# A Study of Association between Symptom Severity, Nasal Endoscopy and CT Scan Findings in Chronic Rhinosinusitis Patients

### Sachin Nilakhe<sup>1</sup>, Rahul Singh Chadha<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Department of E.N.T, BharatiVidyapeeth Medical College & Hospital, Sangli

<sup>2</sup>Junior Resident, Department of E.N.T, BharatiVidyapeeth Medical College & Hospital, Sangli

Abstract: The term rhinosinusitis refers to a group of disorders characterized by inflammation of the ciliated respiratory mucosa of nose and paranasal sinuses. Many otorhinolaryngologists rely on paranasal sinus computed tomography and diagnostic nasal endoscopy to confirm and assess severity of disease, and aid in management decisions. Computed tomography scanning of the paranasal sinuses has become the gold standard in the evaluation and surgical planning for CRS. This study was a prospective observationalstudy, conducted on 100 cases in E.N.T OPD of BharatiVidyapeeth Medical College & Hospital, Sangli between November 2015 to May 2017 to study the association of severity of symptoms with paranasal sinus CT scan findings and diagnostic nasal endoscopy findings patients of CRS. The CT scan scores vary with the symptom severity whereas the Nasal Endoscopy scores do not.

Keywords: Chronic Rhinosinusitis, CRS, CTParanasal sinus, Diagnostic Nasal Endoscopy

#### 1. Introduction

Chronic Rhinosinusitis (CRS) is a very common disease that results in significant impact on economy and quality of life which is comparable or even worse to other chronic debilitating diseases such as diabetes and congestive heart failure. The term rhinosinusitis refers to a group of disorders characterized by inflammation of the ciliated respiratory mucosa of nose and paranasal sinuses, as they are contiguous with each other and it is rare for one to be affected in isolation<sup>1</sup>.

In 1997, the TFR set forth diagnostic guidelines for diagnosis of CRS. A key feature of the TFR definitions of rhinosinusitis is its emphasis on symptoms rather than objective findings for establishing a diagnosis. The intent of using a symptom-based criteria was to provide clinicians of all specialities a means of diagnosing rhinosinusitis without requiring expensive modalities such as CT or nasal endoscopy. However, by general clinical practice, many otorhinolaryngologists rely on paranasal sinus computed tomography and diagnostic nasal endoscopy to confirm and assess severity of disease, and aid in management decisions. This study was conducted to study the association of severity of symptoms with paranasal sinus CT scan findings and diagnostic nasal endoscopy findingsin patients of CRS.

# 2. Materials and Methods

The study was a Prospective Observational type, conducted on 100 cases presenting with symptoms of chronic rhinosinusitis in E.N.T out-patient department of BharatiVidyapeeth Deemed University Medical College & Hospital, Sangli, Maharashtra between November 2015 to May 2017 after obtaining a written informed consent from the patient.

#### Method of Collection of Data

#### Inclusion Criteria

- 1) Age between 16 yrs and 70 yrs
- Patients who follow the established clinical criteria for diagnosis of Chronic Rhinosinusitis given in the following criteria by Task force on rhinosinusitis [TFR] 1996
- 3) No history of nasal and paranasal surgery in the past.

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

- 1) Pregnancy
- 2) Significant psychological problems
- 3) Inability to comply with the study protocol
- 4) Age less than 16 years, previous nasal and paranasal surgery
- 5) Systemic diseases preventing participation in the study
- 6) Medical and/or surgical treatments influencing the study

An **Informed Written Consent** was taken from all the patient included in the study following which all cases were assigned a number and a total of 100 cases were studied during the study period. All the patients satisfying the criteria of selection were subjected to

(a) **History:** A detailed history was taken with regards to the symptoms of chronic rhinosinusitisas given by Task force on rhinosinusitis 1996 as given below:

Sign and symptoms associated with diagnosis of Rhinosinusitis

| (Task force on rhinosinusitis [TFR] 1996) | ) |
|---|---|
|---|---|

| Major Factors                                | Minor Factors         |
|--|-----------------------|
| Facial pain/ pressure                        | Headache              |
| Nasal obstruction                            | Fever (all non acute) |
| Nasal discharge/ discoloured post nasal drip | Halitosis             |
| Hyposmia/ Anosmia                            | Dental pain           |
| Purulent nasal discharge                     | Fatigue               |

# Volume 7 Issue 4, April 2018

www.ijsr.net Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

#### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2017): 7.296

| Fever (acute only) | Cough                          |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|
|                    | Ear pain/pressure/<br>fullness |
|                    | Tuffness                       |

- Two major or One major with two minor criteria required.
- The symptoms were scored between 0 to 3with 0 as no symptoms, 1 for mild, 2 for moderate and 3 for severe symptoms.

#### (b) Clinical examination :

- Rhinoscopy examination
- Otological
- Throat and neck examination

(c) All cases with clinical features of chronic rhinosinusitis as per TFR 1996 guidelines subjected to DNE and findings scored with Lund-Kennedy scoring system

(d) All cases with clinical features of chronic rhinosinusitis as per TFR 1996 guidelines subjected to CT paranasal sinus and findings scored with Lund-Mackay scoring system

The CT scan finding was also scored in the proforma as per the Lund - Mackay scoring system separately for left and right side. Maxillary, anterior ethmoids, posterior ethmoids, frontal, sphenoid sinuses were scored from 0-2, where 0 was no opacification of sinus cavity, 1 being partial opacification and 2 was complete opacification. Osteomeatal complex was scored as 0 or 2.0 if it is not blocked and 2 if it is.

# 3. Observation and Results

Table 1: Sex Distribution

| Sex    | No. |
|--------|-----|
| Male   | 68  |
| Female | 32  |
| Total  | 100 |

The male to female ratio was 2: 1 (Male =68, female=32)

Age No 16-25 12 21-30 18 27 31-40 41-50 23 51-60 14 61-70 6 Total 100

The mean age of patients was 38.53 years. The largest group of patients belonged to the age group 36-45 years (27%) followed by 46- 55 years (24 %)

| Table 3: | Symptom | wise | distribution | of patient |
|----------|---------|------|--------------|------------|
|----------|---------|------|--------------|------------|

| Sr.<br>no | Symptoms               | with mild | Symptome | No of Pts<br>with<br>Severe<br>Symptoms | Total |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------|----------|---|-------|
| 1         | Facial pain/pressure   | 7         | 18       | 6                                       | 31    |
| 2         | Nasal obstruction      | 21        | 35       | 37                                      | 93    |
| 3         | Nasal<br>discharge/PND | 19        | 54       | 7                                       | 80    |
| 4         | Hyposmia/Anosmia       | 27        | 24       | 14                                      | 65    |
| 5         | Pus on exam            | 3         | 3        | 0                                       | 6     |
| 6         | Fever(Acute)           | 0         | 0        | 0                                       | 0     |
| 7         | Headache               | 16        | 27       | 20                                      | 63    |
| 8         | Fever                  | 1         | 0        | 0                                       | 1     |
| 9         | Halitosis              | 2         | 1        | 0                                       | 3     |
| 10        | Dental Pain            | 0         | 0        | 0                                       | 0     |
| 11        | Fatigue                | 11        | 4        | 0                                       | 15    |
| 12        | Chronic cough          | 3         | 1        | 0                                       | 4     |
| 13        | Earache/ fullness      | 4         | 1        | 0                                       | 5     |

The most common presenting complaint was nasal obstruction (93%), followed by nasal discharge (80%), hyposmia/anosmia (65%), headache (63%), Facial pain and pressure/pain (31%). None of the patients suffered from dental pain and acute fever (Table 3)

Table 4: Comparison of CT scan and DNE scores in mild, moderate and severe symptoms

| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |                               |                  |           |         |       |                |   |       |      |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------|-------|----------------|---|-------|------|
| Symptoms                              | No of Pts<br>Mild<br>Symptoms | DNE Score<br>Avg | No of Pts | CT Scan | DNE   | No of Pts with | CT Scan   | DNE   |      |
|                                       |                               |                  | Mod       | Score   | Score | Severe         | $\begin{array}{cc} \text{CT} & \text{Scan}_{S}^{L} \\ \text{Score Avg}_{A}^{L} \end{array}$ | Score |      |
|                                       |                               |                  | Symptoms  | Avg     | Avg   | Symptoms       |   | Avg   |      |
| Nasal Obstruction                     | 21                            | 5.9              | 4.16      | 35      | 6.46  | 4.34           |   |       | 5.16 |
| Nasal discharge /PND                  | 19                            | 6.5              | 4.47      | 54      | 7.04  | 4.65           | 7   | 8.64  | 4.43 |
| Hyposmia/ Anosmia                     | 27                            | 6.33             | 4.18      | 24      | 7.61  | 5.06           | 14  | 8.64  | 4.79 |
| Headache                              | 16                            | 5.69             | 4.03      | 27      | 7.2   | 4.33           | 20  | 7.43  | 5.13 |
| Facial pain/Pressure                  | 7                             | 3.86             | 3.07      | 18      | 7.12  | 4.75           | 6   | 7.75  | 4.5  |
| Fatigue                               | 11                            | 3.64             | 4.13      | 4       | 8.5   | 5.25           | 0   | -     | -    |

The mean CT score of all the patients studied was 7.015 while the mean DNE score was 4.5. The mean of CT scan scores was found to be 5.9, 6.46 and 8.63 for patients with mild, moderate and severe nasal obstruction (n=93) respectively whereas the mean endoscopy scores for the same set of patients were found to be 4.16,4.34 and 5.16.

The mean of CT scan scores was found to be 6.5, 7.04 and 8.64 for patients with mild, moderate and severe nasal discharge (n =80) respectively whereas the mean endoscopy scores for the same set of patients were found to be 4.47,4.65 and 4.43.

The mean of CT scan scores was found to be 6.33, 7.61 and 8.64 for patients with mild, moderate and severe hyposmia/anosmia (n=65) respectively whereas the mean endoscopy scores for the same set of patients were found to be 4.18, 5.06 and 4.79.

The mean of CT scan scores was found to be 5.69,7.2 and 7.43 for patients with mild, moderate and severe headache (n=63) respectively whereas the mean endoscopy scores for the same set of patients were found to be 4.03,4.33 and 5.13.

#### Volume 7 Issue 4, April 2018 www.ijsr.net Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Table 2: Age Distribution

The mean of CT scan scores was found to be 3.86, 7.12 and 7.75 for patients with mild, moderate and severe **facial pain/pressure** (n=31) respectively whereas the mean endoscopy scores for the same set of patients were found to be 3.07, 4.75 and 4.5.

#### 4. Discussion

Chronic Rhinosinusitis involves all age groups. The mean age of patients in our study was 38.53 years(Range 13 - 73 yrs) with a male to female ratio of 2:1( male = 68, female = 32). In a study done by Wabnitz DA, Nair S, Wormald PJ the mean age of patients were 44.5 years with male to female ratio being 1.3:1. In another study done by Ling FT, Kountakis SE<sup>49</sup> the mean age of patients was 49.4 years with male to female ratio of 1.1: 1. In an Indian study done by Kirtane MV et al, majority of the patients (46,78%) were in third decade which was similar to our study which also had 27% patients in third decade.

The most common symptom in our study was nasal obstruction (93%), followed by nasal discharge/ PND (80%), hyposmia/anosmia (65%), headache (65%), facial pain and pressure (31%), fatigue (15%).Other symptoms were relatively less common. It was similar to a study done by da Lilly-Tariah OB where the symptoms were rhinorrhoea 100%, stuffy nose 97.4%, sneezing 67.6%, anosmia 54.8% and headache 54.8%.

In another study done by Kirtane MV et al the commonest complaints was nasal discharge occurring in 25 patients (78.1%), followed by headache in 22 patients (68.7%) and nasal obstruction in 22 patients (68.7%). The other complaints were sneezing in 6 patients (18.7%), anosmia and cacosmia in 2 patients each (6.25%).

However it would be interesting to note that almost all the studies have used the TFR criteria for diagnoses successfully validating further the importance of the said criteria. However, Hwang PH, Irwin SB, Griest SE, Caro JE, Nesbit GM in their study on radiologic correlates of symptom-based diagnostic criteria for chronic rhinosinusitis concluded that the specificity and predictive value of the current TFR criteria may not be adequate to serve as a diagnostic standard for rhinosinusitis.

CT Scores in patients with mild symptoms were lower than those with moderate and severe symptoms. However the CT scores between moderate and severe symptoms did not show much variation .This difference was seen in all the symptoms and was not limited to any one symptom.

There was no obvious difference in endoscopy scores in patients with mild , moderate and severe symptoms which was again independent of the symptom.

Rosbe KW, Jones KR in their study on usefulness of patient symptoms and nasal endoscopy in the diagnosis of chronic sinusitis found that nasal endoscopy was shown to be moderately sensitive and highly specific in predicting results of CT scanning. This type of a graded system in investigations maybe useful in arriving at a diagnosis if correlation with the symptom severity can be established. Bhattacharyya N in his study titled radiographic stage fails to predict symptom outcomes after endoscopic sinus surgery for chronic rhinosinusitis, corelated preoperative CT scan stage according to three staging systems: Lund-MacKay, Kennedy, and Harvard and concluded that though CT scan is widely accepted as an accurate diagnostic tool for chronic rhinosinusitis, CT scan stage alone does not significantly predict symptom outcomes after chronic rhinosinusitis, regardless of staging system utilized. This study again does not correlate the severity of the symptoms with respect to the different staging systems used.

However, Arango P, Kountakis SE, in their study "Significance of computed tomography pathology in chronic Rhinosinusitis" mentioned that multiple reports show that the extent of disease on computer tomography (CT) of the sinuses does not correlate with patients' subjective sinus symptom scores, but concluded that the presence of CT disease translates to higher patient symptom scores compared with symptom scores of patients without CT disease. This finding is similar to the findings of our study where the presence of higher symptom score was associated with presence of CT findings.

In another study dealing with symptom severity, Stewart MG, Donovan DT, Parke RB Jr, Bautista MH (study titled, does the severity of sinus computed tomography findings predict outcome in chronic sinusitis) state that Severity as assessed by a pretreatment CT scan is a strong predictor of outcome. Patients with higher symptom severity based on CT scans showed significantly larger improvement and lower absolute levels of symptom severity after treatment. This study links CT scan findings and subjective patient-based outcomes (symptom scores) using a validated outcomes instrument.

More studies comparing severity of symptoms with investigative modalities are not available for comparison with this study. While the conclusion that CT scores vary with severity and endoscopy scores do not, may require further statistical analysis and validation, this study brings out a difference in the two investigative modalities with respect to severity.

# 5. Conclusion

This study was undertaken with the objective of correlating the diagnostic nasal endoscopy and computed tomographic findings in patients with chronic sinusitis.

The main findings and conclusions from the study are as follows :

- a) Majority of the patients were in the third decade and there was male predominance in our study.
- b) The commonest symptoms were nasal obstruction followed by nasal discharge hyposmia/anosmia, headache, facial pain and pressure/pain.
- c) The mean CT scan scoring for all symptoms was found to be 7.015. The mean of CT scan scores increased with increasing severity of symptoms.
- d) The mean of diagnostic nasal endoscopic score was 4.5. It did not vary with severity of symptoms.

DOI: 10.21275/ART20181437

- e) Both DNE and computed tomography imaging of PNS are important Pre-operative evaluation tools in detecting pathology and both are complementary to each other.
- f) DNE and CT scan is a must prior to any functional endoscopic sinus surgery. They help in assessing the extent of sinus disease and to know the variations and vital relations of the paranasal sinuses. CT scan assists the surgeon as a "road-map" during FESS.

#### References

- [1] Bhattacharyya N, Symptom and disease severity differences between nasal septal deviation and chronic rhinosinusitis, Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2005Aug;133 (2):173-7.
- [2] Kennedy Dw, Gwaltney JM, Jones JG. Medical management of sinusitis : educational goals and management guidelines. The International conference on Sinus Disease. Annals of Otology, Rhinology, and Laryngology. 1995;167: 22-30.
- [3] Pearlman AN, Conley DB, Review of current guidelines related to the diagnosis and treatment of rhinosinusitis, CurrOpinOtolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2008 Jun;16
- [4] Bhattacharyya N, Radiographic stage fails to predict symptom outcomes after endoscopic sinus surgery for chronic rhinosinusitis Laryngoscope. 2006 Jan;116(1):18-22.
- [5] Reiss M, Neumann U, Reiss G, Importance of computer tomography in preoperative diagnostics of polyposis nasi, Wien KlinWochenschr. 2000 Oct 13;112(19):851-4. [Article in German] 3):226-30.
- [6] Hwang PH, Irwin SB, Griest SE, Caro JE, Nesbit GM. Radiologic correlates of symptom-based diagnostic criteria for chronic rhinosinusitis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003 Apr;128(4):489-96.
- [7] Report of the Rhinosinusitis Task Force Committee Meeting. Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery1997;117:S1-68.
- [8] Osguthorpe JD, Adult rhinosinusitis: diagnosis and management, AmFam Physician. 2001 Jan 1;63(1):69-76.
- [9] Bhattacharyya N , Clinical and symptom criteria for the accurate diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis, Laryngoscope. 2006 Jul;116(7 Pt 2 Suppl 110):1-22.
- [10] Garcia, DP, Corbett, ML, Eberly, SM, Radiographic imaging studies in pediatric chronic sinusitis. J Allergy ClinImmunol, 94:523-530, 1994.
- [11] Zinreich SJ, Kennedy DW, Rosenbaum AE, Gayler BW, Kumar AJ, Stammberger H. Paranasal sinuses: CT imaging required for endoscopic surgery. Radiology.1987; 163: 69-75.
- [12] Buljcik-Cupić MM, Savović SN, Endonasal endoscopy and computerized tomography in diagnosis of the middle nasal meatus pathology, Med Pregl. 2007 Jul-Aug;60(7-8) :327-32
- [13] Nass RL, Holliday RA, Reede DL, Diagnosis of surgical sinusitis using nasal endoscopy and computerized tomography Laryngoscope. 1989 Nov;99(11):1158-60
- [14] Drutman J, Harnsberger HR, Babbel RW, Sonkens JW, Braby D, Sinonasal poly -posis: investigation by direct

coronal CT, Neuroradiology. 1994 Aug;36(6):469-72. Links

- [15] Bhattacharyya N. Test-retest reliability of computed tomography in the assessment of chronic rhinosinusitis. Laryngoscope. 1999 Jul;109(7 Pt 1):1055-8.
- [16] Stewart MG, Donovan DT, Parke RB Jr, Bautista MH, Does the severity of sinus computed tomography findings predict outcome in chronic sinusitis? Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2000 Jul;123(1 Pt 1):81-4.
- [17] Arango P, Kountakis SE, Significance of computed tomography pathology in chronic rhinosinusitis. Laryngoscope. 2001 Oct;111(10):1779-82.
- [18] Bhattacharyya N, Jones DT, Hill M, Shapiro NL. The diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography in pediatric chronic rhinosinusitis. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2004 Sep;130(9):1029-32.
- [19] Tezer MS, Tahamiler R, Canakçioğlu S. Computed tomography findings in chronic rhinosinusitis patients with and without allergy. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2006 Jun-Sep;24(2-3):123-7.
- [20] Coste A, Gilain L, Roger G, Sebbagh G, Lenoir G, Manach Y, Peynegre R, Endoscopic and CT-scan evaluation of rhinosinusitis in cystic fibrosis, Rhinology. 1995 Sep;33(3):152-6
- [21] Jones NS, Strobl A, Holland I, A study of the CT findings in 100 patients with rhinosinusitis and 100 controls, ClinOtolaryngol Allied Sci. 1997 Feb;22(1):47-51
- [22] Benninger MS, Nasal endoscopy: its role in office diagnosis, Am J Rhinol. 1997 Mar-Apr;11(2):177-80
- [23] Rosbe KW, Jones KR, Usefulness of patient symptoms and nasal endoscopy in the diagnosis of chronic sinusitis. Am J Rhinol. 1998 May-Jun;12(3):167-7
- [24] Hughes RG, Jones NS. The role of nasal endoscopy in outpatient management. ClinOtolaryngol Allied Sci. 1998 Jun;23(3):224-6.
- [25] Howells RC, Ramadan HH, Usefulness of computed tomography and magnetic resonance in fulminant invasive fungal rhinosinusitis. Am J Rhinol. 2001 Jul-Aug;15(4): 255-61.
- [26] Bhattacharyya N, Fried MP, The accuracy of computed tomography in the diagnosisof chronic rhinosinusitis, Laryngoscope. 2003 Jan;113(1):125-9.
- [27] Ameli F, Castelnuovo P, Pagella F, Caligo G, Cerniglia M, Delù G, Tosca MA, Marseglia GL, Ciprandi G. Nasal endoscopy in asthmatic children: clinical role in the diagnosis of rhinosinusitis. Rhinology. 2004 Mar;42(1):15-8.
- [28] Wabnitz DA, Nair S, Wormald PJ. Correlation between preoperative symptom scores, quality-of-life questionnaires, and staging with computed tomography in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. Am J Rhinol. 2005 Jan-Feb;19(1):91-6.

DOI: 10.21275/ART20181437