International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064

Impact Factor (2018): 7.426

Hartman Procedure

Bartu BADAK¹, Enver IHTIYAR²

¹Eskisehir Osmangazi University Medical Faculty, General Surgery Department¹, Eskisehir

²Eskisehir Gurlife Hospital², Eskisehir

Abstract: Hartmann procedure defines closure of the rectal stump and construction of the colostomy following resection of the segment of colon with malignancy, which is preferred in emergent cases with complete bockage of the colonic passage.

Keywords: rectal, colon

1. Introduction

The Hartmann procedure was first introduced by Henri Hartmann in 1921. Originally, the recipe was made for rectosigmoid cancers and the technique was described as closure of the rectum stump and performing end-colostomy following tumor resection (1). However, this method has gained popularity after many years. Boyden et al. Applied this method to patients with acute diverticulitis (2). In fact, these two indications are pathologies in which the Hartman procedure is performed. Other indications include sigmoid volvulus, traumatic colon perforations, radiation damage, and anastomosis dissociation after anterior resection (3-7).

At the beginning of this century, three-stage surgery was used as the standard method in emergency surgery of descending colon (8,9). Loop colostomy was first performed in this method, then the lesion was resected and colostomy was closed. When the overall morbidity and mortality are evaluated, the first opened loop colostomy does not provide an additional survival advantage and there are disadvantages such as increasing the length of hospital stay and the number of operations applied to patients (10). This method is currently only used in patients with unstable hemodynamics (11).

The Hartmann procedure has the advantages of immediate resection of the diseased colon, eliminating the possibility of leakage due to the lack of anastomosis, and shorter hospital stay. Postoperative closure rates of colostomy by various sources have been reported in around 60% (12). Since the Hartmann procedure was first described, certain progress was shown in antibiotic therapy, intensive care conditions and anesthesia. In addition, in some cases, intraoperative non-decompressed intestines can be anastomosed by intraoperative lavage. Thus, the approach to possible complications of previous anastomosis has also begun to change (13-19). After the positive results obtained with intraoperative decompression, the surgeons have turned to the decompression of the colon prior to the operation. For this, endoscopic stent has become more and more widely used (20-24). Pre-operative decompression has the advantage of correcting physiological changes that occur with obstruction. Thus, emergency surgery is made elective and less morbidity and mortality can be achieved with one operation.

One important point to note is that the described Hartmann procedure is generally applied for lesions above the peritoneal reflection. In our two-year screening at our clinic, 6 patients with Hartmann procedure were diagnosed due to pathologies under reflection. Two of these patients had rectal cancer and 1 had rectal neuroendocrine tumor. In fact, they were referred to our clinic as a result of leakage in these patients who had undergone lower anterior resection in the external center and were converted to Hartmann procedure due to peritonitis. The common feature of these 3 cases was that they did not have a protective loop ileostomy and they did not receive any neoadjuvant therapy. One of these patients had local recurrence and anastomosis stenosis in the other two. One of the patients who had anastomosis stenosis was re-operated and reanastomosis was performed. In the other, endoscopic dilatation was performed. In patients with local recurrence, adjuvant treatments were continued.

In two of the remaining three cases, direct Hartmann procedure was applied due to the rectal adenocarcinoma resulting from the ileus. In one of these patients, because of the localization of the primary tumor (anal verge), the stoma could not be closed, and in the other, rectovesical fistula developed following stoma closure. In the third patient, the Hartmann procedure was performed because of the severe bleeding of rectal ulcer. In this patient, stoma was planned to be permanent due to general condition disorder.

As a result, we believe that the patients who underwent low anterior resection should be examined very carefully before performing the operation and protective loop ileostomy should be performed in suspected cases. On the other hand, in patients with rectal cancer who developed ileus, we think that intestinal decompression with stent or loop colostomy and neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and then tumor excision should be applied considering that these patients will be advanced stage. Otherwise, the probability of developing a permanent stoma, fistula or anastomosis stenosis is very high in this patient group.

Conflict of interest: None

Financial Support: None

Volume 8 Issue 1, January 2019 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2018): 7.426

References

- [1] Hartmann H. Chirurgi du Rectum. Paris: Masson, 1921.
- [2] Boyden AM. The surgical treatment of diverticulitis of the colon. *Ann Surg*. 1950; 132: 94–109.
- [3] Bruusgaard C. Volvulus of the sigmoid colon and its treatment *Surgery* 1947; 22: 466–78.
- [4] Anderson JR, Lee D. The management of acute sigmoid volvulus. *Br J Surg.* 1981; 68: 117–20.
- [5] Steele M, Blaisdell FW. Treatment of colon injuries. J Trauma 1977; 27: 557–62.
- [6] Cochrane JPS, Yarnold JR, Slack W. The surgical treatment of radiation injuries after radiotherapy for uterine carcinoma. *Br J Surg*. 1981;68: 25–8.
- [7] Debas HT, Thomson FB. A critical review of colectomy with anastomosis. *Surg Gynecol Obstet*.1972; 135 : 747–52.
- [8] Paul FT. Personal experiences in the surgery of the large bowel. *Lancet* 1912; ii: 217–26.
- [9] Goligher JC, Smiddy FG. The treatment of acute obstruction or perforation with carcinoma of the colon and rectum. *Br J Surg.* 1957; 45: 270–4.
- [10] Kronborg O. Acute obstruction from tumour in the left colon without spread. A randomized trial of emergency colostomy versus resection. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 1995; 10: 1–5.
- [11] Gutman M, Kaplan O, Skornick Y *et al.* Proximal colostomy: still an effective emergency measure in obstructing carcinoma of the large bowel. *J Surg Oncol.* 1989;41: 210–12.
- [12] Koruth NM, Krukowski ZH, Youngson GG et al. Intraoperative colonic irrigation in the management of left-sided large bowel emergencies. Br J Surg. 1985; 72: 708–11.
- [13] Dudley HAF, Radcliff AG, McGeehan D. Intraoperative irrigation of the colon to permit primary anastomosis. *Br J Surg.* 1980; 67: 80–1.
- [14] Kressner U, Antonsson J, Ejerblad S, Gerdin B, Pahlman L. Intraoperative colonic lavage and primary anastomosis – an alternative to Hartmann procedure in emergency surgery of the left colon. *Eur J Surg*.1994; 160: 287–92.
- [15] Murray JJ, Schoetz DJ, Coller JA, Roberts PL, Veidenheimer MC. Intraoperative colonic lavage and primary anastomosis in nonelective colon resection. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1991; 34: 527–31.
- [16] Maddern GJ, Nejjari Y, Dennison A, Siriser F, Bardoxaglou E, Launois B. Primary anastomosis with transverse colostomy as an alternative to Hartmann's procedure. *Br J Surg.* 1995;82: 170–1.
- [17] Blair NP, Germann E. Surgical management of acute sigmoid diverticulitis. *Am J Surg.* 2002; 183: 525–8.
- [18] Schilling MK, Maurer CA, Kollmar O, Buchler MW. Primary vs. secondary anastomosis after sigmoid colon resection for perforated diverticulitis (Hinchey stage III and IV). *Dis Colon Rectum* 2001;44: 699–703.
- [19] Biondo S, Jaurrieta E, Marti Rague J *et al.* Role of resection and primary anastomosis of colon in the presence of peritonitis. *Br J Surg.* 2000;87: 1580–4.
- [20] Law WL *et al.* Self-expanding metallic stent in the treatment of colonic obstruction caused by advanced malignancies. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2000; 43: 1552–7.

- [21] Saida Y *et al.* Long term prognosis of preoperative 'bridge to surgery' expandable metallic stent insertion for obstructive colorectal cancer: comparison with emergency operation. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2003; 46: S44– 9.
- [22] Keymling M. Colorectal stenting. *Endoscopy* 2003; 35: 234–8.
- [23] Wong KS, Cheong DM, Wong D. Treatment of acute malignant colorectal obstruction with self-expandable metallic stents. ANZ J Surg. 2002; 72: 385–8.
- [24] Dauphine CE, Tan P, Beart RW, Vukasin P, Cohen H, Corman ML. Placement of self-expanding metal stents for a malignant large bowel obstruction: a collective review. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2002; 9: 574–9.

Volume 8 Issue 1, January 2019

10.21275/ART20194173