International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2017): 7.296

An Evaluation of the Three Different Laboratory Methods of Detection of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus

Viyusha T. Viswanathan¹, Mary Jesudason², Supriya S. Patil³, P. M. Durgawale⁴

¹PG student, Department of Community Medicine, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Karad

²Consultant Microbiologist and Emeritus Scientist, Sree Narayani hospital and Research centre, Vellore

³Corresponding author and Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Dean Academics, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Karad

⁴Professor and Head, Department of Community Medicine, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Karad

Abstract: <u>Background</u>: MRSA is any strain of Staphylococcus aureus that has developed resistance to beta-lactamase antibiotics which include Penicillin and Cephalosporins. With the increasing prevalence of MRSA infections, it has become important to have an ideal method of detection. other than the molecular/MIC so that it can be carried out even in the remote areas AIM: To compare the three conventional methods namely oxacillin screen agar, cefoxitin disk diffusion and oxacillin disk diffusion for the detection of MRSA, with the gold standard MIC determination. <u>Materials And Methods</u>: This study was conducted in Pondicherry institute of medical sciences, under the department of microbiology between April 2011 and October 2011. 75 strains of Staphylococcus aureus were included in the study, confirmed by slide and tube coagulase tests. Oxacillin screen agar: By direct colony suspension method, any growth considered as resistant to methicillin. Oxacillin disk diffusion:After performing the test, a Zone of inhibition< 13, the strain is resistant. Cefoxitin disk diffusion:A 30 microg disk was used zone of inhibition < 20 is resistant. Minimum inhibitory concentration determination: Agar dilution method was used to determine the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). Organisms with MIC of 4 and above were considered as MRSA and those with MIC below 4 were considered MSSA. <u>Results</u>: The results as obtained by all the three methods were observed to be the same. Out of the 75 strains of Staphylococcus aureus, all the methods detected 19 MSSA (25%) and 56(75%) MRSA. All the three methods showed 100 percent sensitivity and specificity. <u>Conclusion</u>: Since all the tests showed 100 percent sensitivity and specificity and reliability.

Keywords: MRSA; MIC; Oxacillin; Cefoxitin

1. Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus is gram positive, non-motile cocci, often found in grape like clusters and has continued to be a major source of human infection since ages. Penicillin was nothing less than a super drug since its discovery by Alexander Fleming and proved to be extremely effective in treatment of staphylococcal infections. However, Over time and use, the Staph bacteria naturally developed a resistance to the drug, primarily due to the adaptive nature of the bacteria, the rampant overuse of the antibiotics in their early stages and the emergence of beta-lactamase resistance. Since the first report of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) as a major nosocomial pathogen in the 1960s, the incidence of infections caused by this organism continues to rise¹

MRSA is any strain of Staphylococcus aureus that has developed resistance to beta-lactamase antibiotics which include the Penicillins (methicillin, dicloxacillin, nafcillin, oxacillin, etc.) and the Cephalosporins. It is a major concern in hospital settings, mainly because of its easy mode of spread, its resistance to the commonly used antibiotics thus leading to a delayed wound healing, sepsis and a longer hospital stay. Historically, MRSA has been linked to patients in hospitals or nursing home settings, but outbreaks have been reported among previously healthy members of the community, further increasing the awareness of MRSA.² Most transmission of MRSA from patient to patient is thought to be mediated by transiently colonised healthcare workers, although airborne dispersal and transmission through contacts with contaminated surfaces may also be important. Attempts to control this spread have relied principally on three measures: hand hygiene among healthcare workers, restriction of antibiotics, and the detection and isolation of infected or colonised patients.³

The correct detection of MRSA plays a crucial role in the treatment and also in the prevention of further spread of the strain in the hospital. There are several tests such as oxacillin agar screening test, Oxacillin disk diffusion, broth micro dilution and rapid tests such as latex agglutination MRSA screen test, rapid ATB Staph and automated Viteksystem. These differ in sensitivity and specificity. It is clinically crucial to determine rapidly whether S. aureus isolates are methicillin resistant or not, as this is very important for both treatment and requires extensive hygienic precautions to limit the spread of such strains⁴ Methicillin resistance in S.aureus is associated with the production of an altered penicillinbinding protein, PBP2a, encoded by the mec gene complex ^{5,6}. Genotypic tests involving detection of mecA gene by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) are the preferred methods^{7,8} but they are not practical for routine use in many clinical laboratories. But the wide prevalence of the MRSA

infections has made it important to have an ideal method for its detection. This method should not only be reliable but also cheap and time saving. It should be possible to use this method even in the remote areas of the country. Hence this study was undertaken to compare three conventional methods namely oxacillin disk susceptibility, cefoxitin disk diffusion and the oxacillin screen agar with the gold standard MIC(Minimum inhibitory concentration) determination in terms of their sensitivity and specificity.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in Pondicherry institute of medical sciences, under the department of microbiology between April 2011 and October 2011. 75 strains of Staphylococcus aureus were included in the study. The strains were confirmed by slide and tube coagulase tests carried out using standard methodology.Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional ethical committee of Pondicherry institute of medical sciences, Puducherry. All the tests were performed according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute(CLSI)

Oxacillin screen agar: Oxacillin screen agar was performed by direct colony suspension method and adjusted to match 0.5 McFarland turbidity standards. The suspension was inoculated on Mueller-Hinton agar containing 4% NaCl and with $6\mu g/ml$ Oxacillin. Plates were then incubated 24 hours at 35°C. Any growth on the plate containing Oxacillin was considered as resistant to methicillin.

Oxacillin disk diffusion: Oxacillin disk susceptibility testing was performed according to Clinical Laboratory Standards. Briefly a bacterial suspension adjusted to 0.5 McFarland was inoculated onto Mueller-Hinton agar. A filter paper disk containing 1 μ g oxacillin was placed on the inoculated Mueller Hinton agar. Plate was incubated in 35°C for 24 hours. The diameter of zone of inhibition was measured.If the zone of inhibition is less than 13, the strain is resistant.

Cefoxitin disk diffusion: Briefly a bacterial suspension adjusted to 0.5 McFarland was inoculated onto Mueller-Hinton agar. Cefoxitin disk diffusion test was performed using 30 microgram disk and zone sizes were measured. If the zone of inhibition is less than 20, the strain is resistant.

Minimum inhibitory concentration determination: Agar dilution method was used to determine the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC).It is a quantitative method for determining the minimum inhibitory concentration of the antibiotics. The required dilutions of the antibiotics were made as follows: Stock solution containing 2000µg/ml of the antibiotic to be tested was prepared. The solutions were diluted to 0.5μ g/ml, 1μ g/ml, 2μ g/ml, 4μ g/ml, 8μ g/ml, 16μ g/ml, 32μ g/ml and 64μ g/ml using standard methodology. These antibiotic solutions were then added to molten Mueller Hinton agar and allowed to set. Thus, Mueller Hinton agar plates of varying antibiotic concentrations were prepared. A control plate without any antibiotic was also prepared. The organisms were inoculated into nutrient broth and incubated for 3-4 hours and turbidity was adjusted to 0.5 Mac farlands

standard. They were spot inoculated onto the surface of the medium and incubated at 35° C for 16-18 hrs.

After incubation the readings were taken. The control plates without antibiotic were checked for the growth and then the test plates were read. The concentration at which growth is completely inhibited was considered as the MIC.Organisms with MIC of 4 and above were considered as MRSA and those with MIC below 4 were considered MSSA.The organisms were then reported as sensitive, intermediate or resistant based on the MIC cut off.

3. Results

This study was conducted with 75 strains of Staphylococcus aureus. The three conventional methods namely the oxacillin screen agar, cefoxitin disk diffusion and oxacillin disk diffusion were done. MIC determination was also done. These conventional methods were then compared with MIC determination. Their sensitivity and specificity were calculated. MIC determination was taken as the gold standard.

The total number of organisms with MIC at different antibiotic concentrations was calculated (Table 1). It was found that 5 (7%) of organisms showed an MIC of 64μ g/ml. However, a maximum of 27 (36%) organisms showed an MIC of 32μ g/ml. While the number of organisms with MIC </=0.5, 1,2,4,8 and 16 were 6(8%), 2 (3%), 11(14%), 6(8%), 8(11%) and 10(13%) respectively. Among the MSSA it was found that around 11 out of 19 (58%) showed a higher range MIC of 2.



 Table 1: MIC values and susceptibility pattern of staphylococcus aureus strains

suprifice of the unit of strains						
Minimum inhibitory	Number of	Type of				
concentration	staphylococcus	Staphylococcus				
value(µg/ml)	aureus	aureus				
0.5	6(8%)	MSSA				
1	2(3%)	MSSA				
2	11(14%)	MSSA				
4	6(8%)	MRSA				
8	8(11%)	MRSA				
16	10(13%)	MRSA				
32	27(36%)	MRSA				
64	5(7%)	MRSA				

Total MSSA=19(25.33%)

Volume 7 Issue 10, October 2018 <u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Total MRSA=56(74.66%)

Out of the 75 strains of Staphylococcus aureus, all the methods detected 19 MSSA (25%) and 56(75%) MRSA. This was in correlation with the results obtained by MIC determination. Thus, it was seen that all the three-methods oxacillin screen agar, cefoxitin disk diffusion and oxacillin disk diffusion showed a 100 percent sensitivity and specificity. (See table 2)

name of the test	number of sensitive strains	number of resistant strains	sensitivity	specificity
Cefoxitin disk screening	19	56	100	100
Oxacillin disk susceptibility	19	56	100	100
Oxacillin screen agar	19	56	100	100
MIC determination	19	56	100	100

4. Discussion

In recent years, detection of mecA by PCR is considered as the gold standard for identification of MRSA. In this study, they evaluated other methods as alternatives to PCR.⁹In the present study MIC was considered as the gold standard and cefoxitin disc diffusion, oxacillin disc diffusion and oxacillin screen agar were compared. It was seen that all the methods had an equal sensitivity and specificity in the identification of the MRSA.

Broekeme et al., reported the sensitivity and specificity of cefoxitin disc diffusion method 97.3% and 100%, respectively among 1,611 S. aureus isolates. However in the present study both sensitivity and specificity of cefoxitin disc diffusion was 100%.¹⁰In current study, MIC showed the sensitivity and specificity about 100%, respectively. This was similar to the results obtained in a study conducted by Rahbaret al. where sensitivity and specificity were both 100% for MIC strip test¹¹According to a study by Mohammad Reza Pourmand et al cefoxitin disc diffusion has both high sensitivity and specificity as compared with mecA PCR and hence can be a good alternative to molecular methods due to its low cost for clinical laboratories¹². This was similar to the results obtained in our study that cefoxitin disc diffusion method showed a hundred percent sensitivity and specificity, however we used MIC determination as the gold standard.

A study by Priya Datta et al recommend that along with cefoxitin disc diffusion, another method, preferably latex agglutination, should be routinely used in all hospitals to detect MRSA¹³.In our study also we recommend the use of any two methods in combination namely cefoxitin disc diffusion, oxacillin disc diffusion or oxacillin screen agar for a reliable result

5. Conclusion

This study was done on 75 strains of Staphylococcus aureus to determine an accurate method for the detection of MRSA. The three conventional methods namely cefoxitin disk susceptibility, oxacillin disk diffusion and oxacillin screen agar were done and then compared with minimum inhibitory concentration determination, which was considered as the gold standard.

The results as obtained by cefoxitin disk susceptibility, oxacillin disk diffusion and oxacillin screen agar correlated well with that obtained by MIC determination and was found to be equally sensitive and specific. However, for better reliability of the result, we recommend that any two of these methods be used in a combined way for accuracy.

6. Acknowledgment

This project was selected by ICMR (STS) short term studentship programme 2011.

References

- Gold, H. S., and R. C. Moellering, Jr.1996.
 Antimicrobial-drug resistance. N. Engl. J. Med.335:1445-1453.
- Joel W. Beam, Bernadette Buckley. CommunityAcquired Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus: Prevalence and Risk Factors. Journal of Athletic Training. 2006; 41:337-340
- [3] B S Cooper,S P Stone,C C Kibbler,B D Cookson,J A Roberts,G F Medley,G Duckworth,R Lai,S Ebrahim Isolation measures in the hospital management of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA): systematic review of the literature BMJ volume 329 4 September 2004
- [4] Araj GF, Talhouk RS, Simaan CJ, et al. (1999). Discrepancies between mecA PCR and conventional tests used for detection of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Int J Antimicrob Agents, 11: 47-52.
- [5] Cavassini M, Wenger A, Jaton K, Blanc DS, Bille J (1999) Evaluation of MRSA screen, a simple anti-PBP-2a slide latex agglutination kit, for rapid detection of methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol 37:1591–1594.
- [6] Gerberding JL, Miick C, Liu HH, Chambers HF (1991) Comparison of conventional susceptibility tests with direct detection of penicillin binding protein 2a in borderline oxacillin-resistant strains of Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 35: 2574–2579.
- [7] Thean YT (2002) A comparison of PCR detection of mecA with two standard methods of oxacillin disk susceptibility testing for coagulase negative staphylococci. J Med Microbiol 51: 83–85
- [8] Tokue Y, Shoji S, Satoh K, Watanabe A, Motomiya M (1992) Comparison of a polymerase chain reaction assay and a conventional microbiologic method for detection of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 36: 6–9
- [9] Bhutia KO, Singh TS, Biswas S, Adhikari L. Evaluation of phenotypic with genotypic methods for species identification and detection of methicillin resistant in Staphylococcus aureus. Int J Appl Basic Med Res. 2012;2:84–91.

DOI: 10.21275/ART20192342

- [10] Broekema NM, Van TT, Monson TA, Marshal SA, Warshauer DM. Comparison of cefoxitin and oxacillin disk diffusion methods for detection of mecA-mediated resistance in Staphylococcus aureus in a large-scale study. J Clin Microbiol. 2009;47:217–219
- [11] Rahbar M, Yaghoobi M, Fattahi A. Comparison of different laboratory methods for detection of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus
- [12] Mohammad Reza Pourmand,1,* Sepideh Hassanzadeh,2 Rahil Mashhadi,3 and Emran Askari4 Comparison of four diagnostic methods for detection of methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus Iran J Microbiol.2014 Oct:6(5):341-344
- [13] Priya Datta, Neelam Gulati, Nidhi Singla, Hena Rani Vasdeva, Kiran Bala, Jagdish Chander and Varsha Gupta. Evaluation of various methods for the detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains and susceptibility patterns.Journal of Medical Microbiology (2011), 60, 1613–1616

Author Profile

¹Dr Viyusha.T. Viswanathan, PG student, Department of Community Medicine, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Karad

²Dr Mary.V. Jesudason, Consultant Microbiologist and Emeritus scientist, Sree Narayani hospital and Research Centre, Vellore

³Dr Supriya.S. Patil Corresponding author and Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Dean Academics, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Karad

⁴Dr P.M. Durgawale, Professor and Head, Department of Community Medicine, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Karad