International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426

Process Validation of Labetalol Hydrochloride 200 Mg Tablets

Praveen Kumar¹, Meenu², Babita³

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Shri Guru Ramrai University, Patel Nagar, Dehradun

Abstract: Validation is a very crucial step involved in achieving and maintaining the quality of any drug products. The main objective of my research is to study the process validation of labetalol hydrochloride 200 mg. The study untaken here provides the assurance that the manufacturing procedure is suitable for intended purpose and the product consistently meets predetermined specifications and quality attributes, as per specified master formula record. The process validation of labetalol hydrochloride tablet of dosage 200 mg completed for 3 back to back bunches of batch no. 1, batch no. 2, batch no. 3which include the validation of critical steps of manufacturing constituting dispensing, sifting, dry mixing, drying blending, compression and coating. During this process all the critical control parameters are observed such as uniformity in blend, bulk density, tapped density, flow property, uniformity of content, uniformity of dosage unit, average weight, thickness, hardness, friability, disintegration time, dissolution test, and assay. The results obtained of the three batches were found within limits. Therefore the product with require specification can consistently obtained.

Keywords: Labetalol hydrochloride, process validation, quality assurance, performance qualification, standard operating procedure

1. Introduction

Validation is the way toward building up narrative proof exhibiting that a system, process , or movement did in testing and afterward creation keeps up the coveted level of consistence at all stages.in pharmaceutical business , it is imperative that notwithstanding last testing and consistence of items, it is additionally guaranteed that the procedure will reliable deliver the normal outcomes [1]

Validation mainly based on, FDA regulation describing current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) for finished pharmaceutical are provided in 21CFR parts 210 and 211.

The basic principles for validation may be stated as follows. 1)Establish that the process equipment has the capability of

operating within required parameters.

- 2)Demonstrate that controlling, monitoring, and measuring equipment and instrumentation are capable of operating within the parameters prescribed for the process equipment.
- 3)Monitor the validated process during routine operation. As needed prequalify and recertify the equipment.

A wide verity of procedures, processes, and activities need to be validated, the field of validation is divided in to a number of subsections including the followings: [2]

- Equipment validation
- Facilities validation
- HVAC validation
- Analytical validation
- Cleaning validation
- Process validation
- Computer system validation
- Packaging validation

Similarly, the activity of qualifying system and equipment is divided in to a number of subsections including the following:

• Design qualification (DQ)

- Component qualification (CQ)
- Installation qualification (IQ)
- Operational qualification (OQ)
- Performance qualification (PQ)

Need of Process Validation

- Assurance of the quality of product Assurance of the item can't be guaranteed for a procedure by routine quality control testing in light of restriction of the factual examining and constrained affectability of the completed item testing quality contrast among units inside a cluster or among various bunches are seldom distinguished by testing of complete item tests. Approved changes the agreeableness and dependability of a framework or procedure to meet preset criteria [3]
- Optimization of process —the advancement of the procedure is very extreme effectiveness, while keeping up quality principle, is result of approval. Exacting importance of word to enhance is to make as successful impeccable or helpful as could be expected under the circumstances. The improvement of the office, gear, framework, and procedures about an itemthat meets.
- Quality requirement at the lowest cost- the direct fiscal advantage of approval is a decrease in the cost related with process checking, examining and testing. Investigation of different examples would not be require so as to moderate homogeneity for an approved mixing process. The consistency and unwavering quality of an approved procedure to deliver a quality item.
- To reduce the mix ups and contaminations
- Minimal batch failure improved efficiently and productivity
- Reduction in rejection and reducing the cost and time of reprocessing
- Increase the output
- Avoidance of capital expenditure
- Fewer complaint about process related failures
- Reduce testing in process and product
- More rapid and reliable starts up of new finished goods
- Easier maintains of equipment

Volume 8 Issue 9, September 2019

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426

• More rapid automation [4]

2. Phases of Process Validation

The validation studies may be classified into three

Phase 1: Pre-validation Qualification Phase - this is also known as process design phase focusing mainly on qualification efforts. This phase covers all activities relating to product RnD, formulation pilot batch studies , scale up studies technology transfer to commercial scale batches, established stability condition and storage , and handling of in- process and finished dosage forms , equipment qualification , installation qualification , master product document , operational qualification and process capacity.

Phase 2: process qualification- during this stage the process which is designed in process design phase is evaluated whether the process is capable of reproducible commercial manufacturing. It conform that all the establish limits of critical process parameters are valid and satisfactory products can be produced.

There are 2 aspect of process qualification;

- 1) **Design of facilities and qualification equipment and utilities-** activities perform to assure proper facility design and that the equipment and utility are suitable for their intended use and perform properly.
- 2) **Process performance qualification** it involves defining performance criteria and deciding what to collect when, how much data, and appropriate analysis of data. Manufacturer must scientifically determine suitable criteria and justified it.

Phase 3: continued process verification- This is known as the Validation Maintenance Phase, it requires frequent review of all process related documents, including validation of audit reports, to assure that there have been no changes, deviations, failures an modification to the process and that all standard operating procedures (SOPs), including change control procedures, have been followed. At this stage, the validation team comprising of individuals representing all major departments also assures that there have been no changes/deviations that should have resulted in requalification and revalidation. [6,7]

3. Material and Method

Dry mixing

3 sample by thief sampler at 5 different locations from RMG and 1 composite sample after 10 & 15 min. mixing interval. And assay of the collected sample were performed. Wet mixing at slow speed of agitator for 15 minutes chopper was started at slow speed for 5 min.

Drying

Drying was performed in FBD. The wet mass was loaded in FBD bowl in equal two lots. Wet mass was air dried at

ambient temperature for 20 minute. Rack the mass of bowl then dried at 50~0c-55~0c inlet temperatures and the material was dried for 40 minutes. After drying 2.0 gm. sample was collected by sampling thief at 5 different location from the FBD bowl and one composite as per given blow and LOD was checked , it should be 1.0%-3.0%. The above steps were repeated for second lot. After drying the granules were shifted thorough # 14 sieves using vibratory sifter. The sifted and sized granules were collected in clean container.

Lubrication

5 gm. sample was collected by sampling thief from 5 different locations and one composite sample from octagonal blender shown below after 10, 20, 30 min. mixing interval. Samples to be analyzed for assay, loss on drying, bulk density, tapped density and sieve analysis

Compression

Sample was collected separately at high hardness (pressure) & low hardness (pressure) samples were collected. Machine was run within the different RPM (low and high) range of speed for about 16, 21, 26 RPM sample was collected separately.

Coating

This steps involved coating of Uncoated /Semi finish tablets in conventional coating pan at defined speed. Transfer the uncoated tablets to the coating area. Charge the uncoated tablets in the cleaned coating pan set the coating pan RPM, Spray distance Spray rate. Start spraying the coating solution over the tablet bed .After completion of spraying dry the coated tablets for 10-15 minutes. Allow the coated tablets to dry for 30 minutes. Then unload the tablets and collect in cleaned plastic drum lined with double polythene bag. Affix the proper identification label to each drum.

Table 1: List of raw material

| Name of material | Function |
|--|-----------|
| Labetalol hydrochloride | Active |
| Lactose | Excipient |
| Starch | Excipient |
| Methyl Paraben (Methyl Hydroxy Benzoate) | Excipient |
| Start | |
| Propyl Paraben (Propyl Hydroxy Benzoate) | Excipient |
| Isopropyl Alcohol | Excipient |
| Hydroxy Propyl Methyl Cellulose (Hypromellose) | Excipient |
| Methylene Dichloride (Dichloromethane) | Excipient |
| Croscarmellose Sodium | Excipient |
| Purified Talc | Excipient |
| Magnesium Stearate | Excipient |
| Colloidal Anhydrous Silica | Excipient |
| Hydroxy Propyl Methyl Cellulose (Hypromellose | Excipient |
| Isopropyl Alcohol | Excipient |
| Methylene Dichloride (Dichloromethane) | Excipient |
| Colour : Sunset Yellow Lake | Excipient |
| Colour : Ponceau 4 R Lake | Excipient |
| Propylene Glycol | Excipient |
| P.E.G400 | Excipient |

