

Public Tripled Coincidence Fixed Point Theorems via Contraction Mappings

Zena Hussein Maibed¹, Reem M. Kubba²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science/ Ibn Al-Haitham, University of Baghdad

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to introduce a concepts of the public tripled fixed point , public tripled coincidence fixed point and public mixed B_ monotone property. This concepts are more general than that of tripled fixed point, tripled coincidence fixed point and mixed g_ monotone property. Also, we prove the existence and uniqueness of public coincidence fixed point for continuous and public commuting mappings in the partially ordered metric spaces.

Keywords: tripled fixed point, tripled coincidence fixed point, mixed g_ monotone property

1. Introduction

The fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric space are studied by many researches, see [1-24]. In 2006, Bhaskar and Lakshiknham [4]. Introduced the concept mixed monotone property for contractive mappings they also established coupled fixed point results for mapping has mixed monotone property. On other hand, Sintuavrate et al. [25], [26] proved the existence and uniqueness-of coupled fixed point theorem for non linear contractions without the9 mixed monotone property. Also, Lakshmikatham and crict [11] introduced the concept of mixed monotone and proved some results for coupled coincidence fixed point and coupled common fixed0point for commuting mappings, this results extend of results Bhaskar and Lakshmiknham [4]. Additionally, Chodhry and Kudu[5], introduced the compatiblity of mappings in partially ordered metric space and they established a coupled coincidence point theorems. Bernde and Bocut [27], [28] introduced the concept of tripled7 fixed point and6 tripled9coincidencedofixed point, they extend the results of Bhskar and Lakshmiknham [4]and Ciric and Lakshmikanthm [11] to the 9tripled fixed point and coincidence fixed point.

Now, we recall the flowing definitions:

Definition (1.1): [29]

A set W with a binary operation \preceq is called partially ordered set if for all $s, f, g \in W$.

- i. $s \preceq z$
- ii. $s \preceq z$ and $z \preceq s \Rightarrow z = s$
- iii. $s \preceq z$ and $z \preceq e \Rightarrow s \preceq e$.

Definition (1.2): [27]

Let $A: W^3 \rightarrow W$ be a mapping then any point $(e, f, g) \in W^3$ is called tripled fixed point of A if:

$$e = A(e, f, g), f = A(f, e, g) \text{ and } g = A(g, f, e).$$

Definition (1.3): [28]

Let $A: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $B: W \rightarrow W$ be two mappings. Any point (e, f, g) is called tripled coincidence point of A and B : if,

$$B(e) = A((e, f, g)), B(f) = A(f, e, f) \text{ and } B(g) = A(g, f, e)$$

Definition (1.4): [28]

Let $A: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $B: W \rightarrow W$ be two mapping and (W, \preceq) be a parlially ordered set, then we say that A has mixed B – monotone property if A is monotone increasing in e and g and is monotone decreasing in f , i.e, $\forall e, f, g \in W$

$$e_1, e_2 \in W, B(e_1) \preceq B(e_2) \Rightarrow A(e_1, f, g) \preceq A(e_2, f, g)$$

$$f_1, f_2 \in W, B(f_1) \preceq B(f_2) \Rightarrow A(e, f_1, g) \preceq A(e, f_2, g)$$

$$\text{And, } g_1, g_2 \in W, B(g_1) \preceq B(g_2) \Rightarrow A(e, f, g_1) \preceq A(e, f, g_2).$$

2. Main Results

Now , we will give the new concepts.

Definition (2.1):

Let W be a nonempty set. Then we say that the mappings $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $B: W \rightarrow W$ are public commuting if for each $e, f, g \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(B \left(A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \left(A_1 \left(A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Definition (2.2):

Let W be a nonempty and $A, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ be a mapping. Any point $(e, f, g) \in W^3$ is called a public tripled fixed point of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n if

$$\begin{aligned} & A \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \\ &= e, A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f, e, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \\ &= f \\ & A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g, f, e) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) = g \end{aligned}$$

Definition (2.3):

Let (W, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ be a mapping. We say that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are public mixed monotone if $A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right)$ is monotone increasing in e and g and is monotone decreasing in f , i.e, $\forall e, f, g \in W$,

$e_1, e_2 \in W, e_1 \leq e_2 \Rightarrow$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_1, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \leq$$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_2, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$f_1, f_2 \in W, f_1 \leq f_2 \Rightarrow$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f_1, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \geq A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f_2, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

and

$g_1, g_2 \in W, g_1 \leq g_2 \Rightarrow$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g_1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \leq$$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g_2) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

Definition (2.4):

Let W be a nonempty set. If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $B: W \rightarrow W$ are mappings then any point $(e, f, g) \in W^3$ is called public tripled coincidence point of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B if

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) = B(e),$$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f, e, f) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) = B(f)$$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g, f, e) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) = B(g)$$

Definition (2.5):

Let (W, \leq) be a partially ordered set and $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $B: W \rightarrow W$ are mappings, then we say that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are public mixed B -monotone property, If

$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$ is monotone increasing in e and g and is monotone decreasing in f ; i.e, for all $e, f, g \in W$

$e_1, e_2 \in W, g(e_1) \leq g(e_2) \Rightarrow$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_1, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \leq$$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_2, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$A_1, A_2 \in W, B(A_1) \leq B(A_2) \Rightarrow$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f_1, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \geq$$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f_2, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

Also, $g_1, g_2 \in W, B(g_1) \leq B(g_2) \Rightarrow$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g_1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$$\geq A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g_2) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right).$$

Now, Let Γ be the set of all increasing mappings such that:

$$\Delta_i : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty] \text{ such that } \Delta_i(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

And $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_i^n(t) = 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, 7$, where Δ^n denotes the n -th iterate of Δ . And let C be the set of all mappings

$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $B_1, B_2, \dots, B: W \rightarrow W$ such that

i. $B(W)$ is complete of W containing $A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(W \times W \times W) \right) \dots \right) \right)$

ii. A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B are continuous and public commute mappings.

Theorem (2.6):

Let (W, d, \leq) be a partially ordered metric space. If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $B: W \rightarrow W$ are mappings lies in C such that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n having public mixed B -monotone property. Suppose that. For all $e, f, g, u, v, w \in W$ with $e \geq u, f \leq v$ and $g \geq w$,

$$d \left(A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right),$$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(u, v, w) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \leq$$

$$\max \{ \Delta_1 d(B(e), B(u)), \Delta_2 d(B(f), B(v)),$$

$$\Delta_3 d(B(g), B(w)),$$

$$\Delta_4 d \left(A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e, f, g) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), B(e) \},$$

$$\Delta_5 d \left(A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g, f, e) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), B(g) \},$$

$$\Delta_6 d \left(A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(u, v, w) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), B(u) \},$$

$$\Delta_7 d \left(A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(w, v, u) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), B(w) \}$$

Where $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_7 \in \Gamma$. If there exist $e_0, f_0, w_0 \in W$ such that

$$B(e_0) \leq A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_0, f_0, g_0) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$$B(f_0) \geq A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f_0, e_0, f_0) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \text{ and} \\ (2.1)$$

$$B(z_0) \leq A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g_0, f_0, e_0) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

Then, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B having public tripled coincidence point.

