

Assessment of Balance in Children with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Aya E. El-Komy¹, Hamada E. Ayoub², Khaled A. Olama³ and Hossam A. ElAshtokhy⁴

¹Physical Therapist, El-Minshawy General Hospital, Egypt

²Lecturer, department of Pediatric Physical Therapy, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University. Egypt

³Professor, department of Pediatric Physical Therapy, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University. Egypt

⁴Consultant, department of Hematology, Tanta Cancer Institution. Egypt

Abstract: ***Purpose:** This study was conducted to assess the balance in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia within a year from termination of chemotherapy. **Methods:** Six children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia participated in the study, their ages between 5 to 11 years, being assessed by Humac balance system and their balance scores were compared with thirty age and gender matched normal children. **Results:** There was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in balance scores in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia while open eyes or closed eyes along with no significant difference between groups. **Conclusion:** From the obtained results of the study it can be concluded that children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia have no balance affection when compared with age and gender matched children.*

Keywords: Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Chemotherapy, Balance, Humac balance system

1. Introduction

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is a heterogeneous hematologic disease, it is the most common form of leukemia in childhood⁽¹⁾ and it represents about 75% to 80% of acute leukemia in it⁽²⁾, leukemia in general considered the most common malignancy in childhood as it accounts for 29% of all childhood cancer⁽³⁾, it affects about 4 to 5 children in each 100,000⁽⁴⁾. ALL has a peak incidence of 2 to 4 years of age^(5,6), childhood cure rates have improved to over 80% with survival rates up to 5 years event-free^(7,8), and this mainly due to recent researches and its contribution to understanding pathogenesis and molecular genetics of the disease and developing effective treatments to it⁽⁹⁾.

Chemotherapy is considered the first line of treatment in oncology⁽¹⁰⁾, treatment of ALL in children takes within 2 - 3 years⁽¹¹⁾, the standard chemotherapy used to treat ALL patients like Vincristine, methotrexate, and dexamethasone causes side effects like peripheral neuropathy mainly, and problems involving cognition and behavior⁽¹²⁾.

The term chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) has been linked to commonly used chemotherapeutic agents containing vinca alkaloids like vincristin and platinum compound like oxaliplatin⁽¹³⁾, it has been observed among 78% of ALL children in their first year of therapy and among 34% of cancer survivors 2 - 3 years post therapy⁽¹⁴⁾.

Chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy is related to the intensity of treatment and dosage of chemotherapy which could affect the severity of its symptoms⁽¹⁵⁾, it could result in serious problems like sensory changes and numbness, in case the sensory nerves were affected, muscle weakness and in-coordination in case the affected were the motor nerves^(15,16).

In addition to the psychological problems the patient experience as a result of CIPN, the patient also experience physical problems like injuries, fear of fall results from lack of sensory perception and muscle power⁽¹⁷⁾.

Balance is defined as the ability to maintain the line of gravity within the base of support.⁽¹⁸⁾ It is also the ability to activate certain muscles with certain amplitude and velocity to control movement and prevent falling down⁽¹⁹⁾.

Balance maintenance depends on the input provided from three systems, visual, vestibular and somatosensory systems giving information about body position in space, forces affecting it, acceleration and the surrounding environment; it also depends on motor output affecting muscle power and coordination.^(20,21)

Balance can be divided into two components, static and dynamic balance⁽²¹⁾ static balance is the ability to control postural sway and maintaining upright posture within the base of support^(18,23), while dynamic balance can be defined as the ability to perform certain task while preserving the stable position.⁽²¹⁾

Static and dynamic balance are two critical factors in achievement of independent movement and performance of daily living activities like sitting, standing, walking and running, transition between postures, maintaining equilibrium after certain movement and for learning fundamental movement skills such as reaching and leaning forward or backwards^(24,25,27), Inappropriate balance and postural control could passively affect the child's daily living activities⁽²⁸⁾.

Balance was assessed in this study using a computerized dynamic posturography performed on the Humac Balance Board (Computer Sports Medicine, Inc., Stoughton, MA) using sensors found in the force platform for measuring

multi-directional forces produced by the body movement⁽²⁵⁾, it is based on the Wii balance board technology, and it was validated in comparison with the conventional force plate⁽²⁹⁾, it is considered as an objective, validated and portable device which is used not only as a diagnostic tool but also as a training program for balance.⁽³⁰⁾

So, the aim of this study was to assess balance in children suffered from acute lymphoblastic leukemia after the termination of their treatment program and in their first year of follow up.

2. Subject, Material and Methods

Subjects

Six Children (3 boys and 3 girls) suffered from ALL within their first year of follow up after terminating their medical program participated in this study aged from 5 to 11 years, they were recruited from Tanta cancer institute, and their results were compared with thirty normal age and gender matched children (15 boys and 15 girls), ALL children having any visual or auditory problems were excluded from the study, also ALL children who had any surgical interference in their lower extremities were excluded from the study.

This study was approved from the ethics committee, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University with number: P.T.REC/012/001712. After a clear explanation of the study procedure a consent form was signed and obtained from the children parents or caregivers.

Material for evaluation

The Humac balance system was utilized to assess Sensory integration of balance using the modified Clinical Test of Sensory Integration of Balance (mCTSIB) in the conditions of eyes opened and eyes closed on the platform and it was proved to have a great inter rater and test-retest reliability.^(25, 26)

Procedure

Each child in both groups was instructed to assume stride standing on the force platform (placed 3 feet from the wall) barefooted with arms beside him, after recording the position of each foot on the platform (as the platform is divided into vertical and horizontal lines for determining foot position from the position of medial malleolus, and also its angle).

The test is started with instructing the child to look on a target on the wall placed at the level of his eyes for 30 second (in the condition of eyes open), and then he / she was instructed to close his/her eyes (in the condition of eyes closed) for 30 second, each condition was repeated for 3 times and the overall stability record was measured from each trial and the mean value was calculated.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS for windows, version 22 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). The current test involved two independent variables. The first one was the (tested group); between subject factors which had two levels (group A represent control group which included completely

normal children matching in age and gender with group B., and group B represent study group which included children suffered from ALL in their first year of follow up after terminating their medical program. The second one was the (conditions); within subject factor which had two levels (open eye, closed eye). In addition, this test involved one tested dependent variables (mCTSIB score). There were no outliers, as assessed by boxplot. The data was normally distributed, as assessed by Shapiro-Wilk's test of normality ($p > .05$). There was homogeneity of variances ($p > .05$) and covariances ($p > .05$), as assessed by Levene's test of homogeneity of variances and Box's M test, respectively. Accordingly, mixed design univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc comparisons was used to compare the tested dependent variable within and between the two groups at two conditions (open-and closed-eye). The ANOVA was conducted with the initial alpha level set at 0.05.

3. Results

Demographic data

As shown in table (1), there were no statistically significant differences ($P > 0.05$) between subjects in both groups concerning age.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of both groups:

	Group A	Group B	Comparison	
	Mean \pm SD	Mean \pm SD	t-value	P-value
Age (years)	8 \pm 2.03	7.5 \pm 2.34	0.532	0.598

SD: standard deviation, P: probability

As illustrated in table (2), the main effect of condition showed no statistically significant difference in mean mCTSIB score at the different conditions, ($F = 0.636$, $p < 0.431$), partial $\eta^2 = .019$. The main effect of tested group showed that there was no statistically significant difference in mean mCTSIB score between both groups $F = 1.572$, $p = .219$, partial $\eta^2 = .047$. In the same context, the multiple pairwise comparison tests revealed that there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in mCTSIB score in the open eye condition compared with the closed eye in both groups. Regarding between subject effects multiple pairwise comparisons revealed that there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in mCTSIB score when comparing group A with group B at both conditions (open and closed eye).

Table 2: Mean \pm SD of mCTSIB scores while eye open and closed of both groups

mCTSIB Score	Means \pm SD	Means \pm SD	P- value
	Open eye	Closed eye	
Group A	87.38 \pm 5.99	85.52 \pm 5.1	0.098
Group B	81.22 \pm 13.63	85.16 \pm 3.74	0.105
P- value	0.085	0.872	

*Significant level is set at alpha level < 0.05

4. Discussion

This study was designed to assess the balance in ALL survivors within a year from termination of chemotherapy, as ALL represents the most common malignancy in childhood and recently there was improvement in the

survival rates among patients, so more attention had to be taken to study the side effects of treatment among survivors.⁽³⁰⁾

This comes in agreement with the *Varedi et al.*⁽³⁰⁾, who stated that "Balance is one of the least studied problems in cancer patients" and their systematic review study revealed that; The affection of balance in ALL children is somehow equivocal as some studies showed significant balance affection among patients and other studies revealed little or no balance affection.

The results of this study revealed that there was no significant difference in mCTSIB scores between children with ALL and normal children.

The results obtained by this study may be due to that children with ALL enrolled in this study may have mild or no peripheral neuropathy resulting in minimal or no balance affection in these children.

This comes in agreement with *Gilchrist, 2012*⁽¹⁵⁾ who reported that chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) is related to the intensity of treatment and dosage of chemotherapy which could affect the severity of its symptoms.

The results of the study may be due to the diminished or vanished effect of chemotherapy on peripheral nerves integrity and subsequent muscle weakness and incoordination.

This comes in agreement with *Messelink, 1999*⁽³²⁾ who studied the motor skills in ALL children aged 4 to 12 years, they assessed them through 5 points of therapy t1: one week before therapy, t2: one week after 4th dose and end of induction phase, t3: one week before fifth dose, t4: one week after the 8th dose and t5: 6 month after the 8th dose, and found that their balance was affected after the end of induction phase but this affection diminished after the re-induction phase.

Also the results of the study comes in agreement with *De luca et al., 2013*⁽³³⁾ who found that there was no significant affection in balance when assessed in three groups of ALL children; within 1 year after therapy, within 1 – 2 years after therapy and from 25 to 60 month after therapy.

The results of this study disagrees with *Wright et al. 1998*⁽³⁴⁾ who used the Bruininks- Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (BOTMP) to study motor performance among survivors after 1 year of therapy aged from 5 to 14 years and the study group showed lower performance concerning balance than the healthy group.

5. Conclusion

From the obtained results regarding balance assessment in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia compared with age and gender matched normal children, there was no significant difference in balance scores between children with ALL and normal children., in other words the children

with ALL showed no deviation of their results from the normal children reflecting no balance problem.

These results could be affected by the limitations concerning the sample size utilized in this study , also despite the positive point concluded that the survivors balance is good , this is not enough evidence to claim that the chemotherapeutic agents have no direct impact on balance as this could occur during the treatment period and recovers gradually after its termination .

So another study with larger sample size and study including patients within their treatment period are recommended for further investigations and more detailed pictures concerning balance status in patients and survivors.

6. Declaration of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] **Brown PA., Advani A., Aoun P., Stefan KP., Bhatnagar B., Boyer MW and Gregory K. (2017).** NCCN guidelines insights: acute lymphoblastic leukemia, version 5.2017. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, 15(9), 1091-1102.
- [2] **Onciu M. (2009).** Acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Hematology / oncology clinics of North America*, 23(4), 655-674.
- [3] **Siegel RL., Miller KD., Fedewa SA., Ahnen DJ., Meester RG., Barzi A. and Jemal A. (2017).** Colorectal cancer statistics, 2017. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 67(3), 177-193.
- [4] **Jabbour E., O'brien S., Konopleva M. and Kantarjian, H. (2015).** New insights into the pathophysiology and therapy of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer*, 121(15), 2517-2528.
- [5] **Wang R., Wiemels JL., Metayer C., Morimoto L., Francis SS., and Kadan-Lottick N. (2017).** Cesarean Section and Risk of Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in a Population-Based, Record-Linkage Study in California. *American journal of epidemiology*, 185(2), 96-105.
- [6] **Katz AJ., Chia VM., Schoonen WM., and Kelsh MA. (2015).** Acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an assessment of international incidence, survival, and disease burden. *Cancer Causes & Control*, 26(11), 1627-1642.
- [7] **Ouimet M., Drouin S., Lajoie M., Caron M., Gioia R., and Sinnett D. (2017).** A childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia-specific lncRNA implicated in prednisolone resistance, cell proliferation, and migration. *Oncotarget*, 8(5), 7477.
- [8] **Mullighan CG., Su X., Zhang J., Radtke I., Phillips LA., Miller CB. and Harvey, RC. (2009):** Deletion of IKZF1 and prognosis in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 360(5), 470-480.
- [9] **Pui CH., Robison LL. And Look AT. (2008):** Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *The Lancet*, 371(9617), 1030-1043.

- [10] **Driessen CM., Kleine-Bolt, KM., Vingerhoets AJ., Mols F., and Vreugdenhil G. (2012):** Assessing the impact of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neurotoxicity on the quality of life of cancer patients. *Supportive care in cancer*, 20(4), 877-881.
- [11] **Ramchandren S., Leonard M., Mody RJ., Donohue JE., Moyer J., Hutchinson R., and Gurney, JG. (2009):** Peripheral neuropathy in survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Journal of the Peripheral Nervous System*, 14(3), 184-189.
- [12] **Zheng DJ., Lu X., Schore RJ., Balsamo L., Devidas M., Winick NJ., and Hunger SP. (2017):** Longitudinal analysis of quality of life outcomes in children during treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A report from the Children's Oncology Group AALL0932 trial. *Cancer*, 124 (3), 571-579.
- [13] **Kandula T., Park SB., Cohn RJ., Krishnan, AV., and Farrar, MA. (2016):** Pediatric chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy: A systematic review of current knowledge. *Cancer treatment reviews*, 50, 118-128.
- [14] **Gilchrist LS., Tanner LR., and Ness KK. (2017):** Short-term recovery of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy after treatment for pediatric non-CNS cancer. *Pediatric blood & cancer*, 64(1), 180-187.
- [15] **Gilchrist L. (2012):** Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in pediatric cancer patients. *Seminars in pediatric neurology*, 19 (1), 9-17.
- [16] **Wright MJ., Twose DM., and Gorter, JW. (2017):** Gait Characteristics of Children and Youth With Chemotherapy Induced Peripheral Neuropathy Following Treatment for Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Gait & Posture*, 58; 139-145.
- [17] **Tanay MA., Armes, J., and Ream, E. (2017):** The experience of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in adult cancer patients: a qualitative thematic synthesis. *European journal of cancer care*, 26(5), e12443.
- [18] **Gürkan, AC., Demirel H., Demir M., Atmaca ES., Bozöyük G., and Dane, S. (2016):** Effects of long-term training program on static and dynamic balance in young subjects. *Clinical & Investigative Medicine*, 39(6), 31-33.
- [19] **Sherrington C., Canning C., Dean C., Allen N., and Blackman, K. (2008).** Weight bearing Exercise for Better Balance (WEBB)- A challenging, safe, evidence based physiotherapy program for older people. *Verfügbarunter: http://www.webb.org.au/attachments/File/WEBB_draft_19.pdf [20.06. 2013]*.
- [20] **Smalley A., White SC., and Burkard, R. (2018).** The effect of augmented somatosensory feedback on standing postural sway. *Gait & posture*, 60, 76-80.
- [21] **Bressel E., Yonker JC., Kras J., and Heath EM. (2007):** Comparison of static and dynamic balance in female collegiate soccer, basketball, and gymnastics athletes. *Journal of athletic training*, 42(1), 42-46.
- [22] **Carter, KA. (2013).** *The Effect of Taekwondo Training on Strength and Balance of Young Adults with Down syndrome* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Georgia).
- [23] **Mitsiou M., Giagazoglou P., Sidiropoulou M., Kotsikas G., Tsimaras V., and Fotiadou, E. (2016).** Static Balance Ability in Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder. *European Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, (1), 17-23.
- [24] **Jiang GP., Jiao XB., Wu SK., Ji ZQ., Liu WT., Chen X., and Wang, H. H. (2018):** Balance, Proprioception, and Gross Motor Development of Chinese Children Aged 3 to 6 Years. *Journal of motor behavior*, 50, (3); 1-10.
- [25] **Carter K., and Horvat M. (2016):** Effect of Taekwondo Training on Lower Body Strength and Balance in Young Adults with Down syndrome. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 13(2), 165-172.
- [26] **Pandian T., Ukamath S., and Jetley N. (2011):** Clinical test of sensory interaction in balance (CTSIB): Concurrent validity study in healthy Indian children. *Journal of Pediatric Neurology*, 9(3), 311-318.
- [27] **El-Banna MF., Darwesh A., Aboeleneen AM., El-Gendy SR., and Elsayed E. (2016).** Effect of Suspension Therapy on Balance in Spastic Diplegic Cerebral Palsied Children. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 24(1), 29-33.
- [28] **Jirikowic, T., McCoy SW., Price R., Ciol M. A., Hsu L. Y., and Kartin D. (2016).** Virtual sensorimotor training for balance: pilot study results for children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorders. *Pediatric physical therapy*, 28(4), 460-468.
- [29] **Koltermann JJ., Gerber M., Beck, H., and Beck, M. (2017).** Validation of the HUMAC Balance System in Comparison with Conventional Force Plates. *Technologies*, 5(3), 44.
- [30] **Computer Sports Medicine, Inc. (CSMi) (2012).** HUMAC Balance System User's Guide
- [31] **Varedi M., McKenna R., and Lamberg EM. (2017).** Balance in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatrics International*, 59(3), 293-302.
- [32] **Reinders-Messelink, H., Schoemaker M., Snijders T., Göeken L., van den Briel, M., Bökkerink, J., and Kamps, W. (1999):** Motor performance of children during treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Medical and pediatric oncology*, 33(6), 545-550.
- [33] **De Luca CR., McCarthy M., Galvin J., Green JL., Murphy A., Knight S., and Williams J. (2013):** Gross and fine motor skills in children treated for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Developmental neurorehabilitation*, 16 (3), 180-187.
- [34] **Wright MJ., Halton JM., Martin RF., and Barr, R. D. (1998):** Long-term gross motor performance following treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 31(2), 86-90.
- [35] **Lotfi Y., Javanbakht M., Sayaf M., and Bakhshi, E. (2017).** Modified clinical test of sensory interaction on balance test use for assessing effectiveness of Epley maneuver in benign paroxysmal positional vertigo patients' rehabilitation. *Auditory and Vestibular Research*, 27 (1); 12-18.