A Comparative Study of Oral Pregabalin versus Gabapentin on Hemodynamic Responses to Laryngoscopy and Endotracheal Intubation under General Anaestesia

Manish Kumar¹, Trishala Jain², Savita Meena³, Gaurav Hindonia⁴

^{1, 4}Junior Resident, SMS Medical College, Jaipur, Rajasthan

² Senior Professor, SMS Medical College, Jaipur, Rajasthan

³ Assistant Professor, SMS Medical College, Jaipur, Rajasthan

Abstract: <u>Background and Aim</u>- Laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation are potent stimuli that can induce increased sympathetic activity leading to tachycardia, hypertension and dysrrhythmias. Various drugs and methods have been tried to obtund this response. To obtain ideal drugs, studies still continue. We compared the efficacy of pregabalin and gabapentin to attenuate the pressor response during laryngoscopy and intubation. <u>Method</u>: Total 120 patients of ASA grade I&II scheduled for elective surgery under general anaesthesia, were randomized into three groups. Group A received oral pregabalin 150mg 2 hrs prior to surgery, group B received oral gabapentin 800mg 2 hrs prior to surgery and group C received oral placebo 2 hrs prior to surgery. Heart rate and blood pressure (SBP, DBP &MAP) were recorded at baseline, before induction, before intubation, during laryngoscopy, 0, 1, 3, 5, and 10 minutes after intubation. <u>Results</u>: When compared to gabapentin and pregabalin, there was a significant increase in HR and MAP in control group after laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. Pregabalin wasbetter than gabapentin in suppressing the pressor response. <u>Conclusion</u>: Pregabalin appears to be better than Gabapentin for control of haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation.Both drugs have anti-nociceptive effects that may be beneficial for controlling post-operative pain.

Keywords: Pregabalin, Gabapentin, hemodynamic changes and endotracheal intubation

1. Introduction

Endotracheal intubation is considered to be the gold standard in airway management during general anaesthesia and in critical care settings. As such endotracheal intubation is a safe and common practice in modern day anaesthesia but it can induce increased sympathetic activity leading to tachycardia, hypertension and dysarrhythmias. When laryngoscopy and intubation is carried out, there is mechanical irritation of stretch receptors situated in the respiratory tract leading to reflex hemodynamic responses through a sympathetic reflex. The pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation has been described by Reid and Brace in 1940.¹Tracheal intubation causes a reflex increase in sympathetic activity that may result in hypertension, tachycardia, and arrhythmia. A change in plasma catecholamine concentrations also has been demonstrated to be a part of the stress response. This autonomic changes are variable, transitory, unpredictable and well tolerated in ASA I & II patients, but it may detrimental in patients with pre-existing hypertension, Cardiac disease, and cerebral pathologies.^{2,3}

Various non -pharmacological methods like smooth & gentle intubation with a shorter duration of laryngoscopy, insertion of LMA in place of endotracheal intubation has been tried.⁴Inpharmacological methods anumbers of drugs have been used. These includes lidocaine spray,IVlignocaine andOpioids,Propanolol,isosorbidedinitrate,Calcium channel blockers, Esmolol, fentanyl, Magnesium sulphate, Clonidine,Pregabalin, Gabapentin, and dexmedetomidine.⁵⁻

¹⁸None of the above approaches or agents has been proved to be ideal so the need for an ideal agent to obtund the stress responses to laryngoscopy & intubation is still continuing.

Gabapentin and pregabalin apart from its use in the treatment of epilepsy, alleviating neuropathic pain, acute post-operative pain relief, it also decreases pre-operative anxiety and attenuates perioperative stress response. This study was designed to evaluate oral gabapentin and pregabalinas premedication to attenuate pressor response to laryngoscopy and intubation.

2. Subject and Methods

After approval from the institutional ethical committee 120 patients with study eligibility of ASA grade I and II,aged 30–60 years, weighing 40to 70 kg, posted for elective surgery under general anaesthesia were selected and randomly allocated into three groups of 40 patients eachby using 'Chit in box' technique.

