ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Comparative study of Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity (EASI) among Gymnasts of Madhya Pradesh

Sudhira Chandel¹, Prakhar Rathore²

¹Professor, School of Physical Education, Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore (M.P.)

²PhD Scholar, School of Physical Education, Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore (M.P.)

Abstract: In this study total fifty Boys and Girls gymnasts (n=50) of Madhya Pradesh state were selected and they were categories as under 14 and under 17. Questionnaire was distributed to the gymnasts and under the supervision of their coaches and the investigator the data was recorded appropriately, questionnaire having 4 different measures (Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity) EASI, was separately compared in the present study to know the difference in the temperament level of the gymnast, and in the results it shows that there is no significant difference in the reported temperament level in between the groups that is under 14 and under 17 years of gymnast and according to the results the null hypothesis is accepted, t (48) = .43, p = .667 and on other side when the investigator compare the four different measures (Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity) then there was still not a significant difference in the reported EASI measure of temperament, therefore the null hypothesis is accepted at 0.05 significant level. The investigator also tested over all Temperamental traits difference between under 14 – 17 Boys and under 14 – 17 Girls and the result found insignificant.

Keyword: Gymnastics, Temperament, Questionnaire, EASI

1. Introduction

Temperament refers to basic dimensions of personality that are grounded in biology and explain individual differences in the developmental process rather than universal dynamics. While these dimensions show continuity over time, they are subject to change with maturation and experience. The view of behavior as a function of the organism and of the environment is basic to psychology. Accordingly, temperament serves as a mechanism to explain how individuals contribute to their own development in a given environmental context. Harmony between persons and their surroundings is produced through bi-directional interplay between in born, temperamental attributes and external demands, supports, and circumstances. Temperament refers to basic dimensions of personality that are grounded in biology and explain individual differences in the developmental process rather than universal dynamics. While these dimensions show continuity over time, they are subject to change with maturation and experience. The view of behavior as a function of the organism and of the environment is basic to psychology. Accordingly, temperament serves as a mechanism to explain how individuals contribute to their own development in a given environmental context. Harmony between persons and their surroundings is produced through bi-directional interplay between inborn, temperamental attributes and external demands, supports, and circumstances. Buss and Plomin (1975, 1984) defined traits as temperament if they fulfilled certain criteria—thus the designation of their approach as "criterial." They chose criteria on the basis of comparative psychology, in particular the work of S. Diamond (1957). Diamond thought that observations of adult human behavior, "no matter how sophisticated in either a statistical or a clinical sense, have the common failing that they are unable distinguish between the essential foundations of individuality and its cultural elaboration" Temperament is often defined as 'a subset of early-developing personality traits that display biological origins and are consistent across situations and time stimulated behavioral genetic studies of child temperament. In psychology, temperament refers to those aspects of an individual's personality, such as introversion or extroversion, that are often regarded as innate rather than learned. A great many classificatory schemes for temperament have been developed; none, though, has achieved general consensus in academia. Temperament is a configuration of observable personality traits, such as habits of communication, patterns of action, and sets of characteristic attitudes, values, and talents. It also encompasses personal needs, the kinds of contributions that individuals make in the workplace, and the roles they play in society. To measure temperament in this study, we employed a psychological measure devised by Buss and Plomin (1975) A Questionnaire of Temperament factors of Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity (EASI) whereas Emotionality is a predisposition to get easily distressed and upset. The "total activity level refers to the total energy output" (Buss & Plomin, 1975, p. 32-33). Sociability "is the tendency to prefer the presence of others to being alone" (Buss & Plomin, 1984, p. 63). Sociability is not the same as shyness, because shy people may desire the presence of others, but they avoid it because they tend to be tense and anxious when surrounded by other people, especially unfamiliar people & Impulsivity was originally included (Buss & Plomin, 1975) but later dropped because factor analyses had shown that the trait seems to be composed of various subcomponents, only some of which replicated, which contains items designed to assess the present study here by makes an effort to broaden the horizon of knowledge by bringing new facts and thoughts by comparison of the EASI (Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity) measures of Temperament among Gymnasts.

Volume 7 Issue 1, January 2018 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

2. Objectives

- 1) To compare the Temperamental traits of the gymnasts of Madhya Pradesh.
- 2) To compare the temperamental traits (Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity) of under 14 with the under 17 years of gymnasts.
- 3) To compare the temperamental traits among Boys and Girls gymnast

3. Delimitations

- The study was delimited to Gymnasts of Madhya Pradesh.
- The study was further delimited to the fifty Boys and Girls Gymnasts those who had participated in state level championship.
- 3) The study was delimited to the subjects belonging to the age group of 14 to 17 years.
- 4) The study was delimited on the Questionnaire of Temperament factors of Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity (EASI). By Buss and Plomin (1975).

