

A Retrospective Study to Evaluate Etiological Factors Associated with Intrauterine Fetal Death at Tertiary Referral Centre

Dr. Richa Jha¹, Dr. Renu Rohatgi²

¹Senior Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna

²Professor and Head of the Department, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna

Abstract: *Background:* Amongst various community health indicators available for measurement of quality and impact of health services in developing countries, perinatal mortality finds its position as being one of the top most single indicators even today. In order to have a decrease of the fetal mortality rate, it is necessary to know the etiology of fetal death and its associated risk factors in different populations. Thus the purpose of this study was to investigate about the prevalence, patient profile, socio-demographical and etiological risk factors associated with fetal losses beyond 24 weeks of pregnancy in our hospital. *Methods:* This study was conducted at Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, India. Retrospective data of all the cases with ≥ 24 weeks gestation with intrauterine fetal death, admitted in the study period (December 2016 – December 2017) were collected (n=51). All socio-demographic, antenatal and intrapartum risk factors associated with IUFD were recorded in proforma and statistical analysis done. *Results:* Total numbers of births in the study period were 1410, out of which fetal losses beyond 24 weeks of gestation occurred in 51 cases. This gave the perinatal mortality rate for our hospital as 36.17/1000 live births. This rate is much more than the national figure quoted by the central government in 2012 as 28/1000 live birth. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy were the most commonly responsible factor (27.45%) in the study group followed by congenital malformations (9.80%). In 11.76% cases cause was not explained. *Conclusions:* It is a well-established fact that adequate antenatal care is associated with better pregnancy outcome, but universal antenatal care is not the protocol in our area, reasons being ignorance, illiteracy, lack of awareness of importance of antenatal care, poverty and non-availability of health care facilities/skilled personnel/infrastructure/transport. Health education and emphasis on the need of each and every delivery being institutional under supervision of appropriate personnel needs to be propagated in the community aggressively. This only will help in reducing a number of preventable fetal deaths and huge loss of our national assets.

Keywords: Intrauterine fetal death, Fetal demise, Still born

1. Introduction

Perinatal mortality (PNM) is the single best community health indicator available to measure quality of health services in any community.¹ Intrauterine fetal death (IUFD) as per WHO definition considers any abortion or fetal demise prior to expulsion from mother's body with varying gestational period, while still birth as defined by WHO, is the fetal death in late pregnancy.² There are wide differences in the definition of IUFD in relation to gestational period among various academic groups like perinatal mortality surveillance report (PMSR), ACOG, recent clinical practice investigation guidelines (RCPI) and so on. We have used for our study, RCPI guideline defining IUFD, as death of fetus in utero after 24 weeks of pregnancy.²

Perinatal mortality rate (PNMR) is defined as fetal death in utero beyond 28 weeks of antenatal, intrapartum period or postnatal period up to 7 days after birth.

In recent years significant decline is achieved in PNM due to significant decrease in intrapartum fetal loss but correspondingly ante partum fetal loss still has remained unaffected. As estimated 10-15% of all recognized pregnancies end in unexpected loss.

Various methods of classifying the causes of fetal death are in use today like Tulip, extended Wigglesworth, modified Aberdeen, Re Co De, PSANZ-PDC classification.⁴⁻⁶ Still in many of IUFD cases, cause remain unexplained and hence

unclassified. We should always try to identify the cause so as we are able to counsel and console the grieving family properly and also help in taking adequate measure of to prevent loss in future.

In our hospital the obstetrics work received mostly unbooked type or referred from surrounding rural and semirural areas after complications had set in. So relatively we find both maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality on higher side and frequent.

This study gave us an insight into the extent of obstetric care available, nature of mismanagement, treatable and preventable reasons for intrauterine fetal death. We also came to know about the policies to be adopted and implemented at our sub centres at periphery catering to rural population and hospital to reduce the perinatal mortality in this area.

