:15.
- [18] Bendavid R. The unified theory of hernia formation. Hernia 2004; 8:171.
- [19] DeBord JR. The historical development prosthetic in hernia surgery, Surg Clin North Am 1998; 78(6)973.

- [20] Fitzgiboons RJ, Greenburg AG, eds. Nylus Condon's hernia, 5th ed. Philadelphia Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 2002.
- [21] Schumpelick V. Nyhus LM, eds. Meshes benefits and risks. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [22] Amid P. Polypropylene prosthetics. In: Bendavid R, ed. Abdominal wall hernias. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Verlag, 2001 : 272.
- [23] Anthony T, Bergen P, Kim L, et al. Factors affecting recurrence following incisional herniorrhaphy. World J. Surg 200; 24 (1) :95.
- [24] DeVries Rilingh TS, Van Goor H, et al. Components separation technique for the repair of large abdominal wall hernias. J.Am Coil Surg. 2003; 196(1) :32.
- [25] Flament TB, Palot JP, Burde A, et al. Treatment of major incisional hernias. In : Bendavid R, ed. Abdominal wall hernias. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer — verlag, 2001: 508.
- [26] Franklin Jr ME, Gonzalez Jr JJ, Michaelson RP, et al. Preliminary experience with a new bioactive prosthetic material for repair of hernias in infected fields. Hernias 2002 6: 171.
- [27] Gillion SF, Begin OF, Marecos C, et al Expanded ePTFE patches used in the intraperitoneal extraperitoneal position for repair of the incisional hernias of the anterolateral abdominal wall. Am J Surg 1997; 174: 16.
- [28] Helton WS, Fisichella, P, Berger R Ct al. Short term outcomes with small intestinal submucosa for ventral abdominal hernia. Arch Surg. 2005;140:549.
- [29] Klinge U, Kiosterhalen B, Muller M et al, Foreign body reaction to mesh used for the repair of abdominal wall hernia. Eur J Surg. 1999; 165:665.
- [30] Law N. Expand polytetrafluro ethylene In: Bendavid R.ed. Abdominal wall hernia Berlin: Verlag-Verlag,2001 :279.
- [31] Ramirez OM, Girotto JA, Closure of chronic abdominal wall defects: the components separation technique. In: Bendavid R, ed Abdominal wall hernias, Berlin Heidelberg Springer-Verlag, 2001:487.
- [32] Recent advances in incisional hernia treatment, Hernia 2000 :4.
- [33] Soler M. Verhaeghe PJ, Stoppa R. Polyester (Dacron R) mesh. In Bendavid R, ed. Abdominal wall hernias. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Verlag, 2001:266.
- [34] Van't Riet M, Steyerberg EW, et al. Metaanalysis of techniques for closure of midline abdominal incisions. Br J Surg2002;89: 1350.
- [35] Voeller GR. New developments in hernia repair. In Szabo Z,ed. Surgical technology international XI. San Francisco CA : Universal Medical Press, 2003 : 111.
- [36] Welty G. Klinge U, Kiosterhalfen, B, et at, Functional impairment and complaints following incisional hernia repair hernia repair with different polypropylene meshes. Hernia 2001 ;5: 142.

Author Profile

Viswanath Nallapaneni, Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Katuri Medical College, Katurinagar, Chinakondrupadu, Guntur, Guntur Dt, PIN: 522019.

Volume 9 Issue 7, July 2020

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY