

# Internal Supply Chain Integration and Cost Operational Performance in Sudanese, Service Institutions (Medical Field)

Hawaa Abdallah Belal Saadallah<sup>1</sup>, Nuseiba Azzam Ibrahim<sup>2</sup>, Lina Mohamed Ahmed Babaker<sup>3</sup>, Ibrahim Abdelrasoul Mohammed<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Faculty of Community, Department of Humanitarians and Management Science, Jouf University, Saudi Arabia.  
Corresponding Author E-mail: [hawa59\[at\]gmail.com](mailto:hawa59[at]gmail.com)

Faculty of financial And Business Administration, Department of Business Administration- Peace University, Sudan

<sup>2</sup>Department of business administration, College of Business Studies -Sudan University of Science & Technology, Khartoum, Sudan  
E-mail: [nuseibaazzam\[at\]yahoo.com](mailto:nuseibaazzam[at]yahoo.com)

<sup>3</sup>Department of business administration, Business Studies Section –Elbyan Science & Technology College, Khartoum, Sudan  
E-mail: [farok1988\[at\]gmail.com](mailto:farok1988[at]gmail.com)

<sup>4</sup>Associate Professor, Colleague of Business Administration, Department of Economics and Finance, Ha'il University, Saudi Arabia  
E-mail: [fize1970\[at\]gmail.com](mailto:fize1970[at]gmail.com)

**Abstract:** *The purpose of this study is to investigate the influence of Supply Chain integration (SCI) on services institutions (medical field) Operational Performance (OP). The current study is considered as a causality study, it investigates the effect of SCI elements on services Organizations' (medical field) OP. The study surveyed the managers working at the services Organizations. Practical data collected from 307 managers out of 330 managers, by means of a questionnaire, which developed and refined through experts' interviews and the panel of judges committee. Statistical techniques such as descriptive statistics, correlation, and multiple regressions employed. The results of the study indicated a positive significant relationship between SCI and services institutions OP. The results also indicated that the managers in services organizations (medical field) were almost similar in their internal integration indicators. However, internal integration indicated that there are strong inter-relationships and interactions among the one component of SCI between them and OP. Finally, the results showed that the respondents believed that there is a strong relationship between SCI and OP. Results indicated that the internal integration was having the highest effect on OP(cost performance),. Finally, the current study recommend considering improving the one component of SCI because they are strongly interrelated.*

**Keywords:** Supply Chain Integration (SCI), Internal Integration (II), Operational Performance (OP), Cost performance (medical field).

## 1. Introduction

Recent technological advancement of communication and transportation lead to globalization. Due to globalization customers' needs and requirements have been changed and developed. Customers need a suitable product in suitable place at suitable time with high quality and suitable cost. Any organization would like to compete in recent hypermarket should match with the above-mentioned customers' requirements. To fulfill the customers' requirements organizations should improve all their activities and processes. Supply chain management is a system that improves all activities, which carried out by organization. Supply chain management is a complex system, which covers all supportive activities from suppliers to after sales services. To be able to grow and survive any organization has to identify its strengths and weaknesses, to re-enforce on strengths and overcome weaknesses. Implementing supply chain management can be a source of competitive advantages, which lead to better overall organizations' performance.

Alam, A.; Bagchi, P.K.; Kim, B.; Mitra, C.; and Seabra, F. (2014).stated that integration in supply chain plays a dominant role for improving organization's performance and gaining competitive advantage. Al-Shaar, I. (2010).said that

to utilize the supply chain at its maximum performance level, organizations have to integrate its goals and activities together (Al-Tarawneh, K.; and Shlash, A. (2015).) mentioned that supply-chain partners need to focus on various elements to ensure competitive advantage: price negotiation to increase in margin, and financial collaboration to ensure innovative product design. Bagchi, P.K.; Skjoett-Larsen, T.; Ha, B.C.; and Soerensen, L.B. (2005).announced that supply chain management requires integration and coordination for satisfying and responding to change in consumer demand. Finally, Bowersox, D.; Closs, D.J.; and Stank, T.P. (1999).pointed out that supply chain integration influences performance.

Therefore, it seems that its worth to study the effect of integration of supply chain processes and activities on operational performance, so this study investigates the impact of supply chain integration on operational performance at services organizations (medical field).There are many challenges and obstacles were confronting supply chain management which in turn affected the overall performance at these organizations. First, different departments are concerned with achieving their own objectives separately. Second, supply chain activities and processes, performed by different departments without specialized people. Third, continuous changes in rules and

regulation which imposed by service sector and medical field specially they have other universal regulations associations which lead to delay in supplier selection and delay in preparation of the inputs to services organization. Finally, continuous changing in customer needs and requirements due to tough competitions among the organizations. Consequently, this lead to difficulties in integrating supply chain activities and processes, which delay providing products and services to customers, in suitable place at suitable time and losing of competitive advantage. **Firstly**, Most of the studies that addressed the operational performance in general focused on the manufacturing companies, production companies and pharmaceutical sector where these studies neglected the service companies (medical field), which represent a true foundation of the national economy, as a play an active role in development of economic and social growth through providing and diversifying services, achieving developmental goals and creating job opportunities. Therefore, this research focused on the studying of operational performance in service companies (medical field), and this is what the previous studies have failed to deal with.

**Secondly**, this study will explore the relationship between supply chain integration and operational performance. The previous studies such Bowersox (2000), Bowersox, D.; Closs, D.J.; and Copper, M.B. (2007), Babin, B. (1998), Baldwin, K. S., & Tinsley, H. E. (1988), and Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). Studied different types to supply chain integration, this study focus on three dimensions of supply chain integration: internal integration, supplier integration, customer integration as a dimensions of supply chain integration influence operational performance. Internal integration was considered, because it is an important and involves obtaining the goals when using and share organization information, thus, should be important for operational performance (Bowersox, D.; Closs, D.J.; and Stank, T.P., 2000). Customer integration is considered, because they important for organization when it satisfied them, business activities and focus on affects that are important in operational performance (Bowersox, D.; Closs, D.J.; and Copper, M.B., 2007). It attempts to create and use new knowledge to develop new products/services, which should also be critical for operational performance (Bettencourt, L. A., & Brown, S. W. 2003). So there is no previous studies investigated the relationship between operational performances with supply chain integration with dimensions, hence, this study designed to address the relationships between supply chain integration with operational performance.

**Finally**, previous studies regarding supply chain integration, operation performance have focused mainly on a specific sector, such as manufacturing. (Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J., 1993), Borucki, C. C., & Burke, M. J., 1999), or production (Bowen, J., & Ford, R. C., 2004), Pharmaceutical sector (Chen, I.J.; and Paulraj, A., 2004) Food industry (Cheng, T.C.E.; Ngai, E.W.T.; and Lai, K.H., 2004). This study covers service sectors (medical field) including private hospitals, private medical centers and private medical services. Thus, this research addresses the gaps and limitations in the literature by investigating the

link between supply chain integration, operational performance. Generally, this research will examine the operational performance. In addition, the research will investigate the relationship between supply chain integration and operational performance in services firms (medical field) in Khartoum State. Therefore, its worth to study the supply chain integration, thus the objective of this research is to answer the following question: Is supply chain integration effect operational performance in services organizations (medical field)?

The main objective of this study is to investigate the impact of supply chain integration on operational performance in services organizations (medical field). This research also will provide recommendations to services Organizations (medical field), more specifically for decision makers. Finally, this study will contribute to scientific field. The research organized as follows, the first sub-section represents the theoretical contribution, which considered in terms of the following areas of knowledge: The research contributes to bridging the knowledge gap that is not covered in the previous studies, especially in Sudan, on the impact of operation performance in supply chain integration to creating creative performance of workers. This study is an attempt to build a conceptual framework that will contribute to theories and practice in the field of operational performance. The study will provide scientific guidelines and advices through the services firms operating in Sudan to achieve the efficiency and the effectiveness. Therefore, it may be providing a new scientific method, as the study will combine different variables that diagnose the interaction of their variables, which lead to development of new concepts, data and relationships on their subjects. Several practical contributions expected to emerge from the current research representing in. Contribute to knowledge the level of dimensions in operation performance so that organizations can provide quality indicators in supply chain integration as indicators of quality measurement in performance. The results of the study and suggestions recommendations related to the supply chain integration, creative operation performance and the possibility of benefiting from the outputs of study in the reality practical of the service organizations sector (medical field). The study can also draw the attention of managers and decision makers to the importance of user satisfaction in the service organization sector (medical field), in order to enhance its role in operation performance, which helps to create performance. The study can also draw the attention of managers and decision makers to the importance of user satisfaction in the service organization sector (medical field), in order to enhance its role in operation performance, which helps to create performance.

## 2. Literature Review

Different authors defined supply chain integration and operational performance in different ways, each definition was tailored according to the nature of the study, industry, and research objective. Supply chain integration is about collaboration, cooperation and coordination among different players of supply chain which enhances organization's performance. The following section will tackle the concepts

of supply chain integration and operational performance, as well as, the relationship between them.

## 2.1 Supply Chain

Supply chain is considered as a system that includes group of activities, processes and sub-processes such as procurement, operations, transportation, warehousing. It aims to provide the products and/or services to either consumer or customer starting with purchasing materials and equipment then transforming it to semi- finished products that will reprocessed again to produce the final products.

Supply chain management is concerned with the planning and managing the flow of materials, products and services among and between these processes. The ultimate goals of managing supply chain is to provide the products at the agreed delivery time, suitable quality, and competitive price to the customers, and that is reflected by the customer's satisfaction and the overall organizational performance.

The concept of supply chain has been evolved over time. Chopra, S.; and Meindl, P. (2007) stated that supply chain consists of all parties involved directly or indirectly in fulfilling customer demand, it includes all functions involved in receiving and fulfilling a customer's requests. These functions include manufacturers and suppliers, warehouses, transporters, retailers, and final customers, and the objective of every supply chain is to maximize the overall value created. Chris, E.I; Briggs, C.; and Bachkar, K. (2009), stated that "Supply chain management is the forming of networks for sourcing raw materials, manufacturing products or creating services, storing and distributing the goods, and delivering them to customers and consumers". Then they added that the concept of supply chain is used first to reduce costs, and then to improve customer service and get new products to market faster than others. Finally, Chris, E.; Dunu, E.; and Gebremikael, F., (2010). Defined supply chain as it is the interrelated series of processes within a firm and across different firms that produce a products or service to the satisfaction of customers.

In summary, the concept of supply chain management was recently introduced which covers all activities carried out by organizations to collaborate with suppliers and customers to satisfy customers' needs, requirements and preferences.

## 2.2 Supply Chain Integration:

Due to the intense of global competition. The organizations create cooperative and mutually beneficial relationship among supply chain partners. Cirtita, H.; and Segura, D.A.G. (2012), Cooper, M.C.; Lambert, D.M.; and Pagh, J.D. (1997), and Croxton, K.; Garcia-Dastugue, S.; Lambert, D.; and Rogers, D. (2001), pointed out that organizations or companies need to implement supply chain integration to meet the new challenges of the global competitive environment. Many studies propose different supply chain definitions, Devaraj, S.; Wei, J.C.; and Krajewski, L. (2007), Droge, C.; Jayaram, J.; and Vickery, S.K. (2004) and Fabbe-Costes, N. and Jahre, M. (2007), defined integration of supply chain as a process of collaboration in which companies work together in a cooperative manner to arrive

at mutually acceptable outcomes. Fawcett, S.E.; Osterhaus, P.; Magnan, G.M.; Brau, J.C.; and McCarter, M.W. (2007) described supply chain integration as "the degree to which an organization strategically collaborates with its supply chain partners and manages intra- and inter-organization processes to achieve effective and efficient flows of products, services, information, money and decisions, with the objective of providing maximum value to its customers". Flynn, B.B.; Huo, B.; and Zhao, X., (2010) defined supply chain integration as "the effective coordination of supply chain processes through the seamless flow of information up and down the supply chain". Supply chain integration can be defined as the process through which all parties who involved with supply chain; supplier, organizations and customers, are working independently and dependently in a harmony way to achieve a unite objectives such as providing maximum customer value, lowering overall cost. Forslund, H.; and Jonsson, P. (2009), Frohlich, M.T.; and Westbrook, R. (2001) said that supply chain integration is a key to the success of companies and supply chains.

In this study. Supply chain integration defined as, "the process of collaboration within supply chain players that manage inter and intra-organization activities; to achieve effective and efficient flow of products; services and information, to provide a maximum value to the customer in right place; at suitable price and high speed" as such and supply chain integration will be measured by: internal, supplier and customer integration.

## 2.3 Internal Integration

Internal integration is the center of gravity for both suppliers and customers and it is considered the linchpin that maintains the stability and continuity for all supply chain parties, so the organization couldn't make neither supplier nor customer integration without internal integration. Building the proper supply chain strategy depends heavily on the existence of clear and shared goals, which originally derived from the adoption of all departments of the organizational mission, vision, and objectives. In the presence of such consensus, each department is considering two types of customers. The first customer is the main customer that the organization plans to provide with the final product or service, and the second customer is the department or the employee where depending on the other output to continue achieving their tasks and thus achieving the overall organizational objectives.

Many researchers were defining internal integration. Among them, Fynes, B.; Voss, C.; and de Burca, S. (2005), defined internal integration as "the degree to which a manufacturer structures its own strategies; practices and processes into synchronized, collaborative processes to fulfill its customers' requirements and efficiently interact with suppliers", Gimenez, C.; and Ventura, E. (2005) said that "the internal integration stresses organizational structure, procedures and practices, so it must be collaborative and synchronized to fulfill customer requirements".

In this study, internal integration defined as the process of maintaining cross-functional cooperation and collaboration

within the organization that intends to achieve organizational strategic goals. It was measured by a group of items that identified the nature of relationship, coordination and collaboration among organizational departments

#### 2.4 Supply Chain Operational Performance:

The concept of supply chain operational performance has been emerged from supply chain strategy, which derived from overall business strategy. A competitive strategy defined as "the set of customer needs that it seeks to satisfy through its products and services" (Gimenez, C.; Vaart, T.V.D.; and Donk, D.P.V., 2011). Each organization attempt to adopt different competitive strategy that fit to its strategy, then it seeks to afford the suitable capabilities and resources that help to achieve it. For example, one organization aims to provide high quality products with high price, another organization aims to provide high availability of a variety of products of reasonable quality at low price, while another organization aims to provide too many products so its competitive strategy must be built , around providing the customer convenience, availability, and responsiveness, and so on.

Any company intended to be successful must fit between supply chain strategy and its competitive strategy. Hamad, Z.M.M. (2013), comment on strategic fit that it is refers to the consistency between the customer priorities that the competitive strategy hope to satisfy.

Academicians and researchers have investigated supply chain performance from many different perspectives. Han, J.; Omta, S.W.F.; and Trienekens, J. H. (2007), developed supply chain performance measures based on efficiency. Han, J.; Lu, H.; Trienekens, J.H.; and Omta, S.W.F. (2013), studied profits, delivery speed and transportation costs as a performance measures. Harrison, A.; and New, C. (2002), investigated firm's supply performance that composed of flexibility, cost, relationship and responsiveness.

Hult, G.T.M.; Ketchen, D.J.; Jr, and Nichols, E.L. Jr (2002) and Huo, B. (2012), stated that eliminating non-added value activities, decreasing variance of orders and speeding product flows affect organizations performance. Jassim, M. (2010), mentioned that IT and process innovation can contribute significantly to operational performance. Jin, Y.H.; and Fawcett, A.M.; and Fawcett, S.E. (2012), said that organizations must recognize the nature of trade-offs between customer services and costs. The organizations attempt to gain competitive advantages by aligning supply chain processes and decisions with its business strategy. Jr, K.W.G.; Whitten, D.; and Inman, R.A. (2008), stated that supply chain strategy should ensure that supply chain provides a superior value to the end user in an efficient manner. Juran, M.J.; Godfrey, A.B. (1998), emphasized that organization success depend heavily on the success of supply chain; in which the organization participates as a partner. Patel, Pankaj C., ArashAzadegan, and Lisa M. Ellram(2013), reviewed Porter's competitive strategies (lower cost, focus and differentiation) and argued that business strategy focuses on improving the competitive position of a business units, products and/or services within specific

industry or market segment. Tucker, Trent Randolph (2011), indicated that supplier network resources have a significant impact on firm's performance. Chuang,S.-H (2016), concluded that logistic integration has mediating effect on operational performance.

Ding, Lin, Wayne F. Velicer, and Lisa L. Harlow (1995) and Chan, Felix TS, and Alain Yee-Loong Chong (2013) said that the use of external linkage performance metrics leads to the creation of end-customer value through integrating activities and communication with other member firms along the supply chain. Nunnally, J.C., & Bernstein, I.H (1994), pointed out the importance of operational performance metrics as a standard framework to assess operational performance that includes internal and external firm links. Cronbach, Lee J. (1951), presented the criteria of performance evaluation through cost, customer service, productivity, asset measurement, quality, time, innovativeness, price, flexibility / adaptability, ability to collaborate, supplier profile, and marketing measures.

This study considered the operational performance as a group of standards and benchmarks that adopted and used by the organizations, to achieve competitive advantage, customer satisfaction, and maximum level of profitability. In this study supply chain operational performance measured by, the following dimensions: Cost performance because they considered as the most common dimensions which investigated between previous studies.

#### 2.4 Cost performance

Building the strategy based on reducing the overall costs entail to run out the following: reducing inventories, maximum utilization of resources, work- in- process inventory turnover, and eliminating non-added value activities.

