International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

A Matrix Trace Inequality for Products of Quaternion Hermitian Matrices

K. Gunasekaran¹, M. Rahamathunisha²

^{1, 2} Ramanujan Research Centre, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam -612002

Abstract: In this paper, the following matrix trace inequality for $*_p$ products of quaternion hermitian matrices A and B, tr $(A * B)^{2^k} \le tr(A^{2^k} * B^{2^k})$ is established, where k is positive integer.

Keywords: Triple representation of quaternion matrix, Hermitian matrix, * _ product, matrix trace inequality.

1. Introduction

The triple representation of complex matrices form a quaternion matrix, some new concept to quaternion division algebra where presented. [3]

The following matrix inequality for products of quaternion hermition matrices A and B, $tr (A * B)^{2^k} \le tr(A^{2^k} * B^{2^k})$ is established, where k is positive integer. [1]

Recently, there has been substantial interest in matrix trace inequalities for triple representation of complex and also hermition matrices of the same order.[1,2]

2. Lemmas and Theorem

Lemma 2.1:

Suppose that P is a quaternion square matrix; then

- n - r

$$tr[p^{2^{n-r}} * (P^{H)^{2^{n-r}}}]^{2} \le tr\left\{\left(P^{2^{2^{r}(n-r-1)}} * P^{H^{2^{2^{r}(n-r-1)}}}\right)\right\}tr[P^{2} * P^{H^{2}}]^{r+1}$$
(1)

where n and r are integers.

Proof:

$$\begin{split} tr[p^{2^{n-r}} * (P^{H})^{2^{n-r}}]^{2^{r}} &= tr\{[P_{0}^{2^{n-r}} + P_{1}^{2^{n-r}}j + P_{2}^{2^{n-r}}k] \\ &\quad * [P_{0}^{2^{n-r}} + P_{1}^{2^{n-r}}j + P_{2}^{2^{n-r}}k]\}^{2^{r}} \\ &= tr\{[P_{0}^{2^{n-r}}P_{0}^{H^{2^{n-r}}} + P_{1}^{2^{n-r}}P_{1}^{H^{2^{n-r}}}j + P_{2}^{2^{n-r}}P_{2}^{H^{2^{n-r}}}k]\}^{2^{r}} \\ &= tr\{(P_{0}^{2}P_{0}^{H^{2}})^{n-r} + (P_{1}^{2}P_{1}^{H^{2}})^{n-r}j + (P_{2}^{2}P_{2}^{H^{2}})^{n-r}k\}^{2^{r}} \\ &= tr\{(P_{0}^{2}P_{0}^{H^{2}})^{n-r-1}(P_{0}^{2}P_{0}^{H^{2}}) + (P_{1}^{2}P_{1}^{H^{2}})^{n-r-1}(P_{1}^{2}P_{1}^{H^{2}})j \\ &\quad + (P_{2}^{2}P_{2}^{H^{2}})^{n-r-1}(P_{2}^{2}P_{2}^{H^{2}})^{2^{r}} \\ &= tr\{P_{0}^{2^{n-r-1}}P_{0}^{H^{2^{n-r-1}}}P_{0}^{2}P_{0}^{H^{2}} + P_{1}^{2^{n-r-1}}P_{1}^{H^{2^{n-r-1}}}P_{1}^{2}P_{1}^{H^{2}}j \\ &\quad + P_{2}^{2^{n-r-1}}P_{0}^{2^{n-r-1}}P_{2}^{2}P_{2}^{H^{2}}k\}^{2^{r}} \\ &= tr\{(P^{2^{n-r-1}}P_{0}^{H^{2^{n-r-1}}}P_{0}^{2^{n-r-1}}P_{1}^{2^{n-r-1$$

The proof is completed.

Lemma 2.2: Suppose that P is quaternion square matrix; then $tr[P^{2^k} * (P^H)^{2^k}] \le tr(P * P^H)^{2^k}$, where k is a positive

 $tr[P^2 * (P^n)^2] \le tr(P * P^n)^2$, where k is a positive integer

Proof:

Let
$$S_k = (P * P^H)^{2^{k-1}} - (P^H * P)^{2^{k-1}}$$
 (3)

(2)

When k=1, from equation (3) we know that the matrix S_1 is quaternion hermitian. On the other hand, through direct calculation, we obtain

 $0 \le trS_1^2 = 2tr(P * P^H)^2 - 2tr[P^2 * (P^H)^2]$ Hence Lemma 2.2 holds while k = 1

Suppose that Lemma 2.2 holds when $k \le n$; in the following, we will prove that Lemma 2.2 is valid when k = n+1.

From equation (3) it is easy to know that S_{n+1} is quaternion hermitian and

$$0 \le trS_{n+1}^{2} = 2tr(P * P^{H})^{2^{n+1}} - 2tr[(P * P^{H})^{2^{n}} * (P^{H} * P)^{2^{n}}]$$

That is,

$$tr[P * P^{H}]^{2^{n+1}} \le tr[(P * P^{H})^{2^{n}} * (P^{H} * P)^{2^{n}}] \quad (4)$$

Noticing that $tr[(P * P^H)^{2^n} * (P^H * P)^{2^n}]$

$$= tr\{[(P * P^{H})^{2^{n-1}} * (P^{H} * P)^{2}]$$

$$= tr\{[(P * P^{H})^{2^{n-1}} * (P * P^{H})^{2^{n-1}})]$$

$$* [(P^{H} * P)^{2^{n-1}} * (P^{H} * P)^{2^{n-1}}]\}$$

$$= tr\{[(P * P^{H})^{2^{n-1}} * (P^{H} * P)^{2^{n-1}}][(P^{H} * P)^{2^{n-1}}$$

$$* (P * P^{H})^{2^{n-1}}]\}$$

And combining the above equalities and inequality (4), we have

$$tr[P * P^{H}]^{2^{n+1}} \ge tr\{[(P * P^{H})^{2^{n-1}} * (P^{H} * P)^{2^{n-1}}] \\ * [(P^{H} * P)^{2^{n-1}} * (P * P^{H})^{2^{n-1}}]\}$$

Let

$$R_{n-1} = (P * P^H)^{2^{n-1}} * (P^H * P)^{2^{n-1}}$$
(6)

Then it is easy to verify that the matrix R_{n-1} in (6) is quaternion hermitian, and by inequality (5) and (6), we have $tr(P * P^H)^{2^{n+1}} \ge (tr(R_{n-1} * R_{n-1}^H)) = tr(R_{n-1}^2)$ (7)

Volume 7 Issue 1, January 2018

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

(5)

Making use of Lemma 2.1 and inequality (7), we have $tr(P * P^H)^{2^{n+1}} \ge tr(R_{n-1}^2) = tr(R_{n-2} * R_{n-2}^H)^2$ (8)

Making use of the induction assumption and inequality (8), we have

$$tr(P * P^{H})^{2^{n+1}} \ge tr[(R_{n-2})^{2} * (R_{n-2}^{H})^{2}]$$

Furthermore,

$$tr(P * P^{H})^{2^{n+1}} \ge tr(R_{n-2})^{2^{n+1}}$$

Since R_{n-2} is quaternian hermitian. Repeating the above procedure, we have

$$tr(P * P^{H})^{2^{n+1}} \ge tr(R_{0})^{2^{n}} = tr[(P * P^{H}) * (P^{H} * P)]^{2^{n}}$$

Furthermore,

 $tr(P * P^{H})^{2^{n+1}} \ge tr[P^{2} * (P^{H})^{2}]^{2^{n}}$ (9) Making use of the induction assumption, we have $tr[P^{2} * (P^{H})^{2}]^{2^{n}} \ge tr\{(P^{2})^{2^{n}} * [(P^{H})^{2}]^{2^{n}}\} = tr[P^{2^{n+1}} * (P^{H})^{2^{n+1}}]$ (10)

Combining the inequalities (9) and (10), we have proved that Lemma 2.2 holds when k = n+1. The Proof is complete

The Proof is complete.

