# Significance of Modules with Ascending Chain Condition Which Corresponds of Definite Sorts of Annihilator

Dr. Rehana Parvin<sup>1</sup>, Momotaz Katun<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Mst. Rashida Pervin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Department of Quantitative Sciences (Mathematics), International University of Business Agriculture and Technology, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bangladesh University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract: A left or right module M over a ring with identity e such that multiplication by e is the transformation  $m \rightarrow em$ (respectively  $m \rightarrow me$  for right modules),  $m \in M$  is the identity automorphism of the group M over a commutative ring R with unit represents "n - acc of d - colons" where unitary module's sub module P and the ascending chain R whose elements that hold distinct sequence  $(a_n)_n$  such that  $P: a_1 \subseteq a_1 a_2 \subseteq \dots$  stabilizes. Specially, in this paper we have tried to focus the acc on d - colons and establish that d - colons represents the acc on n - generated submodules for every n, simplifying "Commutative Rings with ACC on n - Generated Ideals by W. Heinzer and David Lantz" a "generalized Nakayma's Lemma" for these modules. Moreover it has showed that every  $R - \mod ule R^1$  for a Noetherian ring R involves n - acc and a sufficient condition for the acc on ideals to the polynomial ring. The goal of this paper is to locate on modules with acc which represents of certain sorts of annihilators and n - acc on d - colons.

Keywords: n-acc, annihilator, d-colons

# 1. Introduction

Some writers [e.g. (3, 4, 5, 8, 10)] have highlighted unitary modules over commutative rings with unitary which represents the ascending chain condition on generated submodules denoted by N (or n - acc) for a positive integer n. In [8], Chin-Pi Lu considered modules satisfying *acc* on a certain type of *colons*. Moreover, he showed the provisions of modules to be n - acc on d - colons'' and *acc* on d - annihilators.

In this paper it has also been showed that a vector space V over a field F WHICH SATISFIES n-acc d-colons that is Noetherian when S denoted a multiplicatively closed (m.c) subset of K over a vector space V satisfying n-acc on d-colons''.

Besides these , few aspects are emphasized on  $R - \mod ule$  satisfying acc on d - annihilators. On the other hand , few perceptions have been floated that is every module M over R satisfies n - acc on d - colons on  $d - annihilators (S^{-1}R)$  and  $S^{-1}R$  satisfies acc on d - annihilators and  $\dim(S^{-1}R) = 0$  and  $S^{-1}R$  is a perfect ring when every flat  $R - \mod ule$  is projective. In addition, every module over a perfect ring and in particular in each quasilocal ring with nilpotent maximal ideal and satisfy acc on annihilator. Then, to extend this study we have found the relation of submodule N and Jacobson radical of R with acc on d - colons

with a module M. We have denoted the symbol by the notation  $M \subseteq N$ .

# 2. Definition

*acc* on d – *colons* is being represented by modules. In this portion, it is mainly focused the type of modules defined by S.Visweswaran in [10] and it will be used the given below glossary:

Annihilator: A common instance is when N is the ring of integers Z and M is a ring or a field  $(R,+,\times)$ : Then the annihilator of D is defined as

$$A_{nn}(D) = \{n \in Z : \forall d \in D : n.d = 0R\} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{when}$$
$$D = R : A_{nn}(R) = \{n \in Z : \forall r \in R : n.r = 0R\}$$

Consider R be a ring and a R-module which is denoted by M. If  $(a_n)_n$  is a sequence of elements of a ring R, the ascending chain  $A_{nn}(a_1) \subseteq A_{nn}(a_1a_2) \subseteq \dots$  of submodules of M stabilizes, we say that M satisfies *acc* on d-annihilators (ascending chain condition on annihilators of descending chains of principal ideals). It should be said that module M satisfies *acc* on d-colons, if for every submodule N of M the module M/N satisfies *acc* on d-annihilators (C) on d-annihilators (C) in [10]].

Volume 9 Issue 2, February 2020 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

## DOI: 10.21275/ART20204444

# **3.** Some Provisions of Modules to be n - acc on d - colons

Consider a module M which lies on a ring R. If module M represents n - acc on d - colons, then it should be said that M satisfies n - acc on d - colons and then M corresponds acc [8, Theorem-1]. In note 2.1 (i), we focus a specimen of a module M over a factor module denoted by M / K for every non-zero submodule K of M such that modules satisfies acc.

## Note 2.1 (i):

*acc* is satisfied by a module denoted by M over a ring R iff so a factor module M / K for every non zero submodule K of M [8, Proposition 6].

(ii) Let P be a number which has only two factors 1 and itself and let a field denoted by F which is expressed as quotient field by the set of integer and prime number's with exponent as integer . Consider an indeterminate X over a

field F. For each  $1 \le n$ , let  $X^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$  denotes the  $p^n$ -th root of X is an algebraic closure F(X).

