Impact Factor (2018): 7.426

Instructional Practices for Secondary Araling Panlipunanin Zone II, Division of Zambales

Pamela D. Mangohig¹, Edna Marie D. Punzalan²

President Ramon Magsaysay State University Iba, Zambales, Philippines

Abstract: The study aimed to assess the instructional practices for Secondary AralingPanlipunan. The study used the descriptive method of research. Three hundred eighty-three (383) secondary school students from Grade 7, Grade 8, Grade 9, and Grade 10, and the thirteen (13) Head Teachers/Principals served as respondents from eight (8) national high schools in Zone II, Division of Zambales in the municipalities of Botolan, Iba, and Palauig. The findings reveal that the students perceived that Field Trip, Discussion, Movie Review, Symposium, and Community Immersion were respectively Frequent instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan. The Head Teachers/Principals perceived that Discussion, Symposium, and Movie Review, respectively were always the instructional practices in AralingPanlipunan. The field trip and community immersion respectively were Frequent instructional practices in AralingPanlipunan between the perceptions on Field Trips, Discussion, Movie Review, and Symposium as instructional practices in AralingPanlipunan between the students and Head Teachers/Principals. There was no significant difference in the perceptions on Community Immersion between the students and the Head Teachers/Principals. Based on the findings and conclusions of the study, it is recommended that the teachers may continue to adopt field trips, discussion, movie review, symposium, and community immersion as instructional practices in AralingPanlipunan to improve the teaching-learning process, the Head Teachers/Principals may recommend that Araling Panlipunan teachers undergo training to effectively use field trips, discussion, movie review, symposium, and community immersion as instructional practices in AralingPanlipunan, and a similar study relating student's academic performance to instructional practices in AralingPanlipunan may be undertaken to validate the findings of this study.

Keywords: Instructional practices, Secondary Araling Panlipunan, Zone II, Division of Zambales

1. Introduction

Teachers in the 21st century hold multiple roles and view children through various lenses, and recognize that their personal and professional identities are continually evolving. Teachers are to builder of relationship, scaf folder of children's learning, planner for learning and teacher as learner. They adapt instruction to meet the needs of individual learners. Bender (2008) states that effective teachers of Social Studies are responsive in the implementation of the curriculum, utilize differentiated instructional strategies, and student products, incorporate a variety of techniques in the instruction, assessment, and grouping of students because not everyone learns the same thing at the same time with the same approach. The National Council for the Social Studies states that the primary purpose of Social Studies is to help young people make informed and reasoned decisions for the public good as citizens of a culturally diverse, democratic society in an interdependent world (NCSS, 2010). The ultimate goal of instruction in the Social Studies classroom is to help all students experience success, regardless of their learning capabilities. By proactively identifying the needs of each student, Social Studies teachers are better able to make decisions regarding curriculum adaptation, instructional design, and instructional tools integration (Chick & Hong, 2012).

In the country, as stipulated in the DepEd Discussion Paper (2010), every graduate is inculcated with the respect for human rights and values, notably, Maka-Diyos, Maka-tao, Makabansa, and Maka-Kalikasan. This makes every graduate empowered to effect positive changes in his/her life and that of others. Teachers are one of the most important components of these educational institutions (Akkus, 2015). For this purpose, Akkus argues that schools will not develop

without fostering teachers' skills and abilities. Teachers' instructional practices are evident on designing activity experiences on planning content that will allow students to experience progressive levels of achievement and in providing students with knowledge, skills and values necessary for the development of citizen participation and civic duties. Teaching practices according to Khader (2012) are closely linked to teachers' strategies, establishing appropriate learning atmosphere and learning activities.

Critically reflective teaching practices encourage teachers to regularly evaluate their approaches to teaching and learning, understand more about the positive impacts of high-quality effective pedagogies on children's learning, become more aware of the importance of high-quality interactions, including strategic intervention. The importance of understanding the sources of instructional practices among Araling Panlipunan teachers is noteworthy. But little is known about the appropriate Araling Panlipunan instructional practices to meet the demands of the current Araling Panlipunan Program and the new trend of learnerbased/centered instruction. Additionally, little if any data exist of the aspects of teaching practices of Araling Panlipunan teachers in the Filipino context. Providing this next level of data will help to develop strategies and enhance the competencies and effectiveness of the Araling Panlipunan teacher across a program of study.

2. Objectives of the Study

An assessment on the instructional practices for Secondary Araling Panlipunan as perceived by the Students and Head Teachers/Principals was the main objective of the proposed study. The secondary purpose was to establish the difference in perceptions between the two groups of respondents. Specifically, it sought to describe the instructional practices

Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: ART20195518 10.21275/ART20195518 62

Impact Factor (2018): 7.426

in terms of Field Trips, Discussion, Movie Review, Symposium, and Community Immersion by the Araling Panlipunan student- respondents and by the Araling Panlipunan Head Teacher/Principal respondents; and test significant difference between the perceptions of the two groups of respondents on the instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan.

3. Materials and Methods

Since the study discloses the instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan and the correlation between the perceptions of the AP Head Teachers/Principals and students of public secondary schools, the methodology the researcher adopted the descriptive method. The analysis is quantitative in nature. Descriptive research includes surveys and factfinding inquiries of different kinds. The respondents were the three hundred eighty-three (383) secondary school students from Grade 7, Grade 8, Grade 9, and Grade 10, and the thirteen (13) Head Teachers/Principals in eight (8) national high schools of DepEd in Zone II, Division of Table 1 shows the distribution of the respondents. There were two groups of respondents: the Araling Panlipunan Head Teachers/Principals and the secondary school students from Grade 7, Grade 8, Grade 9, and Grade 10. The purposive sampling technique was used in selecting the Head Teachers/Principals. The purposive sampling enables the researcher to use his/her judgment to choose people that are presented or are available to best meet the researcher's objectives or target groups (Driscoll, 2011).

The study was conducted eight (8) National High Schools of DepEd Zone II, Division of Zambales. These schools include: (1) Rofulo Landa National High School, Palauig; (2) Amungan National High School, Iba; (3) Zambales National High School, Iba; (4) Botolan National High School, Botolan; (5) New Taugtog National High School, Botolan; (6) Baquilan National High School, Botolan; (7) Beneg National High School, Botolan; and (8) Panan National High School, Botolan. The researcher prepared a questionnaire adapted from Khader (2012), University of Northern Iowa's Center for Social and Behavioral Research (2015), and Rosenshine, (2012) as a tool to assess the instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan and by reviewing the pedagogical literature related to teaching Social Studies (K to12 Gabay Pangkurikulum Araling Panlipunan Baitang 1-10, 2013). The tool consisted of (35) indicators spread over five (5) domains which are: Field Trip, Discussion, Movie Review, Symposium, and Community Immersion, each with seven (7) indicators. The 5-point Likert scale was used to assess the extent at which the instructional practices.

The researcher sought the approval of the Schools Division Superintendent of DepEd Division of Zambales to float the survey questionnaires to the Araling Panlipunan Head Teachers/Principals and secondary school students (Grade 7, Grade 8, Grade 9, Grade 10) in eight (6) national high schools in Zone II, Division of Zambales (Appendix B). Upon the approval of the request, the researcher personally distributed copies of the questionnaire to the respondents and were retrieved three days later. The

objectives of the study were explained to the participants and the confidentiality of their responses was also emphasized to assure a 100% retrieval of the questionnaire. Data collected from the questionnaire were analyzed, interpreted and summarized using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 11.5.

4. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the summary of perceptions of student-respondents on instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan.

Table 1: Summary of Perceptions of Students on Instructional Practices in Araling Panlipunan

Instructional Practices	Weighted	Qualitative	Rank
in Araling Panlipunan	Mean	Interpretation	
Field Trips	3.45	Frequent	5
Discussion	3.95	Frequent	1
Movie Review	3.68	Frequent	3
Symposium	3.72	Frequent	2
Community Immersion	3.57	Frequent	4
Grand Mean	3.67	Frequent	

The students perceived that among the Frequent instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan, discussion with a weighted mean of 3.95 ranked first, followed by symposium (3.72, ranked 2nd), movie review (3.68, ranked 3rd), community immersion (3.57, ranked 4th), and field trips (3.45, ranked 5th), with a grand mean of 3.67.

Table 2 shows the summary of perceptions of Head Teachers/principal-respondents on instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan. The Head Teachers/Principals perceived that discussion was Always an instructional practice with a weighted mean of 4.56 ranked first, followed by symposium (4.36, ranked 2nd), and movie review (4.31, ranked 3rd).

Table 2: Summary of Perceptions of Head Teachers/Principals on Instructional Practices in Araling

Panlipunan						
Instructional Practices	Weighted	Qualitative	Rank			
in Araling Panlipunan	Mean	Interpretation				
Field Trips	4.13	Frequent	4			
Discussion	4.56	Always	1			
Movie Review	4.31	Always	3			
Symposium	4.36	Always	2			
Community Immersion	3.97	Frequent	5			
Grand Mean	4.27	Always				

Field trips (4.13, ranked 4th) and community immersion (3.97, ranked 5th) were perceived Frequent instructional practices by the Head Teachers/Principals. Overall, the Head Teachers/Principals perceived that Instructional Practices were Always used in Araling Panlipunan.

Table 3 shows the t-test of difference in the perceptions on instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan between students and Head Teachers/Principal.

63

Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: ART20195518 10.21275/ART20195518

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2018): 7.426

Table 3: t-test of Difference in the Perceptions on Instructional Practices in Araling Panlipunan between the Students and Head Teachers/Principals

Instructional Practices in Araling Panlipunan	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Field Trips	-2.45*	394	0.01
Discussion	-4.75 [*]	394	0.00
Movie Review	-2.65*	394	0.01
Symposium	-2.79 [*]	394	0.01
Community Immersion	-1.61 ^{ns}	394	0.11

Significant at the 0.01 alpha level of significance, Ho is rejected ns Not significant at the 0.05 alpha level of significance, Ho is accepted

There was a significant difference in the perceptions on Field Trips (Sig. = 0.01), Discussion (Sig. = 0.00), Movie Review (Sig. = 0.01), and Symposium (Sig. = 0.01) as instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan between the students and Head Teachers/Principals. The computed significance values (Sig.) were less than or equal to the 0.01 alpha level of significance. The negative t-values resulted from the higher rating given by the Head Teachers/Principals to each instructional practice was compared to the rating given by the students on Field Trips, Discussion, Movie Review, Symposium, and Community Immersion.

There was no significant difference in the perceptions on Community Immersion (Sig. = 0.11) between the students and the Head Teachers/Principals. The computed significance value (Sig.) is greater than the 0.05 alpha level of significance.

For the Head Teachers/Principals, field trips provide experiences central to the schools educational mission not only to provide economically useful skills in numeracy and literacy, but also to produce civilized young men and women who would appreciate arts, and culture. For disadvantaged students, field trips may mean additional expense for the family Both the Head Teachers/Principals and students perceive that the community serves as partner in development and not as mere recipient of any given project. The community is also a resource for students' acquisition of knowledge, skills, and attitudes as the students immerse themselves in the very situation of the people

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

The students perceived that Field Trip, Discussion, Movie Symposium, and Community respectively are Frequent instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan. The Head Teachers/Principals perceived that Discussion, Symposium, and Movie Review are Always the instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan. The field trip and community immersion are perceived as Frequent instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan. There is a highly significant difference in the perceptions on Field Trips, Discussion, Movie Review, and Symposium as instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan between the students and Head Teachers/Principals. There is no significant difference in the perceptions on Community Immersion between the students and the Head Teachers/Principals. Thus, it is recommended that teachers may continue to adopt field trips, discussion, movie review, symposium, and community immersion as instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan to improve the teachinglearning process. The Araling Panlipunan teachers in all secondary schools may be encouraged to conduct symposium. The Head Teachers/Principals may recommend that Araling Panlipunan teachers undergo training to effectively use field trips, discussion, movie review, symposium, and community immersion as instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan. The mechanics may be prepared by the school administrators and disseminated so that the students appreciate the advantage of adopting field trips, discussion, movie review, symposium, and community immersion as instructional practices in Araling Panlipunan. The DepEd officials may propose an instructional practice that could substitute for community immersion. The teachers may adopt a practice to discuss community issues to make Araling Panlipunan class more interesting and relevant.

References

- [1] Abdu-Raheem, B.O. (2011). Effects of discussion method on secondary school students' achievement and retention in Social Studies. European Journal of Educational Studies, 3(2), 293-301.
- [2] Açikalin, M. (2010). Exemplary Social Studies Teachers Use of Computer-Supported Instruction in the Classroom. TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology – October 2010, volume 9 Issue 4 http://www.tojet.net/articles/v9i4/947.pdf.
- [3] Alleman, J. & Brophy, J. (2009). Current Trends and Practices in Social Studies Assessment for the Early Grades. National Council for the Social Studies. https://www.learner.org/workshops/socialstudies/pdf/session7/7.CurrentTrendsAndPractices.pdf.
- [4] Allen, M. (2005). 'It is as it was': Feature films in the history classroom. The Social Studies, 96 (2), 61-67.
- [5] Bolick, C. M., Adams, R., & Willox, L. (2010). The Marginalization of Elementary SocialStudies in Teacher Education. The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Social Studies Research and Practice. Social Studies Research and Practice. http://www.socstrp.org Volume 5 Number, 2010. http://www.socstrpr.org/files/Vol%205/Issue%202%20 %20Summer,%202010/Research/5.2.3.pdf.
- [6] Bolinger, K., & Warren, W. J. (2007).Methods Practiced in Social Studies Instruction: A Review of Public School Teachers' Strategies. International Journal of Social Education, 22(1), 68-84.
- [7] Campbell, E. (2006). Curricular and Professional Authority in Schools. Curriculum Inquiry, 36(2).
- [8] Chilcoat, G. W., & Ligon, J. A. (2001). Discussion as a means for transformative change: social studies lessons from the Mississippi Freedom schools. Social Studies, 92, 213-219.
- [9] Department of Education. (2006). Basic education information system-quick count 2005-2006. Pasig: Department of Education.
- [10] Department of Education. (2008). Nationwide survey on school enrollment and education plans. Pasig: Department of Education.
- [11] Doğan & Sezer. (2011). A Study on Learning Environments of Elementary School Students Taking Social Studies Course: Bursa Sample. US-China

64

Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019

www.ijsr.net

<u>Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY</u>

Impact Factor (2018): 7.426

- Education Review (2011) 263-269 Earlier title: US-China Education Review, ISSN 1548-6613.
- [12] Driscoll, D. L. (2011). Introduction to Primary Research: Observations, Surveys, and Interviews. ISBN 978-1-60235-184-4http://www.parlorpress.com/pdf/driscoll--introductionto-primary-research.pdf.
- [13] Falk, J. H., & Dierking, L. D. (2002). Lessons without limits: How free-choice learning is transforming education. Walnut Creek, CA: Alta Mira Press.
- [14] Fradera, A. (2016). Gender differences at the movies-women remember more of "rom-coms," men remember more from action flicks. British Psychological Society Reader's Digest. Retrieved from https://digest.bps.org.uk/2016/08/08/gender-differences-at-the-movies-women-remember-more-of-rom-comsmen-remember-more-from-action-flicks/ on March 1, 2017.
- [15] Gambrell, L. B. (2004). Shifts in the conversation: teacher-led, peer-led, and computer mediated discussions. The Reading Teacher, 58, 212-215.
- [16] Grant, S. G., & Gradwell, J. M. (2007). The Sources are many: Exploring History Teachers' Selection of Classroom Texts. Theory & Research in Social Education, 33(2).
- [17] Hein, G. E. (2006b). John Dewey's wholly original philosophy and its significance for museums. Curator, 49(2), 181-203.
- [18] Heitor, T. (2005). Potential Problems and Challenges in Defining International Design Principles for School. Evaluating Quality in Educational Facilities. OECD/PEB, www.oecd.org/edu/facilities/evaluatingquality.
- [19] Hong, H. (2014). Social Studies Educators' Professionalism in an Age of High Stakes Accountability Examining Teacher-Level and School-Level Characteristics and Testing Policy Associated with Teacher Authority in the Secondary Social Studies Classroom. PhD (Doctor of Philosophy) Thesis, University of Iowa, 2014. http://ir.uiowa.edu/etd/1337.
- [20] Kisiel, J. F. (2006c). More than lions and tigers and bears--Creating meaningful field trip lessons. Science Activities, 43(2), 7-10.
- [21] Khader, F. R. (2013). Teachers' Pedagogical Beliefs and Actual Classroom Practices in Social Studies Instruction. American International Journal of Contemporary Research. Vol. 2 No. 1; January 201273. https://www.uop.edu.jo/Download/research/members/1 11_2027_fakh.pdf
- [22] Kisida, B., Bowen, D. H., & Greene, J. P. (2014). The Educational Value of Field Trips. Education Next, 14(1).
- [23] Land, S. (2014). Effective Teaching Practices for Students in Inclusive Classroomshttp://education.wm.edu/centers/ttac/resourc es/articles/inclusion/effectiveteach/.
- [24] Larson, B. E. (2000). Classroom discussion: a method of instruction and a curriculum outcome. Teaching and Teacher Educations, 16, 661-667.
- [25] Larson, B. E. (2000). Influences on social studies teachers' use of classroom discussion. Clearing House, 73, 174-185

- [26] Lounsbury, J. H. (2000). Understanding and Appreciating the Wonder Years. Retrieved from www.nmsa.org on March 1, 2017.
- [27] Myers, J. (2012). Assessment and Evaluation in Social Studies Classrooms a Question of Balance. Retrieved from http://www.learnalberta.ca/content/ssass/html/pdf/assessment_and_evaluation_in_social_studies_classrooms.pdf
- [28] Nava, F. J. G. (2009). Factors in School Leaving: Variations Across Gender Groups, School Levels and Locations. Education Quarterly, 67 (1), 62-78.
- [29] Nitko, A. J., & Brookhart, S. M. (2007). Educational Assessment of Students (5th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- [30] Ojo, O. (2003). Fundamentals of Research Methods. Lagos: Standard Publications.
- [31]Ontario College of Teachers. (2015). Standards of Practice Retrieved from http://www.oct.ca/public/professional-standards/standards-of-practice.
- [32] Oregon Journal of the Social Studies. (2013). Best Practices for 21st Century Learning A peer-reviewed electronic journal Winter 2013 Volume 1 Retrieved from http://www.oregonsocialstudies.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/OJSS-Journal-0101.pdf.
- [33] Pace, J. L., & Hemmings, A. (2007). Understanding Authority in Classrooms: A Review of Theory, Ideology, and Research. Review of Educational Research, 77(1).
- [34] Packer, J. (2006). Learning for fun: The unique contribution of educational leisure experiences. Curator, 49(3), 329-344.
- [35] Parker, W. C. (2015). Social Studies Today: Research and Practice, 2nd ed., New York: Routledge. Retrieved from .https://education.uw.edu/sites/default/files/u284/Chapte r1.intro_.2e.pdf.
- [36] Ragland, R. (2007). Adopting and Sustaining Use of New Teaching Strategies for American History in Secondary Classrooms. Journal of Social Studies Research, 31(2). Retrieved from http://www.thejssr.com/.
- [37] Rosenshine, B. (2012). Principles of Instruction Research-Based Strategies that All Teachers Should Know. Retrieved from https://www.aft.org/sites/default/files/periodicals/Rosen shine.pdf.
- [38] Rousmaniere, K. (2007). Go to the principal's office: Toward a social history of the school principal in North America. History of Education Quarterly, 47(1), 1-22.
- [39] Russell, W. B. (2006). Secondary Social Studies Teachers Use of Film: A Comparison Study. Retrieved from https://fsu.digital.flvc.org/islandora/object/fsu:176416/d atastream/PDF/view on March 1, 2017.
- [40] Sheppard, B. (Ed.). (2000). Building museum & school partnerships. Harrisburg, PA: Pennsylvania Federation of Museums and Historical Organizations.
- [41] Shumba, A. Ndofirepi, A. P., & Gwirayi, P. (2012). A critique of contructivist theory in science teaching and learning. International Journal of Social Sciences, 31(1), 11-18.

65

Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Impact Factor (2018): 7.426

- [42] Stephen, D., & Stephen, P. (2005). Discussion as a way of teaching. United States of America. Jossey Bass Press. A Willey Imprint. Retrieved from www.josseybass.com on March 1, 2017.
- [43] Teague, R. (2010). Social Constructivism & Social Studies.
- [44] Thieman, G. Y. & Carano, K. T. (2013). From the Field: How Oregon Social Studies Teachers are Preparing Students for the 21st Century. Oregon Journal of the Social Studies. A Peer-reviewed Electronic Journal.
- [45] Wilen, W. (2004). Refuting misconceptions about classroom discussion. Social Studies, 95, 33-39.
- [46] Wilson, L. M., & Horch, H. W. (2002). Implications of Brain Research for Teaching Young Adolescents. Middle School Journal, 34(1), 57-60.
- [47] Wood, W., & Eagly, A. H. (2002). A cross-cultural analysis of the behavior of women and men: Implications for the origin of sex differences. Psychological Bulletin, 128, 699-727.
- [48] Yilmaz, K. (2008). Constructivism: Its Theoretical Underpinnings, Variations, and Implications for Classroom Instruction. Educational Horizons. Retrieved from http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ798521.pdf.
- [49] Yusuf, N., & Ai-Banawi, N. (2013). The impact of changing technology: The Case of E-Learning. International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Education, 6(2), 173-180.

Volume 8 Issue 3, March 2019 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: ART20195518