Proof:

Consider $e_0, f_0, g_0 \in W$ satisfy (2.1). We can construct sequences as,

$$\text{Define: } B(e_1) \leq A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_0, f_0, g_0) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$$B(f_1) \leq A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f_0, e_0, f_0) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$$B(g_1) \leq A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g_0, f_0, e_0) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

And hence, we get:

$$B(e_0) \leq B(e_1)$$

$$B(f_0) \geq B(f_1)$$

$$B(g_0) \leq B(g_1)$$

As the same way define

$$B(e_2) = A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_1, f_1, g_1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$$B(f_2) = A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f_1, e_1, f_1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$$B(g_2) = A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g_1, f_1, e_1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

But A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n having public B -mixed monotone property. Then, we get

$$B(e_0) \leq B(e_1) \leq B(e_2)$$

$$B(f_0) \geq B(f_1) \geq B(f_2)$$

$$B(g_0) \leq B(g_1) \leq B(g_2)$$

We continue operations where we get the sequences.

$\langle B(e_n) \rangle, \langle B(f_n) \rangle$ and $\langle B(g_n) \rangle$ in $B(W)$ and satisfy the following

$$B(e_n) = A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_{n-1}, f_{n-1}, g_{n-1}) \right) \dots \right) \right),$$

$$\leq B(e_{n+1}) = A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_n, f_n, g_n) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$$B(f_{n+1}) = A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f_n, e_n, f_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\leq B(f_n) = A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f_{n-1}, e_{n-1}, f_{n-1}) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

$$B(g_n) = A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g_{n-1}, f_{n-1}, e_{n-1}) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\leq B(g_{n+1}) = A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g_n, f_n, e_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

We will take two cases during the proof

Case (1):

If $(B(e_{n+1}), B(f_{n+1}), B(g_{n+1})) = (B(e_n), B(f_n), B(g_n))$

For some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_n, f_n, g_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) = B(e_n)$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f_n, e_n, f_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right) = B(f_n) \text{ and}$$

$$A_1 \left(\left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g_n, f_n, e_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right) = B(g_n)$$

Hence, (e_n, f_n, g_n) is a public tripled coincidence point of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B .

Case (2):

If $(B(e_{n+1}), B(f_{n+1}), B(g_{n+1})) \neq (B(e_n), B(f_n), B(g_n))$, then

$(B(e_n), B(f_n), B(g_n))$, then

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, either $B(e_n) \neq B(e_{n+1})$ or $B(f_n) \neq B(f_{n+1})$ or $B(g_n) \neq B(g_{n+1})$

Now,

$$d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n))$$

$$= d \left(A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_n, f_n, g_n) \dots \right) \right) \right), A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(e_{n-1}, f_{n-1}, g_{n-1}) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \max \{ \Delta_1 d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_2 d(B(f_n), B(f_{n-1})), \Delta_3 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})), \Delta_4 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(e_n, f_n, g_n) \dots)), B(e_n)), \Delta_7 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(g_n, f_n, e_n) \dots)), B(g_n)), \Delta_6 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(e_{n-1}, f_{n-1}, g_{n-1}) \dots)), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_7 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(g_{n-1}, f_{n-1}, e_{n-1}) \dots)), B(g_{n-1})) \}$$

$$= \max \{ \Delta_1 d(B_1(B_2(\dots(B_n(e_n)) \dots)), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_2 d(B(f_n), B(f_{n-1})), \Delta_3 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})), \Delta_4 d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), \Delta_5 d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)), \Delta_6 d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_7 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})) \}$$

$$\text{Let } h_{1(t)} = \max \{ \Delta_{1(t)}, \Delta_{6(t)} \}, h_{2(t)} =$$

$$\max \{ \Delta_{3(t)}, \Delta_{7(t)} \}, \text{ and } \Delta_{(t)} \in \Gamma$$

$$= \max \{ h_1 d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_2 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})),$$

$$h_2 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})),$$

$$\Delta_4 d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), \Delta_5 d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)) \}$$

$$\leq \max \{ h_1 d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_2 d(B(f_n), B(f_{n-1})),$$

$$h_2 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})), \Delta_4 d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)),$$

$$\Delta d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)), \Delta_5 d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)) \}$$

