Effect of Substituting Wheat Flour with Sweet Potato and Sprouted Soybeans Flour on Bread Quality
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Abstract: Bread was produced from wheat (Triticum, spp) sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L.) and sprouted soybean (Glycine max) flour blends. Sweet potato and sprouted soybeans were processed into flour and supplemented with wheat flour for bread production. Five samples of bread were produced and denoted as Samples W1 to W5. Sample W1 was the control with 100% wheat flour, while Sample W2 to W5 was supplemented as follows; 85:5:10, 80:10:10, 75:15:10 and 70:20:10 wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flours. The result of the proximate composition showed that protein, fat, ash and crude fibre contents increased significantly (p<0.05) with supplementation of wheat flour with sweet potato and sprouted soybeans from 9.41 % to 14.64 %, 3.24 % to 5.65 %, 1.48 % to 2.70 % and 0.89 % to 2.83 % respectively. The moisture and carbohydrate contents of the bread samples generally decreased significantly (p<0.05) with supplementation sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flours. The physical properties of the bread loaves such as the weight increased significantly with increasing levels of sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flours which ranged from 174 to 244 g. The loaf volume ranged from 305 to 181 cm³ while the bread specific volume ranged from 0.744 to 1.75 cm³/g. The result of the mineral content indicated that calcium, iron, magnesium, phosphorus and zinc increased significantly with substitution of wheat flour with sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flour. The result of the sensory attributes indicated that there was significant difference (p<0.05) in the appearance, flavor, texture and overall acceptability but there was no significant difference ((p>0.05) in the taste.. The 100% wheat bread recorded the highest scores in all the attributes evaluated.
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1. Introduction

Bread is described as a fermented confectionary product produced mainly from wheat flour, water, yeast and salt by a series of operations including mixing, kneading, proofing, shaping and baking (Dewettinck et al., 2008). The consumption of bread and other baked foods such as biscuits, doughnuts and cakes produced from wheat flour is very popular in Nigeria, but the low protein content of wheat flour, which is the most vital ingredient used for their production has been major concern (Young, 2001). Bread is an important staple food in both developing and developed countries and constitutes one of the most important sources of nutrients such as carbohydrate, protein, fibre, vitamins and minerals in the diets of many people worldwide (Aider et al., 2012). The consumption of bread in Nigeria is on a steady increase because it is a convenient and ready to eat food normally consumed at breakfast, lunch, and sometimes dinner (David, 2006).

In developing countries where the supply of animal protein is inadequate to meet the rapid population growth, considerable interests have been shown in supplementing wheat flour with high-protein, high-lysine material (especially legume and oilseed flours, protein concentrates, and isolates) to increase the protein content and improve the essential amino acid balance of flour-based baked products (Young, 2001).

Wheat (Triticum spp) is a grass that is cultivated worldwide. Globally, it is the most important human food grain and ranks second in total production as a cereal crop behind maize, the third being rice. Wheat grain is a staple food used to make flour for leavened, flat and steamed breads, cookies, cakes, pasta, spaghetti, macaroni, noodles, couscous and also for fermentation to make beer, alcohol, vodka or biofuel (Abulude, 2005). Sweet potatoes (Ipomoea batatas L.) are an excellent source of nutrition and considered as one of nature’s most perfect vegetables. Among the world’s major food crops, sweet potato produces the highest amount of edible energy per hectare per day (Sukhcham et al., 2008). Sweet potato consists of about 70% carbohydrates of which the major portion is starch, which can be utilized as a functional ingredient in certain food preparations; The use of sweet potato flour for supplementing with wheat flour on the baking could substantially reduce need for wheat, reduction in the usage of sugar on the products, and increase the value of sweet potato. They are an excellent source of beta-carotene and also a good source of vitamin C and manganese. A mixture of wheat flour and sweet potato flour could make a good baking product, which should increase the nutritive values in terms of fiber and carotenoids and the economic value of the final product. This helps in lowering the gluten level and prevents manifestation of coeliac disease (Jemziya and Mahendra, 2015).

Soy bean (Glycine max) a grain legume, is one of the richest and cheapest sources of plants protein that can be used to improve the diet of millions of people especially the poor and low income earners in developing countries because it produces the greatest amount of protein used as food by man. Soybean is an excellent source of protein (40-45%); hence the seeds are the richest in food value of all plant food consumed in the world (Akubor and Ukwuru, 2005). It is an excellent source of protein because it contains all the essential amino acids, is very rich in minerals and is a good source of fat soluble vitamins (Alabi et al., 2007).
Sprouting is the practice of soaking, draining, and leaving seeds or grains until they germinate or sprout. The increasing interest in functional and healthy food products has promoted the use of germinated soya bean flour in the manufacture of foods for human consumption (Farrera-Rebollo and Calderon-Dominguez, 2007). It is known that germination induces increase in free limiting amino acids and available vitamins with modified functional properties of seed components (Jideani and Onwubali, 2009). The objective of this study was therefore to investigate the effect of supplanting wheat flour with sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flour on the proximate composition and nutritional quality of bread.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Source of Raw Materials

Wheat flour, soybeans, salt, sugar, and dry baker’s yeast were purchased from a local market in Kaura Namoda, Zamfara State. Sweet potatoes were purchased at Kaura Namoda market of Zamfara State. Equipments such as blender, mixer, kneader, bowl, knife, digital weighing scale, measuring cylinder, baking pans and oven were obtained in the Food processing laboratory of Department of Food Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Kaura Namoda. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade. The raw materials were properly cleaned by removing extraneous matter prior to their subjection to different processing treatments.

2.2 Preparation of Raw Materials

2.2.1 Preparation of Sweet Potato Flour

The sweet potato flour was produced using the method described by (Avula, 2005). The sweet potato tubers were peeled and cut into thin pieces manually. The potato slices were then immersed in (1%) potassium metabisulphite for 30 min to prevent browning reactions. Drying of sweet potato slices was done in an oven at 45°C till constant weight. The dried sweet potato chips were milled into flour using the laboratory grinder and passed through 250 μm mesh sieve, packed in airtight containers and stored in the refrigerator till further use. The flow chart for the production of sweet potato flour is shown in Figure 1.

2.3 Processing of Sprouted Soybeans

Sprouting soybean flour was produced using the method of (Iwe, 2003) as shown in Figure 2. Soybean seeds (2000 g) were sorted, cleaned, washed and soaked overnight in a stainless steel bucket containing clean tap water. The soybeans were spread on a clean jute bag and covered to screen from direct sun light. Water containing small amount of calcium hypochlorite (CaClO₄) to discourage the growth of microorganisms, water was sprinkled twice a day at the intervals of 9 h. The seeds were allowed to germinate for 96 h at room temperature and cabinet dried at 60°C for 8 h, devegetated by hand rubbing, winnowed and milled into flour using hammer mill. The flour was sieved with the aid of a 425 μm sieve to obtain a uniform particle size of flour which was packaged in polyethylene bag and stored at 4-6°C till needed.

2.4 Formulation of Composite Flour and other Ingredients for Bread Production

Five different samples of bread were produced and coded as A,W1, W2, W3, W4 and W5. Sample W1 served as the control 100% wheat. Samples W2, W3, W4 and W5 are supplemented with sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flours and the other ingredients for bread production are presented in Table 1.
2.6 Determination of Physical Properties of the Bread Loaves

The loaf volume was measured by seed displacement method of (Giami, 2004). Loaf volume was determined by simple weighing using an electronic balance while specific volume was obtained by dividing the loaf volume of bread by its corresponding loaf weight. Thus, specific volume = V / wt (cm³/g).

Table 1: Recipe formulation for the production of bread.

Source: Modified Islam et al., (2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INGREDIENTS</th>
<th>W1</th>
<th>W2</th>
<th>W3</th>
<th>W4</th>
<th>W5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour (g)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet potato flour (g)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprouted Soybeans flour (g)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast (g)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (ml)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar (g)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7 Proximate Analysis

Proximate composition of the bread samples were analyzed for its moisture, carbohydrate, crude protein, crude fat, crude ash, and crude fibre contents.

2.7.1 Moisture Determination

Moisture content was determined using the air oven dry method (AOAC, 2012). A clean dish with a lid was dried in an oven at 100°C for 30 min. It was cooled in desiccators and weighed. Two (2) grams of sample was then weighed into the dish. The dish with its content was then put in the oven at 105°C and dried to a fairly constant weight. The loss in weight from the original sample (before heating) was reported as percentage moisture.

\[
\% \text{Moisture} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1} \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

Where: \( W_1 \) = weight of dish, \( W_2 \) = weight of dish + sample before drying, \( W_3 \) = weight of dish + sample after drying.

2.7.2 Crude Protein Determination

The Kjeldahl method as described by AOAC (2012) was used to determine the percentage crude protein. Two (2) grams of sample was weighed into a Kjeldahl digestion flask using a digital weighing balance (3000g ± 0.01g, 6.6LB). A catalyst mixture weighing 0.88 grams of sample was weighed into a Kjeldahl digestion flask (flour, salt, water, sugar, yeast etc) at mixing stage and kneading same to obtain the dough (containing oil and solvent mixture) was transferred into a distillation apparatus. The digest was made alkaline with 8ml of 40% NaOH. To the receiving flask, 5ml of 2% boric acid solution was added and 3 drops of mixed indicator was dropped. The distillation apparatus was connected to the receiving flask with the delivery tube dipped into the 100ml conical flask and titrated with 0.01 HCl. A blank titration was done. The percentage nitrogen was calculated from the formula:

\[
\% \text{Nitrogen} = \frac{S-B}{D} \times 6.25 \quad (2)
\]

Where, \( S \) = sample titre, \( B \) = Blank titre, \( S - B \) = Corrected titre, \( D \) = Diluted factor

\% Crude Protein = \% Nitrogen x 6.25 (correction factor).

2.7.3 Crude Fat Determination

Fat was determined using Soxhlet method as described by AOAC (2012). Samples were weighed into a thimble and loose plug fat free cotton wool was fitted into the top of the thimble with its content inserted into the bottom extractor of the Soxhlet apparatus. Flat bottom flask (250ml) of known weight containing 150 – 200ml of 40 – 60°C hexane was fitted to the extractor. The apparatus was heated and fat extracted for 8h. The solvent was recovered and the flask (containing oil and solvent mixture) was transferred into a hot air oven at 105°C for 1 h to remove the residual moisture and to evaporate the solvent. It was later transferred into desiccator to cool for 15 min before weighing. Percentage fat content was calculated as...
2.7.4 Crude Fibre Determination
The method described by AOAC (2012) was used for fibre determination. Two (2) grams of the sample was extracted using Diethyl ether. This was digested and filtered through the california Buchner system. The resulting residue was dried at 130 ± 2°C for 2 h, cooled in a desiccator and weighed. The residue was then transferred in to a muffle furnace and ignited at 550°C for 30 min, cooled and weighed. The percentage crude fibre content was calculated as:

\[
\% \text{ Crude fibre} = \left(\frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_0 - W_1}\right) \times 100 \quad \ldots (4)
\]

Where:
- \( W_1 \) = Weight of empty crucible,
- \( W_2 \) = Weight of crucible + sample before ashing,
- \( W_3 \) = Weight of crucible + content after ashing.

2.7.5 Ash Determination
The AOAC (2012) method for determining ash content was used. Two (2) gram of sample was weighed into an ashing crucible and heated, cooled in a desiccator and weighed soon after reaching room temperature. The total ash was calculated as percentage of the original sample weight.

\[
\% \text{ Ash} = \left(\frac{W_3 - W_1}{W_0 - W_1}\right) \times 100 \quad \ldots (5)
\]

2.7.6 Carbohydrate Determination
Carbohydrate content was determined by difference according to the AOAC (2012) method. Two (2) grams of sample was extracted through Whiteman filter paper into 100 ml volumetric flask. The method described by AOAC (2012) was used for fibre determination. Two (2) grams of the sample was extracted using Diethyl ether. This was digested and filtered through the california Buchner system. The resulting residue was dried at 130 ± 2°C for 2 h, cooled in a desiccator and weighed. The residue was then transferred in to a muffle furnace and ignited at 550°C for 30 min, cooled and weighed. The percentage crude fibre content was calculated as:

\[
\% \text{ Carbohydrate} = 100 - (\% \text{ moisture} + \% \text{ Protein} + \% \text{ Fat} + \% \text{ Ash} + \% \text{ Fibre}) \quad \ldots (6)
\]

2.8 Determination of Mineral Content
The mineral content of the bread samples was determined by using the method described by (Jemziya and Mahendran, 2015). The ash obtained from the ash analysis earlier was used in the determination of the minerals content. The ash was placed in porcelain crucibles, then few drops of distilled water were added, followed by 2ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid. 10 ml of 20% HNO3 were added, evaporated on the hot plate. The samples were filtered through Whiteman filter paper into 100 ml volumetric flask. The mineral elements; iron, magnesium and calcium were determined by atomic absorbance spectrophotometer. The phosphorus in the sample filtrate was determined using Vanadomolybdate reagent at 400 nm using colorimetric method.

2.9 Sensory Evaluation
The five samples of bread were coded and presented to fifteen member panel of judges who are familiar with the product for sensory evaluation. The panelists scored the colour, flavor, taste, texture and overall acceptability of the bread using a nine point hedonic scale, where 9 indicates extremely like and 1 extremely dislike (Ihekoronye and Ngoddy, 1985).

2.10 Statistical Analysis
All analytical determinations were conducted in duplicates. The Experimental data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means separated by Fisher's least significance difference test using Genstat statistical package, version 17.0.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 2: Proximate composition of wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans bread samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
<th>Fat (%)</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>Crude Fibre (%)</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>9.41 ± 0.03a</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.03c</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.01b</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.05e</td>
<td>32.98 ± 0.23d</td>
<td>52.00 ± 0.06b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>14.22 ± 0.11a</td>
<td>5.38 ± 0.04d</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.17c</td>
<td>2.48 ± 0.02f</td>
<td>29.63 ± 0.09e</td>
<td>46.07 ± 0.08a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3</td>
<td>14.64 ± 0.07b</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.07e</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.05d</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.02g</td>
<td>29.22 ± 0.11f</td>
<td>45.56 ± 0.03c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>14.25 ± 0.01c</td>
<td>5.60 ± 0.00f</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.08e</td>
<td>2.72 ± 0.01h</td>
<td>29.10 ± 0.01g</td>
<td>45.68 ± 0.01e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W5</td>
<td>14.34 ± 0.03d</td>
<td>5.65 ± 0.01i</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.01j</td>
<td>2.83 ± 0.02i</td>
<td>28.91 ± 0.03h</td>
<td>45.57 ± 0.05j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD duplicate determinations. Values with different superscript within the same column are significantly different (p<0.05).

LSD= Least significant difference. \( W_1=100\% \) wheat flour (control); \( W_2=85\% \) wheat, 5\% sweet potato, 10\% sprouted soybeans; \( W_3=80\% \) wheat flour, 10\% sweet potato, 10\% sprouted soybeans; \( W_4=75\% \) wheat, 15\% sweet potato, 10\% sprouted soybeans; \( W_5=70\% \) wheat, 20\% sweet potato, 10\% sprouted soybeans.

Table 3: Minerals content of wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans bread samples (mg/100g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Calcium (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Iron (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Magnesium (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Phosphorus (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Zinc (mg/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.00a</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.02a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>11.47 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>7.01 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>12.33 ± 0.03b</td>
<td>2.71 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>5.32 ± 0.03c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3</td>
<td>11.53 ± 0.03b</td>
<td>7.09 ± 0.00c</td>
<td>12.51 ± 0.02d</td>
<td>2.72 ± 0.01c</td>
<td>5.22 ± 0.01c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>11.61 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>7.11 ± 0.03c</td>
<td>12.43 ± 0.02e</td>
<td>2.83 ± 0.03c</td>
<td>5.33 ± 0.02c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W5</td>
<td>11.22 ± 0.03d</td>
<td>7.09 ± 0.04e</td>
<td>12.39 ± 0.03f</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.04d</td>
<td>5.41 ± 0.03c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Values are means ± SD duplicate determinations. Values with different superscript within the same column are significantly different (p<0.05).

LSD= Least significant difference. WI=100% wheat flour (control); W2=85% wheat, 5% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans; W3=80% wheat flour, 10% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans; W4=75% wheat, 15% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans; W5=70% wheat, 20% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans.

### Table 4: Physical characteristics of wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Weight of loaf (g)</th>
<th>Volume of loaf (cm³)</th>
<th>Loaf specific volume (cm³/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>174.23 ± 0.62a</td>
<td>305 ± 1.03a</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.41a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>199.54 ± 1.02a</td>
<td>264 ± 1.00a</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.02a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3</td>
<td>216.56 ± 2.00a</td>
<td>221 ± 1.64a</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>230.22 ± 1.45a</td>
<td>199 ± 2.09a</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.02a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W5</td>
<td>244.83 ± 1.05a</td>
<td>181 ± 1.65a</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD duplicate determinations. Values with different superscript within the same column are significantly different (p<0.05).

LSD= Least significant difference. WI=100% wheat flour (control); W2=85% wheat, 5% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans; W3=80% wheat flour, 10% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans; W4=75% wheat, 15% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans; W5=70% wheat, 20% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans.

### Table 5: Sensory attributes of bread produced from wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Flavour</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Overall acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>7.85 ± 0.00a</td>
<td>8.65 ± 0.06a</td>
<td>7.55 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>7.45 ± 0.04a</td>
<td>8.40 ± 0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>7.55 ± 0.01b</td>
<td>6.05 ± 0.05b</td>
<td>5.20 ± 0.00b</td>
<td>4.50 ± 0.05b</td>
<td>7.80 ± 0.03b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3</td>
<td>7.60 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>7.10 ± 0.04b</td>
<td>6.05 ± 0.06a</td>
<td>6.95 ± 0.10a</td>
<td>7.60 ± 0.04a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>7.85 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>7.15 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>6.85 ± 0.05c</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.05b</td>
<td>7.30 ± 0.09b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W5</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.02b</td>
<td>7.10 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>7.05 ± 0.08b</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.03c</td>
<td>7.55 ± 0.03c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD duplicate determinations. Values with different superscript within the same column are significantly different (p<0.05).

LSD= Least significant difference. WI=100% wheat flour (control); W2=85% wheat, 5% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans; W3=80% wheat flour, 10% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans; W4=75% wheat, 15% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans; W5=70% wheat, 20% sweet potato, 10% sprouted soybeans.

### 3.2 Minerals content of bread from wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans

The mineral composition of the composite bread is presented in Table 3. The calcium, iron, magnesium, phosphorus and zinc contents of the bread increased significantly with increase in sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flour from 1.83-11.61 mg/100 g, 3.53-7.11 mg/100 g, 1.62-12.51 mg/100 g, 0.10-2.83 mg/100 g and 2.23-5.1 mg/100 g respectively. Calcium is necessary for supporting bone formation and growth. The Iron is needed for the formation of hemoglobin, the component of blood cell that carries oxygen in the blood stream throughout the body (Grosvenor and Smolin, 2002). Adequate iron in the diet is essential to minimize the incidence of iron deficiency anemia, which is considered as the most common nutritional disorder worldwide (Short and Domagalski, 2013). The values for magnesium, phosphorus and zinc showed no definite trend but varied significantly (P<0.05) from one another. Magnesium and phosphorus in the diet affects the metabolism of calcium, and other minerals such as potassium and sodium (Grosvenor and Smolin, 2002). It is important for bone health; is needed as a cofactor for numerous reactions in the body and is also essential for nerve and muscle conductivity. Magnesium is essential to good health because it helps to maintain normal muscle and nerve function, keeps heart rhythm steady, supports a healthy immune system and keeps bones strong. Phosphorus works closely with calcium to build strong bones and teeth. It is stored in the bone as calcium phosphate. Bread rich in these nutrients would enhance the health of both children and adults. The zinc is critical micronutrients for the growth, development, immunity and health of infants, and is known
to be limiting nutrients in the diets of infants and young children (Dewey and Brown, 2003).

3.3 Physical Properties of Bread Loaves

The physical characteristics of the bread from wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flour blends are presented in Table 4. The loaf volume and specific volume of the bread decreased significantly (P<0.05) with increased substitution with sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flours. The control sample (W1) with 100% wheat flour recorded the highest values of 305 cm³ and 1.75 cm³ /g for loaf volume and specific volume while the lowest values of 181 cm³ and 0.74 cm³/g, for loaf volume and specific volume respectively was recorded in sample (W5) 60:20:10 wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flour. This could be as a result of decrease in the gluten proteins in wheat which lowered the ability of the dough to rise during proofing leading to reduction in the bread volume. The bread weight increased significantly (p<0.05) with increasing levels of substitution with sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flour. The weight of sample (W1) 100% wheat bread is lower than other samples. The same trend was observed by (Olaoye et al, 2006) in bread production from wheat, plantain and soybeans.

3.4 Sensory attributes of bread from wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flour

Sensory attributes of composite bread samples produced from wheat, sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flour at different levels of supplementation compared to the control are presented Table 5. The results of evaluation of taste showed no significant difference in the composite bread samples and the control. It is evident that supplementation of wheat with sweet potato and sprouted soybeans does not affect the taste of a bread. From the results that bread prepared from 100% wheat flour had highest score (7.85) This could be due to the bitter taste of some inherent compounds present in sweet potato particularly at high temperature as reported by Ayo et al. (2010). Appearance of bread is very important parameter in judging properly baked bread that not only reflect the suitability of raw material used for the preparation but also provides information about the formation and quality of the product. The supplementation of sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flour into wheat bread resulted in significant decrease in the appearance scores. The results indicated that the bread prepared from 100% wheat flour was scored significantly (P < 0.05) highest (8.65) for appearance while sample (W2) bread with 5 % sweet potato and 10 % sprouted soybeans scored the lowest value of (6.05). The scores for flavor, texture and overall acceptability varied from 5.20-7.55, 4.50-7.45 and 7.30-8.40 respectively. There was decrease in the scores as sweet potato and sprouted soybeans flours were supplemented however the decrease was not significant (P>0.05). The result indicated that the texture of the bread does not varies significantly (P>0.05) between the control sample (W1) with 100% wheat and sample (W3). The bread samples were able to compare favorably with the control sample.

4. Conclusion

The research study indicated that supplementation of wheat with sweet potato at 20 % and sprouted soybeans at 10 % would be accepted by the consumers. The bread had increased proximate and minerals which would be able to enhance the health, growth and well being of the consumers. The incorporation of sweet potato and sprouted soybeans in bread production would promote production, diversification of utilization of the crops in Kaura Namoda, Zamfara of Nigeria.
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