# Functional Outcome of Rotating Platform of Total Knee Arthroplasty - A Prospective Study

### Dr. Deeepak Rai.K<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Sanka Tulasiram Yashaswi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Yenepoya Medical College, Mangalore, India

<sup>2</sup>Post Graduate, Department of Orthopaedics, Yenepoya Medical College, Mangalore, India

Abstract: <u>Aim</u>: The aim of this study was to determine the functional outcome of rotating-platform total knee replacement system. <u>Patients and Methods</u>: 40 patients were randomised to receive rotating-platform PFC Sigma total knee replacement. Range of movement (ROM) and Knee Society score (KSS) were assessed independently before and one year after surgery. Weight-bearing X-rays were taken immediately and one year post surgery to determine the incidence of osteolysis and loosening. RESULTS: At a mean follow-up of 12 months there was statistically significant difference in mean ROM and KSS scores. Mean flexion achieved postoperatively was 135° from mean preoperative flexion of 100°. Thirty five patients were able to sit cross legged and 90% were able to kneel There was no evidence of osteolysis or loosening in the group and no revision for infection or implant failure. <u>Conclusion</u>: This study shows that there is good postoperative flexion, functional outcome and good short term survivorship of implants.

#### 1. Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has become a successful and reproducible operation for elderly, disabled patients with knee osteoarthritis in the past 30 years. Based on the initial success, the indications to TKA were expanded to younger and more active patients .The introduction of the mobilebearing polyethylene surfaces reflects the efforts to minimize wear while dealing with complex function and kinematics(1-4). Long-term survivorship of TKA is related to wear of the bearing surface and is well described in the orthopaedic literature. The wear pattern in TKA differs from that in total hip arthroplasty in that delaminating and pitting from the shear force results in larger particles. However, substantial submicron polyethylene debris is still generated that can result in osteolysis . This can lead to loosening and failure of the implant. Inspite of good long-term survival, engineers and orthopaedic surgeons have long sought a "better" knee design with longer survivorship.

The design of the mobile-bearing knee was intended to take advantage of the decreased stress seen in conforming designs reducing therefore polyethylene wear while reducing stress on the implant and lowering the risk of tibial component loosening. In addition, the mobile design was felt to more closely recreate native knee kinematics.

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is very effective for the treatment of severe osteoarthritis of the knee joint. Many good to excellent results have been reported. Nevertheless, surgeons continue to modify the knee prosthesis design in an attempt to improve the results. Therefore, mobile bearing tibial components of different designs were introduced. Theoretically they were meant to reduce wear (5); thus, increasing longevity. They also were designed to improve function by attempting to make the kinematics more similar to the native knee (6). The hypothesis, however, was to determine whether patients receiving a rotating platform implant had a better functional outcome than those who received the fixed bearing designs.

The purpose of this study is to present an evidence-based review of functional outcome of mobile –bearing TKA by their survivorship and clinical outcomes.

### 2. Aims and Objectives

The objective of the study is to know the functional outcome of rotating platforms of total knee arthroplasty

Aim of the present study is to assess the following in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty with rotating platforms.

- 1) To assess the post operative range of movements in the group
- 2) To assess the objective knee score of the group pre and post operatively
- 3) To assess the functional knee score of the group pre and post operatively

## 3. Methodology

Patients were included if they were suitable for treatment with either a fixed- or mobile-bearing of a total knee replacement system and had given written informed consent. The present study will be carried out in YENEPOYA MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSPITAL after obtaining the Ethical committee clearance of the hospital. A structured, pre-prepared case Proforma will be used to enter the clinical history, physical examination findings and investigations findings. Those who will meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria will be included in the study.

Sample size of 40 patients were taken

#### **Inclusion criteria**

All patients who are fit to undergo total knee arthroplasty like advanced stages of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis in age group of 50-70 years

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

Patients with rheumatoid arthritis and patients undergoing revision arthroplasty, requiring tibial component

Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

augmentation or a femoral component augmentation or a constrained prosthesis were excluded from the study.

# 4. Observation and Results

'N' indicates number of patients in each group **Percentages of sex in the group** 

Table 1:	Percentage	of sex :	in the	group
----------	------------	----------	--------	-------

Gender	Frequency	Percent
1. Male	6	30.0
2. Female	14	70.0
Total	20	100.0

In this group there were 6 males (30%) and 14 females (70%).



Figure 1: Gender distribution

Table 2: Statistics of age in the Group

Descriptive Statistics								
Age A N		Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation			
Age	20	52	74	63.75	6.138			
Valid N (list wise)	20							

The mean age was  $63.75 \pm 6.138$  years.

Table 3: Percentage of Knee side in group							
Knee side	Frequency	Percent					
B/L	9	45					
LT	3	15					
RT	8	40					
Total	20	100					



Figure 1: Percentage of Knee side

# 5. Statistics

Table 4:	Statistics	of the	group

						U				
		Post_op_	Post 6M	Post on 1V	Knee_score_	Knee_score_	Post_op_kne	Fun_score_3	Fun_score_6	Fun_score_1
		ROM_3M		rost_op_rr	3M	6M	e_1year	М	М	yr
N Valid		20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	n	95.00	108.50	120.50	64.00	69.65	73.90	72.00	84.00	94.50
Media Nedia	an	<mark>95.00</mark>	<mark>110.00</mark>	<mark>120.00</mark>	<mark>61.00</mark>	<mark>69.00</mark>	<mark>74.00</mark>	<mark>70.00</mark>	<mark>85.00</mark>	<mark>90.00</mark>
Minim	um	80	100	110	46	54	58	55	70	90
Maxim	um	110	120	130	77	78	85	80	90	100
Percentiles	25	90.00	100.00	112.50	59.00	66.00	70.75	70.00	80.00	90.00
	50	95.00	110.00	120.00	61.00	69.00	74.00	70.00	85.00	90.00
	75	100.00	117.50	130.00	70.00	75.00	78.00	80.00	90.00	100.00
IQR		<mark>10</mark>	<mark>17.50</mark>	<mark>17.5</mark>	<mark>11</mark>	<mark>9</mark>	<mark>7.25</mark>	<mark>10</mark>	<mark>10</mark>	<mark>10</mark>



Figure 3: Mean range of Postop functional scores

The mean post operative range of movements were significantly improved for the follow-up at every 3months with the mean ROM of  $130^{\circ}$  achieved at 1year follow-up.

The ROM has significantly improved from 90° at  $3^{rd}$  month follow-up to  $130^{\circ}$  at 1year follow-up. The mean KSS knee and functional score were gradually improved in post operatively in  $3^{rd}$ ,  $6^{th}$  and 1year follow-up compared to the pre operative KSS score. The KSS Knee score corresponds to the patient's objective score and hence there is an improvement in the objective score and patient's were relieved of symptoms.

# 6. Discussion

The mobile-bearing prostheses involving 40 total knee arthroplasties were studied in terms of performance and survival, with overall revision rates of approximately 1% per year.

Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

#### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2018): 7.426

The purpose of this study was to analyze the individual performance of mobile bearing knee replacements in an identical clinical setting by eliminating variables such as age, weight, and activity level.

All surgeries were performed by the senior surgeon. The clinical evaluation was done by patients blinded to the type of implant in a particular knee. Patient-related bias was thus minimized.

The clinical results shows the improvement in flexion range and survival rate of the mobile-bearing knees. Excellent or good results were obtained in 90% (thirty six) of the forty patients.

Dislocation (7) is a potential complication with any mobile bearing Knee replacement and the LCS prosthesis is no exception. One patient had a patellar tendon rupture following history of fall. There is no spin off or dislocation (8) of the knee in rotating platform knees.

The lack of statistical power is a potential drawback of this study, owing to the small number of patients evaluated and short term study

# 7. Conclusion

Clinical and radiological follow-up was performed at 1, 3, 6 months and 1year after the operation. Pre-operative and follow-up ratings according to Knee Society Scoring system were obtained for all the patients. In addition, a visual analogue scale was used to specially assess the severity of the pain. We observed that the post operative range of motion and the Knee society functional(9) score were improved significantly.

This design is able to achieve satisfactory results in terms of kneeling and sitting cross-legged. Proper patient selection and standard and precise surgical technique are important factors in achieving good functional outcome and better survivorship of this implant.

## References

- [1] Buechel FF, Pappas MJ. The New Jersey low-contact stress knee replacement system: biomechanical rationale and review of the first 123 cemented cases.
- [2] Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1986; 105:197.
- [3] Goodfellow JW, O'Connor J. Clinical results of the Oxford knee: surface arthroplasty of the tibiofemoral joint with a meniscal bearing prosthesis. Clin Orthop 1986; 205:21.
- [4] Buechel FF, Pappas MJ. Long-term survivorship analysis of cruciate-sparing versus cruciate-sacrificing knee prostheses using meniscal bearings. Clin Orthop 990; 260:162.
- [5] Callaghan JJ, Insall JN, Greenwald AS, et al. Mobilebearing replacement: concepts and results. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2000; 82:1020.
- [6] Delport HP, Vander Sloten J, Bellemans J Comparative gravimetric Wear Analysis in mobile versus fixedbearing posterior stabilized total knee prostheses. Acta Orthop Belg 2010; 76: 367-73.

- [7] Delport HP, Banks S, De Schepper J and Bellemans J. A kinematic comparison of fixed- and mobile-bearing knee replacements. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2006; 88: 1016-21.
- [8] Pagnano MW, Trousdale RT, Stuart MJ. Rotating platform knees did not improve patellar tracking: a prospective, randomized study of 240 primary total knee arthroplasties. ClinOrthopRelat Res 2004;428:221-27
- [9] Barrack RL, Schrader T, Bertot AJ, Wolfe MW, Myers L. Component rotation and anterior knee pain after total knee arthroplasty. ClinOrthopRelat Res 2001; 392:46– 55.
- [10] Delport, H. (2013). The Advantage of a Total Knee Arthroplasty with Rotating Platform is Only Theoretical: Prospective Analysis of 1,152 Arthroplasties. *The Open Orthopaedics Journal*, 7(1), pp.635-640.

# Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019