Now,

$$d(B(f_n), B(f_{n+1}))$$

$$= d \left(A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f_{n-1}, e_{n-1}, f_{n-1}) \dots \right) \right) \right), A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(f_n, e_n, f_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \max \{ h_3 d(B(f_{n-1}), B(f_n)), \Delta_2 d(B(e_{n-1}), B(e_n)),$$

$$h_4 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(f_{n-1}, e_{n-1}, f_{n-1}) \dots)), B(f_{n-1})),$$

$$h_5 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(f_n, e_n, f_n) \dots)), B(f_n)) \}$$

$$\text{where } h_{3(t)} = \max \{ \Delta_{1(t)}, \Delta_{3(t)} \}, h_{4(t)} = \max \{ \Delta_{4(t)}, \Delta_{5(t)} \},$$

$$h_{5(t)} = \max \{ \Delta_{6(t)}, \Delta_{7(t)} \}, h_{6(t)} = \max \{ h_{3(t)}, h_{4(t)} \} \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta_{(t)} \in \Gamma = \max \{ h_6 d(B(f_{n-1}), B(f_n)),$$

$$\Delta_2 d(B(e_{n-1}), B(e_n)), h_5 d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)) \}$$

$$\leq \max \{ \Delta_2 d(B(z_{n-1}), B(z_n)), h_6 d(B(f_{n-1}), B(f_n)),$$

$$\Delta d(B(g_{n-1}), B(g_n)), \Delta$$

$$d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), h_5 d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)), \Delta$$

$$d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)) \}$$

Also we have:

$$d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n))$$

$$= d \left(A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g_n, f_n, e_n) \dots \right) \right) \right), A_1 \left(A_2 \left(\dots \left(A_n(g_{n-1}, f_{n-1}, e_{n-1}) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \max \{ \Delta_1 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})), \Delta_2$$

$$d(B(f_n), B(f_{n-1})), \Delta_3 d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_4$$

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(g_n, f_n, e_n) \dots)), B(g_n)),$$

$$\Delta_5 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(e_n, f_n, g_n) \dots)), B(e_n)),$$

$$\Delta_6 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(g_{n-1}, f_{n-1}, e_{n-1}) \dots)), B(g_{n-1})),$$

$$\Delta_7 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(e_{n-1}, f_{n-1}, g_{n-1}) \dots)), B(e_{n-1})) \}$$

$$= \max \{ \Delta_1 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})), \Delta_2 d(B(f_n), B(f_{n-1})),$$

$$\Delta_3 d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_4 d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)),$$

$$\Delta_5 d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), \Delta_6 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})),$$

$$\Delta_7 d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})) \}$$

$$\leq \max \{ h_7 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})), \Delta_2 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})),$$

$$h_8 d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_4 d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)),$$

$$\Delta_5 d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)) \}$$

$$\text{where } h_{7(t)} = \max \{ \Delta_{1(t)}, \Delta_{6(t)} \}$$

$$h_{8(t)} = \max \{ \Delta_{3(t)}, \Delta_{7(t)} \}$$

$$\leq \max \{ h_7 d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})), \Delta_2 d(B(f_n), B(f_{n-1})),$$

$$h_8 d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), \Delta_4 d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)),$$

$$\Delta_5 d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)) \}$$

Let $\varphi_{(t)} = \max \{ \Delta_{(t)}, \Delta_{1(t)}, \dots, \Delta_{7(t)}, h_{1(t)}, \dots, h_{8(t)} \}$, then we have

$$\max \{ d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)),$$

$$d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)) \}$$

$$\leq \max \{ \varphi d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), \varphi d(B(f_n), B(f_{n-1})),$$

$$\varphi d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})), \varphi d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)),$$

$$\varphi d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)), \varphi d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)) \} \quad (2.2)$$

$$< \max \{ d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), d(B(g(f_n), B(f_{n-1})),$$

$$d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})),$$

$$d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)),$$

$$d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)) \}$$

This leads

$$\max \{ d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)), d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)) \}$$

