Stability Indicating Validated RP-HPLC Method Development for Simultaneous Estimation of Benidipine Hydrochloride and Telmisartan from Pharmaceutical Dosage Form

Varsha Chandgude¹, G. K. Dyade², R. B. Jadhav³

SVPM'S College of Pharmacy Malegaon (BKII) Baramati Dist. Pune, 413115 (Maharashtra) India

Abstract: Stability indicating validated RP-HPLC method was developed for simultaneous estimation of Benidipine Hydrochloride (BPH) and Telmisartan (TEL) from combined dosage form. The separation of drugs was achieved on a Phenomenax C_{18} Column (250×4.6 mm, 5µm particle size) with UV detection 237 nm using a mobile phase consisting of Methanol: Acetonitrile: water in the ratio of 70:20:10 v/v at a flow rate 0.8ml/min. Retention time was found as 2.51 min for BPH and 3.227 min for TEL. Both drugs obey Beers law in the range of 2-10 µg/ml for BPH and 5-25 µg /ml for TEL. The proposed method was validated as per ICH guidelines for linearity, range, precision, accuracy, robustness and LOD, LOQ and stress degradation studies were carried out under acidic, alkaline, photolytic, thermal degradation condition as per SIAM as described by ICH guidelines. The method is accurate, precise and rapid for routine analysis of BPH and TEL from dosage form. Stress degradation studies proved methods rigidity.

Keywords: Benidipine Hydrochloride (BPH), TEL (TEL), RP-HPLC, Validation, Stability studies

1. Introduction

BPH [1-2] is a salt form of synthetic dihydropyridine derivative that has anti-hypertensive action. And this dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker is used for the treatment of hypertension. It is reno-cardioprotective and chemically it is 3-(3R)-1 benzylpiperidin-3-yl5-methyl (4R) -2, 6 dimethyl-4-(3-nitrophenyl) - 1, 4-dihydropyridine-3, 5-dicarboxylate hydrochloride. TEL [1-5] is an angiotensin II receptor antagonist (ARB) used in management of hypertension. Generally angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB) such as TEL bind to angiotensin II type 1 (AT1) receptor with high affinity causing inhibition of the action of angiotensin II on vascular smooth muscle, ultimately to a reduction in arterial blood pressure and chemically it is 2-(4-{[4-methyl-6-(1-methyl-1H-1,3-benzodiazol-2-yl]-2-propyl-1H-1,3-benzodiazol-1-yl] methyl} phenyl) benzoic acid

BPH, TELis drugs used for the treatment of hypertension. Methods such as UV spectroscopic [6] RP-HPLC [7] were reported for estimation of BPHlonelyor along with other antihypertensive agent. Methods such as RP-HPLC [8-14], UV spectroscopic [15] were reported for determination of TEL alone or along with other antihypertensive agents. After literature survey it was found that there is no stability indicating or stress degradation studied method reported for estimation of both drugs in combined dosage form.TEL is official in BP [16] and in IP [17] whereas BPH was not yet included.

2. Materials and Methods

The Pure sample of BPH was procured from Niksan Pharmaceutical Ankleshwar, and TEL was procured from Cadila Healthcare Ahmadabad, India. HPLC grade Water, Acetonitrile, Methanol, Glacial Acetic Acid, NAOH was procured from Merck chemicals, Mumbai.

2.1 Instrumentation

A RP-HPLC system with Rheodyne injector, dual wavelength UV- VIS absorbance detector was used throughout the study. Phenomenax C_{18} (250×4.6.5 µm) Column was used for separation of drugs. A Shimadzu model UV-VIS 1700 a double beam UV-VIS spectrophotometer with spectral bandwidth of 2 nm and wavelength accuracy of ±1nm with 10 mm matched Quartz cells was used for. Electronic balance Afcoset FX 300 was used for weighing of samples.

2.2 Optimization of mobile phase

The main objective of the experiment was to optimize the assay method for simultaneous estimation of BPH and TEL. Different composition of mobile phase was prepared and utilized. The different composition of mobile phase used includes Methanol: Water in the ratio 70:30(pH 4.0), Methanol: Water in the ratio 50:50(pH 3.8) and Acetonitrile: Water in the ratio 90:10(pH 4.8). The optimized mobile phase Methanol: Acetonitrile: Water in the ratio 70:20:10(pH 4.4) adjusted with HPLC grade Glacial acetic acid shown good resolution of BPH and TEL with t_R 2.51 and t_R 3.2227 respectively and suits chromatographic conditions.. System suitability parameters are within the acceptable range with this mobile phase and other chromatographic conditions. The Mobile Phase was prepared by mixing HPLC grade solvent in proportion 70% v/v Methanol, 20% v/v Acetonitrile and 10% v/v Water in a clean & dry flask (Methanol: Acetonitrile: Water 70:20:10). The mobile phase was filtered through membrane filter under vacuum and pH was adjusted using HPLC grade Glacial Acetic Acid and Sonicated in Ultrasonicator to remove any air or gases.

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

2.3 Optimization of the wavelength selection

In present study 10μ g/ml of BPH and 10μ g/ml of TEL were prepared in methanol. After observing UV spectrum of both drugs wavelength of 237 nm was selected for further study and in the HPLC method development. Chromatogram was recorded by positioning UV detector at 237 nm wavelength.

2.4 Preparation of Standard Solutions

Standard stock solution was prepared by accurately weighing pure drug powder equivalent to 10 mg of BPH and 10 mg of TEL separately and transferred into 50 ml clean & dry volumetric flask separately containing mobile phase. The solution was sonicated for 10 min after making volume up to the mark with mobile phase to get standard stock solution. Standard solutions were prepared from stock solution and filtered through syringe filter of pore size 0.45 μ and injected 20 μ l filtered solution with Hamilton syringe. The chromatograph of both drug were recorded. The peak area recorded for each conc. and calibration graph was plotted. A series of working standard solution with concentration range in 2-10 μ g/ml for BPH and 5-25 μ g/ml of TEL were prepared and injected in column.

2.5 Assay of tablet formulation

The twenty tablets of formulation of BPH and TEL were weighed and average weight of each tablet was found. Tablets of formulation were triturated into a fine powder form with a glass mortar pestle. Tablet powder equivalent to 2 mg BPH and 20 mg of TEL was quantitatively transferred into a 50 ml volumetric flask and dissolved in mobile phase and volume was made up to 50 ml with mobile phase. The solution was sonicated for 15 min, to ensure the uniform and homogenous solution of the drug. Further solution was centrifuged at 500 rpm for 10 min and the clear supernatant was collected and filtered through membrane syringe filter (pore size 0.45 µm). The aliquots of clear solution were further diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase to obtain 2ug/ml of BPH and 20 µg/ml of TEL. Each sample solution was injected and the peak areas were measured for the determination of BPH and TEL in tablet formulation.

2.6 Validation of the method

The method was validated as per ICH guidelines. The objective of method validation is to demonstrate that the method is suitable for its intended purpose as it is stated in ICH guidelines. The method was validated for linearity, precision (repeatability and intermediate precision), accuracy, selectivity and specificity. Accuracy was assessed by measuring recovery at three different levels. Precision assessed by measurement of intra and inter day precision.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 HPLC method development and optimization

The optimized chromatograph of both drugs in mobile phase was shown in Figure 1 and 2. The peak area was recorded for respective conc. and calibration graph was plot and shown in Figure 6. A series of working standard solution with concentration range found linear in 2-10 μ g/ml of BPH and 5-25 μ g/ml of TEL. The system suitability parameters for this chromatographic condition were summarized in (Table 1)

Table 1: Results of system	suitability paran	neters of BPH
	1 77 171	

Parameters	BPH	TEL	Acceptable		
			Tange		
Retention time*	2.412	5.021	NA		
Tailing factor*	1.13	1.30	NMT 2		
Asymmetrical factor*	1.167	1.020	1		
Number of Theoretical plates	2879	15854	MT 2000		
Resolution	2.398	9.323	Within 2 to 10		
Flow rate ml/min	0.8 ml/min	0.8 ml/min	NA		
Run time	8 min	8 min	NA		
Column temp	40 deg c	40 deg c	NA		
Injection volume	20 µl	20 µl	Na		

3.2 Linearity

A series of 2-20 μ g/ml of BPH and 5-25 μ g/ml of TEL were prepared and injected at 332 nm detection wavelengths. Regression equation was summarized in table 3.

3.3 Specificity

The specificity of the method was confirmed by comparing retention time of pure drug with retention time of separated drug from mixed standard solution by injecting mixed standard solution in the column (Figure 4).

3.4 Assay

Assay of formulation was performed and chromatograph of formulation shown in (Figure 5). The percentage purity was found out and results were statistically obtained and tabulated in (Table2) each sample solution was injected and the peak areas were measured for the determination of BPH and TEL in tablet formulation.

Table 2: Assay results of Tablet formulation

Formulation	Drug	Label claim (mg/ tablet)	Amount found (mg) n = 6	Drug Content (%)	Std Deviation	% Relative Std deviation
Benitowa-	BPH	4	4.0124	100.31	1.5857	1.580
TM	TEL	40	39.908	99.77	0.87505	0.8770

Precision

The precision study was carried out by injecting working sample solution five times also the intermediate precision was carried out by interday and intraday precision. SD and % RSD shows method precise and summarized in Table 3.

Accuracy

Analysis of marketed formulation was carried by injecting sample corresponding to equivalent weight into HPLC system and recovery studies were carried out. The results are summarized in Table 3.

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Robustness

Robustness was performed by injecting sample solution of BPH and TEL and chromatograph was monitored at \pm 0.8 ml/min flow rate and at \pm 237 nm detection wavelengths. SD and % RSD tabulated in Table 3.

LOD/LOQ

LOD and LOQ of BPH by the proposed method were determined using calibration graph method (Figure 6) and calculated as $3.3\sigma/s$ and $10 \sigma/s$ respectively. The results are summarized in Table 3.

Р	arameters	Benidipine HCL	TEL	
Beer's	lawrangeµg/ml	2-10µg/ml	5-25µg/ml	
Regre	ssion equation	Y=69.494x-	Y=81.409x-	
-	- :£:-:4	20.497	54.841 Sussifie	
3	specificity	Specific	Specific	
Repea	tability % RSD	0.63	1.57	
Intermediate	precision (SD and %	1.5873	2.0459	
	RSD)	0.6355	0.1108	
1	Accuracy	100.31 %	99.77 %	
	$(\pm 0.2 \text{ ml flow rate})$	0.3327	0.1489	
	SD and % RSD	0.0838	0.1445	
Robustness	(± 2 nm in wavelength) SD and % RSD	0.476 0.474	0.558 0.556	
	LOD	2.94	0.19	
	LOQ	1.19	2.57	

*LOD= Limit of detection, LOQ = Limit of quantification SD = standard deviation RSD = Relative standard deviation

Stress Degradation Study

Preparation of standard solution

Tablet formulation weighted equivalent to 4 mg BPH and 40 mg TEL and transfer into 10 ml volumetric flask containing mobile phase. The solution was sonicated for 10 min and then adjusts the volume up to the mark with same mobile phase to get standard stock solution.

Acid degradation study

To 0.05 ml of stock solution of BPH and TEL, 0.05 ml of 1N HCL was added separately and solution was kept for 30 min at 60°C. resultant solution was diluted to obtain BPH and TEL 10 μ g/ml. These solutions were injected and chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of drug under the influence of acid (Figure 7).

Base degradation study

To 0.05 ml of stock solution of BPH and TEL, 0.05 ml of 1N NAOH was added separately solution was kept for 30 min at 60°C. resultant solution was diluted to obtain Benidipine HCL and TEL 10 μ g/ml. These solutions were injected and chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of drug under influence of base (Figure 8).

Photolytic degradation study

To 0.05 ml of stock solution of BPH and TEL, 0.05 ml of water was added separately and solution was kept in sunlight for 6 Hrs. The resultant solution was diluted to obtain BPH and TEL 10μ g/ml. These solutions were injected and

chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of drug under photolytic condition (Figure 9).

Thermal degradation study

Standard solution of BPH and TEL was placed in oven at 105° C for 6 hrs. Resultant solution was diluted to obtain BPH and TEL10µg/ml. These solutions were injected and chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of drug under the influence of heat (Figure 10).

Table 4:	Results	of Stress	Degradation	Studies
----------	---------	-----------	-------------	---------

S. Tune of		Benidip	ine HCL	TEL	
Sr. No	Degradation	%	%	%	%
140	Degradation	obtained	degraded	obtained	degraded
1	Acid	94.25 %	5.75 %	95.75 %	4.29 %
2	Alkali	93.19 %	7.12 %	95.320 %	4.68 %
4	Thermal	91.018 %	8.97 %	92.57 %	7.43 %
5	Photo light	97.68 %	2.32 %	98.15 %	1.85 %

4. Conclusion

Developed chromatographic method is scientifically sound and capable of giving reproducible, reliable and precise results. The stability indicating and stress degradation studied RP HPLC chromatographic method for simultaneous estimation of BPH and TEL is rigid and stable suitable for analysis.

5. Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to Niksan Pharmaceutical, Ankleshwarand Cadila Healthcare, Ahmedabad, for providing pure drug and SVPM college of Pharmacy, Malegaon, Dist. Pune for providing necessary facilities for research.

References

- [1] An Encyclopedia of chemicals, drugs and Biological, *The Merck Index*,15thedition, the royal society of chemistry Cambridge UK 2013, p.184,1691.
- [2] Alison Bray field, Martindale (The complete drug reference) 38thedition, Pharmaceutical press London 2014(A), p.1316,1509.
- [3] Jonn M. Beale, Jr. John H. Block, *Wilson and Griswold's Textbook of Organic Medicinal and Pharmaceutical chemistry*, 12th edition, Wolvers Kluwer (India pvt ltd) New Delhi 2011,p.613.
- [4] Thomas L. Lemke, David A. Williams, Victoria F Roche, S William Zito, *Foyes principles of medicinal chemistry*,7th edition, welter's Kluwer (India pvt ltd) New Delhi, 2013.p.762.
- [5] *Remington, The science and practice of pharmacy*, 22nd edition Pharmaceutical press London 2013, *I*, p.1768.
- [6] Kalyanaramu B, Shantiswarup L, Raghubabu K ,Validated visible Spectrophotometric method development for the determination of Benidipine hydrochloride based on complex and internal salt formation reactions.*Int J Inst Pharm life Sci* 2012, 2(1), p.25-33.
- [7] Sravani M, Estimation of Benidipine by using HPLC, Int J Pharm Res 2017,3(4), p.311-314

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426

- [8] Vasubabu Ravi, Jaswantu KumarInamadugu, Negeswara rao, et al, Simultaneous determination of TEL and amlodipine in human plasma by LC-MS/MS and its application in a human pharmacokinetic study, *J Pharm Anal*2012,2(5),p.319-326.
- [9] Gopalswamy T, Nagaraju K, Lakshmanarao A, RP-HPLC method for the simultaneous estimation of Telmisartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in pharmaceutical dosage form, *Int J Drug Develop* Res2011, 3(4), p 362-368.
- [10] Jawla S, Jeyalakshmi .K, Krishnamurthy Kumar Y, Development and validation of simultaneous HPLC Method for estimation of Telmisartan and Ramipril in pharmaceutical formulation, *Int J Pharm Tech Res.*,2010,2(2),p.1626-1633.
- [11] Surwase BH, Tapkir A S, JadhavSB,Development and validation of RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of Telmisartan and clorthalidone in bulk and tablet dosage form, *Der .Pharmacia. Let* 2013,*5*(*1*), p.149-154.
- [12] RaneDS, Chaskar PK , Doshi GM, A stability indicating RP-HPLC method for Telmisartan and



Benidipine HCI

hydrochlorothiazide, Int J of Pharm Sci2015, 6(7), p.478-483.

- [13] Surekha ML, Swamy GK, RP-HPLC Method for the simultaneous estimation of Telmisartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in Pharmaceutical dosage form, *Int J Drug develop Res*2011, *3*(*4*),p.362-368
- [14] Rane D, Pratik, Development and validation of stability indicating RP-HPLC method for simultaneous determination of Telmisartan and Hydrochlorothiazide from their combination drug, *Der Pharmacia Let*, 2013,5(6), p.127-134.
- [15] Patel K, Dhudasia K, Patel A, Dave J .Stress degradation studies on Telmisartan and development of a validated method by UV Spectrometry in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form, *Pharm Methods*, 2011, 2(4), p. 253-259.
- [16] *British Pharmacopoeia*, Medicines and Healthcare products regulatory agency, London 2015, p. 979.
- [17] *Indian Pharmacopoeia*, 7th edition Govt of India, ministry of Health and family welfare, The Indian pharmacopoeia commission Ghaziabad2014,*III*, p.2830.



Telmisartan

Figure 1 Chemical structure of Benidipine Hydrochloride and Telmisartan Figure 1: Chemical structure of Benidipine HCL and Telmisartan



Figure 2 Chromatograph of Benidipine Figure 2: Optimized Chromatogram for Benidipine HCL (BEN) Chromatograph of Benidipine HCL with retention time 2.51 min

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

10.21275/ART20202696



Figure 3: Optimized Chromatogram for Telmisartan (TEL) Chromatograph of Benidipine HCL (BPH) with retention time 3.32 min



Figure 4 Chromatograph of Benidipine and Telmisartan from mixed standard solution



Figure 5 Chromatograph of Benidipine and Telmisartan from Tablet formulation Figure 5: Chromatogram of Benidipine HCL and Telmisartan from tablet formulation Chromatograph with retention time 2.53 min for Benidipine and 3.34 min for Telmisartan

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

10.21275/ART20202696

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426







Figure 7: Acidic Degradation Chromatogram



Figure 8: Basic Degradation Chromatogram



Figure 9: Chromatograph of photolytuic degradation

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

10.21275/ART20202696

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 ResearchGate Impact Factor (2018): 0.28 | SJIF (2018): 7.426



Figure 10: Thermal Degradation Chromatogram

Figure 7, 8, 9, 10 Chromatograph of acidic degradation, basic degradation, photolytic degradation and thermal degradation respectively

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY