Retrograde Peri-Implantitis - Review

Elitsa Sabeva

Department of Periodontology and Dental Implantology, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Medical University - Varna

Abstract: <u>Introduction</u>: The retrograde peri-implantitis is a condition, that is not very common, but threatens seriously the implant survival. <u>Aim</u>: The aim of this review was to summarize the basic data about the retrograde peri-implantitis and clarify the causes and treatment options for the condition. <u>Material and Methods</u>: The review includes articles in English language, which were published in the period of 1992 to 2019. Articles, which were directly related to the topic were selected, as the search was conducted using the following combinations of keywords: "retrograde peri-implantitis", "implant periapical lesion", "apical peri-implantitis". <u>Results</u>: In the different articles the condition was termed three different ways: retrograde peri-implantitis, implant periapical lesion and apical peri-implantitis. The selected articles described different classification systems, etiological factors and treatment options. <u>Conclusion</u>: Unified classification system for retrograde peri-implantitis combining all the available classification models should be considered. Various factors could lead to development of implant periapical lesion, as the most important remains the infectious component. The treatment plan in the cases of retrograde peri-implantitis depends on the evolution stage of the disease, considering the clinical and radiological findings.

1. Introduction

The retrograde peri-implantitis is a condition, that is not very common, but threatens seriously the implant survival.

2. Aim

The aim of this review was to summarize the basic data about the retrograde peri-implantitis and clarify the causes and treatment options for the condition.

3. Material and Methods

The review includes articles in English language, which were published in the period of 1992 to 2019. Articles, which were directly related to the topic were selected, as the search was conducted using the following combinations of keywords: "retrograde peri-implantitis", "implant periapical lesion", "apical peri-implantitis".

First implants demonstrating radiotransparency in their apical area were described by McAllister et al [1].

Classification systems

Different systems for classification of retrograde periimplantitis were proposed. The classification could be based on: radiographic findings [2, 3]; etiology [4]; nature of the lesion [5] or its evolution [6]. According to the radiographic findings, the retrograde peri-implantitis is classified into three classes, depending on the amount of bone resorption in the apical portion of an implant, visible on radiography. The bone loss was presented as a percentage of the entire length of the implant, according to which the lesion is classified into one of the following classes [2]:

- mild
- moderate
- advanced

Shah et al. [3] concluded, that this classification is reliable and useful, providing options for a treatment plan and for prognosis.

According to the etiology there were two proposed types [4]:

- Type 1: Implant to tooth. It develops, when the adjacent teeth are directly or indirectly damaged during implant bed preparation, which results in pulp devitalization.
- Type 2: Tooth to implant. It develops in a short time after implant insertion, when signs of periapical pathology occur in the adjacent tooth, either by pulp damage during intervention or by reactivation of a pre-existing apical lesion.

It also was suggested, that the lesions could be classified as inactive and infected [5]:

- Inactive implant periapical lesion: Result of placement of a shorter implant into deeper implant bed.
- Infected implant periapical lesion: Result of placement of the implant apex near already existing infection or insertion of an implant, which already was contaminated.

Authors considered also bone overheating during the osteotomy resulting in bone necrosis as a potential etiological factor in the development of implant periapical lesions [5].

According to its evolution, the disease is classified as [6]:

- Acute
- Non-suppurated
- Suppurated
- Chronic or periapical abscess

Diagnosis

The pain and the radiolucency at the apical part of the implant are mostly reported findings [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The pain could first appear during chewing and then could become spontaneous [9]. The pain could be resistant to analgesics [8].

A fistula could be observed [12, 13, 14]. According to some authors [14] the fistula is the most frequent clinical finding, with a prevalent occurring in the upper jaw. It is also possible to be observed reaction from the maxillary sinus [10].

According to Peñarrocha-Diago et al. [15] even without radiographic alterations, the condition is suspicious for periapical implant lesion, if after insertion localized pain occurs in the area of the implant apical portion.

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Etiology

As potential etiologic factor were suggested: implant insertion adjacent to an endodontic treated tooth [16, 17], the endodontic infection from adjacent teeth [18, 19], recurrence of apical periodontitis [18, 4], placing implants into areas, with a persisted infection, caused by endodontic pathology of the extracted tooth [18, 20], bone overheating [13, 5], immoderate tightening of the implant [13], contamination of the implant prior to its insertion [12] and placement of implants with apical hollow [12, 13].Qu et al. suggested that the etiology of the implant periapical lesion could be multifactorial, with a prevalence of the prior infection [21]. The prevalence of the infectious component is supported also by other authors [14].

It was established that the incidence of the retrograde periimplantitis of implants placed close to endodontic-treated teeth was 7.8%. The authors concluded, that the possibility of retrograde peri-implantitis development could be decreased, when the distance between the implant and the treated tooth is increased or by delay of the implant insertion for longer time after the endodontic treatment [16]. Brisman et al. [17] reported as possible cause for implant failure the implant insertion next to an endodontically treated tooth, even when it did not demonstrate any symptoms of pathology.

Histopathologically the retrograde peri-implantitis is presented by cyst development or chronic inflammation. Bacteria, such as Streptococcus, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Klebsiela Pneumoniae and Corynebacterium were isolated from the lesions [18]. In another study was observed the presence of Eikenella corrodens [22]. Streptococcus species and Porphyromonas gingivalis were also found in cases of chronic apical periodontitis [23]. Periapical periodontitis associated with Epstein-Bar virus is also discussed as possible source of apical peri-implantitis [24].

When placing implants to adjacent root fragments left accidentally, Langer et al. [25] experienced severe bone loss in the coronal portion of the implant, instead of bone loss apically, which is typical for retrograde peri-implantitis.

Truninger et al. [26] compared the results of treatment with immediately placed implants into sockets demonstrating periapical pathology and into healthy sockets , considering the clinical and radiological findings. The authors reported comparable results with the both groups after 3 years. In none of the implants, inserted into sockets with periapical pathology was observed retrograde peri-implantitis after the mentioned period of time. The authors concluded, that after accurate debridement of the socket, the immediate implant insertion in the areas with prior periapical pathology did not demonstrate any disadvantages compared to the immediate implant insertion into healthy sockets, considering the clinical and radiological results. According to other study the authors also came to the similar conclusion [27].

During histologic examination of explanted implant demonstrating signs of implant periapical lesion was found necrotic bone in the implant external and apical part of the antirotational hole [8]. In other case necrosis of the bone and inflammatory infiltrate inside the implant hollow part were observed. The authors suggested as a probable etiological factors vascular damage and fracture of the bone tissue inside the implant during placement, implant contamination before the seating or poor bone quality [12]. In a similar study as a potential cause of implant periapical lesion were considered overheating of the bone, related to an immoderate tightening of the implant and compression of the bone tissue inside the hole in the apical portion of the implant, which could lead to necrosis [13]

Treatment

Waasdorp and Reynolds [28] described nonsurgical retrograde peri-implantitis treatment via antibiotic course. The authors reported resolution of the radiographic lesion after 9 months.

It has been reported, that systemic antibiotic treatment including amoxicillin and acetaminophen did not improve the symptoms of implant periapical lesion, unlike the substitution of prednisolone, augmentin and mefenamic acid. The authors observed disappearance of the lesion, considering the radiological results [7].In other studies implant surgery was undergone, because of the unsuccessful systemic course of antibiotics [13, 10]. Guided bone regeneration is also available option in implant periapical lesion treatment [29, 30, 31].

Some authors discussed removal only of the implant apical portion as a reliable treatment option [32, 33].Peñarrocha-Diago et al. [10] reported resolution of the symptoms after implant periapical surgery.

Flanagan [11] reported a successful case resolution, with a debridement and curettage of the apical lesion, without surface detoxification and without use of a membrane. Into so formed bone cavity was placed calcium hydroxide paste. The debridement should be performed carefully to avoid impairment of the implant surface [30]. Antiseptics such as chlorhexidine could be used [30].

If the condition is diagnosed and treated at early stage, that could help avoiding the necessity of explantation [15].Peñarrocha Diagoet al. [6] suggested periapical surgery of the implant in the acute phase and explantation in cases, in which entire bone-to-implant contact is affected or implant mobility is observed.

Dental lasers are used in periodontitis [34, 35, 36, 37] and in peri-implantitis treatment [38, 39]. Montoya-Salazar et al. [31] reported 94.44 % survival rate of implants placed in infected sockets, which were treated with Er,Cr:YSGG laser in combination with debridement, curettage, cleaning with 90% hydrogen peroxide and irrigation with a sterile solution. The authors also performed guided bone regeneration. A systematic literature review also support the opinion that implants could be inserted into areas with infections of periapical or periodontal origin after accurate debridement and substitution of systematic antibiotics [40].

4. Results

In the different articles the condition was termed three different ways: retrograde peri-implantitis, implant

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: ART20202628

periapical lesion and apical peri-implantitis. The selected articles described different classification systems, etiological factors and treatment options.

5. Discussion

All of the proposed classification models [2, 4, 5, 6] differed significantly from one another. In the majority of the studies similar symptoms were reported, including pain, apical radiolucency [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] and fistula formation [12, 13, 14]. Various etiological factors have been suggested [5, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19], as the infectious nature of the condition prevails [21, 14]. The data about the treatment decision is controversial. Different approaches were proposed, such as antibiotic treatment [28, 7], debridement and curettage of the lesion [11], resective surgery of the implant apex [32, 33], guided bone regeneration [29, 30,31] and explanation [6, 12, 13].

6. Conclusion

Unified classification system for retrograde peri-implantitis combining all the available classification models should be considered. Various factors could lead to development of implant periapical lesion, as the most important remains the infectious component. The treatment plan in the cases of retrograde peri-implantitis depends on the evolution stage of the disease, considering the clinical and radiological findings.

References

- [1] McAllister BS, Masters D, Meffert RM. Treatment of implants demonstrating periapical radiolucencies. Pract Periodontics Aesthet Dent. 1992 Nov-Dec;4(9):37-41.
- [2] Shah R, Thomas R, Kumar AB, Mehta DS. A Radiographic Classification for Retrograde Periimplantitis. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2016 Apr 1;17(4):313-21.
- [3] Shah R, Thomas R, Kumar T, Mehta DS. Assessment of Intraobserver and Interobserver Agreement of a New Classification System for Retrograde Periimplantitis. Implant Dent. 2016 Dec;25(6):817-824.
- [4] Sussman HI. Periapical implant pathology. J Oral Implantol. 1998;24(3):133-8.
- [5] Reiser GM, Nevins M. The implant periapical lesion: etiology, prevention, and treatment. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 1995 Aug;16(8):768, 770, 772 passim.
- [6] Peñarrocha Diago M, Boronat López A, Lamas Pelayo J. Update in dental implant periapical surgery. Med Oral Patol Oral y Cir Bucal. 2006;11:E429–32.
- [7] Chang LC, Hsu CS, Lee YL. Successful medical treatment of an implant periapical lesion: a case report. Chang Gung Med J. 2011 Jan-Feb;34(1):109-14.
- [8] Scarano A, Di Domizio P, Petrone G, Iezzi G, Piattelli A. Implant periapical lesion: a clinical and histologic case report. J Oral Implantol. 2000;26(2):109-13.
- [9] Manfro R, Garcia GF, Bortoluzzi MC, Fabris V, Bacchi A, Elias CN. Apicoectomy and Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis of an Implant Infected by Apical(Retrograde) Peri-implantitis: A Case Letter. J Oral Implantol. 2018 Aug;44(4):287-291.

- [10] Peñarrocha-Diago M, Boronat-Lopez A, García-Mira B. Inflammatory implant periapical lesion: etiology, diagnosis, and treatment--presentation of 7 cases. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2009 Jan;67(1):168-73.
- [11] Flanagan D. Apical (retrograde) peri-implantitis: a case report of an active lesion. J Oral Implantol. 2002;28:92– 96.
- [12] Piattelli A, Scarano A, Piattelli M, Podda G. Implant periapical lesions: clinical, histologic, and histochemical aspects. A case report. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 1998 Apr;18(2):181-7.
- [13] Piattelli A, Scarano A, Balleri P, Favero GA. Clinical and histologic evaluation of an active "implant periapical lesion": a case report. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 1998 Sep-Oct;13(5):713-6.
- [14] Ramanauskaite A, Juodzbalys G, Tözüm TF. Apical/Retrograde Periimplantitis/Implant Periapical Lesion: Etiology, Risk Factors, and Treatment Options: A Systematic Review. Implant Dent. 2016 Oct;25(5):684-97.
- [15] Peñarrocha-Diago M, Peñarrocha-Diago M, Blaya-Tárraga JA. State of the art and clinical recommendations in periapical implant lesions. 9th Mozo-Grau Ticare Conference in Quintanilla, Spain. J Clin Exp Dent. 2017 Mar 1;9(3):e471-e473.
- [16] Zhou W, Han C, Li D, Li Y, Song Y, Zhao Y. Endodontic treatment of teeth induces retrograde periimplantitis. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2009 Dec;20(12):1326-32.
- [17] Brisman DL, Brisman AS, Moses MS. Implant failures associated with asymptomatic endodontically treated teeth. J Am Dent Assoc. 2001 Feb;132(2):191-5.
- [18] Marshall G, Canullo L, Logan RM, Rossi-Fedele G. Histopathological and microbiological findings associated with retrograde peri-implantitis of extraradicular endodontic origin: a systematic and critical review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2019 Nov;48(11):1475-1484.
- [19] Quirynen M, Vogels R, Alsaadi G, Naert I, Jacobs R, van Steenberghe D. Predisposing conditions for retrograde peri-implantitis, and treatment suggestions. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2005 Oct;16(5):599-608.
- [20] Flanagan D. Implant Placement in Failed Endodontic Sites: A Review. J Oral Implantol. 2016 Apr;42(2):224-30. 1992-2019
- [21] Qu C, Meng H, Han J. Implant periapical lesion--a review and a case report with histological evaluation. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2014 Sep;25(9):1099-104.
- [22] Chan HL, Wang HL, Bashutski JD, Edwards PC, Fu JH, Oh TJ. Retrograde peri-implantitis: a case report introducing an approach to its management. J Periodontol. 2011 Jul;82(7):1080-8.
- [23] Gusiyska A, Peev S. Analysis of Microbiological Results in Teeth with Chronic Apical Periodontitis. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). 2016; 5(2):1460 – 1464
- [24] Verdugo F, Castillo A, Simonian K, Castillo F, Farez-Vidal E, D'Addona A. Periodontopathogen and Epstein-Barr virus-associated periapical periodontitis may be the source of retrograde infectious peri-implantitis. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2015 Feb;17(1):199-207.
- [25] Langer L, Langer B, Salem D. Unintentional root

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019 www.ijsr.net

10.21275/ART20202628

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

fragment retention in proximity to dental implants: a series of six human case reports. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2015 May-Jun;35(3):305-13.

- [26] Truninger TC, Philipp AO, Siegenthaler DW, Roos M, Hämmerle CH, Jung RE. A prospective, controlled clinical trial evaluating the clinical and radiological outcome after 3 years of immediately placed implants in sockets exhibiting periapical pathology. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2011 Jan;22(1):20-7.
- [27] Jung RE, Zaugg B, Philipp AO, Truninger TC, Siegenthaler DW, Hämmerle CH. A prospective, controlled clinical trial evaluating the clinical radiological and aesthetic outcome after 5 years of immediately placed implants in sockets exhibiting periapical pathology. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2013 Aug;24(8):839-46.
- [28] Waasdorp J, Reynolds M. Nonsurgical treatment of retrograde peri-implantitis: a case report. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2010 Jul-Aug;25(4):831-3.
- [29] Quaranta A, Andreana S, Pompa G, Procaccini M. Active implant peri-apical lesion: a case report treated via guided bone regeneration with a 5-year clinical and radiographic follow-up. J Oral Implantol. 2014 Jun;40(3):313-9.
- [30] Ataullah K, Chee LF, Peng LL, Lung HH. Management of retrograde peri-implantitis: a clinical case report. J Oral Implantol. 2006;32(6):308-12.
- [31] Montoya-Salazar V, Castillo-Oyagüe R, Torres-Sánchez C, Lynch CD, Gutiérrez-Pérez JL, Torres-Lagares D. Outcome of single immediate implants placed in postextraction infected and non-infected sites, restored with cemented crowns: a 3-year prospective study. J Dent. 2014 Jun;42(6):645-52.
- [32] Temmerman A, Lefever D, Teughels W, Balshi TJ, Balshi SF, Quirynen M. Etiology and treatment of periapical lesions around dental implants. Periodontol 2000. 2014 Oct;66(1):247-54. evaluation of an active "implant periapical lesion": a case report. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 1998 Sep-Oct;13(5):713-6.
- [33] Dahlin C, Nikfarid H, Alsén B, Kashani H. Apical periimplantitis: possible predisposing factors, case reports, and surgical treatment suggestions. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2009 Sep;11(3):222-7.
- [34] Miteva M, Peev S, Hristov I. The adjunctive use of the Er,Cr:YSGG laser in nonsurgical periodontal treatment. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). 2017;6(4):61-4.
- [35] Miteva M, Peev S, Hristov I. Management of chronic generalized periodontitis by adjunctive use of diode laser. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). 2017;6(4):65-7.
- [36] Miteva, M. Nd:YAG lasers in nonsurgical periodontal treatment - a literature review. Scripta Scientifica Medicinae Dentalis. 2019;5(1):16-19.
- [37] Miteva M. Laser Reduction of Periodontal Pathogens in the Periodontal Pocket using a Nd:YAG Laser - A Literature Review. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). 2019;8(10):603-605
- [38] Alhaidary D, Franzen R, Hilgers RD, Gutknecht N. First Investigation of Dual-Wavelength Lasers (2780 nm Er,Cr:YSGG and 940 nm Diode) on Implants in a Simulating Peri-Implantitis Situation Regarding Temperature Changes in an In Vitro Pocket Model.

Photobiomodul Photomed Laser Surg. 2019 Aug;37(8):508-514.

- [39] Clem D, Gunsolley JC. Peri-implantitis Treatment Using Er:YAG Laser and Bone Grafting. A Prospective Consecutive Case Series Evaluation: 1 Year Posttherapy. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2019 Jul/Aug;39(4):479-489.
- [40] Waasdorp JA, Evian CI, Mandracchia M. Immediate placement of implants into infected sites: a systematic review of the literature. J Periodontol. 2010 Jun;81(6):801-8.

Volume 8 Issue 11, November 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 10.21275/ART20202628