$$< \max \{ d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), d(B(f_n), B(f_{n-1})),$$

$$d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})) \}$$

And hence, the equation (2.2) become

$$\max \{ d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)),$$

$$d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)) \}$$

$$\leq \max \{ \varphi [d(B(e_n), B(e_{n-1})), d(B(f_n), B(f_{n-1})),$$

$$d(B(g_n), B(g_{n-1})) \}$$

$$\leq \max \{ \varphi^2 [d(B(e_{n-1}), B(e_{n-2})),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & d(B(f_{n-1}), B(f_{n-2})), d(B(g_{n-1}), B(g_{n-2})) \} \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq \max\{\varphi^n [d(B(e_1), B(e_0)), d(B(f_1), B(f_0)), \\ & \quad d(B(g_1), B(g_0))] \} \\ \text{But } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{\varphi^n [d(B(e_1), B(e_0)), d(B(f_1), B(f_0)), \\ & \quad d(B(g_1), B(g_0))] \} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\forall \epsilon > 0$; $\varphi(\epsilon) < \epsilon$, $\exists n_0 \in N$ such that:
 $\varphi^n \{d(B(e_1), B(e_0)), d(B(f_1), B(f_0)), d(B(g_1), B(g_0))\}$
 $< \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon) \quad \forall n \geq n_0$

$$\max\{d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)), \\ d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n))\} < \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon) \quad (2.3)$$

Now, To prove that, $\forall m \geq n \geq n_0$

$$\max\left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(B(e_n), B(e_m)), d(B(f_n), B(f_m)) \\ & \quad d(B(g_n), B(g_m)) \end{aligned} \right\} < \epsilon \quad (2.4)$$

We will discuss the Cauchy sequence,

i. For $m = n + 1$ and by using (2.3) we get (2.4).

ii. Suppose it is if $m = k$, i.e.

$$\max\{d(B(e_n), B(e_k)), d(B(f_n), B(f_k)), \\ d(B(g_n), B(g_k))\} < \epsilon$$

iii. Now, to prove it is true when $m = k + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & d(B(e_n), B(e_{k+1})) \\ & \leq d(B(e_n), B(e_{n+1})) \\ & \quad + d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_{k+1})) \\ & < \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon) \\ & \quad + d\left(\begin{aligned} & A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(e_n, f_n, g_n)} \dots))) \\ & A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{k(e_k, f_k, g_k)} \dots))) \end{aligned} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon) + \max\{ \\ & \quad \Delta_1 d(B(e_n), B(e_k)), \Delta_2 d(B(f_n), B(f_k)) \\ & \quad \quad \Delta_3 d(B(g_n), B(g_k)), \\ & \quad \Delta_4 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(e_n, f_n, g_n)} \dots))), B(e_n)), \\ & \quad \Delta_5 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(g_n, f_n, e_n)} \dots))), B(g_n)), \\ & \quad \Delta_6 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{k(e_k, f_k, g_k)} \dots))), B(e_n)), \\ & \quad \Delta_7 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{k(g_k, f_k, e_k)} \dots))), B(g_n)\} \\ & \leq \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon) + \max\{\varphi d(B(e_n), B(e_k)), \varphi d(B(f_n), B(f_k)), \\ & \quad \varphi d(B(g_n), B(g_k)), \varphi d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), \\ & \quad \varphi d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)), \\ & \quad \varphi d(B(e_{k+1}), B(e_k)), \varphi d(B(g_{k+1}), B(g_k))\} \\ & \leq \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon) \\ & \quad + \varphi \max\{d(B(e_n), B(e_k)), d(B(f_n), B(f_k)), \\ & \quad \quad d(B(g_n), B(g_k)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), \\ & (B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)), d(B(e_{k+1}), B(e_k)), \\ & \quad d(B(g_{k+1}), B(g_k))\} \\ & \leq \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon) + \varphi \max\{d(B(e_n), B(e_k)), d(B(f_n), B(f_k)), \\ & \quad d(B(g_n), B(g_k)), d(B(e_{n+1}), B(e_n)), \\ & \quad d(B(f_{n+1}), B(f_n)), \\ & \quad d(B(g_{n+1}), B(g_n)), d(B(e_{k+1}), B(e_k)), \\ & \quad d(B(f_{k+1}), B(f_k)), d(B(g_{k+1}), B(g_k))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$< \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon) + \varphi \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon)\} \text{ by (i) and (ii)}$$

$$< \epsilon - \varphi(\epsilon) + \varphi(\epsilon) = \epsilon$$

This leads, $d(B(e_n), B(e_{k+1})) < \epsilon$

As the same way, we get

$$d(B(f_n), B(f_{k+1})) < \epsilon$$

and $d(B(g_n), B(g_{k+1})) < \epsilon$

Hence,

$$\max\{d(B(e_n), B(e_{k+1})), d(B(f_n), B(f_{k+1})), \\ d(B(g_n), B(g_{k+1}))\} < \epsilon.$$

For all $m \geq n$, (iii) holds, therefor

$\langle B(e_n) \rangle, \langle B(f_n) \rangle$ and $\langle B(g_n) \rangle$ are

Cauchy sequences in $g(W)$ which is complete. Therefore, there exists $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in g(W)$ such that: $B(e_n) \rightarrow L_1, B(f_n) \rightarrow L_2$ and $B(g_n) \rightarrow L_3$

When, $L_1 = B(e), L_2 = B(f)$ and

$L_3 = B(g)$ for some $e, f, g \in W$

Now to prove that (L_1, L_2, L_3) is public tripled coincidence point

Since A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B lies in C , we have

$$B(B(e_{n+1})) = B(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(e_n, f_n, g_n)} \dots))))$$

Which is converge to $A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(L_1, L_2, L_3)) \dots))$

Now since $B(e_n) \rightarrow L_1 \Rightarrow B(B(e_n)) \rightarrow B(L_1)$

But the limit point is unique:

$$B(L_1) = A_1(A_2(\dots(A(L_1, L_2, L_3)) \dots))$$

As the same way, we get:

$$B(L_2) = A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(L_2, L_1, L_2)) \dots))$$

$$B(L_3) = A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(L_3, L_2, L_1)) \dots))$$

Therefore, the point (L_1, L_2, L_3) is public tripled coincidence point of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B .

Corollary(2.7)

Let (W, d, \leq) be a partially ordered metric space. If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $B: W \rightarrow W$ are mappings lies in C such that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n having public mixed g -monotone property. Suppose that, for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $x \leq u, y \leq v$ and $z \geq w$,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(x, y, z)} \dots))), \\ & A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(u, v, w)} \dots))) \leq \\ & \max\{k_1 d(B(x), B(u)), k_2 d(B(y), B(v)), \\ & k_3 d(B(z), B(w)), \\ & k_4 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(x, y, z)} \dots))), B(x)), \\ & k_5 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(z, y, x)} \dots))), B(z)), \\ & k_6 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(u, v, w)} \dots))), B(u)), \\ & k_7 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(w, v, u)} \dots))), B(w)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_7 \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^7 k_i < 1$

If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$, such that

$$B(x_0) \leq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(x_0, y_0, z_0)} \dots)))$$

$$B(y_0) \geq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(y_0, x_0, y_0)} \dots))) \text{ and}$$

$$B(z_0) \leq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_{n(z_0, y_0, x_0)} \dots)))$$

Then A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B having a public tripled coincidence point.

Corollary(2.8)

Let (W, d, \leq) be a partially ordered metric space. If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $g: W \rightarrow W$ are mappings lies in C such that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n having public mixed B -

monotone. Suppose that, for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in W$ with $x \geq u, y \leq v$ and $z \geq w$,

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(x,y,z))\dots))),$$

$$A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(u,v,w))\dots)) \leq$$

$$\max\{d(B(x), B(u)), d(B(y), B(v)), d(B(z), B(w)),$$

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(x,y,z))\dots)), B(x)),$$

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(z,y,x))\dots)), B(z)),$$

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(u,v,w))\dots)), B(u)),$$

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(w,v,u))\dots)), B(w))\},$$

Where, $\Delta \in \Gamma$. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in W$ such that

$$B(x_0) \leq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(x_0, y_0, z_0))\dots))$$

$$B(y_0) \geq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(y_0, x_0, y_0))\dots)) \text{ and}$$

$$B(z_0) \leq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(z_0, y_0, x_0))\dots))$$

Then A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B having a public tripled coincidence point

Corollary(2.9):

Let (W, d, \leq) be a partially ordered metric space. If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: W^3 \rightarrow W$ and $g: W \rightarrow W$ are mappings lies in C such that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n having public B -mixed monotone. Suppose that, for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in W$ with $x \geq u, y \leq v$ and $z \geq w$,

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(x,y,z))\dots))),$$

$$A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(u,v,w))\dots)) \leq$$

$$k \max\{d(B(x), B(u)), d(B(y), B(v)),$$

$$d(B(z), B(w)),$$

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(x,y,z))\dots)), B(x)),$$

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(z,y,x))\dots)), B(z)),$$

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(u,v,w))\dots)), B(u)),$$

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(w,v,u))\dots)), B(w))\}$$

where $k \in [0,1)$. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in W$ such that

$$B(x_0) \leq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(x_0, y_0, z_0))\dots))$$

$$B(y_0) \geq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(y_0, x_0, y_0))\dots)) \text{ and}$$

$$B(z_0) \leq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(z_0, y_0, x_0))\dots))$$

Then A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B having a public tripled coincidence point.

Corollary(2.10)

Let (W, d, \leq) be a partially ordered metric space. If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n: X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n: X \rightarrow X$ are mappings lies in C such that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n having public B -mixed monotone. Suppose that for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in W$ with $x \geq u, y \leq v$ and $z \geq w$,

$$d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(x,y,z))\dots))),$$

$$A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(u,v,w))\dots)) \leq$$

$$k_1 d(B(x), B(u)) + k_2 d(B(y), B(v)) + k_3 d(B(z), B(w))$$

$$+$$

$$k_4 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(x,y,z))\dots)), B(x)) +$$

$$k_5 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(z,y,x))\dots)), B(z))$$

$$k_6 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(u,v,w))\dots)), B(u)) +$$

$$k_7 d(A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(w,v,u))\dots)), B(w))$$

where $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_7 \in [0,1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^7 k_i < 1$. If there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in W$ such that

$$B(x_0) \leq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(x_0, y_0, z_0))\dots))$$

$$B(y_0) \geq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(y_0, x_0, y_0))\dots)) \text{ and}$$

$$B(z_0) \leq A_1(A_2(\dots(A_n(z_0, y_0, x_0))\dots))$$

Then A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and B having a public tripled coincidence point.

Also, you can get others results:

If $B(x) = x$ and A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n having public mixed monotone in above theorems, then we get the public tripled fixed point theorems.

References

- [1] R.P. AGARWAL, M.A. EL-GEBEILY AND D. O'REGAN , GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED METRIC SPACES ,APPL. ANAL. 87 (2008), (1_8). 1.
- [2] I. Altun and H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010), Article ID 621492, 20 pages. 1.
- [3] A. Amini-Harandi and H. Emami, A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), 2238_2242. 1.
- [4] T.G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point Theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications ,Nonlinear Anal . 65 (2006),(1379_1393). 1.
- [5] B. Choudhury and A. Kundu, A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings , Nonlinear Anal. 73 (2010),(2524_2531). 1.
- [6] Lj.B. Ciric, N. Cakic, M. Rajovic and J.S.Ume, Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed point Theory Appl. 2008 (2008), Article ID 131294, 11 pages. 1
- [7] Z. Drici, F.A. McRae and J. Vasundhara Devi, Fixed-point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces for operators with PPF dependence, Nonlinear Anal. 67 (2007),(641_647). 1.
- [8] J. Harjani and K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2008), 3403_3410. 1.
- [9] J. Harjani and K. Sadarangani, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), 1188_1197. 1.
- [10] N. Hussain, M.H. Shah and M.A. Kutbi, Coupled coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered quasi-metric spaces with a Q-function, Fixed point Theory Appl. 2011 (2011), Article ID 703938, 21 pages.1.
- [11] V. Lakshmikantham and Lj.B. Ciric, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009), 4341_4349. 1.

- [12] H.K. Nashine and I. Altun, Fixed point theorems for generalized weakly contractive condition in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed point Theory Appl.* 2011 (2011), Article ID 132367, 20 pages. 1.
- [13] H.K. Nashine and B. Samet, Fixed point results for mappings satisfying (ψ, φ) -weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), (2201_2209). 1.
- [14] H.K. Nashine, B. Samet, C. Vetro, Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions and fixed point theorems in ordered metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 54 (2011), (712_720).1.
- [15] H.K. Nashine, B. Samet, C. Vetro, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 5 (2012), (104_114) 114.
- [16] J.J. Nieto and R.R. Lopez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Order* 22 (2005), (223_239). 1.
- [17] J.J. Nieto and R.R. Lopez, Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Acta Math. Sinica, Engl. Ser.* 23 (2007), (2205_2212). 1.
- [18] D. O'Regan and A. Petruel, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341 (2008), (1241_1252). 1.
- [19] B. Samet, Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010), (4508_4517). 1.
- [20] W. Shatanawi, Partially ordered cone metric spaces and coupled fixed point results, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 60(2010), (2508_2515). 1
- [21] Y. Wu, New fixed point theorems and applications of fixed monotone operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341 (2008), (883_893)1
- [22] Y. Wu and Z. Liang, Existence and uniqueness of fixed points for mixed monotone operators with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006), (1913_1924)
- [23] S. V. Bedre, S. M. Khairnar and B. S. Desale, Hybrid fixed point theorems for M-contraction type maps and applications to functional differential equation, *Proceedings of the IMS, Elsevier Science and Technology*(October 2013), (390_397).2218.
- [24] S. V. Bedre, S. M. Khairnar and B. S. Desale, Some fixed point theorems in partially ordered G-metric spaces and applications to global existence and attractivity results for nonlinear functional integral equations, *Proceedings of the ICRTES, Elsevier Science and Technology* (November 2013), (467_477).
- [25] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam and YJ. Cho, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions without mixed monotone property, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 170 (2012).
- [26] W. Sintunavarat, S. Radenović, Z. Golubović, P. Kuman, Coupled fixed point theorems for F-invariant set, *Appl. Math. Inform. Sci.*, 7(1)(2013), (247-255).
- [27] H. M. Shrivastava, S. V. Bedre, S. M. Khairnar and B. S. Desale, Krasnosel'skii Type Hybrid Fixed Point Theorems and Their Applications to Fractional Integral Equations, *Abstract and Applied analysis*, (accepted). Received: July 15, 2014
- [28] V. Berinde and M. Borcut, Tripled Fixed Point Theorems for Contractive Type Mappings in Partially Ordered Metric Space, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74(2011), (4889_4897)
- [29] M. Borcut and V. Berinde, Tripled coincidence Theorems For contractive Type Mappings in Partially Ordered metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218(2012)5929_5936.
- [30] Savitri and N. hooda, "fixed point theorems for mapping having
- [31] The mixed monotone property, *Int. Arch. App. Sci. Technol*, Vol 5(2), (2014). (19_23).