2. Aims & Objectives

The aim of this study was to find the incidence and characteristics of pregnancies that resulted in IUFD in our surroundings with the following objectives:

- 1) To identify risk factors associated with intrauterine fetal death.
- 2) To emphasize the need of antenatal supervision; identify the complications at the earliest & manage them.
- 3) To emphasize the need of early referral.

Volume 7 Issue 3, March 2018

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

3. Methods

The study was conducted at Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, India. Retrospective data of all the cases of Intrauterine fetal death (antepartum or intrapartum) admitted in the study period (December 2016-December 2017) were recorded (n=51). In all antenatal cases with gestational age ≥ 24 weeks with IUFD (diagnosed by absent of heart sound and further confirm by ultrasonography), complete history and investigations were recorded to find relevant antenatal and/or intrapartum causes leading to IUFD.

Placenta and cord examination after delivery were performed in all cases. Autopsy was declined by majority of the relatives, so only gross examination of fetus was done to note congenital malformation if any.

Following parameters were recorded in proforma after approval obtained from research and ethical committee of our institute. Women's age, parity, socioeconomic status, booked/referral cases, spontaneous conception or conception after infertility treatment, any complaint during present pregnancy, history of IUFD in past pregnancy,

complaint/diagnosis at the time of admission, gestational age, past and present medical disorders, history of pregnancy related or aggravated conditions, mode of delivery, any intra or postpartum complications. Relevant investigations to define cause of IUFD were also noted.

Data was compiled and analyzed statistically by using simple statistical measures like percentage & proportions in view of the aim and objectives.

4. Results

Total numbers of births in our study period were 1410, out of which 51 were IUFD or still birth. The incidence of IUFD in our study was 36.17/1000 live birth. As shown in Table 1, maximum number of IUFD occurred in primigravida (47.05%). Lower socioeconomic status was noted in 58.80%, with 39.21% cases in 21-25 year age group. Most cases were unbooked (49.01%) or referred (45.09%) from periphery and surrounding hospitals. Maximum cases conceived spontaneously (98.01%), only one case of IUFD occurred in a patient who conceived after infertility treatment. Maximum IUFD occurred at term (35.29%).

Table 1: Depict Demographic and Clinical Profile (Maternal characteristics) of the cases under study

Age group	Number (%)	Booking status	Number (%)
<20	3 (5.88%)	Booked	3 (5.88%)
21 - 30	38 (74.5%)	Unbooked	25 (49.01%)
31 - 35	7 (13.72%)	Referred	23(45.09%)
>35	3 (5.88%)	Conception	
Parity		Spontaneous	50 (98%)
G1	24 (47.5%)	Induced	01 (1.96%)
G2	12 (23.52%)	POG at Diagnosis	
G3	10 (19.06%)	<28 wks	11(21.56%)
G4	05 (9.8%)	28 - 32	14 (27.45%)
Socioeconomic Status		33 - 36	07 (13.72%)
Lower	30 (58.80%)	37 - 40	18 (35.2%)
Middle	19 (37.25%)	>40	01 (1.96%)
Upper	02(3.92%)	H/O IUFD in Prev Pregnancy	4

As mentioned in Table 2, maternal risk factors were noted in 52.91% cases, out of which hypertensive disorder in pregnancy (31.37%) was the most common cause of IUFD.

Table 2: Showing Maternal clinical risk factors associated with cases under study

Risk Factors	Number (%)
Severe Pre eclampsia	9 (17.6%)
Eclampsia	7 (13.7%)
Gestational Diabetes Mellitus	5 (9.8%)
Severe anaemia	5 (9.8%)
Hypothyroidism	1 (1.96%)
Total	27 (52.9%)

Fetal risk factors were found in 15.68% cases, in which congenital malformation (11.7%) were the most common association. Placental risk factors were noted in 17.64% cases. In 11.76% cases cause was not explained.

