

Purely Fully Cancellation Fuzzy Modules

Buthainah Nejad Shihab

University of Baghdad / College of Education of Pure and Sciences Ibn Al- Haythiam / Dep. Math.

Abstract: In this paper we introduce the concept of purely fully cancellation fuzzy modules and give some characterizations and properties of this concept.

Keywords: Fully cancellation Fuzzy modules, Invertible fuzzy ideal, Purely Fully-Cancellation fuzzy module

1. Introduction

Gilmer in [1] was introduced the concept of cancellation ideal, and Anderson in [2], studied the concept of cancellation ideals. In [3] A, S, Mijbass, gave some generalization of this concept namely cancellation module (weakly cancellation module). In [4], Buthyna Nijad Shihab, introduce and studied restricted (and weakly restricted) cancellation module.

Next, Dr. L.M. Salman and Buthyna Nigad Shihab introduced and studied Relatively cancellation module in[5]. In [6]. Hatam Yahya Khalaf and Hadi.G. Rashed, introduced the concept of Fully cancellation fuzzy modules, where a fuzzy module X of an R-module M is called fully cancellation fuzzy module if for each fuzzy ideal I of R and for each fuzzy submodules A and B of X such that $IA=IB$, implies $A=B$.

In this paper we will introduce the concept of Puerly-fully cancellation fuzzy module and gives some properties, examples of this concept.

2.1 Definition

Let X be a fuzzy module of an R-module M. X is called puerly fully cancellation fuzzy module if for all non-empty fuzzy pure ideal I of R and for all non-empty fuzzy submodules A_1, A_2 of X such that $IA_1=IA_2$ then $A_1=A_2$. And follow up to this same idea will offer the defition of puerly fully cancellation ideal. If for all non-empty pure fuzzy ideal J of R and for all non-empty fuzzy ideals A and B of R such that $JA=JB$, then $A=B$.

2.2 Proposition

Let X be a fuzzy module of an R-module M. X is purely-fully cancellation fuzzy module if and only if X_t is purely -fully cancellation module

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let K, N be two submodules of an R-module M and let J be a pure ideal of R.

Let $I: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $I(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t \in (0, 1]$

It is clear that I is afuzzy ideal of R

Let $A: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $B: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that :

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in K \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t \in (0, 1]$$

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t \in (0, 1]$$

It is clear that A and B are two fuzzy submodules of X and $A_t=K, B_t=N$ and $I_t=J$

Suppose that $JA=JB$, to prove $A=B$

$(IA)_t=(IB)_t$, so $IA=IB$ by[7]

Thus $A=B$, since X is purely -fully cancellation fuzzy module

Therefore $A_t=B_t$.

Conversely, It is clear that $X_t=M$ and M is purely -fully cancellation module.

Let A and B be two fuzzy submodules of a fuzzy module X and let I be a fuzzy ideal of R such that $IA=IB$, then $(IA)_t=(IB)_t, \forall t \in (0, 1]$, which impls that A_t, B_t are submodules of X_t , but X_t is purely fully cancellation module, so $I_t A_t=I_t B_t$ implies $A_t=B_t$, hence $A=B$.

Thus X is purely fully cancellation fuzzy module

Examples (2.3)

(1) Let $M=Z_{30}, R=Z_{30}$ and let $X: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $X(x)$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in (\overline{6}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t \in (0, 1]$$

X is a fuzzy module of Z_{30} -module.

Let $I: Z_{30} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $I(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in (\overline{5}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t \in (0, 1]$

Let $A: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $A(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in (\overline{18}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t \in (0, 1]$

Let $B: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $B(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in (\overline{12}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t \in (0, 1]$

It is clear that A and B are fuzzy submodules of X.

$X_t=M$ and $A_t=(\overline{18}), B_t=(\overline{12}), I_t=(\overline{5})$ it is pure ideal by[8]

Now, $I_t A_t=I_t B_t$ (since $(\overline{5})(\overline{18})=(\overline{5})(\overline{12}))$)

Thus $A_t=B_t$.

(2) Let $M=Z_{12}$ and $R=Z_{12}$. Let $X: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$X(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in Z_{12} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define $I: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that :

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in (\overline{3}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

Let $A: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that : $A(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \in (\overline{2}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

and $B: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that : $B(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \in (\overline{6}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

It is clear that $I_t=(\overline{3}), A_t=(\overline{2})$ and $B_t=(\overline{6})$

Then $(\overline{3})$ is pure ideal of Z_{12} and $I_t A_t=(\overline{3})(\overline{2})=(\overline{3})(\overline{6})=I_t B_t$. But $(\overline{2}) \neq (\overline{6})$

Therefore $X_t=Z_{12}$ is not purely -fully cancellation module.

Then by proposition (2.2).X is not purely –fully cancellation fuzzy module.

Remark: (2.4)

Every fully cancellation fuzzy module is purely –fully cancellation fuzzy module

The converse of this remark is not true in general for example:

For example (1) we get $I_t = \overline{(5)}$ and $X_t = \overline{(6)}$ is a Z_{30} -module, X_t is purely –fully cancellation module and by proposition (2.2).We get X is purely –fully cancellation fuzzy module.

Now, define A: $M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ where $M = Z_{30}$ by $A(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in \overline{(6)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t \in (0, 1]$

Define B: $M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $B(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in \overline{(0)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. Since $\overline{(5)} \cdot \overline{(6)} = \overline{(5)} \cdot \overline{(0)} = \overline{(0)}$, but $\overline{(6)} \neq \overline{(0)}$. Thus X_t is not fully cancellation module and by proposition (2.2).We get X is not fully cancellation fuzzy module.

Proposition (2.5)

Every fuzzy submodule of purely –fully cancellation fuzzy module is also purely –fully cancellation.

Proposition: (2.6)

Let X_1 and X_2 be two fuzzy submodules of an R-module M_1, M_2 respectively such that $M_1 \cong M_2$.Then X is purely –fully cancellation fuzzy module if and only if X_2 is purely –fully cancellation fuzzy module.

Proof:

Let $X_1: M_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define by $X_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in M_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
 Let $X_2: M_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define by $X_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in M_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

It is clear that X_1 and X_2 are fuzzy modules of M_1 and M_2 respectively.

Since $(X_1)_t = M_1, (X_2)_t = M_2 \forall t \in (0, 1]$, and $M_1 \cong M_2$, then M_2 is purely –fully cancellation module by [8, (2.1.2)(5)].

Then X_2 is purely –fully cancellation fuzzy module by proposition (2.2).

Definition (2.7): Let (x^{-1}) be the invertible element of x in R then $(x^{-1})_t$ is an invertible of a fuzzy singleton in A and $x_t(x^{-1})_t = (xx^{-1})_t = 1_t = (x^{-1})_t \cdot x_t$ where $1_t: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $1_t = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 1 \end{cases} \leq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in R \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \lambda_R(x) = 1, [8].$

Proposition: (2.8)

Let X be a fuzzy module of an R-module M and every non-empty fuzzy ideal I of R is fuzzy invertible. Then X over an R-module M is purely –fully cancellation module.

Proof:

Let A and B be a non- empty fuzzy submodules of a fuzzy module X and let I be a non-empty pure fuzzy ideal of R such that $IA=IB$.

Since I is an invertible fuzzy ideal, then $A=I^{-1} \cdot IA=I^{-1} \cdot IB= B$. Therefore X is purely –fully cancellation fuzzy module which is end the proof.

Definition: (2. 9)

Let I be a fuzzy invertible ideal of a ring R, I is called fuzzy invertible if there exist I^{-1} in R such that $II^{-1}=\lambda_R(x)$ where $\lambda_R(x) = 1$ if $x=1$.

Theorem: (2.10)

Let X be a fuzzy module of an R-module M. If A, B are two non-empty fuzzy submodules of X and I be a pure fuzzy ideal of R. Then the following statements are equivalents:

- (1) X is purely- fully cancellation fuzzy module.
- (2) If $IA \subseteq IB$, then $A \subseteq B$.
- (3) If $I(a_t) \subseteq IB$, then $a_t \subseteq A$, where $a_t \subseteq X \forall t \in (0, 1]$
- (4) If $(IA:RIB) = (A:RB)$.

Proof:

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $IA \subseteq IB$, then we have $IB = IA + IB$. Thus $IB = I(A+B)$.

Since X is purely –fully by(1), then we get $B = A+B$

Therefore $A \subseteq B$ which is end the proof.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) If $I(a_t) \subseteq IB$, then by (2) we get $a_t \subseteq B. \forall t \in (0, 1]$

(1) \Rightarrow (4) Let $r_t \subseteq (IA:RIB)$.Then $r_t IB \subseteq IA \forall t \in (0, 1]$.

Therefore, $I r_t B \subseteq IA$, then we have $r_t B \subseteq A$, since (1) implies (2)

Thus $r_t \subseteq (A:RB)$, and hence, we get $(IA:RIB)$.

The other hand, let $r_t \subseteq (A:RB) \forall t \in (0, 1]$.Then $r_t B \subseteq A$ which implies that

$I r_t B \subseteq IA$, and hence $r_t IB \subseteq IA. \forall t \in (0, 1]$.

Therefore $r_t \subseteq (IA:RIB)$, then we get $(A:RB) \subseteq (IA:RIB)$.

Thus $(A:RB) = (IA:RIB)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let $IA = IB$ and $(IA:RIB) = (A:RB)$

Since $IA = IB$, then $(IA:RIB) = \lambda_R(x)$ where $\lambda_R(x) = 1$ if $x = 1$.

Hence $(A:RB) = \lambda_R(x)$, and so $B \subseteq A$.

Similarly $(IB:RIA) = (B:RA) = \lambda_R(x)$.

Which implies that $A \subseteq B$. Therefore $A = B$.

Thus X is purely- fully cancellation fuzzy modules.

References

- [1] Glimer.R.W,. "Multiplication ideal Theory" Marcel Dekkes New Yourk, (1972)
- [2] Anderson.D.D,. and D.F,. Anderson "Some remarks on Cancellation ideal " Math. Goponica 29(6), (1984), 879-886.
- [3] Ali.S Mijbass "On Cancellation modules" M.Sc. Thesis.University of Baghdad (1992).
- [4] Buthna.N. Shihab "Restricted cancellation modules" M.Sc,..Thesis university of Tikrit (2000).
- [5] Dr. Layla S.M, Buthyna N. Sh. And Thair S. Rasheed "Relatively cancellation modules" M.Sc. Thesis University of Baghdad (2005).
- [6] Hatam Y. Khalaf and Hadi G.Rashad "Fully Cancellation fuzzy modules" M Sc. Thesis University of Baghdad.
- [7] Zada L A., "Fuzzy information and Control (8), (1965), 338-353.
- [8] Bothaynah N.Shihab and Heba M.A Judi " Puerly –fully cancellation modules" International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistical Sciences(IJAMSS) Vol(5). (2016)., 79-96.