4. Limitations

- 1) The Authenticity of the responses given by the subject in questionnaire may act as limitation of the study.
- 2) Daily routine, training age, individual differences and habits, may act as a limitation.

5. Hypotheses

- 1) It is hypothesized that there is no significant difference among gymnasts in over all temperament between the groups of under 14 with under 17 of age
- 2) It is hypothesized that there is no significant difference in EASI measures of temperament (Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity) between the groups of under 14 with under 17 age gymnast's.
- 3) It is hypothesized that there is no significant difference between Boys and Girls gymnast in temperamental traits.

For the present study the purposive sampling (Non probability sampling) technique was employed to select the sample of fifty Boys and Girls gymnasts (n=50) of 14 to 17 years of age from gymnastics state championship of Madhya Pradesh.

Tool

The selection of suitable tools is of paramount significance in any investigation. The success of research immensely depends upon the instruments, which are used for the data collection. In the present study, the following tool was used:

A Questionnaire of Temperamental traits factors of Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity (EASI). By Buss and Plomin (1975).

Methods

The methodology of the study consist of selection of subjects, testing procedure and the technique employed for analysis of data. In this survey study total fifty Boys and Girls gymnasts (n=50) of Madhya Pradesh state were selected as the subject under 14 to 17 years of age. Questionnaire was distributed to the gymnasts and under the supervision of their coaches and the investigator the data Temperament was recorded appropriately. Buss Questionnaire having four different measures (Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity) EASI, was separately interpreted in the present study to judge the temperament level of the gymnast. T -test was used to see the difference among the groups of the gymnast and the level of significance was set at 0.05 and the investigator also tested over all Temperamental traits difference between under 14 -17 Boys and under 14 - 17 Girls and the result found insignificant.

7. Results

Table 1: Group Statistics

	Total		Mean	Std.	Std. Error	
	Groups			Deviation	Mean	
Over all	Under 14	25	146.3600	20.72012	4.14402	
temperament	Under 17	25	144.2000	13.83835	2.76767	

6. Sample

Table 2: Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances			t-test for Equality of Means					
		E	Cia	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean	Std. Error		
		Г	Sig.				Difference	Difference		
Over all	Equal variances assumed	0.636	0.429	0.433	48	0.667	2.16	4.98327		
temperament	Equal variances not assumed			0.433	41.857	0.667	2.16	4.98327		

^{*}Level of Significance 0.05 *Degree of freedom=48

Interpreted results in the table no. 2 reveal that there was not a significant difference in the reported temperament level in between the groups that is under 14 and under 17 years of gymnast and according to the results the null hypothesis is accepted at the 0.05 level of significance, t (48) = .43, p = .667.

As there is not much difference in the age of gymnast and the effect of any circumstances can fluctuate the level of temperament and because of that the interpreted result was insignificant.

Volume 7 Issue 1, January 2018 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Table 3: Group Statistics

Tuble 5. Group Statistics										
Groups	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean						
Under 14	25	13.8800	7.69913	1.53983						
Under 17	25	12.2800	9.72334	1.94467						
Under 14	25	12.7600	7.55690	1.51138						
Under 17	25	14.5200	11.29203	2.25841						
Under 14	25	15.0000	9.34969	1.86994						
Under 17	25	13.1200	10.67442	2.13488						
Under 14	25	11.9600	9.77872	1.95574						
Under 17	25	14.3600	9.68624	1.93725						
	Groups Under 14 Under 17 Under 14 Under 17 Under 14 Under 17 Under 14	Groups N Under 14 25 Under 17 25 Under 14 25 Under 17 25 Under 14 25 Under 17 25 Under 14 25	Groups N Mean Under 14 25 13.8800 Under 17 25 12.2800 Under 14 25 12.7600 Under 17 25 14.5200 Under 14 25 15.0000 Under 17 25 13.1200 Under 14 25 11.9600	Groups N Mean Std. Deviation Under 14 25 13.8800 7.69913 Under 17 25 12.2800 9.72334 Under 14 25 12.7600 7.55690 Under 17 25 14.5200 11.29203 Under 14 25 15.0000 9.34969 Under 17 25 13.1200 10.67442 Under 14 25 11.9600 9.77872						

Table 4: Independent Samples Test

E		Levene	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					
		Equality of								
						Sig.	Mean	Std. Error		
		F	Sig.	t	df	(2-tailed)	Difference	Difference		
Emotional Status	Equal variances assumed	1.155	.288	.645	48	.522	1.60000	2.48048		
	Equal variances not assumed			.645	45.603	.522	1.60000	2.48048		
Activity Status	Equal variances assumed	.955	.333	648	48	.520	-1.76000	2.71747		
	Equal variances not assumed			648	41.906	.521	-1.76000	2.71747		
Sociability Status	Equal variances assumed	.177	.676	.662	48	.511	1.88000	2.83803		
	Equal variances not assumed			.662	47.181	.511	1.88000	2.83803		
Impulsivity Status	Equal variances assumed	.026	.873	872	48	.388	-2.40000	2.75279		
	Equal variances not assumed			872	47.996	.388	-2.40000	2.75279		
	<u>-</u>	•		•		•	•	•		

^{*}Level of Significance 0.05 *Degree of freedom=48

The results of the Independent T test which were obtained in order to ascertain the comparison of two groups (under 14 and under 17) and according to the above scores (table no. 3 and 4) investigator state that there was not a significant difference in the reported measure of temperaments

(Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity) therefore the null hypothesis is accepted at 0.05 level of significant.

Table 5: Group Statistics

	Groups		Mean	Std.	Std. Error
			Mean	Deviation	Mean
Temperamental	Under 14 - 17 (Boys)	25	144.16	22.82849	4.5657
traits	Under 14 - 17 (Girls)	25	146.44	9.87877	1.97575

Table 6: Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances			t-test for Equality of Means				
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	
Tammanamantal traits	Equal variances assumed	6.196	0.016	-0.458	48	0.649	-2.28	4.97486	
remperamental trans	Equal variances assumed Equal variances not assumed			-0.458	32.684	0.65	-2.28	4.97486	

^{*}Level of Significance 0.05

The recorded results of the Independent T test which were obtained in order to ascertain the difference of two groups (under 14-17 Boys and under 14-17 Girls) and according to the above scores (table no. 5 and 6) investigator state that there was insignificant difference in the reported all

*Degree of freedom=48

Over Temperamental traits therefore the null hypothesis is accepted at 0.05 level of significance.

8. Discussion

The data was obtained from the gymnast before the competition, on the basis of the findings the selected sample possessed same temperament status it may be due to competition situation, growth and development pattern and environmental factors like presence of the audience, other participants, judges, performance phobia etc. so that the

recorded temperamental status do not possessed by the gymnast regularly and it may varies situation to situation or during training and learning.

9. Implication of the study

- 1) The study will help in finding out how the gymnast with varying level of performances would differ from each other on the variable relating to emotions, activity, sociability and Impulsivity.
- The results of the study can help to improve the effect of temperamental status on performance and learning.

Volume 7 Issue 1, January 2018 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

References

- [1] Angleitner, A. & Ostendorf, F. (1994). Temperament and the big five factors of personality. In: C. F. Halverson, G. A.
- [2] Kohnstamm & R. P. Martin (Eds), The developing structure of temperament and personality from infancy to adulthood (pp. 69-90). Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.
- [3] Buss, A.H. & Plomin, R. (1984). Temperament: Early developing personality traits, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- [4] Buss, A. & Plomin, R. (1975). A temperament theory of personality development. New York: Wiley.
- [5] Caspi, A., Henry, B., McGee, R. O., Moffitt, T. E., & Silva, P. A. (1995). Temperamental origins of child and adolescent behavior problems: From age three to age fifteen. Child Development, 66, 55-68.
- [6] Eisenberg, N., Fabes, R. A., Guthrie, I. K., & Reiser, M. (2000). Dispositional emotionality and regulation: Their role in predicting quality of social functioning. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 78, 136-157.
- [7] Buss, A., & Plomin, R. (1984). Temperament: Early personality traits. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- [8] Goldsmith, H. H., & Rothbart, M. K. (1991). Contemporary instruments for assessing early temperament by questionnaire and in the laboratory. In A. Angleitner & J. Strelau (Eds.), Explorations in temperament: International perspectives on theory and measurement. New York: Plenum.
- [9] Martin, R. P. (1994). Child temperament and common problems in schooling: Hypotheses about causal connections. Journal of School Psychology, 32, 119-134.
- [10] Martin, R. P., Wisenbaker, J., & Huttunen, M. (In Press). Review of factor analytic studies of temperament measures based on the Thomas-Chess Structural Model: Implications for the Big Five. In C. Halverson, Jr., G. Kohnstamm, & R. P. Martin Eds.), The developing structure of temperament and personality from infancy to adulthood. Hillsdale, N. J.: Erlbaum.
- [11] McCrae, R. R. (Ed.) (1992). The five-factor model: Issues and applications (Special issue). Journal of Personality, 60.
- [12] Prior, M. (1992). Childhood temperament. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 33, 249-279.
- [13] Rothbart, M. K., & Derryberry, D. (1981). Development of individual differences in temperament. In M. E. Lamb & A. L. Brown (Eds), Advances in developmental psychology (Vol. I, pp. 37-86). Hillsdale, N. J.: Erlbaum.
- [14] http://wwwi.wikipedia.com

Author Profile



Dr. Mrs. Sudhira Chandel currently working as a professor in School of Physical Education, Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, (India).



Prakhar Rathore currently pursuing his PhD in Physical Education from School of Physical Education, Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, (India).

Volume 7 Issue 1, January 2018 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY