NeoLISA - A New Method of Less Invasive Surfactant Administration Developed based on in Situ Simulation

Dr. Shilpa Kalane (DNB)¹, Dr Arti Rajhans (MD)²

^{1, 2}Consultant Neonatology, Deenanath Mangeshkar Hospital, Pune 04, India Corresponding author email id: *drshilpakalane[at]gmail.com*

Short title: Less Invasive Surfactant Administration

Abstract: <u>Background</u>: Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) caused by surfactant deficiency is the major cause of respiratory distress in preterm neonates. Exogenous surfactant therapy is effective in reducing RDS-related mortality and morbidity. Due to the complications of surfactant administration, minimal or less invasive administration techniques have been developed and appear promising. <u>Objective</u>: To address the knowledge gaps in less invasive surfactant administration techniques at the level of the health care worker (HCW). <u>Methodology</u>: Four simulation-training sessions were conducted in April 2020, two before and two after the NeoLISA technique was developed. The neonatal clinical team consisted of neonatology specialists and neonatal nurses. <u>Results</u>: Each simulation session lasted for approximately 30 minutes, including debriefing. The learnings during this process were discussed, and the new technique of NeoLISA was developed. The key change was the development of method to confirm the catheter position so as to minimize the surfactant wastage. <u>Conclusion</u>: A new method for surfactant administration was developed. An innovative bedside clinical method confirming catheter position into the trachea has been developed.

Keywords: Preterm, LISA, surfactant, RDS, neonate

1. Introduction

The technique of intubation, surfactant administration and extubation (INSURE) has been widely practiced. The difficulties and limitations of the INSURE technique have led to less invasive modes of delivering surfactant to preterm infants with RDS. Some techniques involve the use of instrumentation to aid passage of the catheter tip through the vocal cords (e.g., Magill forceps), yet others use no internal guide and rely on the skill of the clinician to direct the catheter into the trachea (1). Beyond these original reports, a wide range of different catheters has now been used for surfactant delivery, including umbilical, suction, and urethral catheters, inserted by both oral and nasal routes (2,3). However, for a bedside clinician, many procedurerelated issues remain unaddressed. Hence, we decided to address the knowledge gaps in the technique of less invasive surfactant administration.

2. Methods and Materials

We expected the new technique to fulfil the objective of ensuring surfactant administration below the vocal cords. To reach this goal, we developed a novel simulation session consisting of a preterm neonate with RDS on continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) requiring surfactant replacement therapy. A convenience sample of neonatology consultants, residents and nurses consented to participate. The 15-minute simulation session was run with two learners, an embedded simulation nurse and a low-fidelity mannequin in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) setting. The "newborn" was a low fidelity simulator (Laerdal Medical, Stavanger, Norway). After each scenario, a debriefing was performed, and feedback was sought from all involved as to what actions needed to change (Table 2). Based on this, the second scenario was written down and conducted. This iterative process was continued for a total of two scenarios. The difficulties encountered by the learners during initial simulation sessions were addressed, and a new technique of surfactant administration called NeoLISA was developed (table 1).

A series of lectures were taken, and learners were educated in the NeoLISA method of surfactant administration. The same simulation case scenario was again run with the same learner group. Following the completion of the final simulation sessions, the checklist of critical actions focusing on the skills important for the HCW performing NeoLISA was developed (Table 2). We conducted this process during the second lockdown phase and felt the need to publish as valuable information was obtained. Our institution's ethics committee's regular meetings were discontinued, and a formal ethical approval process was not possible. However, we state that we have followed all ethical processes possible as laid down in the National Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical and Health Research involving Human Participants published by the ICMR in 2017.

3. Results

We completed four simulation sessions. At baseline, all participants (n = 8) demonstrated a knowledge deficit in measures to ensure surfactant delivery. Post-final simulation, all said the new technique was helpful in terms of ease of administration and ensuring the feeding tube position. In Table 1, we have presented detailed results-based learning with reasoning that helped develop the new technique NeoLISA.