Volume 8 Issue 9, September 2019 www.ijsr.net

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426

Table 2: Control points

| S.No | Stage | Risk Point | Check Point |
|------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Dispensing | 1) Avoid product cross contamination | 1) Completely previous product removed, proper cleaning & Deferential |
| | , , | 2) Avoid balance variation | pressure monitoring. |
| | | , | 2) Before use balance calibrated. |
| 2. | Dry Mixing | 1) Avoid product cross contamination | 1) Completely previous product removed & proper cleaning |
| | | 2) Blend uniformity of API | 2) Proper mixing |
| 3. | Granulation | 1) Avoid Product cross contamination | 1) Completely previous product removed & proper cleaning |
| | | 2) Speed Control | 2) Continues monitoring of speed |
| | | 3) Bulk density | 3) Proper mixing & flow characteristics of granules. |
| 4. | Drying | 1) Avoid Product cross contamination | 1) Completely previous product removed & proper cleaning |
| | | 2) Moisture content | 2) After drying check of moisture. |
| | | 3) Temperature Control | 3) Continuous check of Inlet & outlet temp. |
| 5. | Blending | 1) Avoid Product cross contamination | 1) Completely previous product removed & proper cleaning |
| | | 2) Speed Control | 2) Continues monitoring of speed |
| | | 3) Uniformity of the bulk | 3) Proper mixing. |
| 6. | Compression | 1) Avoid Product cross contamination | 1) Completely previous product removed & proper cleaning |
| | _ | 2) Speed Control | 2) Continues monitoring of speed at differential interval. |
| | | 3) Pressure Control | 3) Continues monitoring of pressure. |
| 7. | Coating | 1) Avoid Product cross contamination | 1) Completely previous product removed & proper cleaning |
| | | 2) Speed Control | 2) Continues monitoring of speed at differential interval. |
| | | 3) Spray Rate Control | |
| | | 4) Temperature Control | |

4. Result and Discussion

Table 3: Result of dry mixing

| LOCATION | Batch no 1 | | |] | Batch no 2 | 2 | Batch no 3 | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|---------|--|
| LOCATION | 5 MIN. | 10 MIN. | 15 MIN. | 5 MIN. | 10 MIN. | 15 MIN. | 5 MIN. | 10 MIN. | 15 MIN. | |
| TOP | 96.89% | 101.58% | 101.37% | 104.33% | 101.44% | 100.85% | 96.35% | 102.32% | 100.46% | |
| MIDDLE | 103.67% | 102.49% | 100.41% | 99.75% | 100.78% | 99.89% | 102.56% | 101.83% | 101.39% | |
| BOTTOM | 100.45% | 100.57% | 101.51% | 101.28% | 101.25% | 100.48% | 98.74% | 98.83% | 101.59% | |
| COMPOSITE | 103.67% | 101.51% | 102.65% | 100.45% | 100.78% | 100.85% | 103.67% | 100.47% | 100.46% | |

Limit - %(LC) BY (HPLC) 90.0% to 110% of label amount, RSD =NMT 5.0%, mean of individual result = 90.0% to 110.0%

Table 4: Result of LOD for drying process

| LOCATION | Time | | | TIME | | | TIME | | |
|------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| | 5MIN | 10MIN | 15MIN | 5MIN | 10MIN | 15MIN | 5MIN | 10MIN | 15MIN |
| S1 | 2.19 | 1.72 | 0.86 | 2.13 | 1.55 | 0.53 | 2.12 | 1.52 | 0.64 |
| S2 | 2.22 | 1.52 | 0.65 | 2.14 | 1.57 | 0.57 | 2.16 | 1.55 | 0.64 |
| S3 | 2.21 | 1.73 | 0.69 | 2.21 | 1.76 | 0.83 | 2.12 | 1.63 | 0.66 |
| S4 | 2.15 | 1.67 | 0.28 | 2.18 | 1.77 | 0.65 | 2.19 | 1.54 | 0.62 |
| S5 | 2.17 | 1.84 | 0.28 | 2.19 | 1.56 | 0.67 | 2.16 | 1.87 | 0.66 |
| S6 | 2.16 | 1.58 | 0.08 | 2.24 | 1.82 | 0.65 | 2.17 | 1.73 | 0.63 |
| S7 | 2.13 | 1.69 | 0.25 | 2.15 | 1.81 | 0.64 | 2.16 | 1.65 | 0.65 |
| S8 | 2.19 | 1.84 | 0.09 | 2.12 | 1.57 | 0.63 | 2.14 | 1.76 | 0.55 |
| S 9 | 2.12 | 1.53 | 0.08 | 2.16 | 1.58 | 0.74 | 2.17 | 1.65 | 0.85 |
| % RSD | 1.58 | 1.47 | 0.84 | 1.82 | 1.58 | 0.72 | 1.09 | 1.79 | 0.54 |

