Isolation and Identification of Endophytic Bacteria from Rare Medicinal Plant Genera of Bilsapur City of Chhattisgarh

Krishna Kumar Verma¹, Dr. Shweta Sao²

¹Ph.D Scholar, Microbiology Department, Dr. C. V. Raman University, Kota, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

² Professor and Head, Department of Microbiology, Dr. C. V. Raman University, Kota, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

Abstract: Endophyte reside in the living plant tissue are mostly unstudied and potential sources of new natural products for therapeutic preparations. Some endophytes produce the secondary metabolites as that of plant which make them promising sources of novel compounds. This study was conducted with the aim to isolate and identify endophytic bacteria from rare and endangered medicinal plants collected from Bilaspur district of Chhattisgarh .Isolation of endophytic bacteria was done on nutrient agar medium and characterized on the basis of morphological and biochemical characteristics. A total 18 bacterial endophytes were obtained from these different plants, and characterized with the help of morphological and biochemical tests and identified by BMDM.

Keywords: Endophytes, nutrient agar medium, rare endangered medicinal plants, secondary metabolites

1. Introduction

Endophytic bacteria (such as Gammaproteobacteria, Pantoea, Methylobacterium, Azospirillum, Herbaspirillum, Burkholderia, Rhizobium, Cellulomonas, Clavibacter, Curtobacterium, Pseudomonas Microbacterium, Burkholderia and Bacillus) are significantly present inside the plants communities and not reported to exhibit any negative effect on them to date (Mano and Morisaki, 2008; Holliday, 1989; Schulz & Boyle, 2006; Lodewyckx et al., 2002). Endophytic bacteria are colonize like phytopathogens inside host tissues, which makes them suitable as biocontrol agents (Berg et al., 2005) especially with regards to plant pathogens (Sturz & Matheson, 1996; Krishnamurthy & Gnanamanickam, 1997), insects (Azevedo et al., 2000) and nematodes (Hallmann et al., 1997; Hallmann et al., 1998). Fungal-, bacterial-, viral- infection and even harmful effect of nematodes can potentially be reduced by endophythic microbial communities (Kerry, 2000; Sturz et al., 2000; Ping & Boland, 2004; Berg & Hallmann, 2006). Moreover, they also enhance plant growth (Bent & Chanway, 1998) by inducing phosphate solubilization activity (Verma et al., 2001; Wakelin et al., 2004), indole acetic acid production (Lee et al., 2004), production of a siderophore (Costa & Loper, 1994), provide essential vitamins (Pirttila et al., 2004) and alteration of nitrogen accumulation and metabolism (Compant et al., 2005a; Compant et al., 2005b). Apart from plant-endophytic mutual relationship studies for sustainable agriculture, the scientific communities are now look forward endophytic bacteria for novel secondary metabolites as drugs (Strobel et al., 2004) and towards phytoremediation (Siciliano et al., 2001; Barac et al., 2004; Germaine et al., 2004, 2006; Porteous-Moore et al., 2006). As per the literature survey, present work has been done to explore the endophytic bacteria from healthy wild rare medicinal plant community of Bilaspur City in order to explore their secondary metabolites for wider range of applications towards benefit of mankind.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sample collection

As per data base provided in the paper published by Tewari *et al.* (2014), healthy wild rare medicinal endangered plants viz., *Acorus calamus* L. (Buch), *Andrographis paniculata*. Burm.f. (Kalmegh), *Clerodendrum erratum* L. (Bharangi), *Convolvulus microphyllous* sieb. (Shank pushpin), *Tephrosia perpuria* L. (Sarphonk) were selected and collected from the Bilaspur City (on July 2017), location situated at 22.0796° N, 82.1391° E.

S.	Sample	Geographical	
No.	Botanical Name	Local Name	Location
1	Acorus calamus L.	Buch	22.1293° N, 82.1360° E
2	Andrographis paniculata. Burm. f.	Kalmegh	22.0867° N, 82.1988° E
3	Clerodendrum erratum L.	Bharangi	22.1293° N, 82.1360° E
4	Convolvulus microphyllous sieb.	Shank pushpin	22.0563° N, 82.1816° E
5	Tephrosia perpuria L.	Sarphonk	22.0867° N, 82.1988° E

 Table 1: Sample collected for the isolation of Endophytic

 Bacteria

Isolation of Endophytic Bacteria

Samples were washed with tap water, surface sterilized with 5% sodium hypochlorite followed by sterile-distilled water. 100 μ l of the final wash (sterile-distilled water) was spread onto nutrient agar medium for control. For the test plate, 5.0 g surface sterilized explants (leaf, root and stem) were macerated in 10 ml of sterile distilled water and 100 μ l of suspension was cultured onto nutrient agar media. Plates were incubated at 26-28°C for 15 days, under consistent observation. Morphologically distinct colonies were marked and pure cultures of each marked colonies were prepared. Pure cultures were stored as Agar slant at 4°C until used.