Group (A) (n=40) - patients have received oral tab Pregabalin 150mg 2 hrs prior to surgery.

Group (B) (n=40) - patients have received oral tab. Gabapentin 800mg 2 hrs prior to surgery.

Group (C) = Control group (n=40) - patients have received oral tab. Placebo 2 hrs prior to surgery.

Patient with major organ dysfunction (hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, ischemic heart disease, arrhythmias, shock), onmedications (like hypnotics, narcotic analgesics,

 α_2 agonists, calcium channel blockers, β blockers) and with reactive airway diseases, having known allergy to anaesthetic agents used in study, with anticipated difficult intubation, pregnancy/ lactation and in whom intubation was done after more than 1 attempt or more than 20 seconds were excluded from the study.

Pre -anaesthetic checkup was done in all patients as per institutional protocol. Written informed consent was taken for performance of general anaesthesia after complete explanation about the study protocol.120 patients were randomly allocated into 3 groups of 40 each. Group A received Pregabalin 150mg, Group B received Gabapentin 800mg and Group C received placebo orally with sips of water as premedication 2hours prior to induction of general anaesthesia. Anaesthesiologist who was not aware of the study protocol and was not participating in study was the observer.

On arrival in the operation theatre fasting status, written informed consent and PAC was checked. All the routine monitors were attached and the preoperative baseline vitals i.e heart rate (HR), Systolic blood pressure (SBP), Diastolic blood pressure (DBP), Mean arterial pressure (MAP), SpO2 & ECG were noted. Intravenous line was secured, and i.v. fluid Ringer Lactate started.

Premedication-Patients were premedicated with inj Midazolam 1mg iv, inj. Glycopyrolate 0.2mg iv, and inj. ondansetron 4mg iv, Inj. Fentanyl 2 mcg/kg iv prior to induction.

Patients were pre oxygenated with 100 % O2 for three minutes .Induction was done with inj. Propofol 2mg/kg iv followed by inj. atracurium 0.5 mg/kg iv and ventilated with 100% oxygen for 3 minutes. Data were collected just before intubation.Direct laryngoscopy was done and patient was intubated with appropriate size endotracheal tube. Bilateral air entry was checked. Tube was fixed at the angle of mouth by durapore. Patients intubated after more than 1 attempt or more than 20 seconds duration were excluded from the study.

Data were collected at baseline, before induction, before intubation, during laryngoscopy, 0, 1, 3, 5, and 10 minutes after intubation.

Maintenance-Surgery was allowed to commence after 10 minutes of intubation & anaesthesia was maintained with 60% Nitrous Oxide and 40% Oxygen , 0.6-1% isoflurane and inj. Atracurium 0.1 mg/kg i.v. SOS.

Reversal- At the end of the surgery patient was reversed with Inj. Neostigmine (0.05mg/kg i.v.) and Inj. Glycopyrrolate (0.01mg/kg i.v.). Extubation was done after proper suction, and when patient was fulfilling the criteria for extubation. Patient was shifted to recovery room.In recovery room patient was observed for any side effects.

3. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS, version 21 for Windows statistical software package (SPSS inc., Chicago, IL, USA).All the quantitative data were summarized in the form of Mean \pm SD. The Categorical data was presented as numbers (percent) and were compared among groups using Chi square test. The quantitative data was presented as mean and standard deviation and were compared by students t-test. The levels of significance and α - error were kept 95% and 5% respectively, for all statistical analyses. P values <0.05 were considered as Significant (NS).

4. Results

A total 120 patients were induced into the study. There were no statistically significant differences in the demographic parameters such as Age, Sex, Weight, Height, ASA physical status, Mallampatti grading and duration of laryngoscopyamong the study groups. The patients were in the age group of 30-60yrs, in weight group of 40-70kgs. In our study, there was slight male preponderance.

Table	1:	Demographic	parameters
		2 emographie	parativere

Particulars	Group A	Group B	Group C	P value
Age(years) (Mean±SD)	39.98±8.73	43.95±11.77	43.58±7.80	0.127 (NS)
Sex(male:female)	23:17	27:13	25:15	0.109(NS)
Weight(kg) (Mean±SD)	55.80±7.03	59.18±7.26	58.93±7.16	0.067(NS)
Mallampati grading(I:II)	38:2	39:1	38:2	0.815 (NS)
ASA(I:II)	37:3	36:4	38:2	0.702 (NS)
LaryngoScopy time sec (Mean±SD)	17.17±1.61	17.12±1.75	16.87 ± 1.82	0.710 (NS)

The initial baseline heart rate and Mean arterial pressor were similar in all the 3 groups. An increase in heart rate and MAP was seen from the time of laryngoscopy upto 5 minutes after intubation. Maximum heart rate and MAP was seen at 0 min of intubation. The average maximum heart rate in the study Group A-93.42, Group B-96.65 and Group C-111.02 was seen at 0 min and maximum MAP was 100.32, 102.4 and 110.17 in Group A, B and Crespectively at 0 min.

The difference between the control and the gabapentin and pregabalin was found to be significant with a p value of <0.05 at 0, 1, 3 and 5 minafter intubation. Thereafter the heart rate and MAP started declining towards baseline by the end of 10min. When compared to gabapentin, pregabalin had very slight rise in HR and MAP to larynogoscopy but was not statistically significant.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Table 2. Mean mean Kate at Various Time intervals						
Time interval	Group A	Group B	Group C	P value		
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD			
Pre_Op.	83.07±12.46	84.85 ± 7.24	85.07±7.39	0.579 (NS)		
Before Induction	83.52±11.95	85.32±7.13	86.5±7.51	0.344 (NS)		
Before Intubation	84.02±11.63	85.92±7.13	88.7±6.88	0.062 (NS)		
Laryngoscopy	91.72±13.41	95.4±8.35	106.1±8.18	0.0003 (S)		
0 min. post intubation	$93.42{\pm}11.82$	96.65 ± 5.30	111.02 ± 7.62	0.0003 (S)		
1 min. post intubation	92.65±10.47	95.32±5.47	110.72 ± 8.18	0.0002(S)		
3 min. post intubation	91.17±12.56	94.5±7.52	106.00 ± 8.02	0.0002 (S)		
5 min. post intubation	87.5±16.38	90.22±7.73	94.4±8.51	0.029 (S)		
10 min. post intubation	83.57±12.24	84.00±7.14	84.95±7.20	0.790 (NS)		





Figure 2: Mean Heart Rate at Various Time Interval

Table 3: Mean MAP at Various Time Interval

Time interval	Group A	Group B	Group C	P value
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Pre_Op.	97.3±4.38	97.45±3.21	97.8±7.42	0.911 (NS)
Before Induction	96.75±4.62	96.87±3.02	97.5±7.68	0.805 (NS)
Before Intubation	91.65±8.83	93.1±5.79	96.12±6.85	0.022 (S)
Laryngoscopy	97.75±4.18	101.47±11.23	106.47±6.46	0.0003 (S)
0 min. post intubation	100.32 ± 4.15	$102.4{\pm}10.08$	109.82±7.78	0.0003 (S)
1 min. post intubation	99.75±3.93	100.65 ± 10.61	110.17±7.38	0.0002 (S)
3 min. post intubation	95.0±4.18	94.47±8.92	102.3±10.25	0.0003 (S)
5 min. post intubation	90.55±9.01	91.37±9.68	95.8±9.00	0.026 (S)
10 min. post intubation	89.85±8.61	90.17±8.67	93.9±9.00	0.045 (S)



Figure 3: Mean MAP at Various Time Intervals

The mean oxygen saturation remained above 98% in these groups at all the point of study. There were no significant

Volume 7 Issue 3, March 2018

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

differences in oxygen saturation among these groups. There was no respiratory depression in these groups. There was no drop in oxygen saturation in these groups.

There was no incidence of ECG abnormality, hypotension, bradycardia in all groups but unsteadiness and nausea were found in 5% of case in pregabalin group post-op.

Thus pregabalin& gabapentin were found to be effective in controlling HR, SBP, DBP, & MAP as compared to control group.

5. Discussion

Most of the general anaesthesia procedures in modern anaesthesia practice are carried out with endotracheal intubation. The sympathoadrenal activation associated with laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation causes the rise in arterial blood pressure, tachycardia and dysrrhythmias. It may have deleterious respiratory, neurological and cardiovascular effects and is more marked in hypertensive patients^{1-3,10}. If no measure are taken to prevent haemodynamic response then heart rate may increase 26-66% & SBP can increase from 36-45%. The achievement of a smooth induction with minimal reflex haemodynamic response during laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation remains an important anaesthetic goal. To obtund these adverse effects by various pharmacological and nonpharmacological methods is main concern of anaesthesiologist.

In various studies, pregabalin and gabapentin have been compared with other drugs separately and there are very few studies indicating comparison of pregabalin and gabapentin, therefore present study was undertaken to evaluate and compare both the drugs in attenuating the haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation in normotensive patients undergoing elective surgery.

We have done this study to compare the effects of pregabalin and gabapentin on haemodynamic responses to endotracheal intubation to find a better drug in this respect.

Pregabalin binds to $\alpha 2\delta$ (alpha-2-delta) subunit of the voltage-dependent calcium channel in the central nervous Pregabalin decreases the release system. of neurotransmitters including glutamate norepinephrine, substance P and calcitonin gene-related peptide. However, unlike anxiolytic compounds (e.g., benzodiazepines) which exert their therapeutic effects through binding to GABA, pregabalin neither binds directly to these receptors nor augments GABA currents or affects GABA metabolism. The half-life for pregabalin is 6.3hours.

Similarly, **Gabapentin** which is a structural analogue of the neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid is being used since 1993 as an anticonvulsant drug is also effective in controlling neuropathic pain. It acts by selective activation of heterodimeric GABA_B receptors. The peak onset of action is 2-4 hours; elimination half life is 5-7 hours and is unaltered by single dose^{18, 26} or following multiple dosing.¹⁷

In our study both Pregabalin& Gabapentin were found to be effective in controlling Heart rate to laryngoscopy & intubation as compared to control group. During laryngoscopy there was increased heart rate in all groups. It increased from 84.85 ± 7.24 bpm to 95.4 ± 8.35 bpm (12.43%) in group B as compared to group A in which it increased from 83.07±12.46 bpm to 91.72±13.41 bpm (10.41%), whereas in control group it increased from 85.07 ± 7.39 bpm to 106.1 ± 8.18 bpm (24.72%). When compared to gabapentin, pregabalin had very slight rise in HR to laryngoscopy but was not statistically significant. Increase in HR from baseline was significant in these groups after intubation. In control group rise was 30.50% at 0 min. post intubation as compared to group A (12.45%) & group B (13.90%) which was significant (p<0.05) but not significant between group A & group B (p>0.05). The heart rate was below base line at 10 min. post intubation.

In our study also the effect of pregabalin (150gm) on HR was similar to the study done by **Namratha et al.²⁹Mimis D & collegues¹⁹**observed complete attenuation of HR with gabapentin 800mg given 1 hr before surgery. While another author Fassoulakiet al²⁰ didn't observe any effect on change in HR but attenuation of pressor response to laryngoscopy even with higher dose (1600mg)of gabapentin, this was due to divided dose.

The **SBP** elevation at laryngoscopy & intubation was significantly less than that compared to control group (<0.05). Both groups (group A&B) were comparable & there was no significant difference between them. The difference was significant in both pregabalin& control group and gabapentin & control group. Hence both pregabalin and gabapentin are found to be effective in controlling the SBP as compared to control group.Our results are similar to the study of **Indira Kumariet al**²⁵ and Mrashi SM et al.²⁴

The **DBP** elevation was comparable in both groups & there was no significant difference between group A and B. The DBP elevation was controlled in group A and B compared to control group (<0.05) at laryngoscopy & intubation. There was significant difference between group A & control group and group B & control group. Hence both pregabalin and gabapentin are effective in controlling the DBP as compared to control group.Our results are similar to the study of **K Gupta et al**^{27, 28} and ArchanaRaichurkar et al.³⁰

The MAP elevation was significantly less than that compared to control group (<0.05) at laryngoscopy & intubation. During laryngoscopy it increased from 97.30±4.38mmHg to 97.75±4.18 mmHg (0.46%) in group A as compared to group B in which it increased from 97.45 + 3.21mmHg to 101.47±11.23 mmHg (4.12%) whereas in group C it increased 97.8 + 7.42mmHg to 106.47±6.46 mmHg (8.86%). When compared to gabapentin, pregabalin had very slight rise in MAP to laryngoscopy. After intubation there was increase in MAP in group A & group B at 0, 1 min. and in group C at 0, 1, 3 min. In control group rise was 11.26% at 0 min. post intubation as compared to group A (2.51%) & group B (3.28%) which was significant (p<0.05). The MAP was near baseline at 3 min. post intubation in all groups.Our results are similar to the study of KiranS et al²³ and Faheim SM et al.²²

Volume 7 Issue 3, March 2018 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY Thus pregabalin& gabapentin were found to be effective in controlling HR, SBP, DBP, &MAP.

6. Conclusion

It is concluded from our study that oral Pregabalin (150mg) and Gabapentin (800mg) administered 2 hrs prior to surgery controls the haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. Pregabalin is better than gabapentin in attenuating pressor response. These drugs are safe, simple, effective, economical, easy to administer & no side effects. Both drugs have anti-nociceptive effects that may be beneficial for controlling post-operative pain.

References

- [1] Reid LC and Brace DE :Irritation of the respiratory tract and its reflex effect upon the heart . SurgGynaec&obst 1940;70:157-62.
- [2] Edwards ND, Alford AM, Dobson PM, Peacock JE, Reilly CS. Myocardial ischaemia during tracheal intubation and extubation. Br J Anaesth 1994;73:537-9.
- [3] Fox EJ, Sklar GS, Hill CH, Villanueva R, King BD. Complications related to the pressor response to endotracheal intubation. Anesthesiology 1977;47:524-5
- [4] Karl et al-Insertion of LMA in place of endotracheal intubation to attenuate the cardiovascular response.IJA,1999;43:30-35.
- [5] Stoelting RK and Peterson C :Circulatory changes during direct laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation : influence of duration of laryngoscopy with or without prior lidocaine .Anaesthesiology 1977; 47 :381.
- [6] Dahlgren N and Messeter K :Treatment of stress response to laryngoscopy and intubation with Fentanyl. Anaesthesia 1981 Nov;36(11):1022-6.
- [7] Curran J, Crowley M and Sullivan GO :Droperidol and endotracheal intubation :Attenuation of pressure response to laryngoscopy and intubation .Anaesthesia, 1980; 35 :290 -294.
- [8] McCammon RL, Hilgenberg JC and Stoelting RK : Effect of propranolol on circulatory responses to induction of diazepam -Nitrous oxide anesthesia and to endotracheal intubation .AnesthAnalg. 1981 Aug;60(8):579-83.
- [9] Elkayam, Uri and Wilbert S Aronow :Glyceryltrinitrate (Nitroglycerine)ointment and isosorbidedinitrate : review of their pharmacological properties and therapeutic use .Drugs 1982; 23 :165 .
- [10] Cardiovascular effects of Esmolol in anaesthetized humans. Anaesth Analg. 1985;64:327.
- [11] Ebert DO, John P, Pearson JD, Gelman S, Harris C and Bradley EL :Circulatory responses to laryngoscopy : The comparative effects of placebo, Fentanyl and esmolol .Can .J .Anaesth .1989; 36 :301-6.
- [12] Puri GD, Marudhachalam KS, Chari Pramila and Suri RK :The effect of Magnesium sulphate on haemodynamics and its efficacy in attenuating the response to endotracheal intubation in patients with coronary artery disease .AnesthAnalg1998;87:808-11.

- [13] Gopinath R, Thota S .Nifedipine to attenuate cardiovascular responses to endotracheal intubation . JAnaesthClin Pharmacology. 1989;5:99.
- [14] Zalunardo MP, Zollinger A, Spahn DR, Seifert B, Radjaipour M, Gautschi K, Pasch T. Effect of intravenous and oral clonidine on hemodynamic and plasma catecholamine response due to endotracheal intubation. J ClinAnesth 1997; 9: 143-7.,
- [15] Bafna U, Goyal VK, Garg A. A comparison of different doses of gabapentin to attenuate the haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in normotensive patients. J AnaesthesiolClinPharmacol 2011;27:43-6.
- [16] Yavascaoglu B, Kaya FN, Baykara M, et al. A comparison of esmolol and dexmedetomidine for attenuation of intraocular pressure and haemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2008;25:517---9..
- [17] Taylor CP Gabapentin mechanism of action, In: Levy RH, Mattson RH, Meidrun BS, Percucca E editors. Antiepileptic drugs. 5th ed. Philadelpheia: Lippincott Williams & Willikines, 2002: p. 320-34.
- [18] Menigaux C, Adam F, Guignard B, Sessler DI, Chauvin M. Preoperative gabapentin decreases anxiety and improves early functional recovery from knee surgery. AnesthAnalg 2005; 100 : 1394-9.
- [19] Memis D, Turan A, Karamanlioglu B, Seker S, Ture M. Gabapentin reduces cardiovascular responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2006; 23:686-90.
- [20] Fassoulaki A, Melemeni A, Paraskeva A, Petropoulos G. Gabapentin attenuates the pressor response to direct laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. Br J Anaesth 2006; 96: 769-73.
- [21] Koc S, Memis D, Sut N. The preoperative use of gabapentin, dexamethasone and their combination in varicocele surgery a randomized controlled trial. AnesthAnalg 2007; 105 : 1137-42.
- [22] Faheim SM, Hassanin M, Hafez AAA. Gabapentin versus clonidine oral premedication for attenuation of pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. Tanta Medical Sciences J 2008; 3 : 12-20.
- [23] Kiran S, Verma D, Evaluation of gabapentin in attenuation pressor response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation, SAJAA 2008;14(6):43-46
- [24] Marashi SM, Hossein M, Ghafari MH, Saliminia A. Attenuation of hemodynamic responses following laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation— Anesth 2009; 20:233-37
- [25] Indira Kumari, Vikrant Singh Pathania, A prospective, randomized, double blind placebo controlled trail of oral gabapentin for attenuation of pressor response to endotracheal intubation under general anaesthesia, in 2009;25(4);439-443
- [26] UshaBafna, Vipin K Goyal, AshishGarg, comparison of different doses of gabapentin to attenuate the haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in normotensive patients, feb.2011 Volume 27, issue 1, Page : 43-46.
- [27] Kumkum Gupta, Prashant K Gupta, Deepak Sharma, Oral premedication with pregabalin or clonidine for hemodynamic stability during laryngoscopy and

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY DOI: 10.21275/ART2018971

laparoscopic cholecystectomy, April 2011,5(2):179-184.

- [28] BhawnaRastogi, Kumkum Gupta, Oral pregabalin premedication for attenuation of hemodynamic pressor response of airway instrumentation during general anaesthesia, march 2012;56:49-54.
- [29] Namratha. S. Urs, ShobhaD Assistant Professors, Department of Anesthesiology, Sapthagiri institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Bangalore, India Comparative Evaluation of Oral Gabapentin and Pregabalin Premedication for attenuation of pressor response to endotracheal intubation under general anaesthesia Paper ID: OCT14988 Volume 3 Issue 11, November 2014
- [30] ArchanaRaichurkar, Dinesh K, Ravi M, Anand T Talikoti, Somasekharam, Comparative Study of Oral Pregabalin and Clonidine for Attenuation of Hemodynamic Responses to Laryngoscopy and Tracheal Intubation 2015,5:25-29.

Author Profile

Dr. Manish Kumar, MBBS, MD.is working as junior resident, SMS medical college, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

Dr.Trishala Jain, MBBS, MD.is working as senior professor, SMS medical college, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.