Theorem 2.3 : Suppose that A and B are quaternion Hermitian matrices of the same order; then

$$tr(A*B)^{2^{k}} \le tr(A^{2^{k}}*B^{2^{k}}), \tag{11}$$

where k is a positive integer.

Proof: When k = 1, it is easy to verify that the matrix A * B - B * A is

Skew- hermitian, and $tr(A * B - B * A)^2 \le 0$ (12) On the other hand, through direct calculation, we have

 $(A * B - B * A)^{2} = (A * B)^{2} + (B * A)^{2} - (A * B^{2} * A)$ $- (B * A^{2} * B)$

and

$$tr(A * B - B * A)^2 = 2tr(A * B)^2 - 2tr(A^2 * B^2)$$
 (13)
Combining inequality (11) and (12),

we have

 $tr(A * B)^2 \le tr(A^2 * B^2)$ Hence Theorem 2.3 holds while k = 1

Suppose that Theorem 2.3 holds when $K \le 1$; in the following, we will prove that Theorem 2.3 is valid when k = n+1.

It is easy to verify that the matrix $(A * B)^{2^n} - [(A * B)^{2^n}]^H$ is quaternion skew hermitian, on the other hand, through direct calculation, we have,

$$0 \ge tr\{(A * B)^{2^{n}} - [(A * B)^{2^{n}}]^{H}\}^{2}$$

= 2tr(A * B)^{2ⁿ⁺¹} - 2tr{(A * B)^{2ⁿ} * [(A * B)^{H}]^{2ⁿ}}

Thus

$$tr(A * B)^{2^{n+1}} \le tr\{(A * B)^{2^n} * [(A * B)^H]^{2^n}\}$$
 (14)
Making use of Lemma 2.2, we have

Making use of Lemma 2.2, we have $tr\{(A * B)^{2^{n}} * [(A * B)^{H}]^{2^{n}}\} \le tr[(A * B) * (A * B)^{H}]^{2^{n}} = tr(A^{2} * B^{2})^{2^{n}}$ (15)

Making use of induction assumption, we have $tr(A^{2} * B^{2})^{2^{n}} \le tr[(A^{2})^{2^{n}} * (B^{2})^{2^{n}}] = tr[A^{2^{n+1}} * B^{2^{n+1}}]$ (16)

Combining the inequalities (14) – (16), We have $tr(A * B)^{2^{n+1}} \le tr(A^{2^{n+1}} * B^{2^{n+1}})$

Volume 7 Issue 1, January 2018

(17)

Thus we have proved that theorem 2.3 holds when k = n+1. The proof is complete.

References

- Bellman. R: some inequalities for positive definite matrices, in "General Inequalities 2, Proceedings 2nd International conference on General Inequalities"; (E.F. Beckenback, Ed.), PP.89-90, Birkhauser, Basel, (1980)
- [2] Coope. I.D : "On matrix trace inequalities and related topics for products of Hermition matrices"; J. Math. Appl.188, 999-1001 (1994)
- [3] Gunasekaran. K and Rahamathunisha. M: "on tricomplex representation methods and application of matrices over quaternion division algebra"; Applied Science periodical volume XVIII, No.1, Feb (2016)
- [4] Gunasekaran. K, Kannan. K and Rahamathunisha. M: "On Tri Complex representation methods of Matrix trace Inequality"; Journal of untra scientist pf Physical Science. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.22147/jusps-</u> <u>A/290105,JUSPS-A</u> Vol.29(1), 30-32(2017)
- [5] Neudecker. H: "A Matrix trace inequality"; J. Math. Anal. Appl. 166, 302-303 (1992)
- [6] Yang.Y: "A. Matrix trace inequality"; J. Math. Anal. Appl.133, 573-574 (1988)