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

10.21275/ART2020885

Identification of Endophytic Bacteria

were Each bacterial pure cultures subjected to characterization. morphological and biochemical Morphological characteristics observed were pigmentation, shape, elevation, edge, consistency and colony surface of bacterial colonies. Gram staining and Spore staining were performed. Biochemical characteristics includes Motility test, Catalase test, Coagulase test, Methyl red test, Vogesproskaeur test, Indole test, Starch hydrolysis, Citrate test, Oxidase test and Sugar fermentation. Bacterial strains were identified as per described by (BMDB) Bergey's Manual of Bacteriology Determinative (Bergev's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 1964).

Statistical analysis

All the experimental setups were carried out in triplicates to minimize error. Means Data were taken. Data were calculated in MS-Excel.

3. Results and Discussion

Present research work has been conducted towards the isolation and identification of endophytic bacteria from rare medicinal plant genera of Bilsapur City of Chhattisgarh State of India. A total of five endangered rare medicinal plants (Acorus calamus L., Andrographis paniculata. Burm.f., erratum L., Convolvulus Clerodendrum microphyllous sieb. and Tephrosia perpuria L.) were selected to isolate endophytic bacteria. Eighteen endophytic bacteria (Burkholderia sp., Pseudomonas sp., Bacillus sp., Clavibacter sp., Cellulomonas sp., Herbaspirillum sp., Rhizobium sp., Methylobacterium sp., Azospirillum sp., Curtobacterium sp., Microbacterium sp., Pantoea sp., Gammaproteobacteria sp., Acetobacter sp., Enterobacter sp., Burkholderia sp., Herbaspirillum sp. and Klebsiella sp.) were isolated and identified as per their morphological and biochemical characteristics described by BMDB. It was noted that most of them are gram negative and these group of bacteria are known to be resistant against large groups of bio-control agents. To get better insight in microbial identification, their morphological and biochemical characteristics were cross verified by an online microbial identification database ABIS.

Maximum endophyte density 1.7×10^6 CFU/ml found in the leaf of *Tephrosia perpuria* L. while minimum 1.1×10^3 in the root of *Acorus calamus* L. Means as per data observed it could be said that leaf has maximum endophytic bacteria while root has lowest. Shyam *et al.* (2017) reviewed diverse group of endophytic bacteria and mentioned, in a maize root, average CFU of WP5gfp (*Rahnella* sp.) were 2.9×10^7 /gram in root while 3.9×10^7 /gram in leaf and stems.

Holliday (1989), Lodewyckx *et al.* (2002), Schulz & Boyle (2006), Mano and Morisaki (2008) Shyam *et al.* (2017) and Firdous *et al.* (2019) have been reported same kinds of endophytic bacteria from variety of plants. Every plant has certain consortium of endophytic bacteria. It was also noticed that almost same level diversity of endophytic bacteria present in plant communities inhabited in particular region however consortium of endophytes might be varied. Well documented literatures have been available and revealed that endophytic bacterial consortium that reside in

rhizosphere soil, leaf, stem and root might be able to produce wide variety of novel secondary metabolites Rosenblueth *et al.*, 2006; Compant *et al.*, 2010; Reinhold-Hurek *et al.*, 2011). Benefit associated with endophytic bacteria has been reported towards bioremediation (Newman and Reynolds, 2005), as a control agent that prevent the doorway of pathogen (Haas and Defago, 2005), siderophores (Hydroxamate- and catecholate- type) production (Sharma and Johri, 2003) and produce optimal level of phytohormone (Sgroy *et al.*, 2009; Vanstraelen and Benkova, 2012). Such application of endophytic bacteria could further be explored and optimized.

Table 2: Details of the source plant f	or the is	olation	of
Endophytic bacteria			

Endopriytic bacteria						
S.	Plant	Plant	Endophytic Bacteria			
No.		Part	$(CFU ml^{-1})$			
1	Acorus calamus L.	Leaf	1.3×10^{6}			
		Stem	1.4×10^{5}			
		Root	1.1×10^{3}			
	Andrographis paniculata. Burm. f.	Leaf	1.2×10^5			
2		Stem	1.2×10^4			
		Root	1.4×10^{3}			
	Clerodendrum erratum L.	Leaf	1.5×10^{4}			
3		Stem	1.1×10^{5}			
		Root	1.3×10^{3}			
	Convolvulus microphyllous sieb.	Leaf	1.4×10^{5}			
4		Stem	1.1×10^{6}			
		Root	1.3×10^{3}			
	Tephrosia perpuria L.	Leaf	1.7×10^{6}			
5		Stem	1.2×10^{6}			
		Root	1.4×10^{4}			

References

- Azevedo, J.L., Maccheroni, J. J., Pereira, O., Ara, W. L. (2000). Endophytic microorganisms: a review on insect control and recent advances on tropical plants. *Electr J Biotech*, 3, 40-65.
- [2] Barac, T., Taghavi, S., Borremans, B., Provoost, A., Oeyen, L., Colpaert, J.V., Vangronsveld, J., Van Der Lelie, D. (2004). Engineered endophytic bacteria improve phyto-remediation of water-soluble, volatile, organic pollutants. *Nat Biotechnol*, 22, 583-588.
- [3] Bent, E. and Chanway, C. P. (1998). The growth-promoting effects of a bacterial endophyte on lodgepole pine are partially inhibited by the presence of other rhizobacteria. *Can J Microbiol*, 44, 980-988.
- [4] Berg, G. and Hallmann, J. (2006). Control of plant pathogenic fungi with bacterial endophytes. Microbial Root Endophytes (Schulz, B.J.E., Boyle, C.J.C. and Sieber, T.N., eds), 53-69. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [5] Berg, G., Eberl, L. and Hartmann, A. (2005). The rhizosphere as a reservoir for opportunistic human pathogenic bacteria. *Environ Microbiol*, 7, 1673-1685.
- [6] Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (7th ed.). (1964). American Journal of Public Health and the Nations Health, 54(3), 544.
- [7] Compant, S., Duffy, B., Nowak, J., Cl, C. and Barka, E. A. (2005a). Use of plant growth-promoting bacteria for biocontrol of plant diseases: principles, mechanisms of action, and future prospects. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 71, 4951-4959.
- [8] Compant, S., Reiter, B., Sessitsch, A., Nowak, J., Clément, C. and Barka, E. A. (2005b). Endophytic colonization of

Volume 8 Issue 8, August 2019

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Vitis vinifera L. by a plant growth-promoting bacterium, *Burkholderia* sp. strain PsJN. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 71, 1685-1693.

- [9] Costa, J. M. and Loper, J. E. (1994). Characterization of siderophore production by the biological-control agent *Enterobacter cloacae. Mol Plant Microbe Interact*, 7, 440-448.
- [10] Germaine, K., Keogh, E., Borremans, B. *et al.* (2004). Colonisation of poplar trees by gfp expressing bacterial endophytes. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, 48, 109–118.
- [11] Germaine, K., Liu, X., Cabellos, G., Hogan, J., Ryan, D. and Dowling, D. N. (2006). Bacterial endophyte-enhanced phyto-remediation of the organochlorine herbicide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, 57, 302-310.
- [12] Hallmann, J., Quadt-Hallmann, A., Mahaffee, W. F. and Kloepper, J. W. (1997). Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops. *Can J Microbiol*, 43, 895-914.
- [13] Hallmann, J., Quadt-Hallmann, A., Rodríguez-Kábana, R. & Kloepper, J. W. (1998). Interactions between Meloidogyne incognita and endophytic bacteria in cotton and cucumber. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 30, 925-937.
- [14] Holliday, P. (1989). A Dictionary of Plant Pathology. *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge.
- [15] Kerry, B. R. (2000). Rhizosphere interactions and the exploitation of microbial agents for the biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes. *Ann Rev Phytopath*, 38, 423-441.
- [16] Krishnamurthy, K. and Gnanamanickam, S. S. (1997). Biological control of sheath blight of rice: induction of systemic resistance in rice by plant-associated *Pseudomonas* sp. *Curr Sci*, 72, 331-334.
- [17] Lee, S., Flores-Encarnacion, M., Contreras-Zentella, M., Garcia-Flores, L., Escamilla, J. E. and Kennedy, C. (2004). Indole-3-acetic acid biosynthesis is deficient in *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* strains with mutations in cytochrome C biogenesis genes. *J Bacteriol*, 186, 5384-5391.
- [18] Lodewyckx, C., Vangronsveld, J., Porteous, F., Moore, E. R. B., Taghavi, S., Mezgeay, M. and Van Der Lelie, D. (2002). Endophytic bacteria and their potential applications. *Crit Rev Plant Sci*, 21, 583-606.
- [19] Mano, H. and Morisaki, H. (2008). Endophytic bacteria in the rice plant. *Microbes Environ*, 23(2), 109-17.
- [20] Ping, L. and Boland, W. (2004). Signals from the underground: bacterial volatiles promote growth in *Arabidopsis. Trends Plant Sci*, 9, 263-266.
- [21] Pirttila, A., Joensuu, P., Pospiech, H., Jalonen, J. and Hohtola, A. (2004). Bud endophytes of Scots pine produce adenine derivatives and other compounds that affect morphology and mitigate browning of callus cultures. *Physiol Plant*, 121, 305-312.
- [22] Porteous-Moore, F., Barac, T., Borremans, B., Oeyen, L., Vangronsveld, J., Van Der Lelie, D., Campbell, D. and Moore, E. R. B. (2006). Endophytic bacterial diversity in poplar trees growing on a BTEX-contaminated site: the characterisation of isolates with potential to enhance phytoremediation. Sys App Micro, 29, 539-556.
- [23] Schulz, B. and Boyle, C. (2006). What are endophytes? Microbial Root Endophytes (Schulz, B. J. E., Boyle, C. J. C. and Sieber, T.N., eds), 1-13. *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin.
- [24] Siciliano, S., Fortin, N., Himoc, N. *et al.* (2001) Selection of specific endophytic bacterial genotypes by plants in response to soil contamination. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 67, 2469- 2475.

- [25] Strobel, G., Daisy, B., Castillo, U. and Harper, J. (2004) Natural products from endophytic microorganisms. *J Nat Prod*, 67, 257-268.
- [26] Sturz, A. V. and Matheson, B. G. (1996). Populations of endophytic bacteria which influence host-resistance to Erwinia-induced bacterial soft rot in potato tubers. *Plant Soil*, 184: 265- 271.
- [27] Sturz, A.V., Christie, B.R. & Nowak, J. (2000). Bacterial endophytes: potential role in developing sustainable systems of crop production. *Crit Rev Plant Sci*, 19, 1-30.
- [28] Tewari, U., Bahadur, A. N., Soni, P., Pandey, S. (2014). Medicinal Uses Of Some Threatened Species of Wild Herbal Plants From Bilaspur District, *Indian J.Sci.Res*, 4 (1), 64-69.
- [29] Verma, S. C., Ladha, J. K. and Tripathi, A. K. (2001) Evaluation of plant growth promoting and colonization ability of endophytic diazotrophs from deep water rice. J *Biotechnol*, 91, 127-141.
- [30] Wakelin, S., Warren, R., Harvey, P. and Ryder, M. (2004) Phosphate solubilization by *Penicillium* sp. closely associated with wheat roots. *Bio Fert Soils*, 40, 36-43.
- [31] Firdous, J., Lathif, N. Ab., Resni, M. and Muhamad N. (2019). Endophytic bacteria and their potential application in agriculture: A review. *Agric. Res*, 53(1), 1-7.
- [32] Shyam, L., Kandel, J. P. M. and Doty, S. L. (2017). Bacterial Endophyte Colonization and Distribution within Plants, *Microorganisms*, 25, 5(4).
- [33] Doi: 10.3390/microorganisms5040077.
- [34] Newman, L. A. and Reynolds, C. M. (2005). Bacteria and phytoremediation: new uses for endophytic bacteria in plants. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 23, 6-8.
- [35] Haas, D. and Defago, G. (2005). Biological control of soilborne pathogens by fluorescent *pseudomonads*. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, 3, 307-319.
- [36] Sgroy, V., Cassán, F., Masciarelli, O., Papa, M., Lagares, A. and Luna, V. (2009). Isolation and characterization of endophytic plant growth-promoting (PGPB) or stress homeostasis-regulating (PSHB) bacteria associated to the halophyte *Prosopis strombulifera*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 85, 371-381.
- [37] Sharma, A. and Johri, B. N. (2003). Growth promoting influence of siderophore-producing *Pseudomonas* strains GRP3A and PRS9 in maize (*Zea mays L.*) under iron limiting conditions. *Microbiol Res*, 158, 243-248.
- [38] Vanstraelen, M. and Benkova, E. (2012). Hormonal interactions in the regulation of plant development. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*, 28, 463-487.
- [39] Rosenblueth, M., Martinez-Romero, E. (2006). Bacterial endophytes and their interactions with hosts. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact*, 19, 827-837. PubMed: 16903349
- [40] Compant, S., Clement, C., Sessitsch, A. (2010). Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo- and endosphere of plants: their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 42, 669-678.
- [41] Reinhold-Hurek, B., Hurek, T. (2011). Living inside plants: bacterial endophytes. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*, 14, 435-443. PubMed: 21536480.

Volume 8 Issue 8, August 2019

10.21275/ART2020885