

SOME SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF THE BANACH ALGEBRA $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ WITH THE DIRECT-SUM PRODUCT

H. V. DEDANIA AND H. J. KANANI

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative Banach algebra and \mathcal{B} be a closed subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ is a commutative algebra with co-ordinatewise linear operations and the direct-sum product: $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac + ad + bc, bd)$ ($a, c \in \mathcal{A}; b, d \in \mathcal{B}$). In fact, it is a Banach algebra with a suitable norm; it is denoted by $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Here, we study some important spectral properties of this algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout let \mathcal{A} be a commutative algebra and \mathcal{B} be a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ is a commutative algebra with co-ordinatewise linear operations and the *direct-sum product* defined as

$$(a, b)(c, d) = (ac + ad + bc, bd) \quad ((a, b), (c, d) \in \mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}).$$

This algebra will be denoted by $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Further, if \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra and \mathcal{B} is a closed subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the norm $\|(a, b)\|_1 = \|a\| + \|b\|$ ($(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$). Some basic properties, uniqueness properties, regularity properties, and the Gel'fand theory of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ have been studied in [3]. In this paper, we further explore this Banach algebra to study its some spectral properties. These properties are spectral extension property, topological divisor of zero, multiplicative Hahn-Banach property, Quasi divisor of zero, topological annihilator condition, Ditkin's condition, and Tauberian condition.

Let $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ and $r_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ denote the spectrum and the spectral radius of a in \mathcal{A} . Let $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of all non-zero, multiplicative, linear functionals on a commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the map $\hat{a} : \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as $\hat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a)$. The topology on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ is the smallest topology such that \hat{a} is continuous for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and S be a non-empty subset \mathcal{A} . Define $\varphi_{\diamond} : \mathcal{A} \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $\varphi_{\diamond}((a, x)) := \varphi(x)$. Now let \mathcal{I} be an ideal in \mathcal{A} , let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{I})$, and $u \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\varphi(u) = 1$. Define $\varphi^+ : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $\varphi^+((a, x)) := \varphi(au) + \varphi(x)$. Next, for $F \subset \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, define $F^+ := \{\varphi^+ : \varphi \in F\}$ and $F_{\diamond} := \{\varphi_{\diamond} : \varphi \in F\}$. In the case $F = \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, we shall write $\Delta^+(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Delta_{\diamond}(\mathcal{A})$ for F^+ and F_{\diamond} , respectively. We shall need the following result in proofs.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46K05; Secondary 46H05.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebras, Direct-sum product, Spectrum, Spectral radius, Spectral extension property, Gel'fand space, and Gel'fand transform.

This research work is supported by the UGC-SAP-DRS-III; The grant number is F.510/1/DRS-III/2015(SAP-I) given to the Department of Mathematics, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar.

Lemma 1.1. [4, Chapter-3] *The Gel'fand space $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B})$ is homeomorphic to $\Delta^+(\mathcal{A}) \uplus \Delta_\circ(\mathcal{B})$ equipped with the sum-topology. Moreover, the Shilov boundary $\partial(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B})$ is homeomorphic to $\partial^+(\mathcal{A}) \uplus \partial_\circ(\mathcal{B})$ equipped with the sum-topology.*

2. SPECTRAL PROPERTIES IN COMMUTATIVE BANACH ALGEBRAS

Definition 2.1. [7] A norm $|\cdot|$ on \mathcal{A} is a *spectral norm* if $r_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \leq |a|$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$). The Banach algebra \mathcal{A} has *spectral extension property (SEP)* if every norm on \mathcal{A} is a spectral norm.

Theorem 2.2. *If $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has SEP, then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have SEP.*

Proof. Let $|\cdot|$ be a norm on \mathcal{A} . Define $|(a, b)|_1 = |a| + |b|$. Then $|\cdot|_1$ is an algebra norm on $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has SEP, we have

$$r_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = r_{\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}}(a, 0) \leq |(a, 0)|_1 = |a| \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Thus $|\cdot|$ is a spectral norm on \mathcal{A} , and so \mathcal{A} has SEP. Next suppose that $|\cdot|$ is a norm on \mathcal{B} . Define $|(a, b)| = \|a + b\| + |b|$ on $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Banach algebra norm on \mathcal{A} . Then, by [4, Lemma 3.2.2], $|\cdot|$ is an algebra norm on $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has SEP,

$$r_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = r_{\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}}(-b, b) \leq |(-b, b)| = |b| \quad (b \in \mathcal{B}).$$

Hence, $|\cdot|$ is a spectral norm on \mathcal{B} . Therefore, \mathcal{B} has SEP. \square

Definition 2.3. [4, Definition 1.4.18] A non-zero element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is a *topological divisor of zero (TDZ)* if there is a sequence (a_n) in \mathcal{A} such that $\|a_n\| = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and either $a_n a \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The Banach algebra \mathcal{A} has *topological divisor of zero (TDZ) property* if every element of \mathcal{A} is a topological divisor of zero.

Theorem 2.4. *If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have TDZ property, then $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has TDZ property.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have TDZ property. Let $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Then $a + b \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $a + b \neq 0$. Since \mathcal{A} has TDZ property, there exists a sequence $(a_n) \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $\|a_n\| = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $a_n(a + b) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $((a_n, 0))$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ such that $\|(a_n, 0)\|_1 = \|a_n\| = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $(a_n, 0)(a, b) = (a_n a + a_n b, 0) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, (a, b) is a TDZ. If $a + b = 0$, then $a = -b \neq 0$. Since \mathcal{B} has TDZ property, there exists a sequence (b_n) in \mathcal{B} such that $\|b_n\| = 1$ and $b_n b \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, $((0, b_n))$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ such that $\|(0, b_n)\|_1 = \|b_n\| = 1$ and $(0, b_n)(a, b) = (-b_n b, b_n b) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, in all cases, (a, b) is a TDZ in $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Hence $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has TDZ property. \square

Definition 2.5. [7] A commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} has *Multiplicative Hahn-Banach Property (MHBP)* if, for every commutative extension \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , every $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ can be extended to some element of $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$.

Theorem 2.6. *$\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has MHBP if and only if both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have MHBP.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ have MHBP. Let \mathcal{C} be a commutative extension of \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{C} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ is a commutative extension of $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\varphi^+ \in \Delta^+(\mathcal{A}) \uplus \Delta_\circ(\mathcal{B})$. Since $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has MHBP, there exists $\tilde{\eta} \in \Delta(\mathcal{C} \times_d \mathcal{B}) = \Delta^+(\mathcal{C}) \uplus \Delta_\circ(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\tilde{\eta} = \varphi^+$ on $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Now, if $\tilde{\eta} \in \Delta_\circ(\mathcal{B})$, then we get $\varphi(a) = \varphi^+((a, 0)) = \tilde{\eta}((a, 0)) = 0$ on \mathcal{A} . This is not possible. Hence, $\tilde{\eta}$ must be in $\Delta^+(\mathcal{C})$. Therefore, there exists $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\varphi}^+$ on $\mathcal{C} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Also, $\tilde{\eta} = (\tilde{\varphi})^+ = \varphi^+$ on $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$, implies

$\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$ on \mathcal{A} . Thus $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an extension of φ . Hence \mathcal{A} has MHBP. Similarly, it can be proved that \mathcal{B} has MHBP.

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have MHBP. Let \mathcal{C} be any extension of $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Then \mathcal{C} is an extension of both $\mathcal{A} \times_d \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Let $\tilde{\eta} \in \Delta^+(\mathcal{A}) \uplus \Delta_\circ(\mathcal{B})$. Then either $\tilde{\eta} \in \Delta^+(\mathcal{A})$ or $\tilde{\eta} \in \Delta_\circ(\mathcal{B})$. Suppose that $\tilde{\eta} \in \Delta^+(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\tilde{\eta} = \varphi^+$ for some $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Since \mathcal{C} is an extension of \mathcal{A} , by the hypothesis, φ can be extended to some element $\tilde{\varphi}$ of $\Delta(\mathcal{C})$. Then $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\varphi}^+ \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$ and $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\varphi}^+ = \varphi^+$ on $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Similarly, if $\tilde{\eta} \in \Delta_\circ(\mathcal{B})$, then also it can be extended to some element of $\Delta(\mathcal{C})$. Thus $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has MHBP. \square

Definition 2.7. [6] A commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} has *Quasi Divisor of Zero (QDZ) property* if there exists an open subset G of $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ such that

- (1) $\partial(\mathcal{A}) \subset \overline{G}$;
- (2) For every open subset U of G , there exist $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and a non-empty, open set $V \subset U$ such that

$$\hat{a}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varphi \in U^c \\ 1 & \text{if } \varphi \in V. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.8. $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has QDZ property iff both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have QDZ property.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has QDZ property. Then there exists an open set $\tilde{G} \subset \Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B})$ which satisfies the following properties.

- (1) $\partial^+(\mathcal{A}) \uplus \partial_\circ(\mathcal{B}) \subset \overline{(\tilde{G})}$.
- (2) For every open subset \tilde{U} of \tilde{G} , there exists $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ and a non-empty open subset \tilde{V} of \tilde{U} such that

$$(a, b)\hat{(\varphi)} = \begin{cases} 0 & (\varphi \in \tilde{U}^c); \\ 1 & (\varphi \in \tilde{V}). \end{cases}$$

Let $G_{\mathcal{A}} = \{\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) : \varphi^+ \in \tilde{G}\}$ and $G_{\mathcal{B}} = \{\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B}) : \varphi_\circ \in \tilde{G}\}$. Then $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $G_{\mathcal{B}}$ are open sets in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$, respectively as such that $G_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \cup G_{\mathcal{B}_\circ} = \tilde{G}$. Also, from (1) above, we get $\partial\mathcal{A} \subset \overline{G_{\mathcal{A}}}$ and $\partial\mathcal{B} \subset \overline{G_{\mathcal{B}}}$. Now, let $U \subset G_{\mathcal{A}}$ be open. Then U^+ will be open in \tilde{G} . Hence, by (2) above, there exist $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ and a non-empty open set $V^+ \subset U^+$ such that $(a, b)^\wedge = 0$ on $(U^+)^c$ and $(a, b)^\wedge = 1$ on V^+ . Now, if $\varphi \in U^c$, then $\varphi^+ \in (U^+)^c$ and $(a+b)^\wedge(\varphi) = \varphi(a+b) = \varphi^+((a, b)) = 0$ on U^c . If $\varphi \in V$, then $\varphi^+ \in V^+$ and $(a+b)^\wedge(\varphi) = \varphi^+((a, b)) = 1$. Hence \mathcal{A} has QDZ property. By similar arguments, it follows that \mathcal{B} has QDZ property.

Conversely, suppose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have QDZ property. Then there exist open subsets $G_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $G_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ satisfying the properties in the definition of QDZ. Then $\tilde{G} = G_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \cup G_{\mathcal{B}_\circ}$ and

$$\partial(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}) = \partial^+(\mathcal{A}) \uplus \partial_\circ(\mathcal{B}) \subset \overline{G_{\mathcal{A}}^+} \cup \overline{G_{\mathcal{B}_\circ}} = \overline{G_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \cup G_{\mathcal{B}_\circ}} = \overline{\tilde{G}}.$$

Let $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{G}$ be open. Then the corresponding sets $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $U_{\mathcal{B}}$ are open in $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $G_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively. Hence, there exist $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\hat{a} = 0$ outside $U_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\hat{a} = 1$ on some non-empty open subset $V_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $U_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\hat{b} = 0$ outside $U_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\hat{b} = 1$ on some non-empty open subset $V_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $U_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then $(a-b, b)^\wedge = 0$ on $\tilde{U}^c = (U_{\mathcal{A}}^+)^c \cup (U_{\mathcal{B}_\circ})^c$ and $(a-b, b)^\wedge = 1$ on $\tilde{V} = V_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \cup V_{\mathcal{B}_\circ} \subset \tilde{U}$. Hence $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has QDZ property. \square

Definition 2.9. [6] Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal of a commutative semisimple Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . A *separating net* for \mathcal{I} is a net $(q_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of quasi divisors of zero in \mathcal{A} such that

- (1) $\sup\{r_{\mathcal{A}}(q_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} < \infty$;
- (2) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} r_{\mathcal{A}}(aq_\lambda) = 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{I})$;
- (3) There exists an element $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $q_\lambda b = q_\lambda \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda)$.

Definition 2.10. [6] A commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} satisfies *Topological Annihilator (TAN) condition* if there exists a dense set $D \subset \partial\mathcal{A}$ such that, for every $\varphi \in D$, the $\ker\varphi$ admits a separating net.

Theorem 2.11. $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has TAN property iff both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have TAN property.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has TAN property. Then there exists dense subset \tilde{D} of $\partial(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B})$ such that $\ker \tilde{\eta}$ ($\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{D}$) admits a separating net. Let $D_{\mathcal{A}} = \{\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) : \varphi^+ \in \tilde{D}\}$. Then $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a dense subset of $\partial\mathcal{A}$. Let $\varphi \in D_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\varphi^+ \in \tilde{D}$. Hence $\ker \varphi^+$ admits a separating net say $((a_\lambda, b_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Then $(a_\lambda + b_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a separating net for $\ker \varphi$. Thus \mathcal{A} has TAN property. By similar arguments it follows that \mathcal{B} has TAN property.

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have TAN property. Then there exist dense subsets $D_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \partial\mathcal{A}$ and $D_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \partial\mathcal{B}$ such that $\ker \varphi$ ($\varphi \in D_{\mathcal{A}} \cup D_{\mathcal{B}}$) admits a separating net. Let $\tilde{D} = D_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \cup D_{\mathcal{B}}^+$. Then \tilde{D} is a dense subset of $\partial^+(\mathcal{A}) \cup \partial^+(\mathcal{B})$. Let $\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{D}$. Then either $\tilde{\eta} = \varphi^+$ for some $\varphi \in D_{\mathcal{A}}$ or $\tilde{\eta} = \psi^+$ for some $\psi \in D_{\mathcal{B}}$. If $\tilde{\eta} = \varphi^+$, then $\ker \varphi$ admits a separating net $(a_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Hence $((a_\lambda, 0))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a separating net for $\ker \tilde{\eta}$. Similarly, if $\tilde{\eta} = \psi^+$, then $\ker \psi$ admits a separating net $(b_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. In this case, $((-b_\lambda, b_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a separating net for $\ker \tilde{\eta}$. Hence $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ has TAN property. \square

Lemma 2.12. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $(a, b)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}))$ if and only if $a^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $b^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$.

Proof. This follows from the definition of the support. \square

Definition 2.13. [1, Definition 4.1.31] Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative Banach algebra. Then \mathcal{A} satisfies

- (1) *Ditkin's condition at $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$* if for every $a \in \ker(\varphi)$, there exists a sequence (a_n) in \mathcal{A} such that $\widehat{a_n} \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, $\varphi \notin \text{supp}\widehat{a_n}$ and $a_n a \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) *Ditkin's condition at infinity* if for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a sequence (a_n) in \mathcal{A} such that $\widehat{a_n} \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $a_n a \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (3) *Ditkin's condition* if it satisfies Ditkin's condition at every $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and at infinity.

Theorem 2.14. $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ satisfies Ditkin's condition iff both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} satisfy Ditkin's condition.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ satisfies Ditkin's condition. Let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $a \in \ker \varphi$. Then $(a, 0) \in \ker \varphi^+$. Since $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ satisfies Ditkin's condition, there exists a sequence $((a_n, b_n))$ in $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ such that $(a_n, b_n)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}))$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), $\varphi^+ \notin \text{supp}(a_n, b_n)^\wedge$ and $(a_n, b_n)(a, 0) \rightarrow (a, 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $(a_n + b_n)$ is a sequence in \mathcal{A} such that $(a_n + b_n)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), due to Lemma 2.12, $\varphi \notin \text{supp}(a_n + b_n)^\wedge$ and $(a_n + b_n)a \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus \mathcal{A} satisfies Ditkin's condition at every $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. By similar arguments, it follows that \mathcal{B} satisfies Ditkin's condition at every $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$.

Next we show that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} satisfy Ditkin's condition at infinity. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ satisfies Ditkin's condition at infinity, there exists a sequence $((a_n, b_n))$ in $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ such that $(a_n, b_n)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}))$ and $(a_n, b_n)(a, 0)$ converges to $(a, 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $(a_n + b_n)$ is a sequence in \mathcal{A} such that $(a_n + b_n)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ due to Lemma 2.12 and $(a_n + b_n)a \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, \mathcal{A} satisfies Ditkin's condition at infinity. By Similar arguments, it follows that \mathcal{B} satisfies Ditkin's condition at infinity.

Conversely, assume that both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} satisfy Ditkin's condition. Let $\varphi^+ \in \Delta^+(\mathcal{A})$ and $(a, b) \in \ker \varphi^+$. Then $a+b \in \ker(\varphi)$. Since \mathcal{A} satisfies Ditkin's condition at φ , there exists a sequence (a_n) in \mathcal{A} such that $(\widehat{a_n}) \subset C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, $\varphi \notin \text{supp} \widehat{a_n}$ and $a_n(a+b) \rightarrow a+b$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since \mathcal{B} satisfy ditkin's condition at infinity, there exists a sequence (b_n) in \mathcal{B} such that $(\widehat{b_n}) \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$ and $b_n b \rightarrow b$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $((a_n - b_n, b_n))$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ such that $(a_n - b_n, b_n)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}))$ due to Lemma 2.12, $\varphi^+ \notin \text{supp}(a_n - b_n, b_n)^\wedge$ and $(a_n - b_n, b_n)(a, b) \rightarrow (a, b)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ satisfies Ditkin's condition at every $\varphi^+ \in \Delta^+(\mathcal{A})$. Similarly, it follows that $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ satisfies Ditkin's condition at every $\psi_\circ \in \Delta_\circ(\mathcal{B})$.

Next we show that $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ satisfies Ditkin's condition at infinity. Fix an arbitrary element $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$. Since both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} satisfy Ditkin's condition at infinity, there exist sequences (a_n) in \mathcal{A} and $(b_n) \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(\widehat{a_n}) \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, $(\widehat{b_n}) \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$, $(a+b)a_n \rightarrow a+b$ and $b_n b \rightarrow b$. Therefore $(a_n - b_n, b_n)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}))$ and $(a_n - b_n, b_n)(a, b) \rightarrow (a, b)$. Hence $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ satisfies Ditkin's condition at infinity. \square

Definition 2.15. [5, Definition 8.1.2] A commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be a *Tauberian algebra* if the set $\{a \in \mathcal{A} : \widehat{a} \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))\}$ is dense in \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 2.16. $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ is Tauberian iff both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Tauberian.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ be a Tauberian algebra. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ is Tauberian, there exists $(a_0, b_0) \in \mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ such that $(a_0, b_0)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}))$ and $\|(a, 0) - (a_0, b_0)\|_1 = \|a - a_0\| + \|b_0\| < \epsilon$. Then $(a_0 + b_0)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\|(a_0 + b_0) - a\| \leq \|a - a_0\| + \|b_0\| < \epsilon$. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is Tauberian. Now, let $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ is Tauberian, there exists $(a_1, b_1) \in \mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ such that $(a_1, b_1)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}))$ and $\|(0, b) - (a_1, b_1)\|_1 = \|a_1\| + \|b - b_1\| < \epsilon$. Since $(a_1, b_1)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}))$, by Lemma 2.12, $\widehat{b_1} \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$ and $\|b - b_1\| < \epsilon$. Therefore, \mathcal{B} is Tauberian.

Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Tauberian algebra. Let $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Tauberian, there exist $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\widehat{a_0} \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, $\widehat{b_0} \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$, $\|(a+b) - a_0\| < \epsilon/3$ and $\|b - b_0\| < \epsilon/3$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.12, $(a_0 - b_0, b_0)^\wedge \in C_c(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}))$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a, b) - (a_0 - b_0, b_0)\|_1 &= \|a - a_0 + b_0\| + \|b - b_0\| \\ &\leq \|(a+b) - a_0\| + \|b_0 - b\| + \|b - b_0\| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ is a Tauberian algebra. \square

REFERENCES

1. H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
2. H. V. Dedania and H. J. Kanani, *Some Banach algebra properties in the cartesian product of Banach algebras*, Annals of Funct. Anal., 5(1) (2014)51-55.

3. H. V. Dedania and H. J. Kanani, *Characterization of Gel'fand space of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} \times_d \mathcal{B}$ with direct-sum product*, Mathematics Today, April 2018 - Special issue, 34(A)(2018)188-194.
4. H. J. Kanani, *Spectral and uniqueness properties in various Banach algebra product*, Ph.D. Thesis, Sardar Patel University, 2016.
5. R. Larsen, *Banach Algebras: An Introduction*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
6. M. J. Meyer, *Submultiplicative norms on Banach algebras*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Oregon, 1989.
7. M. J. Meyer, *The spectral extension property and extension of multiplicative linear functional*, Proc. American Math. Soc., 112(3)(1991)885-861.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SARDAR PATEL UNIVERSITY, VALLABH VIDYANAGAR-388120, GUJARAT, INDIA.

E-mail address: hvdedania@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BHAUDDIN SCIENCE COLLEGE, JUNAGADH-362001, GUJARAT, INDIA.

E-mail address: hitenmaths69@gmail.com