

# Land and Property Rights Among Tribal Communities in Jharkhand

Meena Kumari

Central University of Jharkhand, India  
Email: [meena\\_kumari\[at\]outlook.com](mailto:meena_kumari[at]outlook.com)  
ORCID: 0000 - 0002 - 5561 - 7812

**Abstract:** *This research paper offers a comprehensive examination of land governance and property rights in Jharkhand, India, with a particular focus on the challenges faced by tribal communities. Drawing on interdisciplinary perspectives from anthropology, sociology, political science, economics, and law, the paper delves into the historical evolution, socio - economic implications, and policy dynamics that shape land tenure systems in the region. Jharkhand, endowed with rich natural resources, has witnessed protracted conflicts, dispossession, and socio - economic marginalization, particularly among tribal populations. Despite the presence of protective legislation aimed at safeguarding land rights, the implementation and enforcement of these laws have been hindered by legal pluralism, colonial legacies, and economic liberalization. The paper examines the tension between formal legal frameworks and customary practices governing land relations, highlighting the complexities and contestations inherent in land governance. It explores the impact of development initiatives, industrialization, and mining activities on land rights and social justice, revealing the profound disparities and injustices that persist in the region. Through empirical data, case studies, and literature review, the paper elucidates the historical context, socio - cultural dynamics, and policy implications surrounding land governance in Jharkhand. It underscores the urgent need for transparent, efficient, and participatory approaches in land management, while advocating for protection of marginalized and vulnerable communities from illegal land transfers and dispossession. Ultimately, the paper aims to contribute to ongoing policy discourse and scholarly inquiry by offering insights into pathways towards more equitable and inclusive land governance systems. By centering the voices and experiences of tribal communities, the paper advocates for policy interventions that uphold the rights and dignity of all citizens, fostering sustainable development and social justice in Jharkhand and beyond.*

**Keywords:** Land Governance, Property Rights, Tribal Communities, Jharkhand, Land Tenure, Legal Pluralism, Economic Development, Social Justice, Indigenous Rights.

## 1. Introduction

Land tenure and property rights are fundamental aspects of human societies, shaping economic activities, social structures, and cultural identities. Throughout history, the governance of land has been a subject of contention, particularly in regions characterized by diverse ethnicities, cultures, and socio - economic disparities [1]. In this research paper, a comprehensive exploration of the complex issues surrounding land tenure and property rights, with a specific focus on the state of Jharkhand, India, has been attempted. Through a multidisciplinary lens, the historical, socio - economic, legal, and political dimensions that underpin land governance in Jharkhand, shedding light on the challenges, opportunities, and implications for marginalized communities, particularly tribal populations. Jharkhand, located in eastern India, is endowed with rich natural resources, including vast swathes of forested land and mineral deposits, has been convened. However, this abundance has not translated into equitable development outcomes for its inhabitants, particularly tribal communities who have historically been the custodians of these lands. Instead, land - related conflicts, dispossession, and socio - economic marginalization have characterized the landscape, underscoring the urgent need for a deeper understanding of the dynamics at play. At the heart of the land governance challenges in Jharkhand lies the tension between formal legal frameworks and customary practices governing land relations [2]. Despite the presence of protective legislation aimed at safeguarding the land rights of tribal communities, such as the Chotanagpur Tenancy Act and the Santhal Parganas Tenancy

Act, the implementation and enforcement of these laws have been fraught with challenges.

Legal pluralism, wherein formal legal systems coexist alongside customary norms and practices, further complicates the land governance landscape, often leading to conflicting interpretations and contestations. Moreover, the historical legacy of colonialism and subsequent waves of economic liberalization have profoundly influenced land governance in Jharkhand. The British colonial administration introduced land tenure systems that facilitated the exploitation of natural resources for imperial interests, resulting in dis - possession and marginalization of indigenous communities. Despite gaining independence, post - colonial governments have struggled to address the entrenched inequalities and injustices perpetuated by colonial - era land policies [3]. In recent decades, economic reforms aimed at liberalizing markets and promoting industrialization have ushered a new wave of land acquisition and development projects in Jharkhand. While these initiatives hold the promise of economic growth and infrastructural development, they have also sparked fierce resistance from local communities, particularly tribal populations whose lands are often targeted for acquisition [4]. The clash between development imperatives and indigenous rights has intensified, leading to protracted conflicts, environmental degradation, and socio - economic upheaval.

To navigate this complex landscape, scholars and policymakers have increasingly turned to interdisciplinary approaches that integrate insights from anthropology, sociology, political science, economics, and law. By examining land governance through multiple lenses, a more

Volume 7 Issue 8, August 2018

[www.ijsr.net](http://www.ijsr.net)

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

nuanced understanding of the underlying power dynamics, socio-cultural contexts, and policy implications that shape land tenure and property rights in Jharkhand, has been arranged in this research. In this paper, the aim is to contribute to this growing body of scholarship by undertaking a comprehensive analysis of the multifaceted issues surrounding land governance in Jharkhand. Drawing largely on existing literature and case studies, the historical evolution of land tenure systems, the impact of legal frameworks on tribal communities, the role of state institutions in land administration, and the implications of economic development initiatives on land rights and social justice have been explored. Through this inquiry, the complexities, challenges, and opportunities inherent in governance of land in Jharkhand are elucidated, with a view of informing policy discourse and promoting inclusive and sustainable development outcomes. By interrogating the intersections of law, politics, economics and culture, pathways towards more equitable and just land governance systems that uphold the rights and dignity of all citizens, particularly the marginalized and vulnerable tribal communities, are aimed to be shed light on.

### 1) Agrarian Roots and Contemporary Challenges in Land Governance

Land holds a pivotal role within agrarian economies, serving as both a means of sustenance and a locus of power and influence. However, its significance transcends agrarian settings, assuming heightened importance within industrial economies and various other economic paradigms. Across the globe, statutory land management practices have been implemented to safeguard property rights, acknowledging the fundamental role land plays in societal and economic structures [5]. The establishment of land revenue administration often stems from the imperative of revenue collection, constituting a primary motivation for governmental intervention in land management. Within the ambit of land revenue administration, the identification of authentic property holders and the accurate estimation of land revenue stand as indispensable functions. Governments, particularly post-independence, have striven to foster justice, security, and welfare for both landowners and cultivators, recognizing the intrinsic link between land and socio-economic stability [6]. Land reforms enacted in the aftermath of independence sought to curtail the influence of zamindars and intermediaries, aiming to distribute land resources more equitably among the populace.

However, the complexities of tenure systems and the entrenched influence of intermediaries often precipitated resistance to these reforms. Despite the passage of time and shifting economic landscapes, land issues persist as salient topics within contemporary debates, traversing economic transitions and policy shifts [7]. The centrality of property rights remains undeniable in every planning process, underpinning notions of ownership, investment, and development. Nevertheless, the prevalence of poor governance in many developing nations engenders host of challenges for individuals seeking to assert their land rights and exacerbating existing socio-economic disparities. Indigenous communities across the globe continue to wage battles for recognition of their customary land practices, contending against encroachments by state and corporate

entities [8]. Moreover, the advent of neo-liberal policies often precipitates contentious issues such as land grabbing and dispossession, as market imperatives clash with traditional communal land tenure systems. The nexus between legal frameworks and political dynamics frequently precipitates conflict within rural communities, as divergent interests contend for control over scarce land resources. Despite advocating inclusivity and empowerment, economic reforms often sideline community rights, perpetuating cycles of poverty and marginalization [9]. Within the context of modern market economies, the integration of formal property systems assumes paramount importance, facilitating transactions, investments, and economic growth.

India's land management regime, delineated as a state subject in the Constitution, underscores the decentralized nature of land governance within the country. The interplay of federal and state-level policies and institutions shapes the contours of land administration, influencing patterns of land ownership, land use, and socio-economic development [10]. As India navigates the complexities of rapid urbanization, industrialization, and demographic shift, the imperative of effective land management remains a cornerstone for sustainable development and social cohesion. The multifaceted dynamics surrounding land underscore its enduring importance within diverse socio-economic contexts. Addressing the innumerable challenges inherent in land governance necessitates holistic approaches that prioritize equity, sustainability, and inclusive development, transcending narrow notions of property to embrace broader imperatives of social justice and collective well-being.

### 2) Land Governance and Disparities in Tribal Communities

Land record maintenance in India exhibits significant disparities across states, largely stemming from colonial-era tenure systems that continue to shape land governance frameworks. The complexity of property management is compounded by its dispersal across multiple departments, each tasked with distinct activities pertaining to land administration. However, the lack of coordination among these departments impedes the effective management of properties, fostering inefficiencies and bureaucratic hurdles [11]. In response to these challenges, central-sponsored schemes have been instituted with the aim of enhancing coordination and modernizing land records, albeit with varying degrees of success across different states. States such as Karnataka and Gujarat have emerged as pioneers in leveraging information and communication technology (ICT) to modernize land records, streamlining processes and enhancing transparency. Following their path, Jharkhand have now adopted the same.

The nexus between land issues and tribal territories is deeply entrenched within the socio-cultural fabric of India, with indigenous communities often bearing the brunt of land-related conflicts and dispossession. Tribal autonomy and identity are intrinsically linked to land, constituting the bedrock of their socio-economic existence [12]. However, the encroachment of modern state institutions and development projects poses threat to tribal lands, precipitating social upheaval and eroding traditional livelihoods. The impact of land issues reverberates across the cultural, ethnic,

and gender dimensions of tribal communities, exacerbating existing vulnerabilities and inequalities. Development projects frequently result in displacement of tribal communities, disrupting social cohesion and exacerbating economic marginalization. Of particular concern is the disproportionate impact on tribal women, who bear the brunt of displacement, facing heightened risks of exploitation, loss of livelihoods, and fractured community ties [13]. Rehabilitation and resettlement planning often overlooks the gender - specific concerns of tribal women, failing to address their unique needs and vulnerabilities.

Historical injustices perpetrated against tribal communities, including annexation and exploitation of their territories, continue to reverberate in contemporary land governance dynamics. The Land Acquisition Act of 1894, a colonial - era legislation, facilitated land acquisition for public purposes, frequently leading to forced displacement of tribal populations without adequate compensation or resettlement measures [14]. Compensation issues stemming from poor land record management and disregard for customary tribal practices further exacerbate conflicts over land rights in tribal areas. The neglect of indigenous customary practices not only undermines the cultural heritage of tribal communities but also perpetuates cycles of dispossession and marginalization. Efforts to address land - related conflicts and equitable distribution of land resources shall prioritize the recognition and preservation of tribal customary practices, fostering inclusive and sustainable development pathways that respect the rights and dignity of indigenous peoples.

### 3) Struggle for Land and Property Rights in Jharkhand

Ramachandra Guha, a prominent scholar, highlights the profound discontent among forest communities in response to the erosion of their rights and the loss of control over natural resources. This progressive diminution of rights is particularly alarming given that forests and agriculture represent vital sources of livelihood for tribal communities, especially in absence of alternative economic opportunities. Land issues, encompassing both forest and agricultural lands, have historically been central to tribal movements in India, dating back to colonial period [15]. Scholars such as Sengupta underscore the linkages between tribal and peasant movements, particularly evident in regions like Chotanagpur, where agrarian relations are deeply influenced by land alienation, often serving as catalysts for land - based protests and movements. The discourse surrounding land and property rights issues of tribal communities encompasses a broad interdisciplinary terrain, covering cultural intricacies, institutional frameworks, social structures, and livelihood concerns inherent in land - related struggles.

Various types of land co - exist in Jharkhand, including both private and government - owned lands managed under diverse customary and statutory regimes. Across different regions of Jharkhand, a mosaic of land tenure practices prevails, reflecting historical legacies, socio - cultural norms, and legal frameworks [16]. Special tenurial rights, such as the Mundari - Kuntikattidari and Bhuinhari tenures, are recognized in specific geographical areas, underlining the complexity and diversity of land governance arrangements. The British colonial administration played a pivotal role in shaping land tenure systems in Jharkhand, enacting legislations like the

Chotanagpur Tenancy Act of 1908 and the Santhal Parganas Tenancy Act of 1949. These acts were designed to safeguard tribal agrarian interests by restricting the transfer of land and recognizing customary land tenure practices. Additionally, the Scheduled Area Regulation of 1969 aimed to address historical injustices by providing for the restoration of illegally alienated tribal lands, seeking to rectify past injustices and protect indigenous rights.

However, despite these legal protections, the illegal appropriation of Adivasi forest rights persists in Jharkhand, perpetuating cycles of dispossession, marginalization, and socio - economic exploitation [17]. For addressing land and property rights issues, holistic interventions that prioritize recognition of indigenous rights, promote equitable resource distribution, and foster sustainable development pathways that empower tribal communities and uphold their dignity and autonomy, hold paramount significance. The tenure practices in Jharkhand are intricately woven into cultural, ethnic, and livelihood fabric of its communities, reflecting centuries - old traditions and socio - economic structures [18]. The allocation and management of landholdings are deeply entwined with notions of identity, belonging, and sustenance, shaping the social dynamics and economic fortunes of individuals and communities alike.

However, property rights issues in developing countries like India, and particularly in regions like Jharkhand, are multifaceted, exerting profound impacts on social status, economic well - being, and inter - community relations. The management of land records in Jharkhand, essential for establishing and protecting property rights, has long been plagued by inefficiencies, inaccuracies, and administrative shortcomings, leading to frequent disputes and occasional outbreaks of violence [14]. Efforts to modernize land records in Jharkhand have encountered numerous challenges, ranging from systemic corruption and bureaucratic red - tapism to inadequate service delivery and technological deficiencies. These obstacles have impeded progress in ensuring the accuracy, accessibility, and transparency of land records, undermining the efficacy of land governance mechanisms and exacerbating existing grievances within communities [3]. Poor and marginalized segments of society, including particularly vulnerable tribal groups (PVTGs), confront formidable barriers in accessing and asserting their property rights, further exacerbating socio - economic inequalities and perpetuating cycles of exclusion and marginalization.

Among the myriad challenges faced by tribal communities in Jharkhand, securing property rights and accessing entitlements under legislative frameworks such as the Forest Rights Act has emerged as a grave concern. The implementation of this Act, designed to recognize and restore forest rights to indigenous communities has been sluggish in Jharkhand compared to states, hampering the realization of land and livelihood entitlements [11]. In the absence of secure property rights, tribals are often compelled to rely on precarious forms of wage labour, further marginalizing them within the broader socio - economic landscape. Despite these challenges, there have been commendable initiatives by district administrations to address the needs of tribal communities, especially in delivering essential services and promoting socio - economic development within tribal

enclaves. Moreover, proactive measures aimed at re-distributing land ownership to villagers in Jharkhand signify the willingness to rectify historical injustices and empower marginalized communities through land rights.

Drawing inspiration from successful land rights policies implemented in other states, Jharkhand has the potential to learn from best practices and adopt innovative strategies to expedite the realization of property rights for its citizens, particularly tribal communities [6]. However, systemic challenges persist, including the lack of market support for traditional skills and livelihoods, which further compound the socio-economic vulnerabilities faced by tribal populations. The reliance of tribal youth on wage labour due to limited livelihood options underscores the urgency of addressing structural impediments to economic empowerment and social inclusion. Land and property rights issues intersect with broader social, economic, and political dynamics, necessitating holistic approaches that address underlying structural inequalities and empower marginalized groups [8]. Continued attention and proactive measures are imperative to navigate the complex landscape of land governance and foster inclusive development pathways that uphold the rights and dignity of citizens in Jharkhand.

## 2. Conclusion

The discourse on land tenure and property rights in regions like Jharkhand is inherently complex, encompassing a myriad of socio-economic, legal, and political dynamics that intersect to shape land governance and resource allocation. Through an exploration of various themes, including historical legacies, legal frameworks, socio-economic disparities, and indigenous rights, the current research endeavoured to unravel the intricate mosaic of land-related struggles in Jharkhand. By delving into the nuances of land acquisition, property management, and community resistance, the study shed light on multifaceted challenges and opportunities inherent in the quest for equitable land governance and inclusive development. From the onset, it becomes evident that land laws and policies often serve as instruments of regulation rather than protection, perpetuating socio-economic inequalities and marginalizing vulnerable groups, particularly tribal communities. Despite the existence of protective legislation, such as the Chotanagpur Tenancy Act and the Santhal Pargana Tenancy Act, loopholes and administrative shortcomings have facilitated land grabs, dispossession, and exploitation.

The lack of comprehensive land use policies and transparent land administration mechanisms further compounds these challenges, hindering efforts towards inclusive development and sustainable resource management. Throughout history, Jharkhand's communities have borne the brunt of land-related injustices, leading to widespread resistance, violence, and uprisings. The struggle for land rights and self-determination has been central to the identity and survival of tribal populations, who have faced significant hardships in their quest for justice and empowerment. State interventions, often driven by extractive industries and commercial interests, have encroached upon tribal lands, displacing indigenous communities and eroding their traditional livelihoods. In response, grassroots movements and civil

society organizations have emerged as powerful agents of change, mobilizing communities and advocating for land rights. Central to the discourse on land governance is the imperative to protect marginalized and vulnerable groups, particularly tribal communities, from illegal land transfers and encroachments. The state must play a proactive role in identifying and rectifying instances of illegally alienated tribal land, ensuring restitution and justice for affected communities. Efforts should focus on promoting transparency, efficiency, and participatory approaches in land management processes, fostering trust and accountability between stakeholders and state institutions.

Furthermore, the implementation of policies such as the National Land Records Modernization Program (NLRMP) and the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act (LARR Act) is paramount for empowering and protecting tribal communities, providing avenues for securing land tenure rights and enhancing livelihood opportunities. In envisioning a more just and sustainable future, policymakers and stakeholders must heed the voices of marginalized communities and prioritize their needs and aspirations in land governance and development planning. Rational development projects that respect indigenous land rights and promote community participation offer pathways towards inclusive growth and social cohesion. Moreover, recognition of customary land rights and traditional resource management practices are essential for preserving cultural heritage and ecological integrity, fostering resilience and sustainability in the light of global challenges. The quest for equitable land governance and inclusive development requires concerted efforts from all stakeholders, including governments, civil society organizations, academia, and communities themselves. By embracing principles of justice, equity, and sustainability, pathways can be forged towards a future where land rights are respected, livelihoods are secure, and communities thrive in harmony with nature. The journey ahead may be fraught with challenges, but it is through collaboration and solidarity that a more just and prosperous space can be built for generations to come.

## References

- [1] Stuligross, D. (2008). Resources, representation, and authority in Jharkhand, India. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 49 (1), 83 - 97.
- [2] Sundar, N. (2010). The rule of law and the rule of property: Law - struggles and the neo-liberal state in India. In *The state in India after liberalization* (pp.191 - 210). Routledge.
- [3] Rao, N. (2005). Kinship matters: Women's land claims in the Santal Parganas, Jharkhand. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 11 (4), 725 - 746.
- [4] Ajaz - ul - Islam, M., Quli, S. S., Rai, R., & Sofi, P. A. (2013). Livelihood contributions of forest resources to the tribal communities of Jharkhand. *Indian journal of fundamental and applied life sciences*, 3 (2), 131 - 44.
- [5] Ashokvardhan, C. (2005). *Studies in the Jharkhand Tenancy Laws*. Centre for Rural Studies, Lal Bahadur Shastri National Academy of Administration.
- [6] Shah, A. (2013). The Agrarian Question in a Maoist Guerrilla Zone: Land, Labour and Capital in the Forests

- and Hills of Jharkhand, India. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 13 (3), 424 - 450.
- [7] Sharan, R., Singh, A. K., & Batra, S. X. (1999). Land alienation of tribals in the Jharkhand region of Bihar: Process and pattern. *Social Change*, 29 (3 - 4), 146 - 170.
- [8] Shilee, S., & Shailee, S. (2002). Indigenous identity of tribals in Jharkhand. *Indian Anthropologist*, 32 (1/2), 75 - 86.
- [9] Singh, A. K., Sharan, R., Jayaswal, M., & Chaudhary, S. (1999). Status of tribals in Jharkhand. *Social Change*, 29 (3 - 4), 59 - 107.
- [10] Ghosh, P. (2016). The Colonial Origins of Jharkhand Movement: Land and Adivasi Solidarity.
- [11] Hebbar, R. (2005). Homecoming: Ho women, work, and land rights in Jharkhand. *Sociological bulletin*, 54 (1), 18 - 39.
- [12] Islam, M. A., Quli, S. M. S., Rai, R., & Ali, A. (2014). Exploration of variables predicting livelihood assets status of tribal communities subsisting in forests of Jharkhand, India. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 47 (3), 241 - 249.
- [13] Kamaal, K. (2017). Acquiring Land In Tribal Areas. *IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR - JHSS) Volume*, 22.
- [14] Mathur, H. M. (2009). Tribal land issues in India: Communal management, rights, and displacement. *Land and cultural survival*, 163.
- [15] Singh, P. K., Roshan, R., & Oraon, J. (2014). Overview on common property right and resources in tribal Jharkhand: An anthropological study. *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences*, 4 (3), 383 - 395.
- [16] Rao, N. (2005). Questioning women's solidarity: the case of land rights, Santal Parganas, Jharkhand, India. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 41 (3), 353 - 375.
- [17] Rao, N. (2007). Custom and the courts: Ensuring women's rights to land, Jharkhand, India. *Development and change*, 38 (2), 299 - 319.
- [18] Rao, N. (2011). Gender, land and resource rights in India. *Du grain à moudre. Genre, développement rural et alimentation. Actes des colloques genre et développement*, 209 - 245.