Let  $R = U_{n=1}^{\infty} F[X^{\frac{1}{p^n}}]$ . Consider  $S = R/\{0\}$ . Then R represents n - acc d - colons but R does not correspond acc [3, Example 2.13].

# Lemma 2.2:

Consider a vector space V over a field F. Then V represents  $n - acc \ d - colons$ .

## **Proof:**

Consider a subspace denoted by W over a vector space Vand let a constant  $\beta$  which also belongs to F [3,Lemma 2]. It should be noted that  $(W:V\beta) = V$  if  $\beta$  means trivial and equal to subspace where assume that  $\beta \neq 0$ . Let  $\{\beta_n\}$ be any sequence of elements of F. When  $\beta_F = 0$  for some  $f \in N$ then for all  $n \ge f$  $(W:V\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n) = (W:V\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_k) = V$ . If  $\beta_i \neq 0$  $i \in N$ all for then  $(W: V\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i) = (W: V\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i) = W$ for all  $i, j \in N$ . This proves that vectors space V represents  $n - acc \ d - colons.$ 

# **Proposition 2.3**:

Vector space V is not Noetherian when S, a multiplicatively closed subset of K over a vector space V corresponds  $n - acc \ d - colons$ .

## **Proof:**

From Lemma 2.2, vectors space V represents n - accd - colons [3,page 148]and so, V satisfies n - acc d-colons for any multiplicatively closed (m.c) subset Sof K. Let S be any m.c subset of field K. Note that  $S \subseteq K/\{0\} = U(K)$ . Hence, for any subspace W of Vand for any  $s \in S$ , sW = W. Since we are assuming that  $\dim_K V$  is infinite, there exists a strictly ascending sequence of subspaces  $W_1 \subset W_2 \subset W_3 \subset \dots$  of V. It is clear that there exist no  $k \in N$  and  $s \in S$  such that  $sW_n \subseteq W_k$  for all  $n \ge k$ . Therefore, V is not S -Noetherian for any m.c subset S of K.

# 4. Some aspects on *R*-module satisfying *acc* on *d*-annihilators

Consider a multiplicatively closed subset S, P is minimal prime, X is an R-module represents *acc* on d-annihilators and  $X_s$  belongs in non zero submodule of R. We are focusing few observations in the below:

- a) acc on d-annihilators is the part of individual submodules of X.
- b) *acc* on d *annihilators* is in the factor module  $X / A_{nn}(A)$  where for every A is a proper subset of a ring R.
- c) Each weakly associated prime of M is an associated prime of X.
- d) *P* i.e. minimal prime is an associated prime of *X* when  $X_P \neq \{0\}$  where *P* is a minimal prime of *R*.
- e) If  $S \subseteq R$  is multiplicatively closed, there is  $s \in S$  such that  $\ker(X \to X_S) = A_{nn}(S)$  and  $X_S$  also corresponds *acc* on *d annihilators*.

## Definition

## **Perfect Ring:**

A ring R is called a perfect ring if every flat R-module is projective. Perfect rings can be elaborated in sundry ways. Such as, a ring R is perfect if and only if R satisfies Descending Chain Condition on principal ideals([12], p.466, Theorem 3.2) if and only if R-module M satisfies Ascending Chain Condition on submodules generated by nelements for each  $n \ge 1$  ([13], p.269,Proposition1.2). Therefore, every quasi-local ring with nilpotent maximal ideal is perfect.

## Theorem 3.1:

Consider a m.c. subset *S* of a ring *R*. Suppose that for any module *M* over a ring *R* and let  $N \in M$ , *N* is any submodule,  $\exists s \in S$  (*s* depends on *N*) such that  $Sat_{S}(N) = (N:_{M} S)$ . Then the following observations are true ([3],Theorem 2.8)

1) Each module M over R satisfies n - acc on d - colons.

# Volume 9 Issue 2, February 2020

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

- 2) Each module M over R i.e.  $S^{-1}R$  corresponds *acc* on d *annihilators*.
- 3)  $S^{-1}R$  represents a c on d annihilators and  $\dim(S^{-1}R) = 0$ .
- 4)  $S^{-1}R$  is a perfect ring.

## **Proof:**

For (1) implies (2)

Let a module M over  $S^{-1}R$ . It is noticeable that M can be regarded as a module over a ring R and hence by (1), M satisfies  $n \ acc \ d-annihilator$  regarded as a module over R. We know [3, Lemma 2.4] that the  $S^{-1}R$ module  $S^{-1}W = W$  satisfies  $acc \ d-annihilators$ . This proves that any  $S^{-1}R$  module satisfies  $acc \ d-annihilators$ . (2) implies (3)

any  $S^{-1}R$  -module satisfies As acc on d – annihilators, it pursues that  $S^{-1}R$  satisfies acc on d-annihilators and any  $S^{-1}R$  module satisfies accd-colon. Therefore we get (2) implies (1) of [9, proposition 2.4] that dim $(S^{-1}R) = 0$ . (3) implies (4) it pursues from (2) implies (3) of [10, Proposition 1.1] that  $S^{-1}R$  is a perfect ring. (4) implies (1) it pursues from (3) implies (1) of [10, proposition 1.1] that M over  $S^{-1}R$ satisfies n - acc on d - annihilator. Consider a module M over R. Now the  $S^{-1}R$  -module  $S^{-1}M$  satisfies n-acc on d-annihilator. We are presuming that given any submodule N of M, there exists  $s \in S$  (s depends on N) such that  $Sat_{s}(N) = (N :_{M}^{S})$ . Therefore, we obtain from (2) implies (1) of [3, Theorem 2.7] that Msatisfies n - acc on d - annihilator. This proves that any module over R satisfies n - acc on annihilator.