Volume 9 Issue 11, November 2020 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2019): 7.583

NeoLISA method of surfactant administration: A five Fre feeding tube was used for tracheal catheterization. The catheter is cut at a 30 cm mark to have a smaller length for ease of administration. The tube depth of insertion is determined by the nasal-tragus length (NTL) + 1 cm formula (4). The position at which the tube is to be fixed at the upper lip is marked using sterile tape. With the CPAP (with short binasal prongs) and orogastric tube (OGT) in situ, tracheal catheterization is performed under all asep-tic precautions, and the tube tip below the vocal cord is confirmed on direct laryngos-copy by the bedside clinician. No equipment was used to catheterize the trachea. Sur-factant is administered as a single bolus as quickly as possible by the neonatal nurse. The catheter position during the procedure is being monitored by the pediatric resident by auscultation of crackling sound over both lung fields and continuous aspiration of gastric contents. The absence of cracking sound from the stomach is considered cathe-ter dislodgment. After surfactant administration, the catheter is removed, and bubble CPAP is continued. Throughout the procedure, the baby's vital parameters are moni-tored.

4. Discussion

This new technique shows a feasible way to intubate the trachea with a soft flexible feeding tube, confirm its position and handle the crisis during the procedure. Similar toKanmaz et al., we used a soft 5 Fr feeding tube for endotracheal insertion (1). Bedside clinician skill was relied upon to direct the feeding tube into the trachea. While the original reports mention details of catheter insertion and method of insertion, we inno-vated following clinical skills to confirm the tube position in the trachea: 1. Depth of insertion equal to NTL+1, 2. Crackling sound in the left axillary and infra axillary are-as while surfactant is being administered, 3. Absence of surfactant on aspiration orogastric tube, 4. Change in quality of cry. The presence of the first 2 criteria with or without the other two confirms catheter position below the vocal cords and above the trachea. We have also addressed likely complications during the LISA procedure.

While attempting to address a major knowledge gap in confirming catheter position during the procedure, we

developed a new technique of NeoLISA. We propose that sur-factant administration by a skilled neonatologist using NeoLISA is feasible with no wastage of surfactant from the marginal error of feeding tube displacement.

Competing interests: None

Funding: None

Conflicts of interest: None

Funding: None

Authors contribution

- Dr. Shilpa Kalane participated in conceptualization, literature search, drafting the article, and approval of the final manuscript.
- Dr Arti Rajhans Participated in data collection and drafting of the manuscript

5. Acknowledgment

We thank Dr Rajan Joshi &Dr VaibhaviUpadhyeDeenanath Mangeshkar Hospital for her valuable guidance.

References

- [1] Kanmaz H.G., Erdeve O., CanpolatF.E., et al. Surfactant administration via thin catheter during spontaneous breathing: randomized controlled trial.Pediatrics 2013; 131: pp. e502-e509.
- [2] Klotz D., Porcaro U., Fleck T., et al. European perspective on less invasive surfactant administration-a survey.Eur J Pediatr 2017; 176: pp. 147-154.
- [3] Heiring C., Jonsson B., Andersson S., et al. Survey shows large differences between the Nordic countries in the use of less invasive surfactant administration.ActaPaediatr 2017; 106: pp. 382-386.
- [4] Wyckoff MH, Aziz K, Escobedo MB, et al. Part 13: Neonatal Resuscitation: 2015 American Heart Association Guidelines Update for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care (Reprint). Pediatrics. 2015; 136 Suppl2:S196-S218.