Limit- Drying Time: 15-20 minutes. Drying Temperature= 40-45°C for 15-20 minutes. Equipment = Fluid bed dryer (FBD). Time = 15-20 minutes Moisture Content: Between 0.6 to 1.4 % w/w

Table 5: Result of blending process

| Table 5. Result of blending process | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| LOCATION | Batch no./Time | | |] | Batch no./t | ime |] | time | |
| | 5MIN. | 10MIN | 15MIN | 5MIN | 10MIN | 15MIN | 5MIN | 10MIN | 15MIN |
| S1 | 103.21 | 99.76 | 98.78 | 101.89 | 102.68 | 100.49 | 98.86 | 98.97 | 102.68 |
| S2 | 100.23 | 99.89 | 99.38 | 102.05 | 101.89 | 100.89 | 99.68 | 100.87 | 100.54 |
| S3 | 102.21 | 102.67 | 100.21 | 101.99 | 102.99 | 101.55 | 98.64 | 99.41 | 102.48 |
| S4 | 101.58 | 100.22 | 100.87 | 98.97 | 102.68 | 101.65 | 98.86 | 99.89 | 102.12 |
| S5 | 102.44 | 101.29 | 102.67 | 102.05 | 102.05 | 102.84 | 99.41 | 99.41 | 100.39 |
| S6 | 102.51 | 99.56 | 102.53 | 102.99 | 102.56 | 102.43 | 98.04 | 100.87 | 96.87 |
| S7 | 101.58 | 100.22 | 100.87 | 102.05 | 99.84 | 100.52 | 98.76 | 98.97 | 97.87 |
| S8 | 102.34 | 101.28 | 101.9 | 102.56 | 98.97 | 102.78 | 98.81 | 102.98 | 99.85 |
| S9 | 102.45 | 101.25 | 98.97 | 102.68 | 101.65 | 101.68 | 98.25 | 101.79 | 102.69 |
| S10 | 101.64 | 101.39 | 99.78 | 101.89 | 103.63 | 102.86 | 98.68 | 100.65 | 101.76 |
| S11 | 102.32 | 102.48 | 101.49 | 102.99 | 101.76 | 102.84 | 98.87 | 102.29 | 100.78 |

Volume 8 Issue 9, September 2019 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426

| S12 | 101.45 | 100.78 | 100.43 | 102.05 | 102.56 | 102.43 | 98.86 | 102.15 | 102.49 |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| S13 | 100.39 | 99.76 | 102.89 | 102.56 | 102.68 | 100.52 | 98.78 | 102.35 | 100.45 |
| Composite | 101.52 | 98.76 | 102.78 | 102.99 | 101.76 | 102.84 | 98.87 | 102.29 | 100.78 |
| RSD | 0.83 | 1.15 | 1.49 | 0.52 | 1.29 | 1.59 | 0.42 | 1.31 | 1.80 |

Limit - %(LC) BY (HPLC) 90.0% to 110.0% of label amount, Equipment = Octagonal Blender, Speed = 10 RPM Time = 5-7 minutes, RSD = NMT 5.0%, mean of individual result = 90.0% to 110.0%

Table 6: Result of blend uniformity after blending

| | <u> </u> | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Test | Observation | Acceptance criteria |
| | Batch no. 1 | |
| Description | White granular powder | For information |
| Assay of labetalol hydrochloride tablet | 99.87% | purpose only |
| Tapped density | 0.98 g/ml | |
| Compressibility index | 26.19% | |
| Bulk density | 0.61g/ml | |
| Hausner ratio | 1.35 | |