## Lemma 3.2:

*acc* on *annihilator* is being satisfied by every module over a perfect ring as well as in particular in each quasi local ring with nilpotent maximal ideal.

## **Proposition 3.3:**

Consider an irreducible submodule N. Then an irreducible N of module M over a ring R which satisfies *acc* on *annihilator* is initial.

## **Proof:**

Let  $pe \in N$ , where  $p \in R$  and  $e \in M - N$ . Then  $N \underset{\neq}{\longrightarrow} N: p$  so that  $N \underset{\neq}{\longrightarrow} N: p^k$  for every  $k \ge 1$ . We have [8, Proposition 1] "Let N be a submodule of an R module M and  $p \in R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent

(1) The ascending chain of submodules  $\{N : p^k\}_{k \in Z^+}$  terminates.

(2) There exists an  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $N : p^n = N : p^{n+1}$ .

(3) There exists an 
$$n \in Z^+$$
 such that  $N = (N : p^h) \cap (N + p^h M)$  for every  $h \ge n$ ."

We get  $N = (N : p^n) \cap (N + p^h M)$  for a sufficiently large integer h. Since N is irreducible,  $N = N + p^h M$ where  $N \supseteq p^h M$ . Hence N is initial or primary. The above one contrast with note 2.1(i).

#### Theorem 3.4:

acc on d-colons included a module M satisfies [Nakayama's Lemma] that is the zero submodule is the only submodule N such that J(R)N = N (where J(R) denotes the Jacobson radical of R)[4,page:474].

## **Proof:**

Consider an R-module M with acc on d-colons and N a submodule of M such that J(R)N = N [4, page: 474]. It is enough to prove that  $N = \{0\}$ , and for this M be an R-module such that every N has a initial decomposition. Then the common of the submodules initial to maximal ideals is 0. It tends to prove that submodule is bounded in any primary submodule which radical is a maximal ideal. Consider that there is a maximal ideal P of R and a P-primary submodule N' of M such that  $N \subset N'$ .

Then it is not tough to check that N': N is a P-primary ideal in R. Since PN = N, we get (N':N): P = N': N and there is [11, p.298]  $a_1 \in P$  such that  $(N':N): a_1 = N': a_1N$  is a P-primary ideal that properly bounds N': N. Again we have  $(N':a_1N): P = N': a_1N$ .

This yields an  $acc(N':N):a_1 \subset (N':N):a_1a_2 \subset \dots \in R$ . But since M / N' has acc on d-annihilators implies that (R/N':N) has acc on d-annihilators, which contradicts.

## References

- [1] D. Frohn , A counterexample concerning accp in power series rings, *Comm. Alg., in press.*
- [2] D.L. Costa, Some remarks on the acc on annihilators, Comm. In Alg. 18 (1990), 635-658.

# Volume 9 Issue 2, February 2020

## <u>www.ijsr.net</u>

# Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

## DOI: 10.21275/ART20204444

- [3] S.Visweswaran, Some results on modules satisfying sstrong accr , *Arab J. Math Sci.* 25(2) (2019) 145-155.
- [4] Daniel Frohn, Modules with n-acc and the acc on certain types of annihilators , *Journal of Algebra* 256(2002) 467-483.
- [5] Willium Heinzer, Commutative Rings with Acc on n-Generated Ideals, *Journal of Algebra 80, 261-278(1983).*
- [6] H.Ahmed, H. Sana , Modules satisfying the S-Noetherian property and S-ACCR, *Commu. Algebra* 44(2016) 1941-1951.
- [7] D.D Anderson, T. Dumitrescu, S-Noetherian Rings, Comm. Algebra 30(9) (2002), 4407-4416.
- [8] C.P. Lu, Modules satisfying ACC on a certain type of colons, *Pacific J. Math.* 131(2) (1988) 303-318.
- [9] S. Visweswaran, ACCR Pairs, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 81(1992) 313-334.
- [10] S. Visweswaran, Some results on modules satisfying
  (C), J. Ramanujan Math. Society 11(2) (1996) 161-174.
- [11] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [12] S.U. Chase, Direct products of modules, *Trans Amer. Math. Soc.97(1960)*, 457-473.
- [13] G. Renault, Sur des conditions de chaines ascendants dans des modules libres, J. Algebra, 47(1977), 268-275.