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Abstract: A prospective observational study is performed on patients undergoing Type I Tympanoplasty after proper evaluation and obtaining a written informed consent for comparison of Temporalis fascia with Tragal Perichondrium as graft material in Type I Tympanoplasty and improvement is assessed with hearing improvement, time taken for graft uptake. A sample size of 100 patients in age group of 18 to 60 years in CSOM cases were selected for the study. Duration of study 2 years i.e. from October 2017 to September 2019.
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1. Introduction

Tympanoplasty is a surgical procedure to eradicate the disease in the middle ear and to reconstruct the hearing mechanism with or without tympanic membrane grafting, whereas Myringoplasty is a surgery in which the reconstructive procedure is limited to repair of tympanic membrane perforation assuming that middle ear ossicles are functioning normally, eustachian tube is patent, and the patient has a good cochlear reserve [1, 2].

Type-I tympanoplasty is surgical reconstruction limited to repair of tympanic membrane alone. The implicit definition is that the ossicular chain is intact and mobile and that there is no middle ear disease. In early centuries, an ear infection with complication was a life threatening condition. The introduction of antibiotics and the use of the operative microscope in the surgical field were revolutionary advances in the control of the disease. Chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) is a long standing infection of a part or whole of middle ear cleft. CSOM is characterized by ear discharge, a permanent perforation, and impairment of hearing. Tympanic membrane (TM) perforations lead to recurrent ear infections and hearing loss [1].

If the perforations are bilateral, hearing handicap becomes more evident. Persistent perforations occur either due to improper treatment of recurrent middle ear infections or infected traumatic perforation. Repair of TM perforation was attempted for many years. Different techniques and different graft materials like temporalis fascia, tragal perichondrium and tragal perichondrium with cartilage were used [3].

It is the work of two Germans, Wullstein and Zollner, which started in 1949, lead to a new concept of the treatment of deafness secondary to chronic infection in the middle ear and mastoid and the new method was called “tympanoplasty” [4].

Chronic suppurative otitis media is highly prevalent middle ear disease, particularly in the developing countries like India [5, 6]. It is defined as a persistent, disease affecting the mucoperiosteal lining of the middle ear cleft more than month which is insidious in onset, and capable of causing the destruction and some irreversible sequela, and also it clinically manifests with ear discharge and hard of hearing [7]. It causes numerous pathological changes in tympanic membrane, and middle ear such as perforation, ossicular destruction, myringosclerosis, tympanosclerosis, granulation tissue polyp, cholesteroloma, etc. it causes significant conductive hearing loss. The surgical treatment of chronic suppurative otitis media primarily aims at eradication of disease process, and reconstruction of conductive hearing mechanism Tympanoplasty is surgical procedure to reconstruct sound conducting apparatus, tympanic membrane, ossicular system with or without grafting.

The primary goals of tympanoplasty are:
1. Eradication of the disease
2. To improve or maintain hearing mechanism
3. Establish middle ear cleft ventilation

For reconstruction of tympanic membrane, different types of materials are used. The most commonly used are temporalis fascia, cartilage, fascia lata etc. Temporalis fascia the most frequently used graft material, with closure of the tympanic membrane perforation. Cartilage has shown to be better graft material, to close perforations in the tympanic membrane. It gains popularity due to its resistance to retraction, resorption and reperforation, even with Eustachian tube dysfunction. The stiffness of cartilage that prevents reperforations, but it interfere with the sound conduction properties of the tympanic membrane, than temporalis fascia [1].
The present study is a prospective study which describes the influence of various parameters like type of graft used, surgical approach, the time taken for graft uptake, Valsalva maneuver in postoperative period on audiological outcome after surgery.

2. Objectives

To observe and assess the difference between temporalis fascia, tragal perichondrium as graft material in Type I Tympanoplasty.

Objectives

- To evaluate the Improvement in hearing by audiology with Pure Tone Audiometry
- To study the effect of time taken for graft uptake on the audiological outcome.

3. Review of Literature

Development of the ear

The human ear development begins at the fourth week of embryonic life.

External ear

The auricle develops from auricular hillocks, derived from first and second branchial arches. The six hillocks develop in the 6-week of embryonic life. The external auditory meatus formed by deepening of the groove between the two arches. At the dorsal end of the first branchial groove, the ectodermal cells thicken and form the external meatus. These ectodermal cells proliferation forms a metatal "plug" that progress medially. Resorption of cells in the center of this metatal plug forms a tube-like structure which becomes ear canal. When complete canalization fails to occur that leads to External canal atresia [8].

Middle ear

The middle ear cavity forms as a lateral extension of the first pharyngeal pouch. The proximal end of this extension becomes the eustachian tube. The lateral extension joins with the ectoderm of the metatal plug and forms the tympanic membrane. From Mesoderm of the first branchial arch, the malleus, incus, anterior malleolar ligaments and tensor tympani muscle are derived. From the mesoderm of second arch, Stapes, stapedius muscle derived [9].

Inner ear

It has two components,

1. Membranous labyrinth. -derived from the ectoderm
2. Bony labyrinth- derived from the mesoderm and neural crest.

Figure 1: 1. Oticplacode, 2. Otic cup, 3. Otic cyst, 4. Inner ear

The membranous labyrinth develops from the otic placode which is a thickening of the ectoderm adjacent to the hindbrain. This otic placode invaginates and forms an otic cup. By the end of the fourth week, the edges of the otic cup fuse together form the otic vesicle/otocyst. A few of otic epithelial cells in the otic cup and otocyst separates from the epithelium and joins to form neurons of the eighth cranial nerve. These neurons innervate sensory organs within the inner ear.

A diverticulum develops in the otocyst elongates to form the endolymphatic duct and sac. The remaining portion of the otocyst enlarges and forms a ventral saccular and cochlear region, and the dorsal region develops into the utricle and three semicircular ducts.

The superior and posterior semicircular canals develop from a vertical outgrowth in the dorsal region of the otocyst. The lateral duct develops from a horizontal outgrowth in the lateral portion of the otocyst [8].

The external auditory canal: Normal anatomy:

The external auditory canal is approximately 2.5 cm in length and serves as a channel for sound transmission to the middle ear. Its lateral onethird is bolstered by elastic cartilage oriented in an upward and backward fashion; its anterior aspect is pierced by vertical fissures known as the fissure of Santorini. These fissures are a potential route for spread of infections or neoplasms between the external auditory canal and the parotid gland.

The medial two-thirds of the external auditory canal is osseous and is oriented in a downward and forward direction. Hence the auricle must be pulled upward and posteriorly to achieve ligament during otoscopic examination. The narrowest portion of the external
auditory canal or isthmus is located just medial to the junction of the bony and fibrocartilagenous canal [10].

Figure 2: Anatomy of ear [11]

Figure 3: Middle ear [12]
The Tympanic membrane

The tympanic membrane is irregularly round and slightly conical in shape. The apex of the cone is located at the umbo, which marks the tip of the manubrium. In the adult, it is angulated approximately 140° with respect to the superior wall of the external auditory canal. The vertical diameter of the tympanic membrane as determined along the axis of the manubrium ranges from 8.5 to 10 mm, while the horizontal diameter varies from 8 to 9 mm.

The anterior and posterior tympanic striae extend from the lateral process of the malleus to the anterior and posterior tympanic spines, respectively. These striae divide the tympanic membrane into larger pars tensa below, and smaller triangular pars flaccida (or Shrapnell’s membrane) above.

The thickened periphery of the pars tensa, the tympanic annulus anchors the tympanic membrane in a groove known as the tympanic sulcus. The tympanic annulus and sulcus are absent superiorly in the area of the notch of Rivinus.

The pars tensa, as its name suggests, is taut and consists of three layers:

- A lateral epidermal layer
- A medial mucosal layer
- An intermediate fibrous layer.

The pars flaccida, first described by Shrapnell, also consists of epidermal, fibrous, and mucosal layers. Here, the fibrous layer is scanty and consists of irregularly arranged collagen and elastic fibers [13].

The ossicles:

The three ossicles (malleus, incus, stapes) serve to transmit sound energy from the tympanic membrane to the inner ear [14].

**Figure 4:** Tympanic membrane [13]

![Tympanic membrane](image)

**Figure 5:** Ossicles [14]

![Ossicles](image)

The malleus:

It has a head, neck, lateral process, anterior process, and manubrium. It is held to the walls of the petrotympanic fissure by the anterior malleal ligament which, with the posterior incudal ligament, serves to establish the axis of rotation of the ossicles. On its thinner, medial aspect runs the chorda tympani nerve as it passes anteriorly to enter the iter chordae anterius at the Glaserian fissure.

The lateral process of the malleus contains a cartilaginous cap attached to the pars tensa of the tympanic membrane. The inferior end of the manubrium is firmly attached to the tympanic membrane as the pars propria splits to envelop it (the umbo).

The malleus is held in place by five ligaments, one articulation, the tensor tympani tendon, and the tympanic membrane.

Three of the five ligaments have a suspensory function. They are:

- The anterior suspensory ligament
- The lateral suspensory ligament
The superior suspensory ligament [14].

The incus:

The incus, the largest of the auditory ossicles, consists of a body, short process, long process, and lenticular process. The body of the incus rests in the epitympanum in association with the head of the malleus. The short process of the incus extends posteriorly, occupying the posterior incudal recess (fossa incudis). The long process reaches inferiorly, to end in the lenticular process; the convex surface of this process articulates with the concave surface of the head of the stapes. The long process of the incus is highly susceptible to osteitic resorption caused by chronic otitis media.

The stapes:

The stapes is the smallest ossicle. It consists of a head, footplate (the basis stapedis), and two crura or legs. The stapedius tendon attached to the superior aspect of the posterior crus. The footplate, in association with the annular ligament, seals the oval window. The head articulates with the lenticular process of the incus at its fovea.

The muscles

The Stapedius muscle:

The stapedius muscle, the smallest of the skeletal muscles, emerges from the pyramidal eminence and attaches to the head and/or posterior crus of the stapes. It is supplied by facial nerve.

The tensor tympani muscle:

The tensor tympani muscle, arises from the cartilage of the eustachian tube, attach to the concave surface of the cochleariform (spoon-shaped) process, at which point the main body of the tendon turns laterally to attach to the medial and anterior surfaces of the neck and the manubrium of the malleus. Its innervation is from the trigeminal nerve. The action of the tensor tympani muscle is to draw the manubrium medially, thus tensing the tympanic membrane [2, 13, 14].

The middle ear spaces:

The tympanic cavity is a cleft in the sagittal plane measuring about 15 mm in the vertical and anteroposterior dimensions. In its transverse dimension, it expands superiorly to 6 mm and inferiorly to 4 mm from a central constriction of 2 mm. At the floor of the tympanic cavity (jugular wall) a small plate of bone separates the jugular bulb. In the posterior wall, the chordal eminence is lateral to the pyramidal eminence and medial to the posterior rim of the tympanic membrane. There is a foramen in this eminence, known as the iter chordae posteiirius, through which the chorda tympani nerve gains access to the middle ear. The facial recess is interposed between the chordal eminence laterally and the pyramidal eminence medially and superiorly bounded by the fossa incudis.

The sinus tympani lies between the ponticus (which bridges the gap between the pyramidal eminence and the promontory superiorly) and the subiculum. The anterior wall of the middle ear (carotid wall) narrows inferiorly where it is formed by the thin bony shell of the carotid canal. Located more superiorly in the anterior wall is the orifice of the eustachian tube and above it the tensor tympani muscle lies in its semicanal.

The roof (tegmental wall, tegmen tympani) separates the tympanic cavity from the middle cranial fossa. The lateral boundary (membranous wall) is composed of the tympanic membrane, the bony tympanic ring, and a layer of bone from the squama - the scutum or shield of Leidy. The medial wall (labyrinthine wall) of the tympanic cavity is marked by two main depressions:

- The round window niche
- The oval window niche

The round window niche is located anteroinferior to the subiculum and posteroinferior to the promontory. The latter structure is the bulge of the bone overlying the basal turn of the cochlea.

The oval window niche is anterosuperior to the ponticus. Located poserosuperiorly is the prominence of the facial canal as it traverses the medial wall and then descends along the mastoid wall of the tympanic cavity.

The middle ear space is divided into four regions:

1. The mesotympanum (middle ear proper) is that area located medial to the tympanic membrane and the bony tympanic annulus.
2. The eptympanum is that area that lies medial to the pars flaccida and scutum.
3. The protympanum lies anterior to a frontal plane drawn through the anterior margin of the tympanic annulus. It leads to the tympanic orifice of the eustachian tube.
4. The hypotympanum is that part of the middle ear located inferior to a horizontal plane through the most inferior part of the tympanic annulus.

The Eustachian tube:

The eustachian tube, a mucosally lined pathway between the nasopharynx and the middle ear, permits ventilation of the pneumatized spaces of the temporal bone while safeguarding against bacterial contamination of these spaces. The posterolateral one-third is bony while the anteromedial two-thirds is fibrocartilaginous; these two sections are joined at the tubal isthmus. The overall length of the eustachian tube in the adult is 36mm.

