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Abstract: Introduction: Observational studies evaluating the association between long-acting insulins and breast cancer incidence is limited. We conducted a systematic review to compare the incidence of breast cancer between diabetes mellitus (DM) patients on long-acting insulin treatment. Methodology: We systematically searched the literatures from MEDLINE, Science Direct and PROQUEST from 2008 to 2018 to identify all observational studies evaluating the relationship between use of insulin glargine and human Neutral Protamine Hagedorn (NPH) insulin to breast cancer incidence among patients with type-1 and/or type-2 diabetes mellitus (DM) aged ≥ 18 years old. The methodological aspects such as residual confounding and duration of follow-up were assessed. Results: A total of four cohort studies met our inclusion criteria. Follow-up duration ranged from 0.9 to 3.3 years. Two studies showed, the use of insulin glargine was associated with an increased risk of breast cancer in comparison with human NPH insulin and two other studies found no evidence of breast cancer. All of these studies had a short follow-up duration and were not able to examine residual confounding. Conclusion: We found limited support for association of glargine use with increased breast cancer risk compared to human NPH insulin due to the methodological issues. Further studies with better methodological aspects are needed to explain the difference of breast cancer incidence between those treatments.
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1. Introduction

Since 1950s, NPH insulin has been widely used as treatment for type-1 and type-2 DM patients. However, it was reported that NPH insulin has disadvantage of nocturnal hypoglycemia and relatively short-half life, so it requires more frequent administration. [1,2] Because of these limitations, insulin was developed with a modified structure, known as long acting analogue insulin, one of which is insulin glargine.

Long-acting analog insulin might be associated with an increased risk of several cancers. Previous studies showed that long-acting insulin was associated with cancer cell proliferation and disrupts apoptosis because it has a higher binding affinity to insulin growth factor-1 (IGF-1) receptors.[3,4,5] Breast cancer is the most prevalence cancer and the second leading cancer-related death in Indonesia. [6]

We therefore conducted a systematic review to compare the incidence of breast cancer between DM patients on insulin glargine and human NPH insulin.

2. Methodology

This systematic review was conducted following a prespecified protocol and reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. [7] We systematically searched the literatures from three journal databases MEDLINE, Science Direct and PROQUEST from 2008 to 2018 to identify all observational studies evaluating the relationship between use of insulin glargine and human NPH insulin to breast cancer incidence.

We are using advanced search with keywords “diabetes mellitus” AND “insulin glargine OR insulin analogues OR human NPH insulin” AND “breast cancer”. Inclusion criteria were: (1) study design cohort; (2) Study in human; (3) Article is available in English; (4) Available in full text; (5) The study was published in 2008 to 2018; (6) The outcome of the studies must be specific to breast cancer. Any studies not meeting these criteria were excluded.

3. Results

We found a total 1317 articles from three search engine MEDLINE, ScienceDirect, and PROQUEST. After removed duplicates, screened the title and abstract, assessed the eligibility, we found four cohort studies met our inclusion criteria. The reasons of exclusion were no human insulin as comparison (4 articles), only use glargine insulin (4 articles), study design randomized control trial (RCT) and systematic review (4 articles), and malignancy outcome not specific to breast cancer (1 article). The Study protocol using PRISMA flow can be seen in Figure 1.

The study population ranged 19,337 to 115,514 patients (Table 1). The median of age ranged from 60.1 to 63.3 years. The median duration of follow up from the initiation of insulin treatment to breast cancer incidence was 0.9 to 3.3 years. The assessment of biases and methodological issue can be seen in Table 2.
Table 1: Characteristic about observational studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Median Follow-up (years)</th>
<th>Median Age (years)</th>
<th>Study sample size</th>
<th>Adj. Hazard Ratio CI95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruiter [8]</td>
<td>cohort</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>19,337</td>
<td>1.58 (95%CI 1.22-2.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habel [9]</td>
<td>cohort</td>
<td>3.3 (max. 8.6)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>115,514</td>
<td>1.60 (95%CI 0.90-3.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturmer [10]</td>
<td>cohort</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>52,453</td>
<td>0.67 (95%CI 0.18-2.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang [11]</td>
<td>cohort</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>59,433</td>
<td>0.53 (95%CI 0.21-1.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Assessment of biases and methodological issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Short Follow-up (&lt;5 years)</th>
<th>Lack of lag period</th>
<th>Residual confounding*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruiter [8]</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habel [9]</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturmer [10]</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang [11]</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Indicates presence of the methodological issue or bias in the study.
- *Residual confounding as a result of unmeasured confounders (HbA1c and diabetes duration)

4. Discussion

We found limited studies evaluating the relationship between use of insulin glargine and human NPH insulin to breast cancer incidence. A total of four cohort studies met our inclusion criteria. All studies evaluated insulin glargine and also examined human NPH insulin. Follow-up duration ranged from 0.9 to 3.3 years.

Two studies showed, the use of insulin glargine was associated with an increase risk of breast cancer in comparison with human NPH insulin. [8,9] Ruiter et al [8] and Habel et al [9] reported the risk of breast cancer incidence in insulin glargine treatment compared with human NPH insulin was (HR 1.58, 95%CI 1.22-2.05) [8] and (HR 1.6, 95%CI 0.9-3.1) [9], respectively. Two other studies found no evidence that initiating DM patients with insulin glargine leads to a higher risk of breast cancer (HR 0.67, 95%CI 0.18-2.54) [10] and (HR 0.53, 95%CI 0.21-1.31) [11].

Potential limitations in this study including (1) We did not search the gray literature or unpublished work; (2) Search was restricted to studies published in English; (3) All of these studies had a short follow-up duration and were not able to examine residual confounding; (4) This review only focused on the association between insulin glargine, human insulin and breast cancer incidence.

5. Conclusion

In these studies, we found limited support for association of glargine use with increased breast cancer risk compared to human NPH insulin due to the methodological issues. Further studies with better methodological aspects are needed to explain the difference of breast cancer incidence between those treatments.
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