| Delta | Knowledge gaps addressed |
|------------------------------|--|
| Inclusion Criteria | Neonates at all gestation age with $CPAP \ge 6$ cm H2O with FiO2 ≥ 0.3 to maintain SpO2 in local target |
| | range. |
| Exclusion Criteria | Neonates at all gestation age with severe respiratory distress, requiring $CPAP >= 7$ and $FiO2 >= 0.6$. |
| | Alternative cause for respiratory distress. Maxillofacial, tracheal or bronchopulmonary malformation. No |
| | experienced personnel available. |
| Preparation before the | As mentioned in table 3 |
| procedure | |
| Minimization of discomfort | Nonpharmacologic means of enhancing tolerance of the tracheal catheterization procedure include |
| | swaddling, tactile stimulation, and administration of 1 drop of own mother's milk or donor human milk. |
| Maintenance of respiration | Pre procedure Caffeine |
| and avoidance of bradycardia | If the neonate if on bubble CPAP ensure bubbling |
| Which catheter to be used? | 5 Fr feeding tube |
| How to deal with the | • Select the feeding tube which is straight from the hub to the number 30. |
| flexibility of the catheter? | Perform good oropharyngeal suction with 8 or 10 Fr suction catheter before the procedure |
| Time and attempts for | Tracheal catheterization should not take >30 seconds. |
| tracheal catheterization? | No more than two attempts in case of failure of catheterization. |
| Depth of insertion | • Use the formula NTL+1 to decide the depth of insertion. Tape the marking at which the catheter should |

 Table 1: Knowledge gaps raised during simulation sessions and addressed.

Volume 9 Issue 11, November 2020

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2019): 7.583

| | be fixed at upper lip using the formula. |
|------------------------------|--|
| | Also confirm the position by direct laryngoscopy during catheterization. |
| Ensuring surfactant delivery | • Catheter positioning is confirmed by auscultating cracking sound in axillary and infra axillary areas of |
| below vocal cords | the left lung throughout the instillation process. |
| | • Pushing 0.1 ml air through the tube at the end of the procedure, confirms the catheter position as well as |
| | minimizes the wastage of surfactant. |
| | • Change in baby's cry quality is another indirect marker of catheter position below vocal cord level. |
| | Absence of surfactant on aspiration of OGT during the procedure. |
| Catheter displacement during | Diagnosis - Absence of crackling sound over left lung field and or presence of surfactant on OGT |
| the procedure | aspiration. |
| | Steps to be taken - Confirm the position with direct laryngoscopy. |
| | To re catheterize or intubate for INSURE will be the bedside neonatologist's decision. |
| Apnea during the procedure | The entire process of NeoLISA should not take >1 minute. |
| | Early identification of apnea and prompt intervention is the key to prevent failure of LISA. |
| | If the baby is apneic during the procedure, stimulate the baby and increase CPAP. if the baby does not |
| | respond, abandon the procedure and follow the resuscitation protocol (5). |
| Postprocedure care | Wean CPAP based on the clinical response and SpO2. |

Table 2: Checklist for the procedure of NeoLISA

| | The checklist for NeoLISA | Tick if yes |
|----|--|-------------|
| 1 | Obtains consent | |
| 2 | 2 Checks the inclusion & exclusion criteria for NeoLISA | |
| 3 | Performs equipment check | |
| 4 | Performs role allocation | |
| 5 | Administers Inj Caffeine | |
| 6 | Selects the feeding tube and determines it's depth of insertion | |
| 7 | Performs interventions to minimize discomfort during the procedure | |
| 8 | Follows aseptic precautions | |
| 9 | Performs tracheal catheterisation with CPAP in situ | |
| 10 | Evaluates catheter position during and after surfactant administration | |
| 11 | Adequately evaluates respiration and HR during and after the procedure | |

Table 3: Preparation of NeoLISA - equipment / supplies

| 1 | Continuous cardiovascular monitoring equipment |
|----|---|
| 2 | Surfactant |
| 3 | Size 5 Fr feeding tube |
| 4 | Surfactant |
| 5 | 5ml or 10ml syringe (dose dependent) |
| 6 | Large gauge needle (18g, 19g or 20g) |
| 7 | Alcohol swab 70% |
| 8 | Sterile towel or drape (2) |
| 9 | Sterile tapes |
| 10 | Sterile scissors or blade |
| 11 | Emergency equipment: T piece resuscitator and mask, suction, AMBU, Endotracheal tube. |