Likely the most common and important measure in evaluating operational supply chain is cost. Calantone, Roger J., Jeffrey B. Schmidt, and X. Michael Song (1996), defined the cost as the total cost incurred to accomplish specific operation. Organization attempt to decrease prices and maximizing profit. Jöreskog, K.G. & Sörbom, D (1999), defined cost as the summation of all costs that includes inbound and outbound freight, warehouse cost, third party storage cost, order processing cost, direct labor cost, administrative and service costs. Kozlenkova, Irina V., Stephen A. Samaha, and Robert W. Palmatier(2014), defined the cost as "the total costs associated with operating the supply chain". In this research the author defined the cost as the total costs and expenses that are incurred by completing all/and or specific activities and operations within supply chain. It measured by, selected items that reflect the total incurred costs and expenses.

Referring to the above previous studies and the referring to the importance of supply chain management and the resulting of substantial benefits as a result of integration, the researcher was investigating the supply chain integration as an independent variable represented by: internal, customer integration, the operational performance as a dependent



variable represented by: service performance, cost performance.

### 2.5 Relationship between Supply Chain Integration and Operational Performance

In the literature reviews, it shown that there is a strong relationship between supply chain integration and performance. Some studies claimed that there is a strong relationship between supplier and customer integration and organizational performance, other studies comments the presence of relationship between upstream and downstream interactions and operational performance, another group of studies assured the inevitability of relationship between supplier, internal, and customer integration with the overall organizational performance.

Almost all studies concluded that the supply chain integration considered as vital process that affects operational performance, consequently the organizations' overall business performance.

Kim, S.W. (2006), concluded that supply chain practices were positively associated with aggregation measures of cost and flexibility. Koufteros, X.A.; Vonderembse, M.; and Jayaram, J. (2005); Koufteros, X.A.; Lai, K.H.; and Cheng, T.C.E (2007); and Krajewski, L.J.; Ritzman, L.P.; and Malhorta, M.K. (2013), found a positive and direct relationship between information technology integration and supply chain integration, Lambert, D.; and Copper, M. (2000), said that: internal integration of different departments within a firm should act as integrated process. Lockamy, A and McCormack, K. (2004); Gimenez and Luthje, T.; Arlbjorn, J.S. (2012) and Liao, H., & Chuang, A. (2004), showed the importance of downstream integration. Mentzer, John T., William DeWitt(2013), stated that supply chain integration affects operational performance, and the degree of integration influences cost and efficiency. Monk, E.T; and Wanger, B.J. (2013) and Calantone, Roger J., Jeffrey B. Schmidt, and X. Michael Song (1996), pointed out that external integration emphasizes the importance of cooperation and collaboration with suppliers and customers.

So in this study it assume that there is positive relationship between supply chain integration with their dimension (internal integration) and operational performance with their dimension (cost performance) in service sector ( medical field ) that consider on ( private hospitals, private medical centers, private medical institutions) in Khartoum state.

### 2.6 Resource-Based View (RBV) Theory

Resource-Based View, Resource Dependence Theory emphasizes the term "resource" as an important feature within the context of the formulation and implementation of corporate strategy in order to generate persistent competitive advantages Narasimhan, R.; and Kim, S.W. (2002). However, unlike the Resource-Based View, Resource Dependence Theory looks at the company from an external perspective Narasimhan, R.; and Kim, S.W. (2002). Thus, the dependence of a company on external resources allows it to acquire new businesses; to create co-operations and strategic alliances, and merge with other companies

Resource-based view seeks the sources of competitive advantage from within the organization, analyzing its strengths and weaknesses. According to this view, companies can gain competitive advantage if they able to achieve superior resources and capabilities and these are valuable, rare, inimitable and non- substitutable Narasimhan, R.; and Kim, S.W. (2002). Thus, the objective is to identify, develop and deploying key resources to maximize returns, the relational view finds the source of competitive advantage in the collaboration between firms and more specific, it identifies four sources of inter-organizational competitive advantage: relation specific assets, knowledge sharing routines, complementary resources / abilities and effective governance Okello, J.O.; and Were, S. (2014).

Jin, Y.H.; and Fawcett, A.M.; and Fawcett, S.E. (2012), RBV further suggests that the value of SCI as a resources lies in its ability to create organizational processes that drive firms to prioritize supply chain relationships. SCI as an intangible capability allows managers to use both formal and informal relationship mechanisms among supply chain members to facilitate a long-term approach to SCM Chris, E.; Dunu, E.; and Gebremikael, F. (2010).

more interactions or negotiations the company undertakes, with its external environment, the more assured it will become in response to its access to resources, and the more dependent, it becomes on the groups which own the resources it needs, Pagell, M. (2004). The company is constantly being watched by the external groups which control its resources, and are therefore able to influence the entire resource allocation process Pagell, M. (2004). based on the theoretical point of view, this study will develop a testable hypotheses.

### 3. Hypotheses Development

Based on the problem statement and its elements, the following hypotheses can be derived:


#### The relationship between supply chain integration and operational performance.

In literature, number of scholars; like, Bowersox, D.; Closs, D.J.; and Stank, T.P. (2000), Bowersox, D.; Closs, D.J.; and Copper, M.B. (2007), Babin, B. J., & Boles, J. S. (1998), Baldwin, K. S., & Tinsley, H. E. (1988), Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986), and others, discussed supply chain integration concept, as important factor in developing supply chain focus, to enhance the organizational performance. Bettencourt, L. A., & Brown, S. W. (2003), Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993), Borucki, C. C., & Burke, M. J. (1999), indicates a positive relationship between supply chain integration and firm performance. While, Bowen, J., & Ford, R. C. (2004), Chen, I.J.; and Paulraj, A. (2004), Cheng, T.C.E.; Ngai, E.W.T.; and Lai, K.H. (2004), indicates a negative relationship between supply chain integration and performance. Based on the above discussions the following hypotheses are developed:

#### H1. There is a relationship between supply chain integration and operational performance.

#### 4. Conceptual Framework

Based on previous studies of supply chain integration and depending on different models, the current study chooses to set the study model that shows the impact of supply chain integration with its all elements (internal and customer Integration) on operational performance (Cost performance, service performance) . The model of the study presented in the following:



#### 5. Methodology

##### 5.1 Data Collection

A cross-sectional survey was used for data collection from non-probability sample consisted of Sudanese services institutions. A 5-point Likert scale with end points of “strongly disagree” and “strongly agree” was used to measure the items. The questionnaire was developed, based on the measurement of the previous studies in supply chain integration and operational performance, and firstly developed in English then back-to-back translation from English to Arabic conducted. This procedure guarantees that the English and the Arabic versions of the questionnaire have equal measures. Subsequently, a number of researchers in the same field assessed the correctness and the clearance of questions and measurement items a pilot test was performed on 50 medical institutions operating in Khartoum State. After the pretest, the survey was changed slightly for clarification. All constructs were initially operational by a set of four or more items, the measurement items of SCI adopted from, Morgan, Tyler R., Robert Glenn Richey Jr, and Chad W. Autry (2016), Mellat-Parast, M., & E. Spillan, J. (2014), value co-creation adopted from ZuKneyphausen-Aufse, D(2000).For increasing the response rate all questionnaires attached with a cover letter, target respondents were executive/senior managers responsible for SCM, or related position in their organizations, from the resulting sample size of 330, 307 responses were received, resulting in a response rate of 85 %, 15form were discarded due to incomplete information the final sample included.

**Table 1:** Response rate of questionnaire

Total distributed questionnaires	330
Total questionnaires received from respondents	307
Valid questionnaires received from respondents	0
Invalid questionnaires	0
Questionnaires not received	23
Overall response rate	307
Useable response rate	307

Source: prepared by researchers from data (2019)

The response bias was assessed by comparing the means of the responses in the last quartile of respondents using this design, a Chi-square and DF of all the variables used in the study revealed significant differences between the groups. So a control test is conducted for the variables(competitors,

suppliers, company age, job title, company ownership,)Employing structural equation modeling (SEM) conducted by using AMOS version 22 for testing the measurement and structural model requires large samples, Barney, Jay(1991), suggest that a minimum of 100 to 150 observations should be satisfactory. Based on these definitions, the sample of this study satisfy the requirement of using CFA to test the full measurement model simultaneously.

#### 6. Analysis and Results

The framework tested by exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) in structural equation modeling (SEM) in order to evaluate the consistency among scale items (70). In this study, the EFA and CFA used to test the measurement model of the structural SCI and operational performance. For validate the constructed model the following tools used convergent and discriminant validity, reliability, and common method bias. Moreover, to test the inter-relationships between the variables, the direct relationship between Structural SCI and operational performance, Structural SCI and operational performance modeling were investigated. All These tests in detail in the following sections.

##### 6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis

The results of SCI factor analysis by a principal component analysis. The EFA with varimax rotation was performed for both constructs: SCI and operational performance. First, this analysis was applied for SCI. There were 12 items related to SCI, and at the end of the steps,seven items were loaded on two different factors. Based on the loadings, these factors were named credibility (CRE), cooperative norms (coo). The Cronbach  $\alpha$  values are 0.638, internal integration 0.715, customer integration and 0.771 for service performance and 0.761 for cost performance. These values are greater than the threshold value 0.7, suggested by, Rosenzweig, E.D.; Roth, A.V.; and Jr, D.W.J. (2002), therefore all of them are used in this study.

##### 6.2 Confirmatory factor analysis

CFA tests the measurement model of variables. Therefore, SCI, operational performance weretested with a first-order confirmatory factor model to evaluate the construct validity. The confirmatory analysis results confirm that structures for SCI and operational performance.

Testing the correlation conducted by compared the squared correlation between the latent constructs to their average variance extracted (AVE) estimates. Based on that discriminate validity exists if the items share more common variance with their respective construct than any variance the construct shares with the other constructs. Therefore, the correlation between each couple of variables in the model construct have to be less than the AVE of each variable construct. Comparing the correlation coefficients given in Table 1, it can be can conclude that none of the squared correlations is greater than the AVE for each variable construct. These output of the test totally indicate as strong evidence of discriminant validity between the theoretical

constructs. Reliability was assessed using internal consistency method via Cronbach's alpha[109]All variables and dimensions have a Cronbach's alpha greater than 0.70 (see table 2). This result establishes the reliability of all the theoretical constructs.

Moreover, the AVE values for all dimensions exceed 0.50. Taken together, this results imply that the instrument constructs exhibit good psychometric properties

**Table 2:** Cronbach's Alpha for Study Variables

Construct	Variable	Number of items	Cronbach's alpha
Supply chain integration	Internal	4	.638
	Customer	2	.715
Operational performance	Cost	3	.761
	Services	5	.771

Source: prepared By Researchers(2019).

### 6.3 Hypothesis testing

The hypothesized structural equations model (Fig. 2) was tested using LISREL (Rosenzweig, E.D.; Roth, A.V.; and Jr, D.W.J. ,2002), with variance–covariance matrices for the latent variables and residuals used as input. Given the satisfactory measurement results, we used summated scores to measure the model's latent constructs. The use of summated scores reduces the model's complexity, identification problems, and the variable-to-sample ratio Peterson, K.J.; Handfield,R.B.; and Ragatz,G.L (2005),in the hypothesized structural model, the measurement coefficients were constrained to one and the corresponding error coefficients were constrained to zero. The model parameters were estimated using the method of maximum likelihood Rosenzweig, E.D.; Roth, A.V.; and Jr, D.W.J. (2002).

To assess the impact of supply chain integration such as (internal integration) on **operational performance such as (cost performance)**.Structural equation modeling has been employed and a measurement model of theseconstructs.The structural model reveals the same value of model fit shown in Table (1) , all the model fit indices for the structural model were not only significant but remain same as in the measurement model. The low index of R square (i.e. 0.22) justifies the underlying theoretical model.

**Table 3:** Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P
Cost	<---	Internal	0.692	0.178	3.877	***

Source: prepared By Researchers(2019).

The table (3) shows the probability of getting a critical ratio as large as 3.856 in absolute value is less than 0.001. In addition, the probability of getting a critical ratio as large as 3.877 in absolute value is less than 0.001. In other words, the regression weight for internal in the prediction of cost is significantly different from zero at the 0.001 level .Finally , The probability of getting a critical ratio as large as 0.724in absolute value is .469. In other words, the regression weight for customer in the prediction of cost is not significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level.

