Advancing Healthcare Resource Allocation through Multi-Step Ahead Time Series Forecasting

Vijaya Chaitanya Palanki

Data Science DigiCert San Francisco, USA Email: chaitanyapalanki@gmail.com

Abstract: Improving efficiency in healthcare resource allocation is crucial for high quality patient care while managing costs. This paper dives into healthcare resource allocation with the application of multi-step ahead time series forecasting techniques. We investigate various advanced forecasting techniques, their application to multiple healthcare scenarios, and the challenges in implementing these techniques in complex healthcare systems. Our study focuses on the distinct characteristics of healthcare data, emphasizing the critical role of uncertainty quantification and the need to incorporate domain expertise into forecasting models. We outline a framework to help select the most suitable forecasting techniques tailored to specific challenges in healthcare resource allocation. Additionally, we explore how these improved forecasting methods can enhance resource utilization and lead to better patient outcomes.

Keywords: Multi-step ahead forecasting, healthcare resource allocation, time series analysis, predictive modeling, uncertainty quantification, machine learning in healthcare.

1. Introduction

Identifying Healthcare systems worldwide often encounter the challenge of efficiently allocating limited resources they have available to meet the growing and evolving patient needs. Robust forecasting of future demand and resource requirements is crucial for effective planning and allocation. Multi-step ahead time series forecasting offers a powerful approach to predict future healthcare needs across multiple time horizons, enabling more informed and proactive resource allocation decisions.

This paper aims to:

- Analyze different multi-step ahead forecasting techniques suitable to healthcare resource optimization.
- Explore the unique obstacles of healthcare data in the context of time series forecasting.
- Review the integration of domain expertise and uncertainty quantification in healthcare forecasting techniques.
- Provide a framework for selecting and implementing relevant forecasting techniques for specific healthcare allocation problems.

2. Advanced Time Series Models for Healthcare Forecasting

1) Direct vs. Recursive Strategies

Multi-step ahead forecasting can be approached through direct or recursive strategies, each with their own results for healthcare resource allocation:

- *a) Direct Multi-Step Forecasting:* Direct Multi-Step Forecasting method involves creating distinct models for each forecasting period, which can better capture the distinctive patterns in healthcare demand for different time horizons [1].
- b) *Recursive Multi-Step Forecasting:* Recursive strategies apply the same model repeatedly, which can be advantageous for capturing the natural time-based patterns in healthcare utilization patterns [2].

- 2) Advanced Time Series Models for Healthcare Forecasting
- *a)* Vector Autoregression (VAR) Models: VAR models are effective at capturing the intricate inter-dependencies among various healthcare resources, such as the link between hospital admissions and staffing requirements [3].
- *b) State Space Models:* State space models, including dynamic linear models, can effectively represent the underlying dynamics of healthcare systems, incorporating both observed and latent variables.
- c) Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks: LSTM networks have shown promise in capturing long-term dependencies in healthcare time series, particularly useful for predicting chronic disease progression and related resource needs [4].
- d) *Prophet Model:* The Prophet model, which is developed by Meta (Previously Facebook) can handle various seasonality present in healthcare data, such as daily, weekly, and yearly patterns in emergency department visits.

3. Tackling Distinct Aspects of Healthcare Data in Forecasting

- a) Handling Inconsistent Sampling and Missing Data Healthcare data often suffers from sporadic sampling and missing values. Methodologies such as Gaussian Process regression can effectively model time series with nonuniform sampling [5].
- b) Integrating External Factors
 Healthcare demand is often influenced by external factors such as weather, public health events, or policy changes. Techniques like Dynamic Regression Models can integrate these exogenous variables into forecasts [6].

c) Dealing with Non-Stationarity

Healthcare time series are often non-stationary due to evolving medical practices and population

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR24822151751 demographics. Approaches like Singular Spectrum Analysis can decompose and forecast non-stationary healthcare time series [7].

4. Uncertainty Quantification in Healthcare Forecasting

a) Probabilistic Forecasting Techniques

Given the fundamental importance of healthcare resource allocation, quantifying forecast uncertainty is crucial:

• Bayesian Forecasting Methods

Bayesian techniques provide a natural framework for quantifying uncertainty in healthcare forecasts, enabling the incorporation of historical knowledge about resource utilization patterns.

• Ensemble Methods for Uncertainty Estimation

Methodologies such as Gradient Boosting Machines with quantile regression can provide probabilistic forecasts, with confidence intervals for resource allocation decisions.

b) Scenario-Based Forecasting

Creating different forecasting scenarios can help healthcare planners prepare for a range of possible outcomes:

c) Monte Carlo Simulations

Techniques such as Monte Carlo can generate various range of possible scenarios for future healthcare demand, helping in dynamic and robust resource allocation planning [9].

5. Integrating Domain Knowledge in Healthcare Forecasting Models

- a) Hierarchical Forecasting for Healthcare Systems Healthcare systems often have hierarchical structures (e.g., departments within hospitals, hospitals within regions). Hierarchical forecasting techniques can leverage this structure to improve forecast accuracy [10].
- b) Constraint-Aware Forecasting Incorporating domain-specific constraints, such as maximum capacity or minimum staffing levels, into forecasting models can ensure more realistic and actionable predictions [11].
- c) Causal Impact Analysis Techniques for causal impact analysis can help assess the effect of interventions or policy changes on healthcare resource utilization, informing future allocation strategies [12].

6. Challenges in Implementing Multi-Step Ahead Forecasting in Healthcare

- a) Data Privacy and Security Concerns Healthcare data is sensitive, requiring careful consideration of privacy regulations in the development and deployment of forecasting models [13].
- *b) Model Interpretability* While complex models may offer improved accuracy, interpretability is crucial in healthcare settings for trust and adoption. Techniques like SHAP (SHapley Additive

explanations) values can help explain model predictions [14].

c) Handling Rare Events and Outliers

Healthcare systems must be prepared for rare but highimpact events. Extreme value theory and robust forecasting techniques can help address this challenge [15].

d) Adapting to Rapid Changes

Healthcare systems can experience rapid changes due to factors like pandemics or technological advancements. Online learning and adaptive forecasting techniques can help models remain relevant in dynamic environments [16].

7. Framework for Selecting Forecasting Techniques in Healthcare Resource Allocation

Following factors need to be considered while opting for a multi-step ahead forecasting techniques for healthcare resource allocation:

a) Forecast Horizon

The required forecast horizon influences the choice between direct and recursive strategies. Longer horizons may benefit from direct approaches to mitigate error accumulation.

b) Data Characteristics

In the healthcare time series techniques, existence of multiple seasonality's, sample frequency, and the degree of non-stationarity needs to be considered.

- *Available Computational Resources* Due to limitations and unique healthcare settings, more complex methodologies like LSTM networks may require more computational resources.
- *d) Interpretability Requirements* If model interpretability is crucial for stakeholder buy-in, consider more transparent models or techniques to explain complex model predictions.
- *e)* Uncertainty Quantification Needs Determine the level of uncertainty information required for decision-making, influencing the choice between point forecast and probabilistic forecasting techniques.

8. Future Directions in Healthcare Forecasting

- a) Federated Learning for Healthcare Forecasting Exploring federated learning techniques to enable collaborative model development across healthcare institutions while preserving data privacy.
- b) Reinforcement Learning for Dynamic Resource Allocation Investigating the potential of reinforcement learning

Investigating the potential of reinforcement learning algorithms to optimize resource allocation decisions in response to evolving healthcare demands [17].

c) Explainable AI in Healthcare Forecasting Developing more advanced techniques for explaining complex forecasting models to healthcare practitioners and policymakers.

9. Conclusion

This paper presents a comprehensive framework for leveraging causal inference techniques in root cause identification for complex systems. By integrating advanced causal discovery methods, interventional analysis, and rigorous validation procedures, we offer a robust approach to uncovering true causal relationships and identifying genuine root causes.

The proposed methodology moves beyond traditional correlation-based approaches, incorporating the power of causal reasoning to provide more accurate, actionable, and interpretable insights. This framework has the potential to significantly improve our understanding of complex system behaviors, enhance decision-making processes, and optimize intervention strategies across various domains.

As systems continue to grow in complexity and interconnectedness, the ability to distinguish between correlation and causation becomes increasingly crucial. This research provides a foundation for developing more sophisticated, causally-aware approaches to root cause identification, contributing to advancements in fields ranging from industrial process optimization to healthcare diagnostics and beyond.

References

- S. B. Taieb and R. J. Hyndman, "A gradient boosting approach to the Kaggle load forecasting competition," *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 30, pp. 382-394, 2014.
- [2] A. Sorjamaa and A. Lendasse, "Time series prediction using DirRec strategy," in *European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks*, 2006.
- [3] H. Lütkepohl, *New Introduction to Multiple Time Series Analysis*, Springer, 2005.
- [4] J. Durbin and S. J. Koopman, *Time Series Analysis by State Space Methods*, Oxford University Press, 2012.
- [5] C. E. R. and C. K. I. Williams, *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning*, MIT Press, 2006.
- [6] J. G. De Gooijer and R. J. Hyndman, "25 years of time series forecasting," *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 443-473, 2006.
- [7] N. G. and A. Zhigljavsky, *Singular Spectrum Analysis* for Time Series, Springer, 2013.
- [8] M. West and J. Harrison, *Bayesian Forecasting and Dynamic Models*, Springer, 1997.
- [9] P. Glasserman, *Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering*, Springer, 2003.
- [10] R. J. Hyndman, R. A. Ahmed, G. Athanasopoulos, and H. L. Shang, "Optimal combination forecasts for hierarchical time series," *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 2579-2589, 2011.
- [11] T. Hong, P. Pinson, and S. Fan, "Global Energy Forecasting Competition 2012," *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 357-363, 2014.
- [12] K. H. Brodersen, F. Gallusser, J. Koehler, N. Remy, and S. L. Scott, "Inferring causal impact using Bayesian structural time-series models," *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 247-274, 2015.

- [13] L. Sweeney, "k-Anonymity: A Model for Protecting Privacy," International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 557-570, 2002.
- [14] S. M. Lundberg and S.-I. Lee, "A Unified Approach to Interpreting Model Predictions," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2017.
- [15] A. J. McNeil, R. Frey, and P. Embrechts, *Quantitative Risk Management: Concepts, Techniques and Tools*, Princeton University Press, 2015.
- [16] S. Shalev-Shwartz, "Online Learning and Online Convex Optimization," *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 107-194, 2012.
- [17] Y. Liu, B. Logan, N. Liu, Z. Xu, J. Tang, and Y. Wang, "Deep Reinforcement Learning for Dynamic Treatment Regimes on Medical Registry Data," in *IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics*, 2017.

Volume 7 Issue 1, January 2018

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR24822151751

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>