Table 7: Observation and acceptance criteria of compression stage at slow speed

| Test | Standard | Bate | ch 1 | h 1 batc | | batcl | h 3 |
|----------------------|---|-------|-------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | LHS | RHS | LHS | RHS | LHS | RHS |
| Machine RPM | 16RPM ±2RPM | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Compression Force | 6 Ton ± 1 Ton | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Appearance | White, Oval Shaped, biconvex, uncoated tablets | Meets | Meets | meets | meets | meets | Meets |
| Average Weight | 280 mg ± 5 % (Limit :266 mg to 294 mg) | 282 | 284 | 285 | 283 | 282 | 284 |
| Weight of 20 Tablets | $5.600 \text{gm} \pm 2\%$ (Limit: 5.488 gm. to 5.712 gm.) | 5.640 | 5.680 | 5.700 | 5.660 | 5.640 | 5.680 |
| Thickness | $3.80 \text{mm} \pm 0.30 \text{ mm}$ (Limit: 3.50mm to 4.10mm) | 3.82 | 3.83 | 3.84 | 3.85 | 3.86 | 3.87 |
| Hardness | NLT: 3.0kg/cm ² (Limit: 4.0 kg/cm ² to 6.0 kg/cm ²) | 4.20 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 5.10 | 4.40 | 4.60 |
| Friability | NMT 1.0 % | 0.99% | 0.75% | 0.69% | 0.56% | 0.57% | 0.27% |
| Disintegration | NMT 15 Min. | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 min | 2-3 min | 2-3 min | 2-3 min |
| | | Min | min | | | | |

Table 8: Observation and acceptance criteria of compression stage at optimum speed

| TEST | STANDARD | Bate | ch 1 | | batch 2 | , | batch 3 |
|----------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | | LHS | RHS | LHS | RHS | LHS | RHS |
| Machine RPM | 16RPM ±2RPM | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Compression Force | 6 Ton ± 1 Ton | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Appearance | White, Oval Shaped, biconvex, uncoated tablets | Meets | Meets | meets | meets | meets | Meets |
| Average Weight | 280 mg ± 5 % (Limit :266 mg to 294 mg) | 281 | 280 | 282 | 283 | 282 | 284 |
| Weight of 20 Tablets | 5.600gm ± 2% (Limit: 5.488 gm. to 5.712 gm.) | 5.602 | 5.600 | 5.700 | 5.664 | 5.640 | 5.725 |
| Thickness | 3.80mm ± 0.30 mm (Limit: 3.50mm to 4.10mm) | 3.81 | 3.83 | 3.85 | 3.86 | 3.87 | 3.84 |
| Hardness | NLT: 3.0kg/cm ² (Limit: 4.0 kg/cm ² to 6.0 kg/cm ²) | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.540 | 5.10 | 4.41 | 4.62 |
| Friability | NMT 1.0 % | 0.50% | 0.51% | 0.48% | 0.46% | 0.55% | 0.42% |
| Disintegration | NMT 15 Min. | 4-5 | 4-5 | 4-5 | 4-5 | 4-5 | 4-5 |
| | | Min | Min | min | min | min | Min |

Table 9: Observation and acceptance criteria of compression stage at fast speed

| Test | Standard | Bato | ch 1 | batch 2 | | batch 3 | |
|----------------------|---|-------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| | | LHS | RHS | LHS | RHS | LHS | RHS |
| Machine RPM | 16RPM ±2RPM | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Compression Force | 6 Ton ± 1 Ton | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Appearance | White, Oval Shaped, biconvex, uncoated tablets | Meets | meets | meets | meets | meets | Meets |
| Average Weight | 280 mg ± 5 % (Limit :266 mg to 294 mg) | 282 | 284 | 282 | 281 | 282 | 283 |
| Weight of 20 Tablets | 5.600 gm $\pm 2\%$ (Limit: 5.488 gm. to 5.712 gm.) | 5.640 | 5.642 | 5.740 | 5.620 | 5.700 | 5.660 |
| Thickness | $3.80 \text{mm} \pm 0.30 \text{ mm}$ (Limit: 3.50mm to 4.10mm) | 3.82 | 3.84 | 3.86 | 3.86 | 3.87 | 3.88 |
| Hardness | NLT: 3.0kg/cm ² (Limit: 4.0 kg/cm ² to 6.0 kg/cm ²) | 5.20 | 5.10 | 4.80 | 4.70 | 5.10 | 5.50 |
| Friability | NMT 1.0 % | 0.45% | 0.25% | 0.20% | 0.46% | 0.89% | 0.77% |
| Disintegration | NMT 15 Min. | 6-7 | 6-7 | 6-7 | 6-7 | 6-7 | 6-7 |
| | | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |

Table 10: Observation and acceptance criteria of coating process

| | Process Parameter | Observation | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Test | Standard | | | | | |
| Appearance | Red coloured, Oval Shaped, biconvex, Film coated tablets. | Complies | | | | |
| Coating Pan RPM | 4 – 6 RPM | 5 | | | | |
| Spray Rate | 150-200 ml / min. | 178 | | | | |
| Spray Distance | 30-35 cm. | Ok | | | | |

Volume 8 Issue 9, September 2019 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064

ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426

| Inlet Temperature | 50C - 60 0C | 55 |
|------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Bed Temperature | 40C – 50 0C | 42 |
| Spray Gun Nozzle Suitability | Red colored, Oval Shaped, biconvex, Film coated tablets. | Ok |
| Coating Process Time | Approx. 6 hours | 6 hr. 05 min |
| Average Weight | 286 mg ± 5 % (Limit : 271.7 mg to 300.3 mg) | 287 |
| Weight of 20 Tablets | 5.720 gm. ± 2 % (Limit: 5.605 gm. to 5.834gm) | 5.740 |
| Thickness | $3.85 \text{ mm} \pm 0.3 \text{ mm}$ (Limit: 3.55mm to 4.15mm) | 3.86 |
| Disintegration | NMT 30 minutes | 7-8 min |

Table 11: Observation and acceptance criteria of finished product analysis

| Result | | Batch | | |
|----------------------|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Tests | Standards | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Appearance | Red coloured, Oval Shaped, biconvex, Film coated tablets | Meet | Meet | Meet |
| Average Weight | 286 mg ± 5 % (Limit : 271.7 mg to 300.3 mg) | 283 | 287 | 286 |
| Weight of 20 Tablets | 5.720 gm ± 2 % ((Limit : 5.605 gm to 5.834gm) | 5.739 | 5.740 | 5.720 |
| Thickness | $3.85 \text{ mm} \pm 0.3 \text{ mm}$ (Limit: 3.55mm to 4.15mm) | 3.90 | 3.86 | 3.82 |
| Disintegration | NMT 30 minutes | 06 - 07 | 06 - 07 | 06 - 07 |
| Assay | Not less than 90% to not more than 110% of the label amount | 101.63% | 101.60% | 101.72% |

5. Conclusion

On the basis of data generated from the three batches (Batch-1, Batch-2, Batch-3), it is concluded that the manufacturing process of labetalol HCl USP 200 mg tablet is capable of producing a product meeting its quality attributes and predetermined specification. The results of all stages were found within the standard specification and acceptance criteria mentioned in the process validation protocol and finished product specification. Hence manufacturing process of labetalolHCl 200 mg

6. Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my parents and my family for always supporting me in all stages of my life. I would like to thanks my guide, co-guide and my friends for standing beside me for all time I needed them.

References

- [1] Validation definition and FDA. Regulatory agencies guidelines requirements, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/validation drug manufacture, accessed 27 feb 2014
- [2] FDA (CDER), (CBER), (CVM), process validation : general principle and practices, guidance for industry, January 2011, page no. 3-4
- [3] John M. Validation overview & ICH validation document, tools of method validation and parameter of method validation.
- [4] Edward M. validation of solid dosage forms the FDA view, 1989; 15 (6-7): 1119-1133 https://www.tandfonline.com/, accessed 27 feb 2014,
- [5] Guideline of process validation of pharmaceutical dosage forms. Saudi food and drug authority, kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2010; pageno.9-15.
- [6] Elsie jatto, Augustine and O okhanmafe; an overview of pharmaceutical validation and process control in drug development, tropical journal of pharmaceutical research, December 2002; 1(2): page no- 115 -122.
- [7] Guide to inspection of oral solid dosage forms pre/post approval issued for development and validation.

Washington D.C US food and drug administration, 1994.

Volume 8 Issue 9, September 2019 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY