Formocresol in Dental Domain: A Review

Rohit Pannu

M.D.S. Senior Lecturer, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Maharaja Ganga Singh Dental College & Research Centre, Sriganganagar (Rajasthan), India

Abstract: Formocresol has been widely used in pediatric dentistry since its introduction into dentistry by Buckley in 1904. Since then, a lot of concern has been expressed and discussed about the safety of formocresol use, especially in pediatric dentistry. Concerns have been expressed over the acuity of using products containing formaldehyde in children and alternatives being considered, even though there is no notable data to support the assertion of formocresol toxicity.

Keywords: Formocresol, Devitalization, Pulpotomy, Mutagenicity, DPX (DNA-protein cross-links)

1. Introduction

Formocresol has been used in dentistry since 100 years. The biologic approach to pediatric pulp therapy is either devitalization approach of formocresol pulptomy or pulpectomy. Formocresol was introduced to treat non-vital permanent teeth in the United States by Buckley in 1904. In 1930, Sweet introduced the formocresol pulpotomy technique. Formocresol has subsequently become a popular pulpotomy medicament for primary teeth. Initially, the technique involved five visits. Sweet reduced the number of visits over the years, because of economic and behavior management considerations.¹ Doyle et. al.² used a two-visit procedure in their comparison study of formocresol and calcium hydroxide. Spedding et. al.³ and Redig⁴ reported the results of a 5-min formocresol protocol, and since that time, complete mummification has been abandoned by the profession. By 1960, a single visit procedure was advocated.⁵ Studies have shown formocresol therapy to have a success rate between 70% and 90%.⁶ Histologic results have been variable in contrast to the high clinical success rate. Formocresol is still considered a gold standard by which all new modalities are compared.⁷ Formocresol is still used today in full strength by an alarming number of clinicians around the world despite the hundreds of articles that have supported the mutagenicity (genotoxicity), carcinogenicity and toxicity of formaldehyde.⁸ Milnes⁹, in a minority perspective, has written that since antibiotics are used frequently and cause death, why should we be concerned about formaldehyde?

Composition

The composition of Buckley's formocresol is 19% formaldehyde and 35% tricresol, 15% glycerin and 31% water base.¹⁰ Glycerine is added to prevent the polymerization of formaldehyde to para-formaldehyde. The presence of para-formaldehyde causes clouding of the solution.¹¹ One-fifth dilution of Buckley's formocresol can be prepared by adding 30 ml of Buckley's formocresol, 90 ml of glycerol and 30 ml of water.¹⁰

Mechanism of action

It is both a bactericidal and devitalizing agent. It kills and converts bacteria and pulp tissue into inert compounds. Formocresol inactivates the oxidative enzymes in the pulp tissue adjacent to the amputation site. It may also have some effect on hyaluronidase action. Therefore, the proteinbinding properties and the inhibition of the enzymes that can break the pulp tissue down together result in 'fixation' of the pulp tissue by formocresol and render it inert and resistant to enzymatic breakdown.¹⁰ With formocresol as the pulptomy medicament, a zone of fixation usually is evident where the pulp is in direct contact with the medicament. Coagulation necrosis of the tissue occurs at the amputation site and is supported by the fact that true coagulation necrosis is produced by poisons such as phenol, formaldehyde or mercuric chloride, which denatures the protein of the cells.¹² Further away, where the concentration of formocresol decrease, there is a zone of poor cellular definition and necrosis. Apical to this is a zone of chronic inflammation, which blends into normal tissue.²

Pharmacokinetics of Formocresol

Formocresol applied to vital pulp tissue is absorbed readily into the systemic circulation and distributed throughout the body. A portion of the absorbed formocresol is metabolized and excreted by the kidney and lungs. The remaining formocresol is tissue-bound with the predominant sites of tissue binding - liver, kidney and lungs.¹³ The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated daily consumption of formaldehyde to be approximately 1.5-14 mg/day (mean, 7.8 mg/day).¹⁴

Sources of Human Formaldehyde Exposure¹⁵

- 1) Atmospheric formation: photochemical oxidation of organic compounds.
- 2) Internal combustion engine exhaust.
- 3) Fertilizer production.
- 4) Hydrogen sulfide scavenger: oil operations.
- 5) Household products:
 - Dishwashing liquid.
 - Antiseptics and disinfectants.
 - Carpet cleaners.
 - Carpets.
- 6) Preservatives and embalming solutions.
- 7) Cosmetics (maximum concentration, 0.3% v/v):
 - Fingernail hardeners (maximum concentration, 5% v/v)
- 8) Paper products.
- 9) Adhesives.
- 10)Tire and rubber manufacturing.
- 11)Latex paints.
- 12)Resin production:
- Phenolic-formaldehyde resin.

Volume 8 Issue 9, September 2019

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Urea-formaldehyde resin.
Pentaerythritol resin.
13)Permanent press fabrics.
14)Manufactured wood products.
15)Forest and brush fires.
16)Tobacco products.

Cresol has poor solubility, so it is assumed that it does not enter systemic circulation. Cresol is highly lipophilic and has been shown to completely destroy cellular integrity. This would allow deeper tissue fixation by the formaldehyde component of formocresol.¹⁶ Benzyl alcohol is a by-product of tricresol oxidation.¹⁷ Benzyl alcohol is oxidized rapidly to benzoic acid, conjugated with glycine in the liver, and excreted as hippuric acid. It has no carcinogenic or mutagenic potential, and the allowable daily intake, as established by WHO is 5 mg/kg.¹⁸

Histological studies demonstrate the true biological damage after formocresol treatment. Physiologically, with the vascular damage, the balance between osmotic pressure and hydrostatic pressure is disrupted in tissue. As a result, there is absorption of inflammatory fluid insult by pulp tissue and decrease in the osmotic pressure. So, hemostatic balance is re-established. When this occurs, the constricted pulp cavity must dissipate the pressure changes. If this does not occur, pressure necrosis of the pulp occurs. In addition, lymphatic and venous vascular flow from the coronal pulp must dissipate this excess inflammatory fluid. This excess is distributed apically and to regional vascular vessels. Therefore, the local insult results in systemic distribution.¹⁹ Myers et al.¹³ and Pashley et al.²⁰ concluded that 14C formaldehyde is absorbed systemically from pulpotomy sites and formaldehyde is distributed to distant sites, but did not determine if the labeling of tissues occurred by metabolic incorporation of the 14C moiety of the labeled formaldehyde into macromolecules.

Concerns about formocresol

The major concerns that cause seeking for alternatives for formaldehyde derivates are their potential mutagenicity, carcinogenicity, cytotoxicity, alergenicity and the other possible health hazards which have been attributed to them.²¹ National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health in USA states if formaldehyde exposure occurs at a concentration of 20 ppb (parts per billion) or higher, it is instantly dangerous to health and life.²² Pruhs et al.²³ found a relationship between primary teeth treated with formocresol and enamel defects in the permanent successors.

Exposure of cells to formaldehyde leads to the formation of DPX (DNA-protein cross-links). The most common types of DNA damage induced by formaldehyde are clastogenic lesions, including sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs), micronuclei and chromosomal aberrations, and deletions.²⁴ DPX development demonstrated only after a prolonged exposure to formaldehyde at specific contact sites such as nasopharynx. A minute quantity used in pulpotomy for few minutes that will produce distant site genotoxicity is not evidence-based.⁹

The investigations of root canal sealers that contain formaldehyde and produce cytotoxicity are not comparable with formocresol pulpotomy studies. Root canal sealer remains in root canal and forms part of restoration and may lead to further release of formaldehyde.²⁵

Cancer develops after inhalation of air with large concentrations of formaldehyde. The cancer can occur after a long-term direct contact with susceptible tissues. The toxic effects on initial contact sites like ulceration, hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia may subsequently contribute to cancer. In June 2004, the International Agency for Research on Cancer has reclassified formaldehyde as a known human carcinogen.²⁶ Formaldehyde was strongly associated with leukemia while generally accepted as a direct cause of nasopharyngeal cancer.²⁷

Using human buccal cells, Lu et al.²⁸ demonstrated DNA breaking and cross-linking activity. He concluded that the results of gaseous formaldehyde with the comet test indicated that formaldehyde increased the possibility of cancer at high levels.

Current pulpotomy medicaments

Generally, the popular medicaments are ferric sulphate (FS), calcium hydroxide (CH) and mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA).²⁹ Caceda³⁰ has developed a contemporary technique that utilises a resin-based composite filling material: fast-setting ZOE Temrex cement, a zinc oxide, and eugenol (oil of cloves) product, but still performs the formocresol pulpotomy.

Increased utilization of indigenous plant medicines in developing countries became a world health organization policy in 1970.³¹ Jojoba oil is extracted from ground crushed seeds of Simmondosia chinensis. It was introduced in Egypt in 1984 by Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). Using it as pulp capping material, led to favorable healing pulp response similar to or sometimes better than the response manifested by the exposed pulps capped with calcium hydroxide.³²

Even a 'green' approach exists, utilising the nineteenth century essential oil cinnamaldehyde, from cinnamon, with promising results in rat pulp capping when compared to formocresol.³³

Recently, Bahrololoomi et al.³⁴ examined the success rates of electrosurgery as opposed to formocresol pulpotomy. The failure rate in booth groups did not show any statistical significance on the 70 primary molars of 5- to 10-year-olds; evidence that alternatives to medicaments should be examined and studied further.

In 2006, Fuks aptly concluded after examining a review of the pulpotomy literature from 1966-2005, 'More high quality, properly planned prospective studies are necessary...' although noted that MTA is currently the most favourable choice.³⁵

2. Conclusion

Formocresol, when judiciously used, is unlikely genotoxic or immunotoxic or poses a cancer risk. Contemporary dentists who wish to continue to use formocresol should apply the lowest dose possible for the shortest time possible to obtain the desired effect. When used judiciously, formocresol is a safe medicament. Further studies needed in order to determine alternative pulp therapies with milder medicaments or treatments that are not distributed systemically offer patients a margin of safety from intravascular formocresol distribution to end organs.

3. Conflict of interest

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Ranly DM. Pulpotomy therapy in primary teeth: New modalities for old rationales. Pediatr Dent 1994;16:403-9.
- [2] Doyle WA, McDonald RE, Mitchell DF. Formocresol versus calcium hydroxide in pulpotomy. J Dent Child 1962;29:86-97.
- [3] Spedding RH, Mitchell DF, McDonald RE. Formocresol and calcium hydroxide therapy. J Dent Res 1965;44:1023-34.
- [4] Redig DF. A comparison and evaluation of two formocresol pulpotomy technics utilizing "Buckley's" formocresol. J Dent Child 1968;35:22-30.
- [5] Dummett CO Jr, Kopel HM. Pediatric endodontics. In: Ingle J, Bakland L, editors. Endodontics. 5th ed. Hamilton: BC Deker; 2002.Vol 1, p. 876, 885-6.
- [6] Wright FA, Widmer RP. Pulpal therapy in primary molar teeth: A retrospective study. J Pedod 1979;3:195-206.
- [7] Fei AL, Udin RD, Johnson R. A clinical study of ferric sulfate as a pulpotomy agent in primary teeth. Pediatr Dent 1991;13:327-32.
- [8] Fuks A B. Vital pulp therapy with new materials for primary teeth: new directions and treatment perspectives. Pediatr Dent 2008;30:211–219.
- [9] Milnes A R. Is formocresol obsolete? A fresh look at the evidence concerning safety issues. J Endod 2008; 34:S40-46.
- [10] Duggal MS. Restorative techniques in paediatric dentistry, In. 2nd ed.; 2002. p. 50-63.
- [11] Fei AL, Udin RD, Johnson R. A clinical study of ferric sulfate as a pulpotomy agent in primary teeth. Pediatr Dent 1991;13:327-32.
- [12] Florey L. General pathology. 4th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co.; 1970.Vol. 37, p. 434.
- [13] Myers DR, Shoaf HK, Dirksen TR, Pashley DH, Whitford GM, Reynolds KE. Distribution of 14C-formaldehyde after pulpotomy with formocresol. J Am Dent Assoc 1978;96:805-13.
- [14] World Health Organization. Formaldehyde: Environmental health criteria 89, International Programme on Chemical Safety, Geneva, 1989.

- [15] Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999. Priority substances list assessment report: formaldehyde. Environment Canada, Health Canada.
- [16] Ranly DM. Formocresol toxicity: Current knowledge. Acta Odontol Pediatr 1984;5:93-8.
- [17] Gruber C. The pharmacology of benzyl alcohol and its esters. J Lab Clin Med 1923;9:15.
- [18] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Integrated risk information system: Tricresol (CASRN 1319-77-77-3).
- [19] Kim S, Liu M, Simchon S, Dorscher-Kim JE. Effects of selected inflammatory mediators in blood flow and vascular permeability in the dental pulp. Proc Finn Dent Soc 1992;88 Suppl 1:387-92.
- [20] Pashley EL, Myers DR, Pashley DH, Whitford GM. Systemic distribution of 14C- formaldehyde from formocresol-treated pulpotomy sites. J Dent Res 1980;59:602-8.
- [21] Camp JH and Barrett EJ: Endodontics treatment for primary and young dentition in Cohen, Burns RC. Pathway of the pulps. 8th Edition. St. Louis, Mosby 2000; 797-844.
- [22] Heck H, Casanova M. Pharmacodynamics of formaldehyde: Applications of a model for the arrest of DNA replication by DNA-protein cross-links. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1999;160:86-100.
- [23] Pruhs RJ, Olen GA, Sharma PS. Relationship between formocresol pulpotomies on primary teeth and enamel defects on their permanent successors. J Am Dent Assoc 1977;94:698–700.
- [24] Federal Provincial Territorial Committee on Drinking Water. Formaldehyde: Guidelines for Canadian drinking water quality - supporting documents.
- [25] Huang TH, Ding SJ, Hsu TZ, Lee ZD, Kao CT. Root canal sealers induce cytotoxicity and necrosis. J Mater Sci Mater Med 2004;15:767-71.
- [26] Cogliano V, Grosse Y et al. Meeting report: summary of IARC Monographs on formaldehyde, 2utoxyethanol, and 1-tert-butoxy-2-propanol. Environ Health Perspect September 2005.
- [27] Zhang L, Steinmaus C et al. Formaldehyde exposure and leukemia: a new meta-analysis and potential mechanisms. Mutat Res 2009;681:150–168.
- [28] Lu Z-S, Yan Y et al. Studies on genotoxicity of gaseous formaldehyde on human buccal cells. China Environ Sci 2003; 23: 566–569.
- [29] Peng L, Ye L et al. Evaluation of formocresol versus ferric sulphate primary molar pulpotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Endod J 2007; 40: 751– 757.
- [30] Caceda J H. The use of resin-based composite restorations in pulpotomized primary molars. J Dent Child (Chic) 2007; 74: 147–150.
- [31] Llewelyn DR: The pulp treatment of the primary dentition. Int.J.Pead.Dent 2000;10:248-252.
- [32] Sobhy H and Mohamed E: Some biological and pharmacological studies on Jojoba oil. Bull Fac Pharm; Cairo Univ 1997; 34:239.
- [33] Gao H Y, Li W Y et al. Histopathology research of cinnamaldehyde as pulp-cap of pulpotomy in rats. Hua Xi Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi 2007; 25: 429–431.

Volume 8 Issue 9, September 2019

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

- [34] Bahrololoomi Z, Moeintaghavi A et al. Clinical and radiographic comparison of primary molars after formocresol and electrosurgical pulpotomy: a randomized clinical trial. Indian J Dent Res 2008; 19: 219–223.
- [35] Fuks A B, Papagiannoulis L. Pulpotomy in primary teeth: review of the literature according to standardized criteria. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 2006; 7: 64–71.

Volume 8 Issue 9, September 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY