ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

Shaking Science's Foundations - Walter Russell Won Approval 90 Years Ago

Ilija Lakicevic

Marsla Tita 91/12, 21460 Vrbas, Serbia

Abstract: Walter Russell is the most important incarnation all times because he brought knowledge and won approval for his concepts 90 years ago. This knowledge is still hidden and forbidden except for the top secret laboratories of the Elite. Bringing this knowledge to the public is of utmost importance because Only Knowledge Can Make People Free!

Keywords: Walter Russell, Hatonn, knowledge, science

1. Introduction

As can be proven by ones who had opportunity to experience with Walter Russell, we are experiencing an identical sequence herein - where Truth and Insight are being brought forth from the Source THROUGH Man. Ones who have failed to find their messages in other works - MUST be given opportunity to see, hear and respond to purpose. This means that the expression must be given forth in many places, yet there is only ONE Truth. Walter Russell was a most important person in experience upon our place but unfortunately, as with Tesla, Rife, etc., there is great need to discount his work and worse, make every effort to erase his presence from view.

Walter was also unaware of this Cosmic Consciousness until a great event in the year of 1921 when a great flash of light severed his consciousness from the seat of sensation of his body. For almost forty days and nights he was wholly MIND, being aware of his body, and making use of it, but as though it was quite apart from him. This is a magnificent experience for any who comes into, within and through this type of awakening and KNOWING [1-4].

Quote from [5]:

"The CONTACT AND The Phoenix Institute for Research & Education have invested heavily into researching and ferreting out the EXACT liabilities of utilizing any works of one, Walter Russell, who did indeed present the most understandable concept of this Truth--in conjunction with the work of Nikola Tesla. Ones here, as with you readers, cannot understand the thrust for silencing our work nor can any understanding be brought to the actions as to "competition" accusations. There is not even similarity in any measure with this place and/or operations and ANYTHING done by said Russell Foundation.

The Russell Foundation, in fact, is not even longer called by Dr. Russell's name and more emphasis is given to his second wife, Lao, than to Walter. This in itself is indeed most strange if it be Dr. Russell's truths which are intended to be gifted unto the people--even at price. Our greatest desire is to give honor to this great man of history and to any place that would offer his work to mankind. However, I shall not allow my penman to go to Federal Prison because of my own need to bring Truth to you-thepeople. Therefore we

shall set the work in point totally aside and approach the SUBJECT from different resource. When I made this statement in the CONTACT prior to this, the interpretations were that we would go forth and print the SAME works (banned) only in different business stream. SCIENTIFIC TRUTH is universal and this needs settlement in Truth and not in funds--however. George Green saw fit to make his own settlement with the opposing parties--at the full expense and focus of the Ekkers and now, does in fact, represent the very organization in point.

So, in the threat of ongoing imprisonment--we shall approach the subject through the work of foreign receivers who DO OFFER, PLEAD FOR PRESS AND SHARE EVERYTHING THEY HAVE. I say "they" because more than one shared in the receiving and more than one being offered the information.

All diagrams and pictorials in any of these writings will be from that resource as the error most obvious in the Dr. Russell honoring documents were the major source of irritation, it seems, and somehow "proved" our intent to damage another "business entity". Mr. Green reinforced that intent by turning-coat and, as the publisher, offered the WRONG information in order to save his bank account and retain the hundreds of thousands of dollars in gold he had taken unlawfully. He is now efforting to require that his newly formed alliance will cause the Judge to require that "we" or CONTACT be required by order to cease mentioning his name. How can this be? There are cases running counter to Mr. Green by the Institute, the CONTACT and other parties related to the work here-that have NOTHING to do with Hatonn or the Ekkers."

End of quote.

My humble contribution to the great Walter's work is experimental verification of the true cell, atom and particle "Aton" concept [6] and true concepts, laws and equations of creation [7].

I am sincerely thankful to my great brother Walter who allowed me his shoulders to stand on and see a little further.

2. Walter Russell won approval 90 years ago

H stands for Hatonn – masculine aspect of God Aton.

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

Quote (copy/paste) from [5]: "CHAPTER 10

REC #3 HATONN

FRI., JULY 23, 1993 2:16 P.M. YEAR 6, DAY 341

FRI., JULY 23, 1993

What is Luminon?

It is questions of this nature which cause me to have valid evidence on MY SIDE that you NEED the information given in the <u>PLEIADES CONNECTION</u> series and the scientific work we presented from Dr. Walter Russell and which is still in litigation to the point that my scribe would stop her work even if mankind NEVER got the needed information to reclaim his world.

You have to understand that "ordinary science" does not accept Dr. Russell's work-- except in the TOP SECRET LABORATORIES OF THE ELITE.

One of the most important things given to Russell "by God" was a perfecting of the Mendeleef tables of elements.

First you must realize that the "elements" are arranged in "OCTAVES" which denote frequency (character), etc., of the specific element. In other words, the basis of all "octaves" will be the "keynote" of rest from which the octave springs to express the idea which lies with the magnetic stillness of that keynote. For instance, the fulcrum of the wave of musical octaves is its keynote from which all tonal changes in the octave are mathematically calculated in wave frequencies and volume. That keynote is always in one's consciousness whether or not that note is being sounded. In other words, again, it is the balance of its octave.

I do not intend to get into this more deeply at this writing for I simply ask you "What is luminon?" So, you don't know?

Luminon!

Luminon is no more important than any other element but since I am going to offer a news item on the "thing" then you need to know what it is, for goodness sakes. Further, however, I cannot tell you what is luminon without referring to the work of Dr. Russell at which point I risk court contempt charges against Dharma. This is a totally unacceptable situation in which to find yourself as clerk for a professional scientist. So you will simply have to accept my word that "luminon" is an element which is found at the -2 1/2 level in the Fourth Octave with a fulcrum balance point of helium as its inert gas. You must understand that the "Mendeleef tables" NEVER HAD ALL THE ELEMENTS LISTED FOR THEY WERE NOT ALL KNOWN AT THE TIME.

I would have this to offer my secretary, however, as she feels lost and helplessly caught in between dimensions and arguments--think how Russell felt constantly, as did Tesla who was considered more "nuts" than reasonable. The interesting thing is that these ones get labeled "eccentric" but

Dharma gets labeled a plagiarist in contempt of court. Since I am barred from giving Russell's work--I can only offer what was printed about him at the time of his sojourn there. Unfortunately, some of the clippings, all (I believe) of which come from the NEW YORK TIMES, have no dates as we only have "copies" of the papers in point and date-headings are not present in most cases but the sender (Dave) has dated the publications for us.

This first article was published July 29, 1923:

HE'D MAKE FORD RICH AND WOMEN PRETTY

New York Artist Wants manufacturer to Turn His Chemists Loose on "Luminon".

GLOWS LIKE A SUNSET

"New Element": Would Do a Lot of Other Things if Developed, Says Discoverer.

The discovery of a new principle which should enable scientists to find an entirely new element, "luminon," is claimed by Walter Russell, real estate operator and artist, 366 Madison Avenue, in an open letter to Henry Ford, made public yesterday. [H: Doesn't sound to me like Mr. Russell was trying to hide this information!] Mr. Russell advised the automobile manufacturer to put his chemists to work to find "luminon," which he says exists in coal and copper and which he suggests should "yield not only a vast fortune for you but give immortality to those of your chemists who find it."

The letter of Mr. Russell in part follows:

"This new element should be abundantly found locked up in coal or copper ore, or wherever zinc, gallium, arsenic, tin, antimony, lead, bismuth and any phosphoric or nitrogenous compounds are found. In luminon lies the solution of the cold light problem which shall be the light of the future. [H: A-hum-n-n, BUT OUR BOOKS AND EXPLANATIONS ARE BANNED!] The cold light magnetically radiated by means of luminon will make women very beautiful to look upon because of the absence of red and orange rays. Its color will be delightfully amber green, that marvelous saffron glow which follows the setting sun, just that color which all women realize enhances their beauty without the artificial touch.

"The principle involved is an unknown one and involves a high knowledge of the causes of electricity and magnetism beyond that of even the great experts of today. Therefore I will not confuse this letter by attempting to explain it here even simply.

"The principle is thoroughly familiar to me, and when I make it known it will open up chemical and electrical possibilities heretofore undreamed of. Especially will it simplify long distance communication and lengthen wireless range. [H: Still doesn't sound like he is trying to hide his work from all of you--or even this secretary!]

"This may seem like an amazing statement for a man to make who is professionally known as an artist and who is not even known in science. I am ready, however, **to make**

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

good on still more amazing statements than this.

Present "Cold Light" Attempts Futile

"Attempts now being made to produce 'cold light' will fail commercially because they are based on absolutely wrong electro-magnetic principles and the effects produced are deathly and hideous. Cold light produced this way transforms the rosy flesh of a woman into that of a corpse in appearance.

"The new element I shall describe is one of six for which seats await at the family table of the elements between hydrogen and helium. Three of these six elements should be found abundantly in coal, also one other, a new inert gas, which I have named 'hydron' because it immediately precedes hydrogen in the table of the elements having an atomic weight of approximately .9, while the hydrogen atom is given as 1.008.

"In all there are 131 to 133 distinct and separate elements, all of which I have charted and found a place for at the family table. Twenty-five are beyond hydrogen, six follow hydrogen and nine are beyond uranium.

"I am not only ready to prove the existence of all these but also to demonstrate conclusively that there can be no more, for the last one, 'omeganon', closes the door to the cycle which has its beginning at absolute zero and in 'alphanon' and ending in absolute equilibrium in 'omeganon'.

"To prophesy the existence of an undiscovered element seems strange to the uninitiated, but to the chemist or physicist the evidences of their existence are often as clear as the footprints of animals are to the hunter and woodsman.

"Because hydrogen is the only element which has no alpha particle nuclei it is not considered a 'regular feller' among the elements and the six empty seats have aroused not much concern.

Where Luminon Really Belongs

"Luminon' will take her seat four places to the right of hydrogen at the table, with three intervening. This will bring her in the nitrogen group, hydrogen series, hydron octave. (Note: I name each octave series by the inert gas which precedes it.) [H: This will have "helium" as its fulcrum keynote.] It will be strongly electro-negative. Its atomic weight will approximate 2.90. Its atomic volume will be about one-fourth the hydrogen atom. Its conductivity will be very high.

"You may justly ask what footprints or other indications lead me to believe in the presence of these particular animals in the forest, and more particularly 'luminon'. If a hunter sees rabbit holes and bear tracks near a heap of feathers and bones he will not attribute all three to the rabbit. He will be certain of the presence of three distinct animals whose separate characteristics he is perfectly familiar with.

"The facts revealed to me by the 'footprints of luminon' in spectrum lines taught me the secret of the fire-fly, for the ability of the fire-fly or any other light-giving animal is due solely to their ability **to store this gas within themselves** and excite its luminosity at will.

Takes Issue with Modernists

"The electro-magnetic process which they use will be made clear when I make known my discovery of causes of positive and negative electricity and magnetism, also when I take issue with the modernists on their electro-magnetic theory of light as being waves in the ether.

"I shall then show that Newton was right in saying that light is corpuscular. I shall then show that **ether waves are non-existent because the ether, as understood, is nonexistent.**"

Mr. Russell says it is quite possible to make a complete chart of the trail of "luminon" because "in helium it fairly sings its presence in the green line of wave length 4922.1 which is about 83 on Bunson's chart," and that there are evidences of it in one of the "triply green lines of neon". [H: Here I have an example and question to ask of the learned lawyers and judges. Mr. Russell utilized Bunson's chart (this is also the man after which the Bunson 'burner' was labeled). Because Walter used this information and printed it, using Mr. Bunson's chart--does this MAKE WALTER RUSSELL A PLAGIARIST? Well, the court deemed Dharma a plagiarist because she offered the charts and diagrams of Mr. Russell in science ONLY. Would this not seem "unfair"? Even the study course available was such that it had no valid copyright and from that ONLY scientific information was offered. No information from the theories of metaphysics as offered by Lao were utilized AT ALL and that was specifically stated in the documents themselves. So be your judicial system and integrity of modern man.]

The real estate operator warns Mr. Ford that the latter's chemists in looking for the new element "undoubtedly will confuse it with nitrogen because its strongest character lines in the green have been mistaken for nitrogen."

Mr. Russell says that "luminon" will show weakly in the red or orange and strongly in the blue and ultra-violet, and ends his letter by telling Mr. Ford:

"If I were not engaged in a work of more immediate need for civilization than this I personally would search for 'luminon'."

* * *

Does this not sound as if Mr. Russell was working on things to GIVE TO MANKIND? "If I were not engaged in a work of more immediate need for civilization than this I personally " I'm sorry. Dharma, I can give you no explanation as to the incredible hashing along your journey.

NEW YORK TIMES, JULY 21, 1930 (63 years ago day prior to yesterday)

Artist Challenges Newtonian Theory

Head of Society of Arts and Sciences Writing Book Revising Other Scientific Principles.

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

Present Ideas "Primitive"

Walter Russell Promises to Disprove in Series of Pamphlets Many Accepted Beliefs.

Walter Russell, artist and president of the Society of Arts and Sciences, announced yesterday the publication by him at his own expense of the first of a series of sixteen pamphlets to embody his speculations about the universe and the constitution of matter, which, he says, differ radically from many commonly accepted scientific beliefs. He further announced that he intends to send the first pamphlet, dealing with the "cyclic theory of continuous motion, or the Russell genero-radiative concept," to "leading scientists throughout the world". [H: Still doesn't sound as if he doesn't want his work spread around liberally.]

According to Mr. Russell, "The fundamentals of science are so hopelessly wrong and so contrary to nature that nothing but a major surgical operation upon the present primitive beliefs can ever put them in line for a workable cosmogenic synthesis."

Newton's mathematically proved principle that if the orbital motions of the planets were stopped they would fall into the sun is among those challenged by Mr. Russell, who claims that an experiment conducted by him with a "miniature model of the solar system" convinces him that no such thing would happen.

Mr. Russell denies the universally accepted law that "like charges repel and opposite charges attract each other: "If this were true," he asks, "why is it that positive and negative poles of a bar magnet are at its opposite ends, as far as they can get away from each other, instead of being together in the middle, as they should be logically if the law were true?"

Mr. Russell launches into further speculations which he promises to "prove" in his succeeding fifteen pamphlets. "Many new metals," he writes, "of great value to industry can be secured by understanding nature's simple but hitherto unknown principles. An ocean steamship like the Leviathan could produce her own fuel at negligible cost from sea water in a machine no larger than a newspaper printing press. Carbon metal could be developed with a tensile strength double that of Bessemer steel. Silicon steel will become an ideal structural steel, with more than double its present strength, and very much cheaper, because of the unlimited supply of sand, than iron ore." [H: Ooops! Looks like we are getting there doesn't it? And what would this do to the big industrial corporations dealing in all the nasty other stuff? Now, I think we may be reaching into the can of worms! You-the-people are not SUPPOSED TO HAVE ANYTHING, REMEMBER?!]

Several years ago Mr. Russell figured in the news by writing to Henry Ford suggesting that he put his chemists to work isolating "luminon" from coal, a substance that, Russell said, "would make Ford rich and women beautiful." In 1926, he printed privately "The Universal One", embodying his present theories, which scientists looked upon with disfavor. [H: Ah, indeed, but WE referred to that original uncopyrighted MANUSCRIPT and it is deemed plagiarism and copyright infringement--by a group

formed long AFTER the presentation to the public of this information. Lao is credited with the total changes brought about in Russell's life, forming The Walter Russell Foundation in 1948 (Russell was near 80-yearsofage) and in 1957 (Russell would have now been near 90 **EVERYTHING** CHANGED, years-of-age) University of Science and Philosophy was formed and the Russell Foundation dropped. Lao and her new benefactors from the Elite lineage such as Brookings Institute took control of ALL of Walter's work. As for unfair competition? They state in 1958 that they had "Over 50,000 students and readers in many countries, embracing all races and religions." I find this most interesting that a court of law (Federal Court) would rule "unfair competition" when there have, in this place, been no organized anything--and never even 1,500 subscribers to the paper which does not even break even nor does it accept paid advertising! Yet, this too, is considered "contemptuous" just by the mentioning of this information.]

The next is from August 3, 1930, New York Times:

Scientist and Artist Dispute Newton and Kepler Findings Dr. Jackson Sees Something Profane in Mr. Russell's Attack on Laws of Science.

[H: In other words, if it "differs" from professed "the way it is"--it is profane? Yes indeed, the world must still be flat and the universe revolves around planet earth Terra Shan.]

To the Editor of The New York Times:

The *TIMES* of July 21 contains an article stating that Walter Russell challenges the Newtonian theory of gravitation.

This artist, who is admittedly NOT A SCIENTIST, goes on to say that "the fundamentals of science are so hopelessly wrong and so contrary to nature, that nothing but a major surgical operation upon the present primitive beliefs can ever put them in line for a workable cosmogenetic synthesis."

Disregarding all of his other claims it seems to me that it would be more fitting for an artist of Mr. Russell's acknowledged distinction in his own field, to remain in it and not go trespassing on "ground which even angels fear to tread."

For nearly three hundred years no one, not even a scientist, has had the temerity to question Newton's laws of gravitation. [H: WHY?] Such an act on the part of a scientist would be akin to blasphemy, and for an artist to commit such an absurdity is, to treat it kindly, an evidence of either misguidance or crass ignorance of the enormity of his act.

[H: Dharma, right now, says that if she knew all this BEFORE she would never have scribed for me because it looks like Mr. Russell is some stupid oaf who crosses the accepted norm of the centuries and, right or wrong, would at best, be controversial--something she doesn't

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

like under any circumstances! But, to write books on something she doesn't understand, by one she never heard of and end up like this either represents her total insanity (a pleading perhaps in court?) or TRUTH! She KNOWS that whatever Hatonn may or may not be--he is a busybody with the TRUTH and responding to the prayers of mankind--giving her a gross headache in the process! So be it, chela, rewards ALWAYS flow in "kind" in their proper sequence! In school she did poorly in Physics but was remarkable in Chemistry. How else can it be for you time-travelers--you KNOW the teachings are NOT CORRECT! It will all make sense some day.]

The Perfect Laws

There are some things which we, in our profession, hold sacred and believe to be unalterable fundamentals, because they are the whole truth, to which nothing can be added nor subtracted from.

The Newtonian laws of gravitation and Kepler's three immortal laws are considered perfect laws.

The *TIMES* article states that in his book "The Russell Genero-Radiative Concept", now just issued, and in fifteen more to follow, he is going down the line and rip the other immutable laws up the back. Newton gets his first; then, I presume, he will have the temerity to have a go at Kepler. I will pass over the other promised reforms, such as his attempt to change the fundamental law of electro-dynamics

attempt to change the fundamental law of electro-dynamics from "like charges repel" to just the opposite. They are not worthy of serious thought, so let him tear at them uselessly to his heart's content. No one will in the least mind or take notice, but when it comes to our sacred laws I say "hands off".

John E. Jackson New York, July 28, 1930

MR. RUSSELL REPLIES

To the Editor of the New York Times:

Dr. John E. Jackson's letter to you, a copy of which he graciously forwarded to me (a line of print missing) ... Science should be exact, not approximate or inferential. Just as Newton left out all consideration of the equal and opposite reaction to the attraction of gravitation, which is the repulsion of radiation, so does Kepler leave the other focus of his ellipses out of his consideration. "The sun is one of the foci of planetary elliptical paths," he says; but how about the other one? My friendly critics will of course admit that there are two foci to any elliptical orbit. If one of these foci is important, why is not the other equally so?

What is the cause of elliptical orbits if not that some doubly acting force, concentrated at two foci, is exerting its opposite influences on both masses, not on one. For this reason also it is inaccurate, because untrue, to say that the sun is at one of its foci. That infers that the sun's center is one of its foci, which is not true. The true focus, which only happens to be within the sun, because of the sun's huge bulk, is the mutual

gravitative center of both sun and planet, or earth and moon.

Law Merely Local

If a planet happened to be a big fellow, the focus referred to would be a long way outside of the sun. For this reason, the law is purely a local one, limited to a solar system, and would not apply to two solar systems or to two bodies of approximately equal mass revolving around each other, as a universal law should apply.

The neglected focus is the mutual center of repulsion which is the lowest point in the pressure gradient between any two masses. These two oppositely acting foci are the controls which determine the orbits of both masses around each other instead of one mass around the other, which was the apparent limit of Kepler's consideration.

Perhaps Dr. Jackson will explain to me why Kepler and Newton, and all who have followed since then, have shirked this other necessary focus and have given us only the perfectly obvious one.

If Newton had watched that apple compose itself from low potential gases and liquids to high potential solids, saw it fall, and still remained on his job watching it decompose back again into low potential gases and vapors as it arose, we might have had a complete law of gravitation which would have been a great aid in putting a much-needed foundation under the feet of science during these intervening centuries.

FAIR TREATMENT ASKED

I am offering again my contribution to what seems to me the un ...]H: sorry, a couple of lines again missing]

WALTER RUSSELL July, 1930

* * *

Enough for this writing and I believe it is enough for today, we have written at least three times daily for this full week and minds and fingers are scrambled. Save out the copies of the articles and we will finish them later.

There is nothing sacred about the theories of Newton or Kepler or Russell. Truth is Truth and all the arguing **about it won't change a thing about it.** Life is sacred, TRUTH is sacred and YOU are sacred--defense of that simply because it is accepted as "sacred" is the most foolish of ALL! Aho.

CHAPTER 11

REC #1 HATONN

SUN., JULY 25. 1993 9:18 A.M. YEAR 6, DAY 343

SUN., JULY 25, 1993

Let There Be Light

In the interest of continuity let us just continue with the printing of articles on the subject of Mr. Walter Russell as

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

<u>Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY</u>

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

appeared in his time, relative to his approach to science subjects, in the *New York Times*. Since our focused interest is toward Mr. Russell's scientific work, at this time, we shall leave alone his approach to metaphysics or philosophy. Nora is working on a "biographical study" of the Life and Times of said Mr. Russell and the University of Science and Philosophy. So, in order to not cause trouble flares with US&P regarding contempt charges against Dharma for writing on the subject, we shall not refer to that at all.

Our only purpose here is to offer public "articles" regarding Russell's subjects as presented. I would, however, ask that these writings of mine AND copies of the copies of the articles themselves be forwarded to Nora for her information. Thank you. For prior articles on this subject please see the writing of July 23, 1993 of which this is simply a continuation.

I have two comments as we enter into this writing which I consider so important as to stop and pre-focus your attention. I am asked why I do not "always" refer to Russell as doctor (Dr.)? Because, even though it is that perhaps US&P and Lao Russell desired the designation to give advanced implication and inference of Earth-based "physical" academic achievement--the only doctorate received EVER by Russell--was an honorary one. I have stated before that "I" may well refer to Russell as "doctor" because of my own RESPECT AND HONOR TO THE MAN IN POINT AND THE KNOWLEDGE THAT SURPASSES ANY SUCH KNOWLEDGE HELD BY THE PROFESSORS OF ACADEMIA.

Next, you will see that I reprint a lot of the prior article in this writing. Why would I do that? **BECAUSE IT IS ONE** OF THE MOST IMPORTANT LESSONS ANY SCIENTIST OR STUDENT CAN RECEIVE! IN FACT!! So, we keep our Dr. Young so very busy with his Editorial duties and swamped in every kind of "other" task that he has not time to catch up with the information on "Light" that I have provided FOR him. So, there are some facts which are so important to ANY scientist working henceforth WITH ME AND TEAM, THAT HE MUST BRING THEM TO HIS EYES AND EARS AND ATTENTION WITHOUT CHOICE. HE MUST EDIT THIS WRITING FOR THE PAPER--SO HE WILL GET THIS LESSON WITHOUT FURTHER SEARCHING! HE, NEITHER, PRIOR TO ME, HAD SO MUCH AS HEARD OF WALTER RUSSELL--AND YET HE HOLDS PHDs, OR EQUIVALENTS, IN AT LEAST THREE SCIENTIFIC SUBJECTS. THIS IS WHY DR. YOUNG IS IN THIS LOCATION WITH "US"--FOR THIS SCIENTIFIC ADVENTURE IS HIS PURPOSE--NOT DABBLING IN PRINTED NEWSPAPERS. HE DOES, HOWEVER, NEED TO MAKE SOME OF THE CONTACTS WHICH SHALL COME FROM THIS PAPER--SO BEAR WITH US, ALL OF YOU AS THE CALL GOES FORTH. THANK YOU.

Dr. Young is a young man who has all the ridiculous eccentricities of "habit" as did Tesla, Edison, Einstein, etc. He stays up communing and tinkering, thinking and working ALL NIGHT--and is found to be "absent" most of the daylight hours until "awakening" again toward evening. Does this mean that he "sleeps" all day and is unavailable?

Yes, it would APPEAR TO BE THAT WAY--but NO indeedy, WE WORK HIM DAY AND NIGHT! The very hub of your cycling is based solely on PHYSICS (not mystical garbage) and he is trained to recognize the truth, assumptions, separate out theories from fact and bring some massive changes upon your place--IN TRUTH. I honor him and ask him to bear with us while we catch-up some of the citizens so that we can move on in that which is absolute and necessary. It means moving through the trash heap of politics and other human physical incantations. But, he is busy and his interests are narrow enough in attention that I have to cause him to monitor EVERYTHING (for the CONTACT) so that he doesn't simply "miss" the important messages. It makes good lessons for ALL OF YOU and allows you to see that you can NEVER learn the facts of your own BEING with the WRONG ASSUMPTIONS! Consider me simply a Cohan (professor/teacher) and you as chela (student) and the mystical relationship will vanish.

Dharma is NOT some "UFO Lady" as referred to her, directly, by a most uninformed "investigative reporter" who announced that Dharma passes out misinformation and other insulting thrusts. Dharma asked this person if she "had read the paper"? The response in loud and emphatic terms: "No, I try never to read such a thing." Dharma then asked her how she could be a worthy researcher of anything in such circumstances as to "know nothing" about her subject. She said she was "going to sue" Dharma, the paper, everyone. E.J. simply told her she would have to "hurry"--at which point she flung down the phone so as to probably break it. Is this not the same as Dr. Jackson? "I refuse to even consider or read your presentations--I have heard you are a disinformation source for nit-wit scientists against our 'sacred' set ideas and do not enlighten me for I am already a "sacred research journalist/scientist." We welcome all suits in the courts of law for even as the LIES are told in court-the Truth is also THERE and will always, sometime, somewhere, someday, "when you least expect it", smile, you are on Candid Truth.

Articles on Walter Russell

(from the New York Times)

I shall reprint the "response by Dr. Russell" to Dr. Jackson as we see that the sender of the information was far more exacting than were we. He has sent the "missing" portions in a separate copy and therefore, since we may have left out much in the prior presentment, we shall simply offer the "reply" again.

August 3, 1930

Response of Russell to Jackson

To the Editor of *The New York Times*:

Dr. John E. Jackson's letter to you, a copy of which he graciously sent to me, is a perfectly natural letter of resentment for which I do not blame him in the least.

It is true that I have challenged the accuracy or completeness of the Newtonian laws of gravitation and will just as vigorously attack the other "sacred laws" of Kepler, and any

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

others, either ancient or modern, that need modifying or rewriting to fit the needs of a civilization whose onward march is held back by the untruths, or half truths, of those who rely upon the deceptive evidence of what their eyes think they see.

I am sorry that an artist had to do it, but Sir Oliver Lodge said that no scientist could make the supreme discovery of the one thing for which science is looking and hoping. He said that such a discovery would have to be the "supreme inspiration of some poet, painter, philosopher or saint."

Supplying Needed Imagination

In other words, science sorely needs the imagination of an artist or poet to synthesize here heterogeneous complexities, and put her on the path of simplicity and truth; for nature is very simple in her causes. She is complex only in her repetitive effects.

I have not said that Newton's laws were wrong, for they are right as far as they go. They are only half-truths, though. Kepler's first law is not only a half truth, but the half that is stated is inaccurately stated.

Science should be exact, not approximate or inferential. Just as Newton left out all consideration of the equal and opposite reaction to the attraction of gravitation, which is the repulsion of radiation, so does Kepler leave the other focus of his ellipses out of his consideration. "The sun is one of the foci of planetary elliptical paths," he says; but how about the other one? My friendly critics will of course admit that there are two foci to any elliptical orbit. If one of these foci is important, why is not the other equally so?

What is the cause of elliptical orbits if not that some doubly acting force, concentrated at two foci, is exerting its opposite influences on both masses, not on one. For this reason also it is inaccurate, because untrue, to say that the sun is at one of its foci. That infers that the sun's centre is one of its foci, which is not true. The true focus, which only happens to be within the sun, because of the sun's huge bulk, is the mutual gravitative centre of both sun and planet, or earth and moon.

Law Merely Local

If a planet happened to be a big fellow, the focus referred to would be a long way outside of the sun. For this reason, the law is purely a local one, limited to a solar system, and would not apply to two solar systems or to two bodies of approximately equal mass revolving around each other, as a universal law should apply.

The neglected focus is the mutual centre of repulsion which is the lowest point in the pressure gradient between any two masses. These two oppositely acting foci are the controls which determine the orbits of both masses around each other instead of one mass around the other, which was the apparent limit of Kepler's consideration.

Perhaps Dr. Jackson will explain to me why Kepler and Newton, and all who have followed since then, have shirked this other necessary focus and have given us only the perfectly obvious one.

If Newton had watched that apple compose itself from low

potential gases and liquids to high potential solids, saw it fall, and still remained on his job watching it decompose back again into low potential gases and vapors as it arose, we might have had a complete law of gravitation which would have been a great aid in putting a much-needed foundation under the feet of science during these intervening centuries.

Fair Treatment Asked

I am offering again my contribution to what seems to me the unstable foundation beneath the feet of science. Einstein and others have already been respectfully credited for the same ideas which, when published by me, had formerly brought me ridicule. All I ask is a consideration of my ideas and fair treatment.

I have begun by correcting the Eddington idea of a running-down universe, by supplying the other half of Newton's laws and Kepler's neglected focus, which makes the universe a continuing one. This must be followed up by correcting many other things, such as the structure of the atom, the supposed nature of the electron and kindred fantasies, illusions, cosmogonies and hypotheses, which have succeeded each other for three hundred years, none of which survive the test of five years trial without becoming as ephemeral as Laplace's nebular hypothesis or as old fashioned as a 1927 model of the atom.

If Dr. Jackson thinks academic science is advancing, he is wrong. Industrial science is leaping ahead on restricted lines, but the theorists who draw fantastic conclusions from their experiments have "gone cubist". The "jumping electron" atom, and all other atomic models, with the exception of Rutherford's, for which so many Nobel prizes have been given, have no more relation to nature than green cheese has to the moon. And as for the little wire cages studded with marbles, which are supposed to show how the atoms determine crystallization--they are just FUNNY.

WALTER RUSSELL New York, July 28, 1931.

CHAPTER 12

REC #2 HATONN

MON., JULY 26, 1993 12:11 P.M. YEAR 6, DAY 344

MON., JULY 26, 1993

Continuation: Articles regarding Walter Russell, from the NEW YORK TIMES.

EINSTEIN SEEMS TO SAY THAT THE UNALTERABLE CAN BE ALTERED

August 10, 1930

THEREFORE, ONE HOLDS, VIEWS OF BOTH DR. JACKSON AND MR. RUSSELL ARE BORNE OUT.

To the Editor of the New York Times:

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

The letters of Dr. John E. Jackson and Walter Russell in The Times of Aug. 3 contain, respectively, "For nearly 300 years no one, not even a scientist, has had the temerity to question Newton's laws of gravitation," and "science needs the imagination of an artist or poet to synthesize her heterogeneous complexities..." both of which statements seem to be representative of academic static and dynamic thought, for the contributions of science to art and art to science are relative with respect to the analysis and synthesis of primitive symbolism.

The questioning of Newton's laws and Kepler's extensions is a timely and healthy inquiry directed at contemporary cosmogenetics. The assimilation of knowledge within an individual experience, therefore, can be regarded rightly as either static or dynamic, can be applied as a simple correlation of established facts, or can be accepted as a means for concentrating on and contributing to progressive thought.

In the latter instance it is clear that a metaphysical perspective upon the collective result of recent scientific research is causing many to refer to earlier basic laws. For the most part this reversion seems to extend as far as Newton and from thence is carried forward again in general, through Faraday and Maxwell, Eddington, Compton, Heisenberg and Einstein. The net result permits a repostulation of the laws of gravitation linked with the electromagnetic theory and tied to the cosmic continuum by means of a conception or reconception of time, space and matter.

The Artistic "Centre"

In supporting Mr. Russell's request for fair treatment, it may be added that the abstractions of science, along with the reality of art, present a fundamental intellectual and physical process to which the effort and production of the individual is irrevocably linked. The binder is found in the symbolism of primitive form. Just as the mathematician frees his mind from the concrete by conceiving modern zero to be infinity, and from it working out or back to his problem by means of symbolic devices in common usage within his field, so the metaphysician accepts the assumption of a point as the centre for induction and the scientist regards it as the beginning for all deduction and correlation.

If the laws of gravitation be considered as contributory rather than final, and if the electro-magnetic theory of a "field" be accepted as local rather than inferential, then it is evident that the Russell genero-radiative concept of foci postulates an inert but not a natural centre--the "centre" used by the artist, poet, philosopher and scientist alike as a point for departure for all creative work. This "centre," however, seems to serve an additional purpose, for it defines and subordinates the orbit of Newton and the ellipses of Kepler-both of which are in elaboration of the Cartesian and Pythagorean theorems and axioms of coordinates.

MUST ASSUME FOCI

But in assuming the existence of "centres" (foci) as purely scientific abstractions within the cosmic structure (the recognition of the actuality of coordinate systems of reference in relation to infinite solar and planetary systems),

we are able to differentiate within our mind the idea of force, acceleration, rotation and speed (time and distance), and to minimize the zero of the mathematician along with the esthetic and spiritual significance of the circle. The hypothesis then possible to establish provides a mental perspective on the metrics and geometries of both physical and cosmic space, and we find that Newton's laws contribute rather than define, and space itself resolves and evolves into a measurable unit in terms of physical content and direction. It remains to articulate and delineate our current knowledge from an inert point, which we can place into abstract, real or natural movement within our particular field as a true centre--the pure symbolism of which is evident because of the simplicity of the concept. If we do just that, and no more, we find that we must introduce the basic elements that form our individual opinion or experience with the laws of centripetal and centrifugal force. The application of these elements in logical or structural sequence (elements drawn from the contemporary research field of pure and applied science) provides a simple "tool" for effecting abstract, physical and social deduction so that we can bring any inert point into continuous movement, the direction of which is horizontal or vertical, with respect to the laws of gravitation, and the delineation of which forms a true and natural centre.

Newton's Laws Questioned

To aid and abet an escape from academic finality by means of such generalities is admittedly the essence of temerity, but Newton's laws have been repeatedly, consistently and profitably questioned. They are rightly finite in analysis so why not let them provide for the infinite in synthesis?

In *The Times* of June 29 the pioneer achievement of Frank Lloyd Wright in the field of architectural form, design and the adaptation of materials, was outlined in a comprehensive article illustrating not only the functional relation of the engineer, the architect and the draftsman within the creative accomplishments of an individual, but also including contributions to modern architectural practice which may be attributed almost entirely to an understanding of Newton's dynamics. The catalogue of the Harvard Society for Contemporary Art states that Buckminster Fuller's dymaxion house is "the first complete attempt in architectural design to acquire a symbolism of the fourth dimension as the designing method is literally from the 'inside out' on a radionic, time space and quantum basis." Mr. Fuller's approach to his problem is through spherical geometry and the application of simple dynamics to the evolution and introduction of new materials in the logical relation to height, bulk and weight requirements.

"Roadtown" of Edgar Chambless, a practical conception of continuous structure within which is integrated all ways of communication, and the utilities of service, along with the balanced social system, constitutes a recognized application of the laws of centripetal and centrifugal social force (the centralization and decentralization of population) and is based upon social dynamics.

My adaptation of historical and chronological time (the "inverted" or "coreless" piechart outlined in a letter to *The Times* of June 29 last) delivers a "linear scale," the simple graphics of which postulate the inert foci of Mr. Russell and

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

give natural movement and direction to real and abstract deduction, the dynamics of which is based upon the articulation of multiple correlations carried along at one time in logical, integrated and continuous sequence.

Recent correspondence from Geneva published in *The Times* leads us to believe that Dr. Einstein has the temerity to extend the pure symbolism of his mathematical abstractions to include a world application to child education--an indication which seems to bear out the viewpoint of Dr. Jackson and Mr. Russell that the future is behind us, is common property, and anyone, even a scientist, is privileged to alter the unalterable.

G.P. HERSEY, Ridgefield, Conn., Aug. 6, 1930

* * *

Now, readers, I would think that the last paragraph above represents the intent of "public property" of these GREAT ideas as well as almost any statement found thus far. These men, ALL, recognized they gained knowledge from a source somehow and somewhere "higher" than the presenting "self" AND this projects that these higher bits of information are for mankind--NOT TO HIDE BENEATH A BUSHEL IN SECRET PLACES GARNERED BY ONLY SELECT GROUPS OF ELITE OR FANCIED SELF-APPOINTED "KEEPERS". PLEASE RECOGNIZE THAT IN HIS DAY G.P. HERSEY WAS PERHAPS FAR BETTER RECOGNIZED THAN EITHER RUSSELL OR JACKSON.

Next comes a repeat of one we have already offered but I ask that Dharma retype it for I want it in her head, please. In the first offering we had "deleted lines" which were actually present but the one who did the copy work had carefully explained and marked the papers and Dharma just didn't see it in time to get the missing parts into that writing. It is important enough to repeat it with the insertions. Please be patient for by the time we did that prior writing, Dharma had been at the keyboard over seven hours at start and the brain and the fingers get awash.

Further, I had a VERY GOOD REASON for allowing the printing of the information EXACTLY as copied, with the lines missing, BECAUSE I WANT YOU TO NOTICE VERY CAREFULLY--THAT UNLESS YOU HAVE THE WHOLE THING--YOU CANNOT KNOW OF THAT WHICH YOU SPEAK OR HAVE OPINION! YOU MUST HAVE ALL OF THE MISSING INFORMATION TO FORM VALID CONCLUSIONS ABOUT ANYTHING. YOU SCIENTIFIC MINDS WOULD **HAVE ALREADY** HAD **YOUR** IMPATIENCE WITH ME FOR PRESENTING ONLY THE MAJOR PORTION OF THE ARTICLE AND THAT, DEAR ONES, IS THE HALF-TRUTH AT BEST, THAT HAS COME TO BE IN YOUR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SERVICES.

Mr. Russell Finds Scientists Too

Ready to Accept Theory

His Attempt at Reformation Not Based on Metaphysics, He Declares. The New York Times, Aug. 17, 1930.

To the Editor of The New York Times:

Since the publication in the *TIMES* of my statement that modern science is without a foundation and needs a major surgical operation to put it in line for a logical cosmogenetic synthesis, I have been bombarded by telephone and by letters questioning this statement and others made in my book **The Russell Genera-Radiative Concept**, recently published.

May I tell those people who think I have a superficial, metaphysical concept which I am trying to inject into practical science for its reformation that I am as thoroughly prepared to carry out my program with dynamic answers, not metaphysical ones, as Copernicus was when he upset an equally obstinate world of thoroughly satisfied Ptolemyites? Also I am as thoroughly aware of the difficulties of uprooting established ideas as he was.

I am also thoroughly conversant not only with every experiment that has given science its present unstable state, but also with the wrongful deductions which have resulted from those experiments.

Knows Scientists' Theories

I am as familiar with the experiments and observations of Newton and Kepler as I am of those of Faraday, Cavendish, Rutherford, Bohr or Millikan, and I also am as familiar with the things which these great men did not see in their own experiments as those which they did see, and even then misinterpreted.

An observation of an effect of Nature is equal to an experiment and a proper deduction from either is more important than either.

Newton, for example, would have solved the other half of the gravitational problem if he had found out how that apple and the tree upon which it grew got up in the air before the apple fell.

I challenge the world of science to correctly and completely answer that question. Let your readers qualify for the right to subject me to their criticism as an impractical visionary by first giving a dynamic answer to this by no means simple question.

Therefore I say to all my critics who wonder why I do not go into the laboratory and "perform experiments" that I do perform experiments in physical laboratories and make profound observations in Nature's vast laboratory that have fitted me to make new and logical deductions from old experiments which have no inconsistencies and no exceptions.

Effects of Motion Illusions

To illustrate: Suppose a man experimented with the moon running behind the trees as he ran, then set down his conclusions from the "facts", as he saw them, such as the

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

correspondence of acceleration and deceleration to his speed, we could easily point out the error of such a deduction because we are familiar with the illusions of perspective.

Science has never considered the fact that in the universe of motion all effects of motion are illusions. Illusions are not limited to perspective but to every electrical, chemical and astronomical relation.

Nature is the supreme deceiver, the champion "poker bluffer", who, with a simple hand, makes you think she has much.

Nature is simple. She has but one force (which she divides into two), one form (which she divides into many), and seven patterns (which she complexes by repeating them in such marvelous systems of wave periodicities that it needs imagination, rather than eyesight, to coordinate them).

Nature can be beautifully described by that child's toy of hexagonal mirrors which makes the most exquisite and complex patterns in color and form out of a bit of feather, some chips of colored glass, a toothpick and other odds and ends.

Everyone knows how those simple things are not only complexed but glorified by such a treatment.

Nature's Simple Principles

My humble contribution to science is to point out these simple principles of Nature which would, if known, have prevented one untruth to pile up on top of another until, even with the aid of experimentation, a theory which can survive five years is exceptional.

I will warrant that the dinner coat which Sir Oliver Lodge wore in New York when he delivered his lecture on energy and atomic structure is still presentable, but Sir Oliver himself would under no consideration repeat that lecture today.

The entire modern theory of atomic structure is so utterly without parallel or precedent in Nature that fantasy only mildly expresses it. It is to be regretted that the profound thinking of profound men is thus being wasted on conclusions which cannot possibly endure. [H: It might be added that the profound thinking of those profound men are also kept from use and presentation by ones who do not understand the magnitude of the offering in point. It is most certainly a time of coming together and sharing the gifts as given so that civilization CAN ENDURE.)

Scientists ignore Nature when they choose, or when mathematical formulae work out in accordance with preconceived premises. Scientists then become inventors and work out wonders which Nature never thought of. I can cite hundreds of such inventions born of supposedly observed facts of experiment.

Laplace's Mistakes

Mathematics are useless if the premises they start with are wrong. La Place, the greatest mathematician of his day,

"proved" many things which have since been disproved. He even went so far as to prove that the outer edges of his rings moved faster than their inner surfaces, and his contemporaries accepted that impossibility as Niels Bohr's "jumping electron" was accepted by his contemporaries.

Nature hasn't one separate series of laws for big mass and another series for small mass. She has one law for both, but science unhesitatingly invents a series of laws for little mass that outdoes the reliance of the Arthurian sages upon a credulous public.

The moons of Jupiter and the planets of the sun pursue their courses around their primaries in an orderly periodic fashion in strict obedience to the two forces which command and control them from two foci.

It would be the most astounding claim imaginable to state that this earth could suddenly jump to the orbit of Mars without consuming one-millionth of a second of time, yet that invention is the utterly fantastic and completely unfounded belief of modern science regarding the planets of the atom.

I could write volumes based upon modern electrical experimental data to prove that such a happening is **not in Nature's scheme.**

Science attributes this deduction to a "brilliant young Dane, Niels Bohr", who, working under Rutherford, proved it by experiment, backed by Rydburg's constant, Coulomb's law, mathematics and the evidence of the spectroscope.

Of what use is Bohr's mathematical equation regarding the hydrogen spectrum, for example, if the four admittedly assumed premises upon which it is based are not in accord with Nature's plan of motion?

Wrong Basic Conclusions

Of what value also is the spectroscopic evidence if the presumption that band-spectra are caused by molecules and line spectra by atoms is found to be a wrong one? In respect to this I am prepared to offer consistent reasons why band and line spectra have another and more logical cause.

I can cite wrong premise after wrong premise which has caused science to form wrong basic conclusions, such as that there are separate negative and positive charges instead of doubly charged masses, also that positive and negative "charges" attract each other when the evidence in its favor is the simplest of Nature's illusions and there is an overwhelming amount of evidence against such a law. Take only one for example: How does science explain the fact that in all decomposing compounds like charges seek like charges and repel all others? If this law were true the universe which we know could not hold itself together, for all similar substances and atoms of substances would be explosive, and a pound of anyone substance would be impossible.

WALTER RUSSELL New York, Aug. 12, 1930

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

SCIENTISTS SHOULD EXPLAIN

The New York Times, Aug. 24, 1930.

Written: GENEVA VIOLA WOLCOTT, New York, Aug. 18, 1930.

To the Editor of the New York Times:

Owing to the fact that the world and his wife are becoming astro-minded, the letter from Walter Russell that appeared in the Aug. 17 issue of the Times impels me to air my views despite the fact that the press's attitude is decidedly **against** the "female of the species" going scientific.

Mr. Russell's arraignment of scientists who work by rod and plumbline, so to speak, and in many instance reckon without their host--Nature--stresses a striking example: From era to era scientists have explained the orbital ellipse, postulating the fact that in the solar system (by way of concrete example) the sun (Sol) forms one of the two foci of an ellipse, let us say, of the orbit of Earth. This, as every schoolboy knows, is inevitable.

Granted, but no one seems to have given a thought to the other focus. Kepler devised the radius vector in order to provide for the sweep over equal areas in equal time as Earth performed its trajectory from perihelion to aphelion, then on again to perihelion. This device has been demonstrated by means of delineation, oral and written description until every student knows it as he knows his alphabet. So far, so good; but what of the other focus without which no ellipse is possible?

Now along comes Mr. Russell to demonstrate, first of all, a forcible axiom that appeared recently in the *Times*: "The only thing stronger than armies is an idea whose time has come."

Accounting for the other focus demonstrates Mr. Russell's idea (concept, rather) that the twin focus is a vacuous force functioning just as effectually as its mate, the sun. Thanks to everyday utilities, this function is within the grasp of the lay mind. Now, if the professionals decline to accept this view, why should they "hold out on us", to drop into the vernacular, by failing to account for the second focus without which no ellipse is complete? [H: This is the same question I raise when disallowed from utilizing, with full recognition, Dr. Russell's work in the PLEIADES CONNECTION series of JOURNALS. Why do these people wish to keep this information under singular lock and key? We have no wish nor intent to validate nor pull down the thrust of such as US&P--but in checking most carefully in full detail, we found that even though some copyrights may well have been ASSUMED shifted off to said "University"--the proper corporate records do not present such. The line of appropriate paperwork would have to explicitly shift each and every title and manuscript in every detail. They do not! Further, if the material is such that it is "joint" but only one facet of information is utilized--it is "literally" not in question if another UTILIZES THE MATERIAL ISSUED AS FACT TO FURTHER FACT OR DRAW OPPOSING

CONCLUSIONS. We who offered the CONNECTIONS series only wished honor and attention in a most wondrous way--to Walter Russell. We do not share the same appraisal of the work of Lao nor to her intentions or actions. Lao was an avowed humanist and although her so-called metaphysical conceptions were "new age" and even "spiritual" on the surface of it--she was humanistic in every recognized aspect.]

Surely they are not afraid of losing their laurels! Perish the thought! If so, they differ from the sportsmen who are always scouting about to enlist amateurs into the professional ranks. Let us hear from the acknowledged scientists why the second focus has been ignored; also if there is any law in celestial mechanics against adopting new discoveries--inventions, if you will. GENEVA VIOLA WOLCOTT

* * *

These articles bring forth a lot of information and a lot of questions, don't they? From "why not recognize women's input" to "wise reasoning" is visible from the above article-from, by the way, a very prominent personage of the day!

I think I shall ask for a break here so that we have the approximate amount of material for the next chapter without interrupting it.

I hope you are finding the information interesting and thought provoking for I find the puzzle fascinating--most especially since you find yourselves in something similar to a confrontation on the magnitude of a "Darwin" Scopes trial. The great difference here is that no "trial" IS EVEN ALLOWED AND THE WRITER, DHARMA, IS CONVICTED, CHARGED AND PENALTIES IMPOSED FOR NOT ONLY WRITING--BUT FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT BY SIMPLY BEING ALIVE. YES, INDEED, INTERESTING!

CHAPTER 13

REC #1 HATONN

TUE., JULY 27, 1993 11:18 A.M. YEAR 6, DAY 345

TUE., JULY 27, 1993

Continuation: *The New York Times* articles regarding Walter Russell:

SHAKING SCIENCE'S FOUNDATIONS

August 31, 1930

To the Editor of The New York Times:

Several of my contemporaries in the electrical field seem to be particularly incensed because of a statement made by Walter Russell in The Times that "all modern theories of atomic structure have no more relation to nature than green cheese."

Their rancor is based upon the fact that great scientists such

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

as Millikan, Bohr, Rutherford, Langmuir and others of great prominence have proved their theories by experiment, and Russell, who seems to have obtained most of his knowledge by close observation of nature rather than in the laboratory, tells these men that their conclusions are wrong because their fundamental premises are wrong.

Personally I find it hard to accept Bohr's "jumping electron", as Russell calls it, and I find many others equally skeptical. John Langdon Davies in his recent book, Man and His Universe, criticized this belief severely, ending as follows: "Now, if scientists seem to believe these two things are true, it means that the universe is essentially meaningless."

Russell claims that all conclusions of scientists in regard to things electric are based upon the assumption that all masses are "charged" either positively or negatively. This, he says, is fundamentally wrong, for all masses are doubly charged, each one being preponderantly one or the other just as male and female are known to be so.

Russell has set up such a very strong and convincing argument in support of his claim that my traditional electrical training is severely shaken even if I am not a 100 percent convert as yet.

If, however, Russell succeeds in establishing this one claim alone he will have shaken the very foundations of science, for every textbook on electrical practice, physics and astronomy will have to be rewritten and another mass of mathematical formulae will have to be relegated to the waste basket to keep company with much that has gone that way before.

GEORGE SOULE

New York, Aug. 27, 1930

* * *

MR. RUSSELL UPHOLDS THEORY OF A "TWO-WAY" UNIVERSE

Proton Is Not a "Hole", He Declares, Merely Because It Acts Like One.

November 2, 1930

To the Editor of The New York Times:

The Times editorial "This Month's Atom" has so filled these intervening days with answering questions as to whether Dr. Dirac's theory was in line with my cosmogony that I am constrained to answer it. Also, I might as well include the question so often asked regarding the comparative relationship of Dr. Robert Andrews Millikan's statement published some time ago, also in the *The Times*, for both are interrelated.

The editorial says that "when an electron disappears the vacancy left behaves mathematically like a proton." A hen seeing her duckling brood taking to water could not be more surprised than modern scientists in seeing this "hole" act like a proton. The proton is not a hole, however, as Dr. Dirac

concludes, just as a duckling is not a chicken because it acts like one in respect to the hen. The hole is the vacuous force which acts as an expansion pressure force exerted against an equal compression pressure force which is located in mass and culminates at its centres.

Neither is the proton a hole because it acts like one in all respects save direction, more than an inward explosion toward a vacuum is like an outward explosion because it also acts like one in all respects save direction.

A Complete Cycle of Motion

Modern science does not recognize the vacuous force in nature, due to the incomplete Newtonian concept which has given us a "one-way" universe instead of the "two-way" universe of my cosmogony. If Newton had completed his gravitational observations and Kepler had been as curious about unmentioned vacuous focus as he was regarding the focus he did mention, science would now understand what this newly discovered negative "hole which acts like a proton" really is and I would not be in the position of being disliked by my scientific friends for playing the part of Copernicus to their Ptolemy.

The following is a brief explanation of the complete cycle of motion which will explain what Dirac found, what he has not yet found and what he and Lodge and others say they do not understand regarding that which he has found.

The electron is a doubly charged mass. The action of integrating any mass is a generative or condensive one which is called the attraction of gravitation. This causes mass to appear around a common centre of high compression pressure and gives rise to the commonly believed error that matter attracts matter, which is not true to nature. The reaction to this action of compression is the expansion of the field which has been rendered vacuous by thus being drawn upon by the gravitative, indrawing, endothermic action of condensation. The expansion of the residual volume, or field surrounding the mass, is a vacuity which is as equally minus the original equilibrium of the total volume as the centre of the mass is plus that equilibrium.

Source of an error

The force represented by that vacuity is an expansion pressure which is the equal and opposite of the gravitative compression pressure and gives rise to that commonly believed error of light repulsion which is not true to nature.

The "hole" that Dirac describes is the vacuous force of the expansion stroke of the universal pump. This force is correctly described as negative electricity, negative discharge, radiation, radio-activity or by any other name which results in the disappearance of mass into its surrounding tenuous field.

On the contrary, the high pressure point of dense mass is the condensive force which is the compression stroke of the universal pump. This force is correctly described as positive electricity, positive charge, gravitation, condensation or by any other name which results in the appearance of mass into solidity.

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

These two opposing actions and reactions constitute the electro-magnetic oscillations, or compression-expansion sequences, which are present in every mass and which constitute the mechanistic or life principle of the universe. These sequences have a periodicity of preponderances which are first preponderantly generative and then preponderantly degenerative, which we know of as the phenomena of growth.

We have now completed the cycle of appearance and disappearance of mass through the series of endothermic, inbreathing, positive oscillations of compression pressure and exothermic, outbreathing, negative oscillations of expansion pressure which is the dual characteristic of electricity; but we have one more characteristic of nature as a result of these action and reaction sequences.

This one other attribute of nature is the reproductive or regenerative force which is set up solely by the resistance of each expression of force against the other.

Dual Character of Force

This characteristic attribute of nature to repeat herself, and to do so in waves or striations of periodicities, is because of the dual character of force to express itself always in equal opposition of force.

Every effect of motion thus results in the production of the opposite effect, therefore the negative reaction which results in the disappearance of the electron is accompanied by its equal and opposite action which causes the reappearance of the mass by the reverse action of the "hole".

Hence there can be no such thing as a positively charged mass or a negatively charged mass. All charging masses are simultaneously discharging and all discharging masses are simultaneously recharging other masses in this two-way universe of motion. Energy is kept continually moving between the high pressure hot points of gravitative centres and the low-pressure cold areas of the evacuated fields which surround all masses.

This constant interchange is the cause of the motion of force which moves only for one purpose, and that is find an equilibrium position for which it is ever in search of and never finds, for that position is itself always in motion.

While in search of this ever-moving position the work of the universe, and of man, is performed. There is no other way that work can be performed than by the oscillations of this dually acting electric force as it surges back and forth between the two polar dead centres of force, the gravitative focus and the vacuous focus.

It matters not whether this two-way interchange of potential is between the poles of a battery, which we call electric current, or between dynamos or solenoids, which we call lines of force, or between the high and low barometric pressure position of the weather map, which we call winds, or between the sun and planets, which we call radiant energy, or between elements, which we call radio-activity-all are the same. All are seeking an ever-changing equilibrium position and kept continually on the go and

producing that thing which we call energy.

Every mass in the universe is a complete pump oscillating between two equilibrium dead centres of opposed force. Every two masses are reciprocating, collaborating and coordinating their respective energies, each in accordance with its respective and comparative potential. Each mass in the universe is revolving around every other mass in elliptical orbits determined by their mutual ever-moving compression and expansion foci.

All masses, whether electrons in hot suns or electrons in cold space, are simultaneously integrating by their generative oscillation, and disintegrative by their opposed radiative one. This is the completed cycle of the motion of force toward which Dirac has made a step in the right direction.

[H: Here again, Russell has detailed his approach and his subject to exacting presentation to ALL READERS. This would appear to me to be intent toward having mankind have access without restraint--to his scientific application to the wave-universe in a LIGHT UNIVERSE. This certainly would not, by its mere presence in the largest newspaper of the day by Russell, personally, indicate a great desire for his work to be FULLY AND TOTALLY PUBLIC DOMAIN. I have no intention to further complicate my scribe's life by continuing to harangue with holders of information which was ONCE COMPLETELY PUBLIC DOMAIN--but neither can I understand WHY it is so difficult to share this SCIENTIFIC TRUTH OF UNIVERSAL OPERATION WITH THE VERY PEOPLE FOR WHOM IT WAS INTENDED AND ORIGINALLY GIFTED. SO BE IT--WE SHALL CERTAINLY ABIDE BY ALL FEDERAL COURT ORDERS, BUT IT ELUDES MY REASONING LOGIC!]

Dr. Millikan's Statements

Robert Andrews Millikan is the only other man who, to my knowledge, has begun to divine nature's secret of the duality of force and continuity of creation in cycles. Dr. Millikan declares: "In the hot stars and the sun, matter is being disintegrated into energy or radiation; in the unimaginably cold expanse of infinite space, radiation or energy is being reintegrated into matter."

If Dr. Millikan had written that all mass, whether in hot suns or cold space, is integrating by its contractive oscillation (which is caused by the cold of its preceding expansive one) and that it is disintegrating by the heat of its preceding contractive one, he would have been right.

To say, however, that matter is disintegrating in hot masses and integrating in cold space is not in keeping with nature's method of creation, for it would not account for the integration of mass in hot suns except by miracles or by "divine ordainment", as they said in the Middle Ages, nor would it account for the disintegration of mass in cold space except by the same method.

In order for that principle to work, Dr. Millikan would have to find a critical point of temperature below which all matter

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

integrated and above which it all disintegrated. This is impossible, for all matter has differing melting points, according to its pressures or densities, these points rising as pressures rise.

We know that above these critical points at which all elements melt the solids disintegrate into liquids, then into vapors and then into gases. But the very act of disintegrating by radiation causes a relatively cold reaction to take place which reintegrates. Both processes are therefore taking place above and below the melting point, and this is as true along the trail of a comet which is luminous and relatively hot in its contractive oscillations at 240 degrees below zero as it is true in Viga's heart which is relatively cold in its expansive oscillations at 300,000 degrees or more.

WALTER RUSSELL

New York, October 29, 1930

* * *

MR. RUSSELL MAY BE RIGHT

Dr. Jackson Withdraws Criticism of "Two-Way" Universe and Seeks Proof.

THE NEW YORK TIMES, November 9, 1930,

To the Editor of The New York Times:

Some time ago *The Times* published a letter of mine severely criticizing Walter Russell for presuming to attack the "laws" of Kepler and Newton. Obviously, as a scientist, I resented the sweeping claim of a non-scientist "that science needed a major surgical operation to put it in line for a logical, cosmogenetic synthesis". I felt that it was ridiculous for anybody to criticize such laws, and especially anybody without recognized scientific standing to attempt such surgery.

[H: Is this unlike the attack against our writers and Dharma? Without checking into possible intent or work in any manner it was immediately attacked along with my secretary, thrown into a Federal Court of Law and the entire point of either WRITER was missing all attention while running up lawyer's bills, court costs, painful disagreements which cost so much in working time (which is so little with which to begin) in integration of resources. Your PROOF of value in both instances is the adversarial scooping up of the Russell material under "re"copyright efforts and then, the attack against the OTHER source of the information desperately mandated for your society. We SHOULD NOT BE IN COMPETITION--we should be working jointly to bring necessary material substance to you, the remnant civilization. I will not, however, share, work, join or integrate WITH THE ELITE COMMITTEE OF 300 IF MEANS THE ABSENCE OF THE VERY WORKING SUBSTANCE AND KNOWLEDGE TO THE SOCIETY AT LARGE. I DO NOT WORK WITH **COURT** GOD'S "ENEMY" **THESE** AND PROCEEDINGS ARE ADVERSARIAL TO THAT **SOURCE OF PERFECTION AND** "ALL"

POSSIBILITY! Together we can change the world in ALL manners--or, we can continue as adversaries and, finally, WE shall WIN! Will it be in time to save the tedious steps toward full explanation otherwise presented? Yes, I would think so for there are several with working models and all they need is a bit of encouragement and a few minor changes in perception and perspective. Dharma, it is not YOUR problem, chela, so release the concern unto me for I shall not trample the opposition further. Neither shall I long leave the door open for understanding with important participants (if they but choose open mindedness) in that grouping. The best way to lose an enemy is to make of that one, a friend. I have no animosity or hostility and if we could move beyond the perceived anger and misperceptions of court battle--we could produce incredibly wondrous things. So be it.]

I now wish to modify my statements and criticisms, for, since writing that letter, my viewpoint has somewhat changed from scathing to one of expectation. What I considered the over-night inspiration of that revolutionary type of man we call a "crank" might be, instead, the result of an intelligent and prolonged study of Nature.

Coordination Of Units

Mr. Russell has evidently approached his solution to the great riddle from the point of view opposite to that of the scientist. He has considered the universe as a whole and offers explanations for the workings of its units as they fit into the whole, while we scientists study the separate parts but as yet cannot fit them together perfectly.

Who is to say that Russell's method of approach is not as valuable as our own, especially when it is carried on by so keen an observer? Let us give him a chance for a proof. The future will tell. I believe we should welcome such a mind, with its freedom from the traditions by which our minds are bound to the extent that we sometimes forget to question. I, for one, do not want to be "set" and invincible.

I am not yet prepared to say that I thoroughly accept Mr. Russell's "two-way" principle, but I am immensely intrigued by it, for it gives this universe of motion a meaning to me that it did not have before. In fact, our universe is rather meaningless even to ourselves; we know very little of the why of anything and many researchers have practically ceased trying to fathom it. In our experiments we see the effects but do not always find a satisfactory explanation of the cause. If it "works" we are thankful, so we do not always worry about the "why".

Open To Conviction

Mr. Russell's theory may be the method of understanding the nature of electricity, the generation and degeneration of mass and the universal mechanistic principles, through his "two-way" swing of the universal pendulum. In this defending his principles I again repeat that I am only weighing them in my mind at present, but I think the entire scientific world should also seriously weigh them, for, if Russell is right--and he surely thinks he is right--his claim that science needs "a major surgical operation" is justifiable.

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

<u>Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY</u>

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

A few outstanding and seemingly irrefutable facts stand in favor of the "two-way" principle. First of all, the compression-expansion sequence constitutes a cycle of motion which is mechanistic; it conforms with the known oscillating character of all electrical force. It makes matter comprehensible when each mass is known to be a compression-expansion "pump", or storage battery of polarized force doing the work of the universe.

Russell says that every effect of motion gives birth to its opposite effect, that our degenerative, radiant energy which is wasting away our universe becomes generative energy simply through its gravitational change of direction toward mass instead of away from it. The same radiation which degenerates our sun regenerates this planet as light. Let us give him a chance to prove that and see what the outcome is.

Our "positive" and "negative" are admittedly meaningless words. Russell's dual principle gives them a rational and reasonable meaning which may be mechanically comprehensible. He says that "positive" is plus an equilibrium of a quantum of energy, and that "negative" is minus that equilibrium. In other words, a vacuous condition is created in a given quantum of energy by pumping some of it out of one part (the surrounding field) and into the other part (the central mass). How simple it is to understand an electrical short circuit, or a chemical reaction, when thus explained, or to understand the motion of energy as force seeking an equilibrium.

I remember when we used to think that the current in a battery flowed only in one direction. We now admit its now in both directions. If nature expresses itself universally by a flow in both directions, instead of in isolated instances, it is well to know it even though we old-timers have to adjust our practice to it.

Kepler's Law Not Infallible

I was especially vituperative toward Russell because he dared to tamper with the Kepler law. I can now see that Kepler's mention of a single focus, and his failure to mention the other, coupled with Newton's single attribute of matter to attract matter without mentioning its equally apparent power to repel, deprived science of a possible solution of the universal riddle.

The second focus of Russell's is physically and mathematically necessary to an elliptical orbit. Why did not some scientist think of this instead of waiting 300 years for an artist to tell us about it?

I am anxious to see that other focus proved as the seat of the vacuous force of negative electricity that Russell claims for it. When his present experiments with lines of force are completed, by means of which he expects to prove his contention, and are found to substantiate his claim experimentally, we shall then know that positive electricity is that which is flowing inward, accumulatively, toward a point of compression (which is one of the dead centres of force in the universal machine) and that negative electricity is that which is flowing outward, dissipatively, toward a vacuous field (which is the other dead centre of force).

We shall then be convinced that Russell's contention that matter does not attract nor repel matter is probably true, and that attraction and repression-expansion oscillations with which we have long been familiar in electrical practice, but did not connect up with gravitation or radiation.

I invite the collaboration and criticism of my fellow scientists at large to join me in this, because, should Russell be able to prove his claims, we should all give him due credit, and if he fails, it will then be time to add his theory to the long list of dreams. He is in earnest and at least deserves our support.

JOHN E. JACKSON New York, Nov. 4, 1930

* * *

RUSSELL'S THEORY WINS APPROVAL

July 7, 1931

It Contains More Hope Than That of Sir James Jeans.

To the Editor of The New York Times:

During the past weeks people of this country who are interested in scientific and cosmic problems have been reading a good deal of the doings and sayings of Sir James Jeans. The newspapers have given much space to his theory that the universe is running down. At a scientists dinner last week he told not only his large and distinguished audience, but thousands of radio listeners, that only a mathematician could understand the universe. His tones were lugubrious [mournful), his face sad. His message is destruction; his complicated theory withers whatever it touches.

In the Museum of Science and Industry of New York there is on exhibition a group of remarkable paintings which refute the Jeans theory and substitute a simple, constructive idea of a two-way universe. This exhibition and what it implies seems to have escaped the notice of the newsgatherers. The artist is the well-known American, Walter Russell, president of the Society of Arts and Sciences, who has been decorated by many European governments and societies. Mr. Russell's writings have aroused considerable controversy in the columns of *The New York Times*. Those of his paintings now on exhibition illustrate what he calls the dual action of the one force in the universe. The force, he says, is light, and all matter is "frozen light".

The Jeans theory is pessimistic; the Russell hypothesis is full of hope and beauty. Which of these deserves the attention of forward-looking Americans, especially at this time when the world is looking for" depression proof" ideas.

LOUIS HASBROUCK New York, June 3, 1931."

* * *

End of quote.

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

3. Conclusions

Quote from [5]:

"Perhaps as you understand more about the rising recognition of Walter Russell's work and consider that which happened to Dr. Nikola Tesla at the hands of the Elite One Worlders and bankers, a lot will become clear to your perceptions. It may well become most clear as to WHY a beautiful woman would enter the picture and the focus be shifted from SCIENCE to metaphysics and the work open for the public domain--was gathered again into disallowance for freedom of USE. There had to be something done lest the Russell explanations take hold and the public demand use of same. The massive change of such information which would wipe out entirely ALL prior concepts of almost everything believed and utilized--would wipe out business as recognized. There had to be something to hold you in the Dark Ages to prevent this massive change to happen. The adversary NEVER misses a beat on his drum, readers--**NEVER!**

So, what has happened? The Elite have utilized the scientific knowledge to gain control of the WORLD. YOU have been given NO INPUT OR USE OF ANY OF IT. It has gone to build the very shackles which will terrify, panic and bind you--while you drift aimlessly along never having HEARD of one, Walter Russell and not many more, Nikola Tesla. If the adversarial people and the Federal Court system has its way--the information will again be gathered up and tucked away so that YOU WILL NOT SEE WHAT WAS DONE UNTO YOU.

You can note from the timing of writings that as the scientific community began to recognize this gifted input from higher realms--the physical constraints and temptations of Walter began and was finally pulled-off in the 1940's when Walter neared his 80s. It is simply history repeating itself in negative form and the physical perceptions and needs for ego attention and fulfillment--overshadow the spiritual journey and purpose as a messenger to God's creations. It is ever thus and ever as difficult to rise beyond!

Let us close this portion, please. Thank you."

End of quote.

References

- [1] Russell Walter: "The Universal One" Edited by Louise Russell, University of Science and Philosophy Swannanoa, Waynesboro, Virginia
- [2] Russell Walter: "The Secret of Light", Third Edition, University of Science and Philosophy, Formerly The Walterrussell Foundation Swannanoa, Waynesboro, Virginia22980
- [3] Russell Walter and Lao: "Atomic Suicide?" Copyright 1957, 3rd EDITION: 304 Pages, ISBN: 978-1-879605-
- [4] Walter Russell: "Genero-Radiative Concept", Press of L. MIDDLEDITCH CO. 75 Varick Street New York, Copyright 1926 by Walter Russell, copyright 1926 under International Copyright Union (Berne)

- [5] GYEORGOS CERES HATONN, PHOENIX JOURNAL: "MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED", RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL.II, ISBN 1-56935-019-1, First Edition Printed by PHOENIX SOURCE PUBLISHERS, Inc. P.O. Box 27353, Las Vegas, Nevada 89126, August 1993
- [6] Ilija Lakicevic, ""Aton" True Cell, Atom and Particle Concept", International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR),
 - https://ijsr.net/archive/v8i10/show_abstract.php?id=ART 20201755, Volume 8 Issue 10, October 2019, 388 395
- [7] Ilija Lakicevic, "The True Concepts, Laws and Equations of Creation", International Journal of Science and Research
 - (IJSR), https://www.ijsr.net/archive/v8i10/show_abstract.php?id=ART20202091, Volume 8 Issue 10, October 2019, 1170 1193

Author Profile



I was born on August 6, 1950 in Grab, Montenegro (former Yugoslavia). 1982 – Acquired my PhD in the field of atomic and plasma physics, at the same Faculty, under the title: "Regularities and Systematic

trends of Stark Width and Shift Parameters of Spectral Lines in Plasmas".

1985 -1987 Spent two years as fellow of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation from Bonn (Germany) at the University Düsseldorf developing plasma UV-spectroscopy at the tokamak UNITOR and performing measurements of the plasma instabilities in the scrape-off layer at the tokamak TEXTOR in Jullich (Germany).

1987 – 1991 Worked as the guest scientist at the Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics in Garching by Munich (Germany) performing measurements of the magnetic field configuration at the stellarator W7AS as well as the measurements of the limiter heat load.

 $1991-2000~\mbox{Was}$ working in the Institute of Physics in Zemun (Serbia) on investigations of the plasma force-free configurations and in 1995 I acquired the Research Professor degree.

2000 – At the end of 2000 I chose to leave the Institute of Physics and went on my own way to discover and learn the God's principles and laws of creation.

After 18 years of the progression toward that sacred goal, I finally succeeded and now I know many of the God's creational principles and laws (unknown until now) and can apply them for the simple and efficient creations which make the basis for a new life quality on this planet.

I am a pioneer, creating with a New Conscious Energy and building a new civilization without electricity.

I've developed the TESLA RADIATION BALANCER – the genuine product in form of a self-adhesive sticker certified by the Hado Life Institute of Dr. Masaru Emoto which 100% balances radiation from any device, if attached to it, protecting the human body and environment from its harmful effects and eliminating with time the damages already caused by the radiation. It can be successfully applied to: cell phones, computers, laptops, microwave ovens, cordless phones, tablets, wireless monitors, Wi-Fi routers, TV-sets, Radios, and any other source of radiation.

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2019): 7.583

Thanks to the consistent pursuit of my Leitmotif "The Authority of Truth rather than the Truth of Authority" I have experimentally proved the true atom, cell and particle "ATON" concept. According to the "ATON" concept, atoms, cells and particles are made of light which are spinning around the centering Mind (Spirit) points (the black holes). Nature expresses energy as electric potential in only one way, which is the same way both for the atomic and for the stellar scale: by projecting mentally the rings of visible light which are spinning around the centering Spirit points. This amazing beauty of creational simplicity is the basic fundamental truth of a new science that is based on the knowledge of the cause.

I wrote two books: "GOD IN THE ATOM" and "DODECA 101 LOVE ENERGY" which are available on my website.

I use to practice sport very gladly and am a unique tennis coach. Website: http://www.teslastyle101.com

Volume 9 Issue 6, June 2020 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY