Engine Design Computational Analysis

Vinay Ahuja¹, Ashish Domal², Pankaj Ande³

^{1, 2}BTech Degree in Mechanical Engineering, NMIMS University, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

³MS Degree in Automotive Engineering, Staffordshire University, England

Abstract: In this research, different methods are developed to vary intake length. Various methods like ram effect tuning and geometric devices are researched in literature review to increase engine performance. Ricardo WAVE simulation software is used to simulate 4-cylinder engine. Main aim behind simulation is to study how induction manifold length tuning affects the inline 4-cylinder engine. Volkswagen cabriolet engine specifications are used to set parameters including stroke, bore, and clearance height etc. From simulation results, it is clear that by varying intake manifold length power and torque of the engine is increased, while fuel consumption is improved and therefore engine performance is improved.

Keywords: Ricardo Wave Build, Engine Design, Intake Manifold, Power & Torque

1. Introduction

SI (Spark Ignition) engine performance can be improved by making adjustments in the operating parameters. Factors such as CR (compression ratio), air-fuel ratio, timings of spark advance fuel injection ignition and valve at different load conditions have an effect. The effects of operating parameters further exploited by using technologies like TDCI (Turbo Diesel Common Rail Injection), Quadra jet, DTSi (Digital Twin Spark Ignition), triple and twin spark. Inertial ram and acoustic effects require additional extra fuel and regulating devices to increase engine torque and engine performance. At certain speeds, opening and closing of valves create airflow through intake manifold and runner which results in resonance of airflow. Most conventional intake manifolds have static intakes and fixed airflow geometry. For a specific rpm, the static intake manifold can be optimized and for a given engine, this rpm corresponds to maximum torque. Generally, engines operate within large speed ranges so it is necessary to broaden the curve of a torque and this is achieved by varying the intake length. [1]

2. Literature Review

M A ceviz performed some experiments to understand how engine performance and emission affects with the variation of intake plenum volume. In this experiment author mainly focused on coefficient of variation in indicated mean effective pressure, Brake and indicated engine performance characteristics. Finally, author came to conclusion that, by varying intake plenum volume continuously performance of the engine can be improved. [4]

M.A. Ceviz and M. Akin researched on spark-ignited engine with electronic fuel injector. In this research, they mainly concentrated on how performance of this type of engine affects by intake plenum volume. Characteristics of SI engine improved in case of multipoint fuel injection system when compared to carbureted system. From results, it was clear that at low engine speed and high load, efficiency of engine i.e. fuel consumption was improved with the variation of plenum length. [5] Two-stage variable intake system was designed especially for formula type of FSAE (Formula Society of Automotive Engineers) car. Control system used here was Flap control system. Where, the main function of flaps was to switch between two different runners. [1]

2.1 Intake tuning theory

Compression and rare faction waves play important role in increment of volumetric efficiency of the engine. Rare faction wave is also known as suction wave. More than 100% of volumetric efficiencies can be achieved at certain RPM's, ultimately which increases engine performance and torque output. When intake valve closes momentum of the airflow halts suddenly, which cause to generate compression wave and this wave reciprocates (travels) along the length of closed intake runner. Corresponds tuning is achieved by carefully adjusting the length of closed intake runner, which helps to arrive pressure wave exactly at the time when inlet valve opens. This effect is known as inertial ram effect and Chryslers ram theory is used to find out the length. [1]



While, during the time of suction stroke of the engine suction wave is generated. This wave travels upstream to airflow and after reflecting from inlet boundary it will acts like high-pressure wave. While, it travels towards downstream side of the combustion space. Local density of inlet flow can be increased, if compressive wave made to arrive at proper time by designing intake manifold length properly. This effect is known as natural or acoustic supercharging and Resonance theory or Acoustic Theory of Piping is used to find out the length. [1]



Figure 2: Acoustic Tuning Theory [1]

For multi cylinder engines with common intake manifold, during suction stroke suction waves are generated and which can be tuned by using acoustic theory. While, Chrysler method is used for the tuning of compressive wave by deciding individual length of the intake runner. For single cylinder engines, there is no plenum end and as the intake manifold and inlet runner are the same. Suction wave reflects back as a compression wave when it reaches to the inlet end. To obtain maximum torque increase, arrival time of this wave have to be matched with maximum piston velocity time. By changing crossectional area of pipe or altering the inlet pipe, arrival time can be altered. Compression waves can be tuned by using Helmholtz resonator, as shown in Figure 3. [1]



Figure 3: Helmholtz Resonator [1]

For Helmholtz Resonator frequency (f) is given by following formula,

$$f = \frac{C}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{A}{L * V_{eff}}} \quad \dots \quad Equation 1.[1]$$

Where,

c is speed of sound, V_{eff} is effective velocity and L = 1+0.3d (d and l are diameter and length of the pipe)

Piston velocity is maximum at mid-stroke. Therefore, to calculate effective velocity (V_{eff}), the cylinder volume with the piston at mid-stroke position is considered.

$$V_{eff} = \frac{V_D(CR+1)}{2(CR-1)}$$
 Equation 2.[1]
Substituting value of V_{eff} in Equation 1,

$$f = \frac{C}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{A*2(CR-1)}{L*V_D(CR+1)}}$$
..... Equation 3.[1]

Where,

 V_D = Swept volume CR= Compression Ratio

3. Research Methodology

Wave is software package from Ricardo software and it is ISO approved. This software is one of the leading 1D engine & gas dynamics simulation software available in market. Wave software mainly used in industrial sectors like, motorcycle, motorsport, car, truck, power generation and locomotive. Simulations of combustion, intake and exhaust can be done very easily by using this software. [2]



3.1 Wave Model

Above figure 4 shows, wave model of inline 4 cylinders, 4 stroke, spark ignition engine designed in Ricardo WaveBuild simulation software. This engine consists of 4 DI (Direct

Injection) fuel injectors to inject fuel in cylinders (one in each cylinder) and each cylinder has 4 valves (two inlets and two exhausts). Using Y-junction intake manifold lengths are connected to inlet valves of cylinder, as shown in figure 5.

Volume 8 Issue 6, June 2019 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

10.21275/ART20198495

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426



3.2 Volkswagen cabriolet engine specifications

In current report, the main aim is to investigate tuning effects of induction manifold length on 4-cylinder engine. Design parameters are referred from Volkswagen cabriolet engine, as this engine is of 4-cylinder type. [3] DX code Engine Engine type: 4 cylinder Bore = 81mm Stroke= 86.44mm Clearance height= 2mm Compression ratio= 10:1 Horsepower= 112 at 5800rpm Torque (lb.ft) = 113 at 3500rpm



Figure 6: Intake manifold length

As shown in figure 6, Overall length of all intake manifold lengths was designated as {mtl} and added to constants table as {mtl}. The main feature of constants table is that, by changing first case value, remaining all case values are automatically updated and changed to same value as first case value. In current model six different intake manifold lengths 100, 200, 300, 400, 500 and 600 are used for tuning to improve engine performance. The simulation model was run six times for six intake manifold lengths by just changing value of {mtl} in constants table (refer figure 7).

Volume 8 Issue 6, June 2019

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

10.21275/ART20198495

📉 Constants

| Constar | Constants Table Sweep Constants Dependent Constants External Constants | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----|
| | Name | Units | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 4 | Case 5 | Case 6 | Case 7 | Case 8 | Case 9 | Case 10 | Case 11 | Case 12 | Case 13 | + |
| Status | | | Run | Run | Run | Run | Run |
| Title | | | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 4 | Case 5 | Case 6 | Case 7 | Case 8 | Case 9 | Case 10 | Case 11 | Case 12 | Case 13 | |
| 1 | AARPM | rpm | 7000 | 6500 | 6000 | 5500 | 5000 | 4500 | 4000 | 3500 | 3000 | 2500 | 2000 | 1500 | 1000 | |
| 2 | AFR | | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.7 | |
| 3 | ex_dia | mm | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | |
| 4 | ind2 | mm | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | |
| 5 | ind3 | mm | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | |
| 6 | intake_diameter | mm | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | |
| 7 | intaked | mm | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | |
| 8 | manifold_diameter | mm | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | |
| 9 | mtl | mm | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| 10 | throttle | deg | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | |
| + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 7: Constants table

4. Results & Discussion

After running the simulation model for six different intake manifold lengths. In wave post for each intake manifold length, graphs of Engine speed (RPM) against Brake Power (KW), Brake Torque (N.m) and BSFC (Brake Specific Fuel Consumption) in kg/kW/hr was plotted. Finally, graphs of all these intake manifold lengths are combined for tuning of intake manifold length. Table 1, shows values of brake power obtained at different intake manifold lengths for corresponding values of engine speed. For each engine speed out of all brake powers of intake manifold lengths, maximum value was taken as optimum brake power.

4.1 Engine speed (RPM) VS Brake Power (KW)

Table 1: Values of Brake Power (KW) at different manifold lengths against Engine Speed (RPM)

| | Brake Power (KW) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Engine speed (RPM) | 100mm | 200mm | 300mm | 400mm | 500mm | 600mm | Optimum | | | |
| 7000 | 97.969 | 97.9356 | 84.5189 | 72.9251 | 83.0918 | 88.7871 | 97.969 | | | |
| 6500 | 95.8832 | 95.5984 | 91.91 | 74.86 | 73.3001 | 81.9191 | 95.8832 | | | |
| 6000 | 90.6101 | 89.0606 | 88.3718 | 80.7514 | 66.5678 | 71.1085 | 90.6101 | | | |
| 5500 | 82.5299 | 81.8225 | 80.958 | 78.3066 | 70.4724 | 59.7079 | 82.5299 | | | |
| 5000 | 77.7008 | 76.6663 | 75.9248 | 75.1585 | 72.1964 | 65.9673 | 77.7008 | | | |
| 4500 | 71.6025 | 72.4523 | 73.1096 | 72.5737 | 71.288 | 68.8954 | 73.1096 | | | |
| 4000 | 63.7728 | 66.1667 | 66.651 | 68.0881 | 67.8714 | 65.8998 | 68.0881 | | | |
| 3500 | 54.4957 | 54.4965 | 57.0951 | 58.7518 | 60.0474 | 60.2423 | 60.2423 | | | |
| 3000 | 53.7131 | 49.4757 | 49.1455 | 49.547 | 51.0051 | 53.0089 | 53.7131 | | | |
| 2500 | 39.0495 | 41.3583 | 41.7468 | 42.5862 | 41.9186 | 41.078 | 42.5862 | | | |
| 2000 | 32.1721 | 31.701 | 32.1941 | 32.4883 | 30.9667 | 32.4463 | 32.4883 | | | |
| 1500 | 23.8887 | 24.4989 | 24.5844 | 24.5409 | 24.5412 | 25.1042 | 25.1042 | | | |
| 1000 | 12.4071 | 12.6112 | 12.3584 | 12.634 | 13.0894 | 12.6077 | 13.0894 | | | |

Graph 1, shows engine speed against brake power, where Engine speed is varied from 1000 to 7000 while lines represents intake manifold lengths (100,200,300,400,500 and 600). From graph 1, it is clear that brake power was increased by increasing engine speed. Black line curve represents optimum values of brake power at corresponding engine speeds. Engine performance can be improved by increasing brake power, Therefore, here higher values of brake torque are chosen as optimum values, which are obtained due to tuning of intake manifold lengths.



Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

10.21275/ART20198495

4.2 Engine speed (RPM) VS Brake Torque (N.m)

Table 2, shows values of brake torque obtained at different intake manifold lengths for corresponding values of engine speed. For each engine speed out of all brake torque of intake manifold lengths, maximum value was taken as optimum brake torque.

| Table | 2: Values of Brake 7 | Corque (N.m) a | at different | manifold | lengths | against 1 | Engine Sp | peed (| RPM) |
|-------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|------|
| | | | | | | | | - | - |

| | Brake Torque (N.m) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Engine speed (RPM) | 100mm | 200mm | 300mm | 400mm | 500mm | 600mm | Optimum | | | |
| 7000 | 133.648 | 133.603 | 115.3 | 99.4837 | 113.353 | 121.123 | 133.648 | | | |
| 6500 | 140.865 | 140.447 | 135.027 | 109.979 | 107.687 | 120.35 | 140.865 | | | |
| 6000 | 144.211 | 141.745 | 140.649 | 128.52 | 105.946 | 113.173 | 144.211 | | | |
| 5500 | 143.292 | 142.064 | 140.563 | 135.959 | 122.357 | 103.667 | 143.292 | | | |
| 5000 | 148.398 | 146.423 | 145.006 | 143.543 | 137.886 | 125.989 | 148.398 | | | |
| 4500 | 151.946 | 153.749 | 155.144 | 154.007 | 151.278 | 146.201 | 155.144 | | | |
| 4000 | 152.247 | 157.962 | 159.118 | 162.549 | 162.032 | 157.325 | 162.549 | | | |
| 3500 | 148.685 | 148.687 | 155.777 | 160.297 | 163.832 | 164.364 | 164.364 | | | |
| 3000 | 170.975 | 157.487 | 156.436 | 157.714 | 162.355 | 168.733 | 170.975 | | | |
| 2500 | 149.159 | 157.978 | 159.462 | 162.668 | 160.118 | 156.907 | 162.668 | | | |
| 2000 | 153.611 | 151.362 | 153.716 | 155.121 | 147.856 | 154.92 | 155.121 | | | |
| 1500 | 152.081 | 155.965 | 156.51 | 156.233 | 156.234 | 159.818 | 159.818 | | | |
| 1000 | 118.48 | 120.429 | 118.015 | 120.647 | 124.995 | 120.395 | 124.995 | | | |

Graph 2, shows engine speed vs brake torque, where Engine speed is varied from 1000 to 7000 while lines represents intake manifold lengths (100,200,300,400,500 and 600). From graph 2, it is clear that brake torque was increased and then decreased by increasing engine speed. Black line curve represents optimum values of brake torque at corresponding engine speeds. Engine performance can be improved by increasing brake torque, Therefore, here higher values of brake torque are chosen as optimum values, which are achieved due to tuning of intake manifold lengths.



Graph 2. Engine speed (RPM) VS Brake Torque (N.m)

4.3 Engine speed (RPM) VS BSFC (kg/kW/hr)

For each engine speed out of all BSFC of intake manifold lengths, minimum value was taken as optimum BSFC.

Table 3, shows values of BSFC obtained at different intake manifold lengths for corresponding values of engine speeds.

| Table 3. Values of BSFC (Kg/kW/hr) at different manifold | l lengths | s against | Engine | Speed | (RPM) |
|--|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|
|--|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|

| | BSFC(kg/kW/hr) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Engine speed (RPM) | 100mm | 200mm | 300mm | 400mm | 500mm | 600mm | Optimum | | | | |
| 7000 | 0.317407 | 0.31553 | 0.329817 | 0.338721 | 0.323505 | 0.319435 | 0.31553 | | | | |
| 6500 | 0.284462 | 0.281674 | 0.2861 | 0.30032 | 0.296351 | 0.287207 | 0.281674 | | | | |
| 6000 | 0.260582 | 0.259274 | 0.258832 | 0.265919 | 0.276033 | 0.267171 | 0.258832 | | | | |
| 5500 | 0.246945 | 0.247904 | 0.245084 | 0.248287 | 0.254709 | 0.261173 | 0.245084 | | | | |
| 5000 | 0.24156 | 0.244574 | 0.243314 | 0.241718 | 0.245909 | 0.250376 | 0.24156 | | | | |
| 4500 | 0.238673 | 0.239815 | 0.241116 | 0.238823 | 0.238248 | 0.24209 | 0.238248 | | | | |
| 4000 | 0.236997 | 0.236536 | 0.238453 | 0.239101 | 0.23669 | 0.236298 | 0.236298 | | | | |
| 3500 | 0.235658 | 0.236412 | 0.23563 | 0.236588 | 0.23764 | 0.235491 | 0.235491 | | | | |
| 3000 | 0.232752 | 0.234194 | 0.234896 | 0.234811 | 0.235365 | 0.236371 | 0.232752 | | | | |
| 2500 | 0.235451 | 0.234709 | 0.234557 | 0.234641 | 0.234874 | 0.235307 | 0.234557 | | | | |
| 2000 | 0.235921 | 0.236199 | 0.236009 | 0.236609 | 0.237132 | 0.236799 | 0.235921 | | | | |
| 1500 | 0.24366 | 0.242216 | 0.241179 | 0.240828 | 0.240692 | 0.24201 | 0.240692 | | | | |
| 1000 | 0.254674 | 0.254434 | 0.254624 | 0.254492 | 0.254085 | 0.254624 | 0.254085 | | | | |

Volume 8 Issue 6, June 2019 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426

Graph 3, shows engine speed vs BSFC, where Engine speed is varied from 1000 to 7000 while lines represents intake manifold lengths (100,200,300,400,500 and 600). From graph 3, it is clear that at lower engine speeds up to 5500rpm BSFC was almost steady and then started to increase at higher engine speeds. Black line curve in graph represents optimum values of BSFC at corresponding engine speeds. Engine performance can be improved by decreasing BSFC i.e. by improving fuel consumption. Therefore, here lower values of BSFC are chosen as optimum values, which are obtained due to tuning of intake manifold lengths.



Graph 3: Engine speed (RPM) VS BSFC (kg/kW/hr)

5. Conclusion

Inline 4 cylinders, 4 stroke, spark ignition Volkswagen cabriolet engine was designed in Ricardo WAVEBUILD simulation software. Simulations of various intake manifold lengths were performed on this engine. From results of graphs obtained from simulations, by considering optimum line curves, it was clear that Brake power and brake torque of the engine is increased while BSFC was improved. Therefore, it can be concluded that performance of the engine was improved due to tuning of intake manifold lengths.

References

- Potul, S., Nachnolkar, R. &Bhave, S. (2014). Analysis of Change in Intake Manifold Length and Development of Variable Intake System. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC & TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH. 3 (5). pp. 1-3. Available from: [Accessed: 13 December 2016].
- [2] Software, R. (2016). Ricardo Software WAVE. Get the software safe and easy. [Online]. 2016. Software Informer. Available from: http://ricardo-softwarewave.software.informer.com/. [Accessed: 16 December 2016].
- [3] Anon (2016). Engine. [Online]. 2016. Cabby-info.com. Available from: http://www.cabbyinfo.com/engine.htm. [Accessed: 16 December 2016].
- [4] Ceviz, M. (2007). Intake plenum volume and its influence on the engine performance, cyclic variability and emissions. Energy Conversion and Management. 48 (3). pp. 961-966.
- [5] Ceviz, M. & Akın, M. (2010). Design of a new SI engine intake manifold with variable length plenum. Energy Conversion and Management. 51 (11). pp. 2239-2244.