**Table 4:** Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Result
Cost	<---	Internal	0.692	0.178	3.877	***	Full supported

Source: prepared By Researchers (2019).

Table (4) summarizes the Findings of testing hypotheses concerning the relationships between supply chain integration and operational performance.The table showed that two of the hypotheses fully supported (cost) with internal integration that mean the medical institutions were integrated internally which lead to provide a good service to customers, in the medical. These findings signify that internal integration show significant positive relationship on two dimension of operational performance (cost performance) thus; some of hypotheses are fully supported.

### 6.4 Results' Discussion

In this section, the study results will be presented and discussed in the light of previous studies; as follows:

- 1) Result of the current study shows that there is a significant importance of the supply chain integration among medical field institutions. The researcher refers this result to the awareness of the managers, supervisors, and other employees who work at medical institutions about the importance of supply chain integration and its effect on the overall operational performance. Some of independent variable operational performanceas (cost performance) have high degree of integration (internal).
- 2) The study showed that there are strong inter-relationships and interactions between the two components of SCI and between them and OP. Finally, the results showed that the respondents believed that there is a strong relationship between SCI and OP.
- 3) Results indicated that the internal integration was having the highest effect on OP, by internal integration. These results are going with line with the most of previous studies, such as Wong, et. al. (2011) who showed that there is a positive relationship.

Conceptually, in this study and based on the SCI, two factors(internal integration) were found, It can be observed that the most factor of SCI in Sudanese services institutions (medical field) Indeed, this suggests that the SCI construct could be considered in the future operationalization of SCI in Sudan context . The study extend existing research on the performance and supply chain integration relationship. In addition to the study contribution by proposing operational performance in the context of supplychain integration in service sector especially in medical field. In addition, the present study confirms the notion that SCI will have a strong positive effect on operational performance.

These study support calls of earlier studies, which emphasize on that SCI require higher level of internal integration. Thus, for an institution to support the participation of partners it must create a suitable internal integration. Furthermore, the direct effect of SCI (internally) with the effect of operational performance is significant and stronger than its direct impact. Although much Studies has been interested in the effect of SCI on business outcomes or any related kind of performance, this study indicated the



importance of SCI to detect the impact on operational performance.

Specifically, although the supply chain management concept is predicated on SCI (integration); extant research has yet to explicitly consider the implications of SC concerning supply chain integration efforts. The overarching theoretical contribution relating to the role of SCI is demonstrating that SCI is responsible for external environment behaviors that are unattainable via integrative mechanisms.

## 7. Managerial Implication

From a practical perspective, this study provides a number of insights into how institutions can more strongly utilize the internal integration (SCI) to improve operational performance. Specifically, managers can use it to expand their understanding the role of SCI on operational performance and develop specific integration that help to reach customer needs. SCI is fully collaboration of participation and they integrate the institutions internally and externally that should lead to high performance which are difficult for competitors to replicate and can afford institutions a competitive advantage. Moreover, the developed conceptual model of the study provides better highlights the interplay between SCI and operational performance on medical field. In addition, it is an important factor for firms to turn competitive advantage

## 8. Limitation and Suggestion for Future Research

As shown in previous studies, there are some limitations in this work, which may encourage future research, the study was cross-sectional study, which provides some evidences about the relationship between SCI and operation performance. Therefore, a longitudinal study would have to be undertaken, to assure the effect of SCI and operation performance. Furthermore, this study mainly tested SCI and operational performance which may represent a less holistic view for supply chain management. Future research may consider the other factor of supply chain integration. The sample included medical field in service sector that can be tested in another services sectors, also should be tested in all Sudan, since this study conducted in Khartoum state only; a broad range of firm sizes and industries are different, in the level of adopting SCI and operation performance. Thus, future research can test these variables in such specific sector. This study examined SCI by one dimension (internal) as constructs while some suggestion consider trust as one of dimension of SCI; therefore future research can measure supplier as part of SCI. In this study, we used operation performance measures by one dimension (cost) a future research would have to expand the dimensions or should tested with another performance such as institutional performance, financial performance.

## References

- [1] Alam, A.; Bagchi, P.K.; Kim, B.; Mitra, C.; and Seabra, F. (2014). "The mediating effect of logistics integration on operational performance". *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 25(3), 553-580.
- [2] Al-Shaar, I. (2010). "The Impact of Supply Chain Integration through the Supply Chain Response on Operational Performance in Large and Medium Sized Jordanian Industrial Companies: A Field Study". *Jordanian Journal in Business Management*, 10(3), 1-22. (Arabic Study).
- [3] Al-Tarawneh, K.; and Shlash, A. (2015). "The impact of the perceived strategic supply chain management skills on the supply management performance through the supplier's integration: a field study on the Jordanian industrial companies in the city of Sahab". *Jordanian Journal in Business Management*, 11(1), 1-22. (Arabic Study).
- [4] Bagchi, P.K.; Skjoett-Larsen, T.; Ha, B.C.; and Soerensen, L.B. (2005). "Supply chain integration: a European survey". *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 16(2), 275-294.
- [5] Bowersox, D.; Closs, D.J.; and Stank, T.P. (1999). "21st Century logistics: Making Supply Chain Integration: A reality". *Council of logistics management*, Michigan State University, USA.
- [6] Bowersox, D.; Closs, D.J.; and Stank, T.P. (2000). "Ten mega-trends that will revolutionize supply chain logistics". *Journal of Business Logistics*, 21(2), 1-16.
- [7] Bowersox, D.; Closs, D.J.; and Copper, M.B. (2007). "Supply Chain Logistics Management: Operational, Financial, and Social Performance". 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [8] Babin, B. J., & Boles, J. S. (1998). Employee behavior in a service environment: A model and test of potential differences between men and women. *Journal of Marketing*, 62(2), 77-91. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1252162>.
- [9] Baldwin, K. S., & Tinsley, H. E. (1988). An investigation of the validity of Tinsley and Tinsley's (1986) theory of leisure experience. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 35(3), 263-267. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.35.3.263>.
- [10] Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173>.
- [11] Bettencourt, L. A., & Brown, S. W. (2003). Role stressors and customer-oriented boundary-spanning behaviors in service organizations. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 31(4), 394-408.
- [12] Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt, & W. C. Borman (Eds.). *Personnel selection in organizations* (pp. 71-98). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- [13] Borucki, C. C., & Burke, M. J. (1999). An examination of service-related antecedents to retail store performance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 20(6), 943-962. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1379](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1379).
- [14] Bowen, J., & Ford, R. C. (2004). What experts say about managing hospitality service delivery systems.



- International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 16(7),394–401.
- [16] Chen, I.J.; and Paulraj, A. (2004). "Towards a theory of supply chain management: the constructs and measurements". **Journal of Operations Management**, 22(2), 119-150.
- [17] Cheng, T.C.E.; Ngai, E.W.T.; and Lai, K.H. (2004). "An empirical study of supply chain performance in transport logistics". **International Journal of Production Economics**, 87, 321-331.
- [18] Chopra, S.; and Meindl, P. (2007). **"Supply chain management: Strategy, Planning and operation"**. 3rd ed., Printice-Hall, New Jersey.
- [19] Chris, E.I; Briggs, C.; and Bachkar, K. (2009). "Managing risk in pharmaceutical global supply chain outsourcing". **Proceedings of ASBBS annual conference**, 16(1), 1-13.
- [20] Chris, E.; Dunu, E.; and Gebremikael, F. (2010). "An analysis of strategic supplier selection and evaluation in a generic pharmaceutical firm supply chain". **Proceedings of ASBBS annual conference**, 17(1), 1-11.
- [21] Cirtita, H.; and Segura, D.A.G. (2012). "Measuring downstream operational performance". **Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management**, 23(3), 299-314.
- [22] Cooper, M.C.; Lambert, D.M.; and Pagh, J.D. (1997). "Supply chain management: more than a new name for logistics". **International Journal of Logistics Management**, 8(2), 1-14.
- [23] Croxton, K.; Garcia-Dastugue, S.; Lambert, D.; and Rogers, D. (2001). "The supply chain management processes". **The International Journal of Logistics Management**, 12(2), 13-36.
- [24] Devaraj, S.; Wei, J.C.; and krajewski, L. (2007). "Impact of e-Business technologies on operational performance: The role of production information integration in the supply chain". **Journal of Operations Management**, 25, 1199-1216.
- [25] Droge, C.; Jayaram, J.; and Vickery, S.K. (2004). "The effects of internal versus external integration practices on time-based performance and overall firm performance". **Journal of Operations Management**, 22(6), 557-73.
- [26] Fabbe-Costes, N. and Jahre, M. (2007). "Supply chain integration improves performance: the emperors' new suit". **International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management**, 37(10), 835-855.
- [27] Fawcett, S.E.; Osterhaus, P.; Magnan, G.M.; Brau, J.C.; and McCarter, M.W. (2007). "Information sharing and supply chain performance: the role of connectivity and willingness". **An International Journal**, 12 (7), 358-368.
- [28] Flynn, B.B.; Huo, B.; and Zhao, X. (2010). "The impact of supply chain integration on performance: a contingency and configuration approach". **Journal of Operations Management**, 28(1), 58-71.
- [29] Forslund, H.; and Jonsson, P. (2009). "Obstacles to supply chain integration of the performance management process in buyer-supplier dyads: The buyers' perspective". **International Journal of Operations and Production Management**, 29, 77-95.
- [30] Frohlich, M.T.; and Westbrook, R. (2001). "Arcs of integration: an international study of supply chain strategies". **Journal of Operations Management**, 19(2), 185-200.
- [31] Fynes, B.; Voss, C.; and de Burca, S. (2005). "The impact of supply chain relationship dynamics on manufacturing performance". **International Journal of Operations & Production Management**, 25(1), 6-19.
- [32] Gimenez, C.; and Ventura, E. (2005). "Logistics-production, logistics-marketing and external integration: their impact on performance". **International Journal of Operations & Production Management**, 25(1), 20-38.
- [33] Gimenez, C.; Vaart, T.V.D.; and Donk, D.P.V. (2011). "Supply chain integration and performance: the moderating effect of supply complexity". **Supply Chain Management: An International Journal**, 17(6), 596-610.
- [34] Hamad, Z.M.M. (2013). "The impact of supply chain integration on organizational performance and the role of environmental turbulence: An empirical study on food industry firms in Jordan". **Middle East University**, 1-160.
- [35] Han, J.; Omta, S.W.F.; and Trienekens, J. H. (2007). "The joint impact of supply chain integration and quality management on the performance of pork processing firms in China". **International Food and Agribusiness Management Review**, 10(2), 67-98.
- [36] Han, J.; Lu, H.; Trienekens, J.H.; and Omta, S.W.F. (2013). "The impact of supply chain integration on firm performance in the pork processing industry in China". **Chinese Management Studies**, 7(2), 230-252.
- [37] Harrison, A.; and New, C. (2002). "The role of coherent supply chain strategy and performance management in achieving competitive advantage: an international survey". **Journal of the Operational Research Society**, 53(3), 263-71.
- [38] Hult, G.T.M.; Ketchen, D.J.; Jr, and Nichols, E.L. Jr (2002). "Examination of cultural competitiveness and order fulfillment cycle time within supply chains". **The Academy of Management Journal**, 45(3), 577-586.
- [39] Huo, B. (2012). "The impact of supply chain integration on company performance: an organizational capability perspective". **Supply Chain Management: An International Journal**, 17(6), 596-610.
- [40] Jassim, M. (2010). "The Strategies of supply chain and its impact to achieve the competitive advantage: case study in Diwaniyah Textile state factory". **Alqadissiah Journal of Economic and administrative Science**, 12(2), 1-21. (Arabic Study).
- [41] Jin, Y.H.; and Fawcett, A.M.; and Fawcett, S.E. (2012). "Awareness is not enough Commitment and performance implications of supply chain integration". **International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management**, 43(3), 205 – 230.
- [42] Jr, K.W.G.; Whitten, D.; and Inman, R.A. (2008). "The impact of aligning marketing strategies throughout the supply chain". **Center of Business and Economic Development at Sam Houston State University**, 1-16.
- [43] Juran, M.J.; Godfrey, A.B. (1998). **"Juran's Quality Handbook"**. 5th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [44] Patel, Pankaj C., ArashAzadegan, and Lisa M. Ellram. "The Effects of Strategic and Structural Supply Chain Orientation on Operational and Customer-Focused

- Performance." *Decision Sciences* 44, no. 4 ,713-753, (2013).
- [45] Tucker, Trent Randolph. "Supply Chain Orientation: Refining a Nascent Construct." (2011).
- [46] Chuang,S.-H. Facilitating the chain of market orientation to value co-creation:The mediating role of e-marketing adoption. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management* (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2016.08.007i>
- [47] Ding, Lin, Wayne F. Velicer, and Lisa L. Harlow. "Effects of estimation methods, number of indicators per factor, and improper solutions on structural equation modeling fit indices." *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal* 2, no. 2, 119-143, 1995.
- [48] Chan, Felix TS, and Alain Yee-Loong Chong. "Analysis of the determinants of consumers'm-commerce usage activities." *Online Information Review* 37, no. 3, 443-461, 2013.
- [49] Nunnally, J.C., & Bernstein, I.H, *Psychometric theory* (3rd Ed). NY: McGraw-Hill, 1994.
- [50] Cronbach, Lee J. "Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests." *psychometrika* 16, no. 3, 297-334, 1951.
- [51] Calantone, Roger J., Jeffrey B. Schmidt, and X. Michael Song. "Controllable factors of new product success: A cross-national comparison." *Marketing Science* 15, no. 4, 341-358, 1996.
- [52] Jöreskog, K.G. & Sörbom, D," *PRELIS 2: User's Reference Guide*.Lincolnwood, IL", Scientific Software International, Inc(1999).
- [53] Kozlenkova, Irina V., Stephen A. Samaha, and Robert W. Palmatier. "Resource-based theory in marketing." *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 42, no. 1, 1-21,2014
- [54] Kim, S.W. (2006). "The effect of supply chain integration on the alignment between corporate competitive capability and supply chain operational capability". **International Journal of Operations and Production Management**, 26(10), 1084-1107.
- [55] Koufteros, X.A.; Vonderembse, M.; and Jayaram, J. (2005). "Internal and external integration for product development: The contingency effects of uncertainty, equivocality, and platform strategy". **Decision Sciences**, 36(1), 96-133.
- [56] Koufteros, X.A.; Lai,K.H.; and Cheng, T.C.E (2007). "'Black-box" and "gray-box" supplier integration in product development:Antecedents, consequences and the moderating role of firm size". **Journal of Operations Management**, 25, 847-870.
- [57] Krajewski, L.J.; Ritzman, L.P.; and Malhorta, M.K. (2013). **"Operation Management: Processes and Supply Chain"**. 10th ed., Pearson Education Limited, England. Kulp, S.C.; Lee, H.L.; and Ofek, E. (2004). "Manufacturer benefits from information integration with retail customers". **Management Science**, 50(4), 431-44.
- [58] Lambert, D.; and Copper, M. (2000). "Issues in supply chain management". **Industrial marketing management**, 29, 65-83.
- [59] Lockamy, A and McCormack, K. (2004). "Linking SCOR planning practices to supply chain performance, an exploratory study". *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 24(12), 1192-1218.
- [60] Luthje, T.; and Arlbjorn, J.S. (2012). "Global operations and their interaction with operational performance". **Industrial Management & Data Systems**, 112(7), 1044-1064.
- [61] Liao, H., & Chuang, A. (2004). A multilevel investigation of factors influencing employeeservice performance and customer outcomes. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(1),41-58. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/20159559>.
- [62] Mentzer, John T., William DeWitt, James S. Keebler, Soonhong Min, Nancy W. Nix, Carlo D. Smith, and Zach G. Zacharia. "Defining supply chain management." *Journal of Business logistics* 22, no. 2, 1-25, 2001.
- [63] Monk, E.T; and Wanger, B.J. (2013). **Concepts in Enterprise Resource planning**, 4th ed, Boston, USA.
- [64] Narasimhan, R.; and Kim, S.W. (2002). "Effect of supply chain integration on the relationship between diversification and performance: evidence from Japanese and Korean firms". **Journal of Operations Management**, 20(3), 303-23.
- [65] Okello, J.O.; and Were, S. (2014). "Influences of Supply Chain Management Practices on Performance of the Nairobi Securities Exchange's listed, Food Manufacturing Companies in Nairobi". **International Journal of Social Sciences and Entrepreneurship**, 1(11).
- [66] Pagell, M. (2004). "Understanding the factors that enable and inhibit the integration of operations, purchasing and logistics". **Journal of Operations Management**, 22(5), 459-487.
- [67] Morgan, Tyler R., Robert Glenn Richey Jr, and Chad W. Autry. "Developing a reverse logistics competency: The influence of collaboration and information technology." *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* 46, no. 3, 293-315, 2016.
- [68] Mellat-Parast, M., & E. Spillan, J. (2014). "Logistics and supply chain process integration as a source of competitive advantage: An empirical analysis". **The International Journal of Logistics Management**, 25(2), 289-314.
- [69] zuKneyphausen-Aufseß, D. "Auf dem Wegzueinemressourcenorientierten Paradigma." *Organisationstheorien, Opladen*, 452-486, 2000.
- [70] Barney, Jay. "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage." *Journal of management* 17, no. 1 ,99-120, 1991.
- [71] Peterson, K.J.; Handfield,R.B.; and Ragatz,G.L (2005). "Supplier integration into new product development: coordinating product, process and supply chain design". **Journal of Operations Management**, 23, 371-388.
- [72] Rosenzweig, E.D.; Roth, A.V.; and Jr, D.W.J. (2002). "The influence of an integration strategy on competitive capabilities and business performance: An exploratory study of consumer products manufacturers". **Journal of Operations Management**, 21, 437-456.