# Comparative Study of Therapeutic Efficacy of Intralesional Vitamin D3 versus Intralesional Purified Protein Derivative in the Treatment of Refractory Viral Warts at a Tertiary Referral Centre in Northern Maharashtra

## Dr. Khan Farhana<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Anand J.Asia<sup>2</sup>

Department of Dermatology Venereology & Leprosy, Government Medical College, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: <u>Introduction</u>: Warts are benign epidermal proliferations of skin and mucosacaused by human papilloma virus. There are innumerable treatmentmodalities but they may result in partial clearance or recurrence. Destructivetherapeutic modalities are limited by cost, pain, scarring. Some newer andeffective treatments include intralesional immunotherapy. We undertook astudy to evaluate the safety and efficacy of two such immunotherapynamely tuberculin purified protein derivative (PPD) versus intralesional vitaminD3 for the treatment of viral warts. <u>Objective</u>: This study aims to evaluate and compare efficacy of intralesionalvitamin D3 and purified protein derivative (PPD) in treatment of warts. <u>Materials and Methods</u>: It is a prospective hospital based comparativestudy among 20 patients with warts. Patients were randomly and equallydivided. Group A patients were given intralesional vitamin D3 (0.2ml of15mg/ml into each wart) and Group B patients were given intralesional PPD (0.2ml of 5TU/ml into each wart). The injections were repeated every 2weeks until complete clearance. Decrease in size and number of lesionswere evaluated and photographic record was maintained. Patients (70%) of Group Ashowed complete response after 4 sessions and 3 patients (30%) showedmoderate response. 8 out of 10 patients (80%) of Group B showed complete response, 1 patients (10%) showed moderate response, 1 patient (10%)showed no response. Recurrence was observed in 1 patient after 3 monthswho received vitamin D3. No serious adverse effects were observed. <u>Conclusion</u>: Both vitamin D3 and PPD showed positive results with PPDhaving faster and better efficacy in treatment of multiple common warts.

Keywords: Immunotherapy, Warts, Intralesional, Vitamin D3, Tuberculin purified protein derivative

#### 1. Introduction

Viral warts are common skin infections of the epidermis caused by viralinfection i.e. human papillomavirus (HPV). Despite having various treatmentmodalities they are difficult to treat and may recur. Thus there is a need toevaluate various treatment modalities. Warts were earlier treated cryotherapy, bydestructive modalities namely electrocoagulation, topical salicylicacid, topical 5fluorouracil, laser surgery etc. All of these treatments areessentially painful, time consuming, expensive and recurrence is common. (1-4) Therefore immunotherapy seems to be a promising modality in such cases.

The role of immunity is documented by the of warts in Immunotherapyagents that have been tried include cimetidine, imiquimod, interferons, Candida albicans antigens, measles, mumps, rubella (MMR) vaccine, tuberculin (purified protein derivative) and intralesional vitamin D(5-8). Intralesional tuberculin purified protein derivative (PPD) is effective for thewarts over injected as well as distinct site and also prevents reoccurrence. Similarly vitamin D is an effective and a very recent modality used intreatment.

#### 2. Aims and Objectives

The present study is taken up with an objective to prove and compare the efficacy of intralesionaltuberculin purified protein derivative and intralesionalvitamin D3 in the treatment of warts.

#### 3. Materials and Methods

The study was conducted between march 2018 To August 2018. Patients with warts, attending the department of Dermatology, venereology & leprosy were enrolled for the study. A proper clinical history with detailed examination and a written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. A total of 20 patients who were aged  $\geq$  18 years having single or multiple viral warts, with no other concurrent treatment for warts were taken up forthe study. Patients with active systemic illness/infection, pregnant and lactating women, patients onimmunosuppressive drugs, patients with genital wartsand those with keloidal tendency were excluded from the study. Institutional ethics committee clearance had been obtained for the study .20 patients with warts weretaken up for the study and were randomly divided into 2groups i.e. group A and group B, the patients wereexplained regarding the objectives as well as the method of study.

**Group A:** 10Patients were given intralesional injection of 0.2ml of 15mg/ml (6 lakh IU) vitamin D3 per wart. **Group B:** 10 Patients were given intralesional injection of 0.2ml of 5TU/ml purified protein derivative (PPD) perwart.

Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019 www.ijsr.net Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

10.21275/MOB1617

Injections were repeated every 2 weeks until complete clearance. Response was evaluated by decrease in size and number of lesions and photographic record was maintained. The response was evaluated as:

1. Complete response – complete absence of clinically apparent wart

- 2. Partial response decrease in size > 25%
- 3. No response < 25% decrease in size.

Patients were followed up for 3 months after the last injection to detect any recurrence. Unpaired t test, chi-square test were used for statistical analysis.

#### 4. Results

In this study the maximum number of patients were in the age group of 20- 40 years which was 15 (75%)followed by >40 years which was 5 (25%). The age of patients in group A, who received intralesional vitaminD3 ranged between 18-60 years with mean age  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) 25.1 $\pm$  4.41 and that of group B,who received PPD ranged between 20-60 years with mean age  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) 26.95 $\pm$  5.49 asshown in Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of patients according to age

Age of Patients	Group A (VIT D3)	Group B (PPD)
Range (years)	18-60	20-60
Mean Age ± SD	$25.1 \pm 4.41$	$26.95 \pm 5.49$

Male outnumbered female in both the groups with male: female ratio of 2.3:1 and 1.5:1 in group A and B.

Table 2: Gender	distribution	in both	groups
-----------------	--------------	---------	--------

Group	Male	Female	Male : Female
A (VIT D3)	7	3	2.3:1
B (PPD)	6	4	1.5:1

Amongst group A and B patients majority of thepatients had verrucavulgaris followed by plantar wartsandperiungual warts. Distribution of patients according to the type of wart is depicted in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Distribution based on type of warts

The study showed that in group A who received intralesional vitamin D3 out of 10 patients, 7 (70%) showed complete clearance while 3patients (30%) showed partial response (shown in Fig. 2)



Figure 2: Response with vitamin D3

In group B, patients who received intralesional PPD, out of 20 patients 8 (80%) showed completeresponse, 1 patient (10%) partial and 1patient (5%) showed no response.(Figure 3,4)

Different outcomes are observed with both the drugs when injected into various types of warts. Ingroup B patients complete clearance is seen in 90.9% of patients with verrucavulgaris and that of group Acomplete clearance was seen in 83.3% in case of plantar warts complete clearance was seen in 83.3% and 42.8% in group B and group A respectively.

Applying unpaired t test to above data the p value is greater than 0.05 thus the difference is not considered as statistically significant.

At the end of follow-up, no recurrences were observed. The patients experienced adverse effects such as pain, ,erythema, swelling, itching which subsided on its own.

No serious adverse effects observed. No allergic or systemic adverse reactions and no sign or symptoms of hypervitaminosis D were observed. The only patient complaints were of minimal to moderate pain during injection which could be managed by injecting 0.2 ml lignocaine prior to injection and post procedure pain can be managed by NSAIDS.



(A)

#### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2018): 7.426





(C)



Figure 3: (A, C) pre and post (B,D) procedure photographs of plantar warts in two patients after intralesional PPD



**(A)** 



**Figure 4:** A) Preprocedure; (B): Postprocedure photographs of scalp warts after intralesional vitamin D3

#### 5. Discussion

Intralesional immunotherapy is a popular mode of treatment used in viral warts. Immunotherapy is defined as a type of biological therapy that uses substances to stimulate or suppress the immune system to help the body to fight cancer, infection and other diseases. It mounts a delayed type hypersensitivity response to various antigens and wart tissue which helps in clearing local as well as distant warts. Various intralesional immunotherapeutic agents are used in treatment of warts, for example, purified protein derivative, vitamin D3, MMR vaccine, BCG vaccine, candida, trichophytin antigen etc. [9-12] The present study clearly demonstrates that warts can be treated successfully with intralesional vitaminD3 and PPD injection.

According to research conducted earlier Injecting PPD into the HPV-infected tissue generates strong pro-inflammatory signals and attracts antigen-presenting cells, which recognize HPV particles in the infected tissue leading to a strong adaptive immune response which helps in clearing the infection. The Th1 cytokines such as interleukin-4 (IL-4), IL-5, IL-8, interferons gamma, and tumor necrosis factoralpha, which activates cytotoxic and natural killer cells that stimulate a strong immune response against HPV are mainly responsible for this process.13, 14

The proposed mechanism for vitamin D derivatives on warts is proposed to be due its potential to regulate epidermal cell proliferation and differentiation and to modulate cytokine production. Up-regulation of vitamin D receptors in the skin leads to the induction of antimicrobial peptide expression [15-17].

In our study, out of 10 patients who receivedvitamin D3, majority (60%) had verrucavulgaris,followed by (35%) plantar warts and then (5%)periungual warts. Amongst 10 patients who received PPD, majority (55%) had verrucavulgaris, then plantarwarts (30%) and then followed by periungual warts (15%).

In our study, amongst group Apatients, 70% ofpatients showed complete response and 30% of patientsshowed partial response. These results are comparableto studies done by Kavya M et al (78.5%)[18] and Aktaset al (70%).[19]Also previously a study done by RaghuKumar et

# Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

al with intralesional vitamin D3 on 64patients having warts showed that 90% of patients hadcomplete clearance and 6.66% of the patients showedpartial response.[20]

Amongst group B patients, 80% of patients showed complete response, 10% showed partial response and10% of the patients showed no response. These results are similar to the results obtained by studies done byWananukul et al (93%) and Nimbalkar et al (80%).[21,22]

In our study, we observed that efficacies variedamong the two drugs in different types of warts. Whengiven to verrucavulgaris patients complete clearancewas observed in 90.9% of patients who received PPDand 83.3% of patients achieved complete clearance whoreceived vitamin D3. In case of plantar warts completeclearance rates were 83.3% and 42.8% and partialresponse rates were 16.6% and 57.1% with PPD andvitamin D3 respectively. This shows that PPD is moreeffective in treatment of plantar warts than vitamin D3.When vitamin D3 was injected into periungual warts100% complete clearance is seen and with PPD thecomplete and partial responses are 66.6% and 33.3% respectively.

The number of sessions required achieving complete response varied between both the drugs with PPD ranging from 3-4 sessions and vitamin D3 required more than 6 sessions.

No recurrences were observed in patients who received PPD one patient who received vitamin D3 reported relapse at the same site.

Immunotherapy with vitamin D3 and PPD are well tolerated. The side effects observed were minimal and not serious. The common side effects noted were pain at the time of injection, mild swelling and erythema.

Both are cost effective with PPD slightly cheaper than vitamin D3.

Overall, both the modalities proved to be effective in treatment of warts with intralesional PPD being superior over vitamin D3.

## 6. Conclusion

Both vitamin D3 and PPD were found to be effective and well tolerated. Amongst the two drugs, Intralesional PPD is found to be more effective in terms of efficacy, less number of sessions and no relapse. It is safe and simple to perform and has no serious side effects.

Funding: No funding sources

**Conflict of interest:** *Nil* 

**Ethical approval:** *The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.* 

#### References

- [1] Gibbs S, Harvey I, Sterling J, Stark R. Local treatments for cutaneouswarts: systematic review. BMJ. 2002;325:461.
- [2] Cockayne S, Curran M, Denby G. EVerT: cryotherapy versus salicylic acid for thetreatment of verrucae—a randomised controlled trial. Health Technol Assess.2011;15:1-170.
- [3] Kimura U, Takeuchi K, Kinoshita A, Takamori K, Suga Y. Long-pulsed 1064-nm neodymium: yttrium aluminum-garnet laser treatment for refractory wartson hands and feet . J Dermatol. 2014; 41:252-7.
- [4] Majid I, Imran S. Immunotherapy with intralesional Candida albicans antigen in resistant or recurrent warts: A study. Indian J Dermatol. 2013; 58:360-5.
- [5] Kim KH, Horn TD, Pharis J, Kincannon J, Jones R, O'Bryan K, et al. Phase 1 clinical trial of intralesional injection of Candida antigen for the treatment of warts. Arch Dermatol. 2010; 146: 1431-3.
- [6] Johnson SM, Roberson PK, Horn TD. Intralesional injection of mumps or Candida skin test antigens: A novel immunotherapy for warts. Arch Dermatol. 2001;137:451-5.
- [7] Nofal A, Nofal E. Intralesional immunotherapy of common warts: Successful treatment with mumps, measles and rubella vaccine. J EurAcadDermatolVenereol. 2010;24:1166-70.
- [8] Shaheen MA, Salem SA, Fouad DA, Abd El-Fatah AA. Intralesional tuberculin (PPD) versus measles, mumps, rubella (MMR) vaccine in treatment of multiple warts: a comparative clinical and immunological study. *DermatolTher*. 2015;28(4):194-200.
- [9] Lee JY, Kim CW, Kim SS. Preliminary study of intralesionalbleomycin injection for the treatment of genital warts. *Ann Dermatol.* 2015;27:239-41.
- [10] Garg S, Baveja S. Intralesional immunotherapy for difficult to treat warts with Mycobacterium w vaccine. *JCutanAesthet Surg.* 2014;7:203-8.
- [11] Gupta S, Malhotra AK, Verma KK, Sharma VK. Intralesional immunotherapy with killed Mycobacterium w vaccine for the treatment of anogenital warts: An open label pilot study. J EurAcadDermatolVenereol.2008;22:1089-93.
- [12] Gupta S, Malhotra AK, Verma KK, Sharma VK. Intralesional immunotherapy with killed Mycobacterium w vaccine for the treatment of anogenital warts: An open label pilot study. J EurAcadDermatolVenereol 2008;22:1089-93.
- [13] Horn TD, Johnson SM, Helm RM, Roberson PK. Intralesional immunotherapy of warts with mumps, Candida, and Trichophyton skin test antigens: A singleblinded, randomized, and controlled trial. Arch Dermatol. 2005;141:589-94.
- [14] Moscarelli L, Annunziata F, Mjeshtri A. Successful treatment of refractory wart with a topical activated vitamin D in a renal transplant recipient. Case Rep Transplant. 2011;2011:368623.
- [15] Rind T, Oiso N, Kawada A. Successful treatment of anogenital wart with a topical vitamin D(3) derivative in an infant. Case Rep Dermatol. 2010;2:46-49.

## Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019

www.ijsr.net

## Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

- [16] AlGhamdi K, Kumar A, Moussa N. The role of vitamin D in melanogenesis with an emphasis on vitiligo.Indian J DermatolVenereolLeprol. 2013;79:750-8.
- [17] Kavya, Manjunath et al. "Safety and Efficacy of Intralesional Vitamin D3 in Cutaneous Warts: An Open Uncontrolled Trial." *Journal of Cutaneous and Aesthetic Surgery* 10.2 (2017): 90–94. PMC.Web. 3 Aug. 2018.
- [18] Aktaş H, Ergin C, Demir B, Ekiz Ö Intralesional Vitamin D injection may be effective treatment option for warts. *JCutan med Surg.* 2016;20(2):118-22.
- [19] Raghukumar S, Ravikumar BC, Vinay KN, Suresh MR, AggarwalA,Yashovardhan DP, IntralesionalVitamin D3 injection in treatment of recalcitrant warts: a novel proposition. J Cutan Med Surg. 2017;21(4):320-4.
- [20] Wananukul S, Chatproedprai S, Kittiratsacha P.Intralesional immunotherapy using tuberculin PPD in thetreatment of palmoplantar and periungual warts. *AsianBiomed*.2010;3:739-43.
- [21] Nimbalkar A, Pande S, Sharma R, Borkar M. Tuberculinpurified protein derivative immunotherapy in thetreatment of viral warts. *Indian J Drugs Dermatol*.2016; 2:19-23002E.