Table 3: Placental and fetal clinical risk factors associated with cases under study

Placental	Number (%)
Post dated	3 (5.8%)
Abruptio	2 (3.9%)
Severe oligohydramnios	2 (3.9%)
Placenta previa	1 (1.96%)
PROM	1 (1.96%)
Fetal	
Congenital malformations	6 (11.7%)
Severe IUGR	2 (3.9%)
Others	
Severe burn	1 (1.96%)
Unexplained	6 (11.7%)

As depicted in Table 4, most cases of IUFD came in late labor and delivered vaginally (21.5%), while 60.7% cases were delivered after induction of labor. Out of all IUFD, 74.5% were fresh and 25.4% cases were macerated.

Placental examination was normal in 78.4% cases, 17.6% cases showed retroplacental clots while adhered placenta was seen in 3.9% cases

Table 4: Showing fetal characteristics, Mode of delivery and Placental findings of cases under study

Mode of delivery	Number	Placental examination	Number
Vaginal	42 (82.35%)	Normal	40 (78.4%)
Spontaneous	11 (21.5%)	Retroplacental clots	9 (17.64%)
Induced	31 (60.7%)	Adhered placenta	2 (3.9%)
Breech extraction	3 (5.8%)	Fetal Morphology	
Caesarean Section	5 (9.8%)	Fresh	38 (74.5%)
Laparotomy	1 (1.96%)	Macerated	13 (25.4%)
Fetal Sex		Fetal congenital anomalies	
Male	29 (56.8%)	CNS Malformation	3 (5.8%)
Female	22 (43.1%)	Multiple organ malformation	3 (5.8%)

As shown in Table 5, PPH occurred in 12 (23.52%) cases, out of which 10 (9.60%) cases required blood transfusion. There was only one case of maternal mortality observed in our study group.

Table 5: Showing puerperal complications in cases under study

Puerperal complications	Number (%)
Post Partum Hemorrhage	12 (23.5%)
Breast engorgement	9 (17.64%)
Psychological upset	24 (47.05%)
Puerperal infections	12 (23.5%)
Manual removal of placenta	2 (3.9%)
Blood transfusion	10 (19.60%)
Maternal mortality	1 (1.96%)

5. Discussion

Death of a viable fetus is a distress to the family and the obstetrician both for a long time in life. Despite advances in medical science, availability of diagnostic and therapeutic modalities, pregnancy wastage still occurs and recurs, at an unacceptably high rate. Although the perinatal mortality has reduced over last few decades, the intrauterine fetal deaths still remain exceptionally high.⁸

The incidence of IUFD in our study was found to be 36.17/1000 live births, In the study from upper part of India conducted by Singh N et al⁹ incidence was 40/1000, while in the study conducted by Patel S et al¹⁰ incidence was 22.2/1000 live birth. Rate of stillbirths vary greatly in different studies and regions. A study from Faridkot, India, quotes a very high still birth rate of 125 per 1000 live births.¹¹

In our study, maximum cases of IUFD occurred in primigravida i.e. in 47.05% while in study by Patel S et al¹⁰ proportion of IUFD was higher i.e. 60% in multigravida cases. Study by Tariq et al¹² had not found any association between parity and gestational age. Maximum IUFD cases were belonged to 21-25 years (35.2%), same as study by Patel S et al¹⁰ while Tamarkar SR¹³ had reported higher stillbirth associated with increasing maternal age.

Our majority of cases belonged to low socioeconomic status (58.80%) and either referred from periphery (45.09%) or

unbooked came in emergency (49.05%). We had only three booked cases with IUFD (5.88%). Observations are supported by studies by Patel S et al, 10 Korde NV et al¹⁴ and Anjali C et al. 8 They had founded 70%, 84.9% and 89.5% IUFD cases in unbooked admission respectively.

As our hospital is a tertiary care hospital we mostly admitted referred cases with severe maternal morbidity without appropriate antenatal care, cases in late stage of labor or when IUFD was diagnosed by USG. Our cases mostly had conceived spontaneously, only one case had conceived after infertility treatment (post IVF conception). This patient had term IUFD and cause was unexplained.

Majority (35.29%) of IUFD occurred in 37- 40 weeks of gestation same as in study by Singh N et al⁹ and mentioned that uterine condition become hostile at this period and therefore it is recommended to have closed surveillance at 37 weeks and beyond.

Most common maternal risk factor for IUFD in our study was hypertensive disorders in pregnancy i.e. eclampsia (13.76%) and severe preeclampsia (17.60%). Singh N et al⁹ had observed the same in 10.81% while Patel S et al¹⁰ observed it in 33.7% cases. As our institute is a tertiary care centre surrounded by the rural area, where many women are still delivered at home by untrained dais, people are uneducated belonging mostly to lower class and with no concept of antenatal care.

We received many obstetric cases with complicated medical disorders like uncontrolled GDM (9.8%), severe anemia (9.8%) and uncontrolled hypothyroidism (1.96%).

It is well established fact that adequate ANC is associated with better pregnancy outcome.¹⁵ Anjali C et al⁸ mentioned in her study that proper antenatal care, recognition of risk factors appropriate management, judiciously timed delivery, intra partum monitoring and timely intervention has reduced the incidence of IUFD resulting from these factors.

We also found that these are the cases where proper antenatal care and timely referral can prevent both perinatal and maternal morbidity and mortality. In our study fetal risk factors associated with IUFD were mostly congenital malformations (11.7%). Detailed marital history, nutritional history, exposure to teratogens and history of febrile or viral illness could not be ascertained, this study being a retrospective study. Although chromosomal abnormalities and congenital malformations are unavoidable, routine screening and selective termination of pregnancies in such cases would reduce these deaths. Study by Anjali C et al⁸ found 11.5% and Singh N et al⁹ observed 9.45% cases of IUFD due to congenital malformation.

Other risk factors with IUFD in our study were, prolonged PROM with chorioamnionitis(1.96%), severe oligohydramnios (3.9%), Severe IUGR (3.9%), postdatism (5.8%), placenta previa (1.96%), abruption (3.92%) and severe burn (3.92%).

Death of a well grown viable fetus is tragic enough but not knowing the cause of it can be more distressing.

Unexplained fetal deaths in our study were (11.76%). Anjali C et al found same in 19.5% while Singh N et al Patel S et al and LamiaShaban et al had observed 33% and 28% unexplained fetal deaths in their studies respectively. Knowledge about reason for the loss can help in taking measures to prevent recurrence in subsequent pregnancies.

In our study 21.5% cases came in advanced labor and delivered vaginally while 60.7% cases delivered vaginally after induction. Only 9.8% cases needed caesarean delivery. Study by Singh N et al had reported spontaneous vaginal delivery in 37.55% cases induced delivery in 51.01% and LSCS in 11.46%.

Of all IUFD in our study 74.50 % delivered were fresh and only 25.4% were macerated. Tamarkar SR had observed majority of stillborn to be non- dysmorphic. We observed 56.86% male and 43.33% female babies and previous studies also suggested that male fetuses are more likely to suffer from antenatal hypoxia (ante- or intra-partum).

Post-delivery placental examination was normal in 78.43% cases while retro placental clots were seen in 17.64%, which mostly were the cases of APH or PIH. Maximum puerperal complications noted by us were psychological upset in 47.05% cases. Postpartum hemorrhage occurred in 23.52% (n=12) cases, out of which 10 cases required blood transfusion. Maternal mortality occurred in one case (1.96%), reasons was severe preeclampsia with HELLP syndrome with DIC. Maternal mortality observed by Patel S et al was 1.2%.

6. Conclusions

Lack of antenatal care is directly related to the socioeconomic and educational level of women. Health education toward stressing the importance of antenatal care, ante partum screening for congenital malformations and selective pregnancy terminations or and only for hospital delivery under supervision of appropriately trained personnel will go a long way in reducing a number of preventable fetal deaths.

Nothing is as sad and depressing as intrauterine death of a fetus for both parents and the obstetrician. Majority of fetal wastage can be prevented with health education, universal and improved antenatal care and encouraging hospital deliveries. Most of the IUFD are avoidable and treatable. Those IUFD which are unexplained are unavoidable. These patients need detailed genetic and microbiological studies for one or both partners.

Such couples also need psychological counseling, nutritional advice and pre-pregnancy planning. It is a well-established fact that adequate prenatal care is associated with better pregnancy outcome. However implementation of universal prenatal care is dependent on lot of factors like availability of health care facilities, skilled personnel, infrastructure and transport.

Despite these efforts a lot more needs to be done to bring down IUFD rate to a minimum acceptable figure.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

References

- [1] Richardus JH, Graafmans WC, Verloove-Vanorick, SP, Mackenbach JP. The perinatal mortality rate as an indicator of quality of care in international comparisons. *Med Care*. 1998;36(1):54-66.
- [2] Clinical practice guideline: investigation and management of late fetal intrauterine death and stillbirth, institute of obstetrician and gynecologists, Royal College of physicians Ireland, and Directorate of strategies and clinical programmed, health service executive. October 2011-revised 2013; Version 1.0, guideline no. 4.
- [3] Estimates of mortality indicators-Census of India website-vital statistics. Chapter 4, pp 81, statement 51, 2012.
- [4] JahanfarSh, Ghiyasi P, Haghani H. Risk factors related to intra uterine fetal death in Iran: a case control study. *Shiraz E-Medical J*. 2005;6(3&4):1- 14.
- [5] ILu JR, Mccowan L. A comparison of the perinatal society of Australia and Newzealand-perinatal death classification systems and relevant condition at death stillbirth classification systems, *Aust NZ Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;49(5):467-71.
- [6] Goyal Lajya, DParveenRajori, Verbal Autopsy of 115 cases of Intrauterine fatal Death .*Indian Journal of Public health research and Development*, Vol.4 Issue 1. 2013, Pg 147-152.
- [7] Bairwa M, Rajput M, Sachdeva S. Modified kuppuswamy's socioeconomic scale: social researcher should include updated income criteria, 2012. *Indian J Community Med*. 2013;38:185-6.
- [8] Choudhary A, Gupta V. Epidemiology of intrauterine fetal deaths: a study in tertiary referral centre in Uttarakhand. *IOSR J Dent Med Sci*. 2014;13(3):03- 6.
- [9] Singh N, Pandey k, Gupta N, Arya A, Pratap C, Naik R. A retrospective study of 296 cases of intra uterine fetal deaths at a tertiary care centre. *Int J ReprodContraceptObstet Gynecol*. 2013;2(2):141-6.
- [10] Patel S, Thaker R, Shah P, Majumder S. Study of causes and complications of intra uterine fetal death (IUFD). *Int J ReprodContraceptObstetGyneco*. 2014;3(4):931-5.
- [11] Informing equitable health policy reform: policy implementation assessment inspires action in Uttarakhand, India, 2010. Available at <http://www.healthpolicyinitiative.com/Publications/> Patel S et al. *Int J ReprodContraceptObstet Gynecol*. 2016 Apr;5(4):970-975 *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology Volume 5 . Issue 4 Page 975 Documents/1156_1_Uttarakhand_Final_Brief_FINA_L_5_31_10_acc.pdf* . Accessed on 25 February 2016.
- [12] Khashoggi TU. Epidemiology of intrauterine foetal deaths in Saudi Arabia: Kkuh experience. *Biomed Res*. 2005;16:59-64.

- [13] Tamrakar SR, Chawla CD. Intrauterine foetal death and its probable causes: two year experience in Dhulikhel Hospital, Kathmandu University Hospital. Kathmandu Univ Med J. 2012;10(4):44-8.
- [14] Korde NV, Gaikwad P. Causes of stillbirth. J ObstetGynaecol India. 2008;58(4):314-7.
- [15] Kotweg FJ, Gordjin SJ, Timmer A, Holm JP, Ravise JM, Erwichh JJ. A placental cause of intrauterine fetal death depends on the perinatal mortality classification used. Placenta. 2008;29(1):71-80.
- [16] Shaaban A Al Saleh LA, Alwafi BM, Al Raddi RM. Associated risk factors with ante-partum intra-uterine fetal death. Saudi Med J. 2006;(1)76-9.