The middle ear mucosa:

In electron microscopic observations, Hentzer distinguished five types of cells in the middle ear mucosa:

1. Nonciliated without secretory granules
2. Nonciliated with secretory granules
3. Ciliated
4. Intermediate
5. Basal

The mucosa of the middle ear represents a modified respiratory mucosa.

The Mastoid region:

At birth the mastoid has a single cavity consisting of the antrum and small adjacent mastoid. It occupies a superficial position and is surrounded by diploic bone. In adult life, the normal mastoid may be fully pneumatized, diploic, or sclerotic. The antolateral portion of the mastoid arises from the squamous part of the temporal bone; the posteromedial portion, including the mastoid tip, arises from the petrous part. In most mastoids, the plane of junction of these two parts is marked internally by an incomplete plate of bone, the petrosquamosal septum, also known as Koerner’s septum. The mastoid antrum area is a large superior central space which communicates with the epitympanic space of the middle ear via the aditus [15, 16].

The inner ear

The bony labyrinth:

The long axis of the bony labyrinth, measuring 20 mm in length, roughly parallels the posterior surface of the petrous pyramid. Its components are the vestibule, the semicircular canals, and the cochlea [17].

![Figure 6: Inner ear [17]](image)

The vestibule:

The vestibule is the central chamber. At the posterosuperior aspect of its medial wall is a depression known as the elliptical recess which accommodates part of the utricular macula. The spherical recess is a similar depression for the saccular macula, located anteroinferiorly. The vestibular crest is an oblique elevation between these two recesses. The opening for the cochlea lies anteriorly, while the openings for the semicircular canals are located posteriorly. The oval window is an opening on the lateral wall, adjoining the tympanic cavity. The vestibular aqueduct with its contained endolymphatic duct opens into the posteroinferior aspect of the vestibule [16].

The canals:

The osseous semicircular canals are:

1. The lateral
2. Posterior
3. Superior canals

Each canal expands to double its diameter at its osseous ampulla where it communicates with the vestibule. The non ampullated ends of the posterior and superior canals fuse, forming the common crus, while the non ampullated end of the lateral canal remains independent. Thus, the vestibule has five apertures for the semicircular canals.

The membranous labyrinth:

The membranous labyrinth is encased within the bony labyrinth and is surrounded by the perilymphatic space. The constituents are

1. The cochlear duct,
2. The three semicircular ducts and their ampullae,
3. The otolithic organs (the utricle and saccule), and the endolymphatic (otic) duct and sac.

This system of epithelially lined channels and spaces is filled with endolymph (Scarpa’s fluid); the utricular duct, the saccularduct, and the ductus reuniens interconnect the major structures [18].

Cochlea

![Figure 7: Cochlea [18]](image)

The cochlea is snail shaped and has a spiral configuration with two and a half turns. The center portion of the spiral is called the modiolus. The portion of the cochlea that is closest to the oval window is the base, whereas the portion
of the cochlea that is farthest away from the oval window is the apex.

The cochlea is having three compartments: scala tympani, scala vestibuli and scala media. The basilar membrane separates the scala tympani and the scala media, Reissner's membrane separates the scala media and the scala vestibuli. The scala tympani and the scala vestibuli communicates with each other at helicotrema. In the scala media, the organ of Corti rests on the basilar membrane. Basilar membrane and organ of Corti are referred to as the cochlear partition. The organ of Corti has the inner and the outer hair cells. The inner hair cells are arranged in a single row and outer hair cells are arranged in three rows. These hair cells have hair like projections called stereocilia, which is responsible for the signal transduction in hair cells. The scala vestibuli and the scala tympani are filled with perilymph, which resemble the extracellular fluid (high in sodium, low in potassium) in composition. The scala media filled with end lymph, which resemble intracellular fluid (low in sodium, high in potassium) in composition. The electrolyte composition of the scala media causes the endocochlear potential, which is +60 to +100 mV relative to the perilymph [18].

**Physiology**

The difference between the impedance of air and the impedance of fluid is great; thus, in the transmission of sound energy from Air to Fluid medium, there would be a 99.9% loss which is approximately 30 dB loss. The above loss can be overcome by impedance matching, which allows optimum sound energy transmission [19].

**Hydraulic lever**

This is the ratio of the surface area of the tympanic membrane to that of the oval window. The tympanic membrane surface area is 55 mm² and stapes foot plate surface area 3.2 mm². This difference represents a 17-fold increase in surface area. Sound energy striking the much larger tympanic membrane is transmitted through to a much smaller surface area of the stapes footplate.

**Lever ratio**

The length of the manubrium, when compared the long process of the incus, is 1.3 times longer. Hence the leverage gain is 1.3. Combined effects of these two mechanisms, the Hydraulic ratio and the lever ratio, the approximate gain is 22 dB.

The two factors help in the transmission of sound energy are

1. Optimal Eustachian tube Function
2. Gas exchange within the middle ear Mucosa

**Eustachian tube**

The Eustachian tube has cartilaginous and bony portion. The lateral bony portion of the canal opens in the anterior wall of Middle Ear. The medial cartilaginous part, opens into the nasopharynx and is closed at rest. The tensor veli palatini opens the Eustachian tube orifice during swallowing, for a transient period that lasts 0.3–0.5s. This results in pressure equalization with the atmospheric pressure.

**Middle ear mucosal gas exchange**

Middle ear mucosa has a well-developed capillary structure close to its surface. This helps in gas exchange. In normal conditions middle ear pressure is equal to atmospheric pressure, approximately 760 mmHg at sea level. These gases are bi-directionally exchanged. Nitrogen gas level in middle ear is higher than that of venous blood. This gradient results in gas exchange between the middle ear space and venous blood. The absorption of nitrogen into the venous blood results in negative pressure in the middle ear. This is equalized by opening of the Eustachian tube. Prolonged Eustachian tube dysfunction hampers this mechanism results in negative middle ear pressure, transudation of fluid, and the development of a middle ear effusion and increases the middle ear acoustic impedance.

**Transmission of sound energy in the Cochlea**

When sound energy travels through the ear, it causes the stapes footplate to vibrate. The vibration of the stapes footplate produces a compressional wave in the perilymph, which travels to the scala vestibuli, through the helicotrema, and out across the scala tympani towards the round window. An inward motion of the stapes results in outward movement of the round window. When the organ of Corti and basilar membrane are deflected in response to the compression wave, it produces a shearing force between the tectorial membrane and the stereocilia of the hair cells. This shearing force produces a deflection of stereocilia toward the direction of tallest row results in opening of stretch-sensitive cationic channels located on the stereocilia. The opening of these stretch-sensitive cationic channels causes a influx of cationic current, which results in hair cell depolarization.

When inner hair cells are depolarized, it opens voltage-gated calcium channels. The resulting calcium current triggers neurotransmitter release across the synapse, which results in activation of the auditory nerve fibers [16, 19].

**Tympanoplasty - An overview**

Tympanoplasty (TM) is the procedure of removal of disease from the middle ear and reconstruction of the hearing mechanism along with TM grafting.

**History of Tympanoplasty:**

- **1640-Banzer**
  - First attempt at repair of TM
  - Used pigs bladder as a lateral graft
1853-Toynbee
- Placed a rubber disc attached to a silver wire over the TM
- Reported significant hearing improvement

1863-Yearsley
- Placed a cotton ball over a perforation

1877-Blake
- Paper patch

1876-Roosa
- Chemical cautery

1878-Berthold
- Coined the term Myringoplasty

1950-Wullstein and Zollner
- Described 5 types of Tympanoplasty

1960-Heerman
- First used temporalis fascia grafting material in tympanoplasty.

1961-Storr
- Temporalis fascia grafting

1967-House Glasscock and Sheehy
- Techniques for lateral grafting

Indications for surgery:
1. Conductive hearing loss due to TM perforation or ossicular dysfunction
2. Chronic or recurrent otitis media secondary to contamination
3. Progressive hearing loss due to chronic middle ear pathology

Contraindications for surgery:
1. Malignant tumours
2. Unusual infections like malignant otitis externa.
3. Intracranial complications
4. Cholesteatoma

Goals of the surgery:
1. Establish an intact TM
2. Eradicate middle ear disease and create an air containing middle ear space
3. Restore hearing by sound pressure transformation between the eardrum and the cochlea

Techniques:-

Overlay (lateral grafting)

Overlay - Surface epithelium was removed around the perforation site and graft was put on the fibrous layer of TM [1].

Figure 8: Tympanoplasty (Tympanic membrane perforation) [20]

Figure 9: Tympanoplasty (Graft insertion) [21]

Over lay grafting Advantages:
1. Graft remains vascularised
2. Exposure of anterioirmeatal recess
3. Middle ear space not reduced

Disadvantages:
1. Lateralisation of the graft
2. Blunting of anterior meatal recess
3. Chance of iatrogenic cholesterol formation. Healing may take longer (4-8 weeks)

1. Technically more demanding
2. Formation of epithelial pearl

**Underlay (Medial grafting)**

**Underlay** technique was introduced by SHEA. The graft was placed medial to the handle of malleus and TM remnant.

**ADVANTAGES:**

1. Less blunting or lateralisation
2. High graft uptake

**Disadvantages:**

1. Limited visualization of anterior meatal recess
2. Difficult with small EAC.
3. Less suitable in large anterior perforation
4. Reduction in middle ear space TM grafts

Histologically TM grafts become lined by squamous epithelium on the ear canal side and the middle ear mucosa on the tympanic cavity side [22].

**Grafting materials**

1. Temporalis fascia graft
2. Cartilage graft
3. Fat graft
4. Hyaluronic acid fat graft
5. Tragal perichondrium and cartilage
6. Vein graft
7. Conchal cartilage
8. Fascia lata
9. Subcutaneous tissue
10. Periosteum

**Approach**

1. **Transcanal**

Posterior moderate sized perforations Favourable EAC anatomy.

2. **Endaural**

Visualisation of annulus and anterior sulcus is difficult.

3. **Postaural**

All perforation sizes

Better angle of visualization

**Various surgical techniques**

1. Overlay-underlay technique
2. Combined technique

Two grafts-one under the handle of malleus Second on the fibrous layer of TM

3. Circumferential sub annular graft technique
4. Swing door technique
5. Butterfly and palisade technique cartilage Tympanoplasty
6. Cartilage shield tympanoplasty
7. The button graft technique
8. Cartilage tympanoplasty with island technique
9. Endoscopic vs microscopic tympanoplasty [23].

![Figure 10: Classification of Tympanoplasty](24)

![Figure 11: Wullstein classification of Tympanoplasty](25)
Wullstein and Zollner (1956): TYPE I

TM is grafted to an intact ossicular chain

TYPE II

Malleus is partially eroded

TM is grafted to the long process of incus/remaining malleus

TYPE III

Columella effect/Myringostapediopexy Malleus and incus are eroded

TM is grafted to the stapes suprastucture with cartilage in between

TYPE –IV

Stapes suprastucture is eroded but foot plate is mobile TM is grafted to a mobile footplate

Sound protection of the roundwindow and formation of airspace in the hypotympanum

TYPE V

TM is grafted to a fenestration in the lateral semicircular canal in cases with no ossicles and a fixed footplate.

In this thesis, study about the comparison of two different grafting materials were Temporalis fascia and Tragal perichondrium used in the patients undergoing type I tymnoplasty [25].

Temporalis fascia:

Temporalis fascia was first used in myringoplasty by Ortegtran (1958-59), Heerman (1961) and Storr (1961). It is most commonly used autogenous material. It is preferred for various reasons:

1. It is easy to harvest.
2. It can be used asonlay, intermediate or underlay graft.
3. For primary operation, there are no size limitations.
4. Fascia is quite similar to tympanic membrane with low basal metabolic rate.
5. For reconstruction of the tympanic cavity and ear canal, fascia is the only suitable autogenous material, because of its size.

Tragal perichondrium:

Tragal perichordium was introduced into myringoplasty by Victor Goodhill et al (1964), after being used in stapedectomy as an oval window graft for some years before that. Like temporalis fascia, tragal perichondrium has several advantages:

1. It is easily accessible.
2. It is a mesodermal graft.
3. It has a good chance of postoperative survival.
4. It has a conical contour.
5. It is sufficiently large for myringoplasty of a total perforation [26].

4. Clinical Review

In 2013 Adip K. Shetty et al used tragal perichondrium as graft material in type I tympanoplasty with a success rate of 96% in the perichordium group as compared with 92% in the control group. In perichondrium- cartilage group shows mean gain in AirBone gap was 16.5 + 7.27 dB as compared to 15 + 7.07 dB in temporalis fascia group. In this study there is no statistical significant difference in graft taken up and hearing gain between both groups [27].

In the study by M. Mohsen Wafaie et al in 2010 shows. Anatomical closure of TM perforations in 95% of patients in the cartilage group, and in 90% of patients in the temporalis fascia group with value p more than 0.05. The mean acoustic gain in two group with no significant statistical difference [28].

R. K. Mundra et al (2013) did study. to evaluate the results of closure of subtotal perforation by tympanoplasty using underlay technique., with the perichordium temporalis fascia graft supported by single sliced cartilage [29].

Emily Iacovou et al, 2012 to compare the hearing results., and graft take up rate in patients undergoing myringoplasty for the reconstruction of the tympanic membrane, with the use of cartilage or fascia temporalis. The mean graft take up rate was 92.4 % in the cartilage group and 84.3 % in the fascia temporalis group. The obtained audiometric results are comparable to temporalis muscle facia group and the rate of reperforation is lower [30].

In 2011 Matthew Yung, Senthilnathan, Vivekanandan and Philip Smith study compares outcomes of myringoplasty procedures, using fascia and cartilage grafts. The graft integration of fascia and cartilage grafts at 24 months, were 84.2% and 80%, respectively. The postoperative AB gaps and audiological gains at 24 months were 16.97 dB and 13.63 dB, respectively, in the fascia group and 20.63 dB and 12.60 dB respectively in the cartilage group. There was no statistical significant difference, in the graft taken up or postoperative audiological gain between the two groups. In this study, they pointed out their limitation as very low sample size, so interpreted with caution [31]. In 2014 Rajeev Reddy conducted “study of results. of cartilage-perichondrium vs temporalis fascia grafting in chronic supplicative otitis media.” temporalis fascia group showed a good neotympanum about 60 patients (84.5%), 7 patient (9.85%) had reperforation and 5 (7.04%) had retraction pockets. About 60 (98.6%) Patients showed a healed Tympanic membrane and only 1 (1.63%) had reperforations in tragal cartilage perichordium group. Patients with temporalis fascia graft showed an AB gap of less than 10 dB in 49 (82%) patients and more than 10 dB in 11 (18%) patients. AB gap closure with tragal cartilage
perichondrium was less than 10 dB in 45 (78%) patients and more than 10 dB in 13 patients (12%). “Tragal cartilage perichondrium (<0.5 mm) seems to be an ideal graft material for tympanic membrane, in terms of postoperative healing and acoustic properties” [32].

In the study by Kazikdas et al, 2007 reported graft acceptance rate about 97.5% with palisade cartilage tympanoplasty, for the anatomical closure of subtotal perforations compare with 75% of the fascia group. Regarding acoustic gain, they found no statistical difference between cartilage and fascia groups [33].

Zahnert et al. (13) reported that the ideal acoustic thickness of cartilage should be approximately 0.5 mm instead of full thickness of 0.7 to 1 mm to achieve better audiological outcome [34].

Yakup Yegín et al between 2009 to 2014 did comparative study of temporalis muscle fascia and full-thickness cartilage grafts in type 1 tympanoplasty in 247 patients. In the cartilage tympanoplasty patients show higher graft take rate 91.3% than fascia tympanoplasty patient 68.9% with p<0.001, but audiological gain are not statistically significant, in with full thickness cartilage tympanoplasty compare to fascia [35].

M M KHAN, S R PARAB conduct study on sliced cartilage, and temporalis fascia myringoplasty. Period of study 2005-2008. On four year follow up anatomic closure of perforation 97.5%. in cartilage group, and 82.63% in fascia group. Hearing gain comparable on both group (average AirBone gap 7.10 dB in cartilage vs 8.05 dB in other group) [36].

In 2010 M. Mohsen, Wafaie Abdelaziz, M. Elsherif M. Salama Bakr did study at ENT Dept. AL-Azhar university. They got 95% of tympanic membrane closure in cartilage as compared to 90% fascia group. Audiological gain in cartilage group was 12.4±6.4 dB, and 14.8±9.9 dB in fascia group with no significant statistical difference between the two groups [37].

In 2016 Rahul K. Jaiswal did study, in Nepal “Comparison of outcomes of palisade cartilage with temporalis fascia following tympanoplasty”. 40 His study shows closure of AB gap within 30 dB was achieved in 71.87% of temporalis fascia group and 88.89% in palisade cartilage group and anatomical closure, of perforation was comparable in both group [38].

In 2015 Sohil Vadiya et al study conducted in Gujarat to compare the outcome of cartilage shield tympanoplasty with TM fascia type 1 tympanoplasty in cartilage group.

Graft uptake about 98.46% as compare to 89.61 of TM fascia group. In this study graft uptake rate. show statistical significant in both group. And audiological improvement in both group almost equal except at 8 kHz frequency where improvement in TM fascia group than cartilage group [39].

Uzun et al. achieved 100%. graft up, take with type 1 palisade – cartilage grafting, whereas 84.2% only success rate was observed in temporalis fascia type 1 tympanoplasty [40].

In Korea LEE HY et al conducted, a study of change of middle ear mechanics after sliced cartilage type 1 tympanoplasty and compare with fascia tympanoplasty.43 Period of study. 2002 to 2005 and followed up after 6 month. They founded there was no statistical significant between two group in impedance audiometry [41].

Cabra et al did at randomized. controlled trial between 1997 to 2002 in general hospital. They allocated 64 patient to cartilage group. And 59 patient into fascia temporals, group. first they analyze outcome after 24 month anatomical, success was 82.26% (51 of 64) in cartilage. tympanoplasty and 64.41% (38 of 58) in TM fascia group. The functional; outcome comparable. between both 2 groups [42].

Juveria Majeed, Naveed Ahamed, 2016 reported the patients with tubotympanic type of chronic suppurative otitis media were selected from all patients attending to the ENT department of Gandhi Hospital, Secunderabad between July 2014 to March 2016. This study includes 60 patients out of which 30 were subjected to myringoplasty with temporalis fascia and remaining 30 to myringoplasty with tragal perichondrium. In all patients, discharging ears and associated nasal pathologies were excluded. The comparative study was done on following parameters- graft uptake, audiological outcome, donor site complications and any late complications such as reperforation, retraction, worsening of hearing and adhesions. Our study included a follow up of post operative cases for 1 year 8 months. Out of 60 cases operated, 11 cases didn’t come for follow up. Hence they were excluded. The remaining 49 cases were 25 temporalis fascia group and 24 tragal cartilage group. The youngest patient in our group was 13 years while the oldest was 56 years old. The overall male: female ratio was 27:22. The patients who underwent temporalis fascia grafting, 86.73% had a gain of 15dB while 13.7% had a gain of > 15 dB. Of the patients underwent tragal perichondrium grafting 50% had a gain of 15 dB while 10% had a gain of >15dB. The graft uptake rate was 85.7% for both temporalis fascia as well as tragal perichondrium.4% of the patients of the temporalis fascia group had seroma and 4% had persistantpain. Residual perforation was seen in 3 patients of temporalis fascia group and 4 patients of tragal perichondrium group. 1 case of each group showed canal stenosis [43].

Methodology

Source of data

A sample size of in age group of 18 to 60 years in CSOM cases were selected for the study. Duration of study 2 years i.e. from a total of 100 patients in age group of 18 to 60 years with CSOM tubo tympanic disease who underwent Type 1 tympanoplasty by underlay technique in the Department of ENT, Narayana Medical College &
Hospital were studied in the period of two years. (Between October 2017 to September 2019).

**Inclusion criteria**

1. Patient with CSOM (Tubotympanic) with a hearing loss upto 45 dB
2. Patient in the age group of 17 — 60 years of either sex were selected for the study.

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Patients with active discharge.
2. Patients with attic disease.
3. Patients with systemic diseases like diabetest Hyper tension, Ischemic Heart disease.

All the patients who presented with symptoms and signs suggesting tubotympanic type CSOM were submitted to an assessment protocol, based on a guided history taking, specific physical exam (otoscopy), and subjected to audiogram. During history taking, the patients were questioned about disease onset, and if they had undergone previous otologic surgeries.

A detailed proforma was filled for each patient with regard to history, clinical examination, investigations, surgical procedures, postoperative period & follow up visits. Audiological evaluation (pure tone audiometry) done preoperatively, 1 month & 3 months after surgery and the results were tabulated.

Pure tone threshold audiometry has become the standard behavioral procedure for describing audiometry sensitivity. Therefore pure tone audiometry had been used for assessment of hearing level in this study.

The Audiometer (Elcon 3N3 Multi) used in this study was manual.

**Figure 12: Audiometer (Elcon 3N3 Multi)**

The test was performed in acoustically treated room with no ambience noise the audiometry was done following standard protocol. Patient was explained about the procedure before audiometry and adequate time was taken for testing. The technique followed was Carhart & Jerger’s technique which is mostly used (technique of 5 up and 10 down method).

For calculation of average of hearing loss (air conduction threshold) three frequencies were selected. They were 500 Hz, 1000Hz and 2000Hz. These frequencies were selected because they represent speech frequency range and elevation of threshold in these frequencies will be clinically significant.

All surgeries were performed under local or general anesthesia using a microscope with a lens of 250mm. In most of the cases postauricular approach was used. In cases where the external acoustic meatus was wide and the perforation borders were visible endaural or transcanal approach was performed. Temporalis fascias, Tragal perichondrium, were taken as graft materials for cases and underlay grafting done in all cases.

All the patients were followed after surgery every week for 1 month but the puretone audiograms were done at 1 month and 3 month postoperatively

**Graft materials used for the procedure were**

Autologous temporalis fascia

Autologous tragal perichondrium

**Temporalis fascia:**

Temporalis fascia was obtained during the surgical procedure. In cases using post-auricular incision & endaural incision as shown in the figure 16 & 17 respectively. The same incision was extended to harvest the temporalis fascia. In transcanal surgeries, a separate transverse incision was placed above the pinna on the temporal region to obtain a graft from temporalis fascia or over tragus (Figure 18) to obtain perichondrium and cartilage. After obtaining the graft, it was spread on to a graft spreader and teased to remove excessive muscle fibres; fat and fibrous tissues so that it appears like parchment when dry (Figure 19). The temporalis fascia graft is seen after one month and three months of tympanoplasty as shown in figure 20 and 21 respectively.

**Autologous tragal perichondrium:** It was obtained during the surgical procedure from the tragal cartilage is as shown in the figure.
Figure 13: Tympanoplasty instrument set

Figure 14: Post aural incision
Figure 15: Endaural incision

Figure 16: Transcanal approach with tragal perichondrium as graft

Figure 17: Prepared temporalis fascia
Figure 18: Tragal perichondrium

Figure 19: Temporalis fascia graft-1 month post tympanoplasty
5. Results and Observations

In our series we studied 100 ears (100 patients) of type 1 Tympanoplasties. The age and sex incidence and various factors influencing the audiological benefit in a successful type 1 tympanoplasty were analysed after 1 month and 3 months and the results were analysed based on the observations of the second follow up Pure tone audiograms (after 6 months).

### Table 1: Age distribution of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients under 15 years of age were not included in this study. In this study maximum numbers of patients were seen in the age group of 21-30 years (42%).

In our series, 18 tympanoplasties were performed in patients aged over 40 years. The average audiological gain was found to be lower in these patients (9.45 dB) compared to that of the younger age group 82 (9.67 dB).

### Table 2: Age factor and audiological improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Average audiological gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-40 years</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9.67 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 40 years</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.45 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Sex distribution of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study, the sex distributions among patients were males 51 (51%) than females 49 (49%).
In our study of 100 cases, 97 (97%) cases showed audiological improvement. There is no big difference of average audiological gain in rest of the cases.

The audiological improvement (average audiological gain) is more with temporalis fascia (10.07 dB) when compared to tragal perichondrium (9.28 dB).

Statistical analysis has been done by Annova test using IBM SPSS Version 22.0. P values which are less than 0.05 are considered as statistical significant.
6. Discussion

Type I tympanoplasty is a surgical procedure in which the reconstruction procedure is limited to the repair of tympanic membrane perforation alone. Implicit definition is that the ossicular chain is intact and mobile, and that there is no middle ear disease such as infected mucosa or in growth of skin [1]. The present study describes various parameters in assessing the hearing improvement after tympanoplasty. Post operative audiological evaluations were done after 1 month and 3 months following type I tympanoplasty.

Patients under 15 years of age are not included in this study. In this study maximum numbers of patients are seen in the age group of 21-30 years (42%). This is in contrast to the study conducted by Ortegren, where the maximum number of the patients are in the age group of >40 years (42.5%) [44].

All the studies showed 15 – 40 yrs of age as the most common group and are correlating with the present study. The reason behind this may be that this is the socially active and health conscious age group.

In our study of 100 cases, 97 (97 %) cases showed audiological improvement. There is no big difference of average audiological gain in rest of the cases.

The most likely explanation for lack of complete success from a hearing stand point is that in most cases of CSOM, even though ossicular chain may appear normal, there is some factor of scar tissue that prevents total restoration of hearing (Sheehy et al 1980). Sheehy has reported a loss of BC of 10dB or more at 2K or 4K in 3% of cases, probably due to trauma to the ossicular chain [52].

Gibb & Klat (1982) have also found that a persistent conductive hearing loss can result from underlay technique. If the handle of malleus is severely retracted especially if it is touching or adherent to the promontory, difficulties arise due to possible reduction in the depth of the tympanic cavity when the graft is placed medial to the malleus handle. To overcome this problem, they suggested that one could leave the malleus in its original retracted position and a split graft be pulled upon each size of

Table 9: Age Incidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage of patients</th>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, the audiological benefit observed more in males could be an incidental finding as no reason could be attributed to this observation.

Table 10: Age distribution in different studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year of study</th>
<th>Total no. of cases</th>
<th>Commonest age group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Saha A K et al [45]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nagle Rashmi [48]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>11-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>11-40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Age factor and audiological improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Audiological benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-40 years</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9.67 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 40 years</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.45 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our series, 18 tympanoplasties were performed in patients aged over 40 years. The audiological benefit was found to be lower in these patients (9.45 dB) compared to that of the younger age group (9.67 dB).

Ortegren has reported that there is a limit at 40 years beyond which hearing results are markedly worse than in younger cases. In Ortegren’s study, the hearing improvement in the various groups below 40 years was obviously very much alike. Hearing results were worse in the above 40 years age group, compared to young age group. Vartiainen10 et al found that results in elderly patients were found to be as good as in younger patients [44].

Table 12: Sex incidence and audiological benefit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Audiological benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>9.48 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>9.83 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: Graft taking time and audiological benefit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (in weeks)</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Audiological benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>9.61 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.93 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14: Audiological assessment in tympanoplasty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hearing results</th>
<th>Audiological benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>97 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100 (100.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15: Comparison of hearing improvement in various studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Hearing improvement (% of cases)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Saleem et al [50]</td>
<td>85.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Karela et al [51]</td>
<td>91.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fukuchi et al [46]</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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malleus handle and tucked behind its upper part or amputate 2-3mm from the tip of handle [53].

Vartiainen and Nauutinene (1993) in their series had 11 audiological failures. The cause of persistent hearing loss was found to be due to fixation or erosion of ossicles overlooked by the surgeon [54].

Saeed Ghamdi et al 1994 reported a permanent hearing loss in 3% of the patients. Ghamdi also reported that one patient developed a profound SN hearing loss in the operated ear in his study. The unchanged audiological status in tympanoplasty can be explained by disorders that can interfere with the ventilatory or conducting function of the middle ear viz. tympanosclerosis, stiffness of ossicles and Eustachian tube dysfunction that have not been dealt during the surgery (Rance. W. Rancy in 1995) [55].

Alan G Gibb proposed the following reasons for worsening of hearing loss. They stated that a conductive loss can result from damage to the ossicular chain.

Sensorineural hearing loss appearing for the first time post operatively generally iatrogenic and the result of some technical error at operation. Thus it is essential to exercise extreme care throughout the operation and avoid undue commotion of ossicular chain which might cause cochlear damage [53].

If underlay grafting is employed, special care should be taken to avoid touching the incus or stapes when scarifying the posterol superior are of the tympanic membrane remnant. If due care is not taken in this procedure it is possible to hook up the ossicles or incudostapedial joint with a scraper. It is also important to exercise extreme care when taking the graft into position in this area, as at this stage of operation, the ossicles are often obscured by the graft itself. In either event the stapes may be shaken and if the trauma is severe, the foot plate may even be cracked, sever or even total SN deafness may result.

The introduction of toxic solutions in the middle ear at the time of operation is another possible cause of SN deafness as previously reported by Alan G. Gibb et al [53].

Valsalva maneuver may add some audiological benefit when effectively incorporated which is supported by Seung Hyo Choi et al. The criteria of successful myringoplasty and tympanoplasty-1 surgery was a positive graft take and followed by improvement in hearing [56].

**Table 16: Effect of different grafts on audiological improvement in Type I tympanoplasty**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ear discharge</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Average audiological gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporalis fascia</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tragal perichondrium</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>9.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average audiological gain is more with temporalis fascia (10.07) in the present study. Jain K, Pandey A, Gupta S, Rahul, 2016, did a clinical Study on hearing outcome after type1 tympanoplasty using temporalis fascia as graft in 45 patients. They observed a mean hearing gain of 11.14 dB in their study [57].

According to study done by Lee et al., 2012, in a retrospective analysis of 40 patients with CSOM, compared the anatomical and audiological results of type I tympanoplasty using fascia temporalis, cartilage tissue, and cartilage palisade. No statistically significant differences were observed between the three groups regarding the closure of tympanic membrane perforations. Regarding auditory improvement, the cartilage palisade technique showed slightly poorer results than the others [58].

Ortegren during the period 1957 to 1961 did a comparison study of 87 patients of tympanoplasty-1 using temporalis fascia and canal skin graft. The relation of age on hearing and hearing results, importance of tubal function and causes of failures were discussed [44].

Palva et al in 1969 reported that they achieved a practically useful hearing (0 to 40 dB, ISO standard) in 93% of cases postoperatively out of 160 myringoplasties. They used an operative method termed as ‘swing door myringoplasty’ [59].

In 1973, Glasscock analysed 237 myringoplasties cases using temporalis fascia. He found that the results were better using the underlay technique [60].

P. Packer et al, in 1982 compared preoperative and postoperative hearing evaluation in 604 patients. He also compared the different techniques and different graft materials used in his series [61].

G.S. Bawa et al in 1987 studied 50 cases of myringoplasties and showed a postoperative hearing improvement in 74% patients [62].

Sato H, Nakamura H, Honjo I, Hayashi M. in 1990 examined about the Prognostic value of preoperative Eustachian tube function in 77 ears, subjected to type 1 tympanoplasty. Eustachian tube function was evaluated by positive and negative pressure equalization tests, and clearance test and found that positive pressure equalization and clearance tests of the tube were correlated with the outcome of ear surgery, although the negative pressure equalization test had no correlation with it and concluded that the preoperative tubal function test including positive pressure test and clearance test are useful for predicting the prognosis of ear surgery [63].

Vartiainen et al in 1993 did a follow up study 404 cases of myringoplasties. In audiological failures the cause of persistent conductive hearing loss was found to be due to fixation or erosion of ossicles overlooked by the surgeon [64].

Kotecha B et al in 1999 presented a prospective audit study of myringoplasty,73 surgeons participated in this study and they got data from 1070 individual patients. Where hearing loss was the main indication for surgery, hearing improvement was seen in 67% [65].
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Mak D et al in 2000 January published a paper on a field assessment of the surgical outcome in middle ear disease in remote aboriginal Australia during the period 1986 to 1995. Success was defined by an intact tympanic membrane and air-bone gap of 25 dB at 6 month follow up after operation. A success rate of 53% was observed. Successful outcomes were more likely in adults and children aged more than 10 years [66].

Elluru RG, Dhandra R, Neely JG, Goebel JA. in 2001 studied about the efficacy and safety of anterior subannular tympanostomy in 38 consecutive patients with a diagnosis of Eustachian tube dysfunction, adhesive otitis media, or chronic otitis media with a perforation who underwent a tympanoplasty and concluded that Anterior subannular tympanostomy is a safe and effective method for long-term middle ear ventilation in patients with chronic eustachian tube dysfunction [67].

Nepal A, Bhandary S, Mishra SC, Singh I, Kumar P. in 2003-04 studied 100 cases with dry, clean central tympanic membrane perforations due to various causes like chronic suppurrative otitis media-tubotympanic, post acute suppurrative otitis media residual perforations or simple traumatic perforations with conductive hearing loss and without pre existing hearing loss were clinicocaudiologically evaluated and analyzed. The study concluded that hearing loss was found to be directly proportional to the size of perforation irrespective of their cause. Overall, perforations involving posteriorinferior quadrant were found to have maximum hearing loss [68].

Gierek T, Slaska-Kaspera A et al.2004, compared the audiological results with temporals fascia and tragal perichondrium and they concluded no statistically significant difference between the two [69].

Yetiser S, Hidir Y, Karatas E, Karapinar U. conducted a study on 30 patients who underwent ossicular chain reconstruction between 1990 and 2005, concluded that the success of the surgery was dictated by the location and the extent of tympanosclerotic involvement [70].

Mehta RP, Rosowski JJ, Voss SE, O’Neil E, Merchant SN. in 2006 studied patients with tympanic membrane perforations without other middle-ear disease. They concluded that the conductive hearing loss resulting from a tympanic membrane perforation is frequency-dependent, with the largest losses occurring at the lowest sound frequencies; increases as size of the perforation increases; varies inversely with volume of the middle ear and mastoid air space (losses are larger in ears with small volumes); and does not vary appreciably with location of the perforation. Effects of location, if any, are small [71].

Gierek T, Slaska-Kaspera A, Majzeli K, Klimeczak-Golab L. in 2006 published a study that aimed to establish through a systemic review what is the best technique to treat tympanic membrane perforations and concluded that there is no technique considered sure for every perforation neither technique definitive for type of perforation [69].

Haruo Takahashia, Hiroaki Satob, Hajime Nakamurac, Yasushi Naitod, Hiroshi Umekia. In 2007 examined the correlation between the middle-ear pressure regulation functions including active eustachian tube (ET) functions and transmucosal gas exchange function, and outcome of tympanoplasty and concluded that impairment of all the middle-ear pressure-regulation functions was likely to cause poor outcome of tympanoplasty, and also allowed us to confirm that ears with mechanically obstructed ETs were contraindicated for tympanoplasty. Therefore, assessment of mastoid condition is important as well as the ET function before tympanoplasty [72].

H. Vijayendra, C. J. Ittop and R. Sangeth. In 2008 found that canaloplasty is an integral part of tympanoplasty and concluded that Canaloplasty gives 9 dB gain in hearing compared to without canaloplasty and gives better visualization, better graft placemen and better post-operative care [73].

Matsuda Y, Kurita T, Ueda Y, Ito S, Nakashima T. in 2009 found significant correlation between the degree of sound conduction disturbance and the perforation area; this correlation was greater at low frequencies following a traumatic perforation [74].

Ibekwe TS, Nwaogwu Og, Ijaduola TG, in 2009 studied the relationship between the location of perforation on TM and hearing loss and concluded that the location of perforation on the tympanic membrane (TM) has no effect on the magnitude of hearing loss in acute TM perforations while it is significant in chronic ones [75].

Warren Y. Adkins, M.D., Benjamin White, M.D.in 2009 studied about Type I tympanoplasties utilizing an underlay technique with temporals fascia performed at the Medical University associated hospitals over a 5-year period were reviewed. In their study 40 adults were analyzed for influencing factors. The overall success rate was 89% and concluded that the age of the patient, the length of time the ear had been dry, and the presence of infection at the time of surgery had no influence on the success rate [76].

Kazim Bozdenir et al., 2011, in their comparative study, Tympanoplasty with island cartilage graft versus Temporals fascia concluded that postoperatively, the pure tone averages and air-bone gap closure were better with temporals fascia compared to cartilage grafting [77].

Rasha A, Ahmed SAO, 2015, in their study on outcome of hearing improvement in myringoplasty of 51 Sudanese Patients concluded that the temporals fascia graft had better hearing threshold improvement [78].

Abhay Kumar, Prabhu Narayan, Prem Narain, Jaypal Singh, Prateek Kumar Porwal, Sanjay Sharma, Daya Shankar in 2018 did a comparative study between result of Temporals muscle fascia and tragal cartilage perichondrium as a graft material in Type 1 tympanoplasty in 60 patients, dividing them into two groups. They concluded that in comparison to tragal cartilage perichondrium, tympanoplasty with temporals fascia had better mean air conduction values [79].
Singh SP, Nagi RS, Singh J, 2018, did a comparative study on evaluation of audiological and graft uptake results of reinforced sliced cartilage versus temporalis muscle fascia graft in type I tympanoplasty. They concluded that sliced cartilage reinforced with temporalis fascia is a reliable technique for tympanoplasty, especially in large perforations with better graft uptake rates compared to temporalis fascia without affecting audiometric results [80].

7. Conclusion

In our study we concluded both temporalis fascia and tragal perichondrium are suitable graft materials for tympanoplasty. Graft uptake was superior with temporalis fascia, while hearing improvement was better with tragal perichondrium, although the results were not statistically significant.

Tragal perichondrial graft is an effective grafting material used for tympanoplasty due to its good qualities. Graft success rate was 97% in this study, which signifies yield of tragal perichondrial graft tympanoplasty.

Thus, to conclude, both temporalis fascia and tragal perichondrium are acceptable graft materials for successful closure of tympanic membrane perforations. The overall graft uptake appeared to be better with temporalis fascia, while the hearing improvement was better with tragal - perichondrium. However, none of the results were statistically significant.
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