

Algebraic Structures on Multi Groups II

S. F. El-Hadidi

10 Talat Basha St., San Stefano Alex., Egypt, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract: Cosets in the sense of multi-groups were discussed, also factor groups in the multi-group perspective.

Keywords: Multi-set, Multi-group.

1. Introduction

If G is any group and A is any subgroup of G we know that:

gA is a *Left Coset* of A in G where
 $gA = \{ga : a \in A\}$ where $g \in G$. Also

$Ag = \{ag : a \in A\}$ is said to be right Coset of A in G , any two left (right) Cosets either disjoint or identical and we mention some basic results in this subject.

Lemma 1.1: Let G be a group and A is a sub-group of G then we has:

- (i) $xA = A$ iff $x \in A$
- (ii) $xA = yA$ iff $y^{-1}x \in A$

Same results can be written with respect to right Cosets.

Now if A is normal subgroup of G , we can define an operation on Left Cosets of A in G as follows:

$xAyA = xyA$ and in this case, this operation is well defined and the set of all *Left Cosets* of A in G form a group under this operation called the Factor group or Quotient group and is denoted be G/A . In the next section we want to extend these results in the case of multi-groups.

2. Cosets in the Sense of Multi-Groups

In this section we introduce the concept of multi Cosets i.e. Cosets in multi-group perspective Recall the definition 3.5 in [16] that is if B is a multi-group over the group Γ and $C_B(e) = \zeta$, $B_\nu = \{g \in \Gamma : C_B(g) \geq \nu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$

Where \mathbb{Z}^+ is the set of all positive integers.

$$B_{\zeta(e)} = \{g \in \Gamma : C_B(g) = \zeta = C_B(e)\}$$

$$B_0 = \{g \in \Gamma : C_B(g) \geq 0\}$$

In a previous note [16] we have proved that B_ν , $B_{\zeta(e)}$ and B_0 are sub-groups of Γ and in the following theorem we will prove that they are normal sub-groups of Γ .

Theorem 2.1: let Γ be a group and let $B \in AMG(\Gamma)$ then $B_0, B_{\zeta(e)}$ and B_ν where $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ are normal sub-groups of the group Γ .

Proof (I): To prove that B_0 is normal in Γ :

$$\text{Since } B_0 = \{g \in \Gamma : C_B(g) \geq 0\}$$

We had shown that $B_0, B_{\zeta(e)}$ and B_ν (see [16]) are sub-groups and so it remains to show that they are normal in Γ .

Let $g \in \Gamma$ taken arbitrarily and $b \in B_0$, then $C_B(b) \geq 0$ and Recall theorem 3.15 in [16] that $C_B(gbg^{-1}) = C_B(b) \geq 0$ and hence we get $gbg^{-1} \in B_0$, then B_0 is a normal sub-groups of Γ .

(2) to prove that $B_{\zeta(e)}$ is normal in Γ , let $b \in B_{\zeta(e)}$ then $C_B(b) = C_B(e)$ and for $g \in \Gamma$ and again by theorem 3.15 in [16]

$$C_B(gbg^{-1}) = C_B(b) = C_B(e) \text{ then } gbg^{-1} \in B_{\zeta(e)}, \text{ then}$$

$B_{\zeta(e)}$ is normal in Γ .

(3) now we want to show that B_ν is normal in Γ , $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

take $g \in \Gamma$, $b \in B_\nu$, since $b \in B_\nu$ then $C_B(b) \geq \nu$, also

by theorem 3.15 in [16] $C_B(gbg^{-1}) = C_B(b) \geq \nu$ thus

$gbg^{-1} \in B_\nu$ and so B_ν is normal in Γ which completes the proof of the theorem. Now we introduce the concept of singleton set in multi-set perspective.

Definition 2.2: Let Γ be any set (need not be a group) and $G = \{\nu/g\}$ that is $G = \{g\}_\nu$ and we write it as $\{g\}_\nu = \nu$.

Definition 2.3: Let Γ be a group with identity e , $B \in MG(\Gamma)$ and $C_B(e) = \zeta(e) = \zeta$ then the multi-set

$\zeta \circ B$ is called a left *mCoset* of B in Γ and we write it $\zeta \circ B$

as gB similarly $B \circ_{\zeta}^g$ is called the right $mCoset$ of B in Γ , and can be written as $B \circ_{\zeta}^g = Bg$.

Lemma 2.4: Let Γ be a group, $B \in MG(\Gamma)$, then

- (1) $C_{gB}(a) = C_B(g^{-1}a)$ and
- (2) $C_{Bg}(a) = C_B(ag^{-1})$

Proof (1): Let $C_B(e) = \zeta$

$$C_{gB}(a) = C_{\zeta \circ B}^g(a)$$

$$= \max_{\substack{g, b \in \Gamma \\ gb=a}} \left\{ \min_{\{g\}_{\zeta}} \left\{ C_B(g), C_B(b) \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \max_{b=g^{-1}a} \left\{ \min_B \left\{ \zeta(e), C_B(b) \right\} \right\}$$

since any element in B not exceeds in multiplicity the multiplicity of e then $C_{gB}(a) = \max_{gb=a} \left\{ C_B(b) \right\}$

$$C_{gB}(a) = C_B(g^{-1}a)$$

Proof (2): $C_{Bg}(a) = C_{B \circ_{\zeta}^g}(a)$

$$= \max_{\substack{bg=a \\ b, g \in \Gamma}} \left\{ \min_{\{g\}_{\zeta}} \left\{ C_B(b), C_B(g) \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \max_{b=ag^{-1}} \left\{ \min_B \left\{ C_B(b), \zeta(g) \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \max_{b=ag^{-1}} \left\{ C_B(b) \right\}$$

$$= C_B(ag^{-1})$$

Hence our result follows.

Theorem 2.5: In $AMG(\Gamma)$, every left $mCoset$ is the same as right $mCoset$ corresponding to the same element, same sub-group of Γ i.e. $\forall B \in AMG(\Gamma), \forall g \in \Gamma$ then: $gB = Bg$.

Proof: Let $B \in AMG(\Gamma)$, then $C_B(gh) = C_B(hg)$
 $\forall g, h \in \Gamma$. see [16]

$$C_{gB}(a) = C_B(g^{-1}a) = C_B(ag^{-1}) = C_{Bg}(a) \forall a \in \Gamma$$

then:
 $C_{gB}(a) = C_{Bg}(a) \forall a \in \Gamma$, and hence our result follows.

Theorem 2.6: Let B be an element of $MG(\Gamma)$ then $\forall g, h \in \Gamma Bg = Bh$ iff $B_{\zeta(e)}g = B_{\zeta(e)}h$.

Proof (1)"Necessity":

Assume that $Bg = Bh$ then $B \circ_{\zeta}^g = B \circ_{\zeta}^h$

$$C_B(ag^{-1}) = C_B(ah^{-1}) \forall a \in \Gamma$$

since a is taken arbitrary then if we put $a = h$

$$C_B(hg^{-1}) = C_B(hh^{-1}) = C_B(e) = \zeta(e) = \zeta$$

$$C_B(hg^{-1}) = C_B(e) = \zeta(e) = \zeta$$
 which means that $hg^{-1} \in B_{\zeta(e)}$ i.e. $B_{\zeta(e)}(hg^{-1}) = B_{\zeta(e)}$ (by Lemma 1.1) part (i)
$$B_{\zeta(e)}h = B_{\zeta(e)}g$$
 (by Lemma 1.1) part (ii)

Proof (2)"Sufficiency": Assume that $B_{\zeta(e)}g = B_{\zeta(e)}h$ which

implies immediately to $gh^{-1} \in B_{\zeta(e)}, hg^{-1} \in B_{\zeta(e)}$
 i.e. $C_B(gh^{-1}) = C_B(e)$, now let $a \in \Gamma$ taken arbitrary then:

$$C_B(ah^{-1}) = C_B(ag^{-1}gh^{-1})$$

$$= C_B((ag^{-1})(gh^{-1}))$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ C_B(ag^{-1}), C_B(gh^{-1}) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ C_B(ag^{-1}), C_B(e) \right\}$$

$$C_B(ah^{-1}) \geq C_B(ag^{-1}) = C_{Bg}(a)$$

$$C_B(ah^{-1}) \geq C_B(a) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Now $C_B(ag^{-1}) = C_B(ah^{-1}hg^{-1})$

$$= C_B((ah^{-1})(hg^{-1}))$$

$$C_B(ag^{-1}) \geq \min \left\{ C_B(ah^{-1}), C_B(hg^{-1}) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ C_B(ah^{-1}), C_B(e) \right\}$$

$$\geq C_B(ah^{-1}) = C_{Bh}(a) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow C_B(ah^{-1}) \geq C_{Bg}(a) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$C_B(ag^{-1}) \geq C_{Bh}(a) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow C_{Bh}(a) \geq C_{Bg}(a) \dots\dots\dots (1)'$$

$$(2) \Rightarrow C_{Bg}(a) \geq C_{Bh}(a) \dots\dots\dots (2)'$$

which means that $Bg = Bh$ and hence the theorem is proved.

Remark 2.7: Theorem 2.6 is also true in the case of left *mCosets* i.e. if $B \in MG(\Gamma)$ then $\forall g, h \in \Gamma$ $gB = hB$ iff $g \underset{\zeta(e)}{B} = h \underset{\zeta(e)}{B}$ the proof can be carried by a similar arguments as in theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.8: In the space of all multi-groups over a group Γ if two right *mCosets* Bg, Bh (where B is any member of that space) are identically equal, then g, h have the same multicity in B .

Proof: Let $B \in AMG(\Gamma)$ where Γ is a group and $Bg = Bh, g, h \in \Gamma$, then by theorem 2.6 $B \underset{\zeta(e)}{g} = B \underset{\zeta(e)}{h}$, $gh^{-1} \in B$ and $hg^{-1} \in B$, from the properties of abelian multi-groups see [16], we can write

$$\begin{aligned} C_B(g) &= C_B(hg h^{-1}) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ C_B(h), C_B(g h^{-1}) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ C_B(h), C_B(e) \right\} \\ C_B(g) &\geq C_B(h) \dots\dots\dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{also } C_B(h) &= C_B(gh g^{-1}) \\ C_B(h) &\geq \min \left\{ C_B(g), C_B(h g^{-1}) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ C_B(g), C_B(e) \right\} \\ C_B(h) &\geq C_B(g) \dots\dots\dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

From (1), (2) we deduce that

$$C_B(g) = C_B(h)$$

i.e. g, h have the same multiplicity in B , Which completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Quotient Group in the Multi-Group Perspective

In this section we want to extend the concept of quotient group in the multi-group. For this we restrict our selves in the space of all abelian multi-groups over a given group Γ i.e. $AMG(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 3.1: Assume that B is any member of $AMG(\Gamma)$, and $\Gamma/B = \{Bg : g \in \Gamma\}$, then

$Bg \circ Bh = B(gh) \forall g, h \in \Gamma$, moreover this operation is well defined.

Proof: Assume that $g, h \in \Gamma$ then

$$Bg \circ Bh = B \circ \underset{g}{\zeta} \circ B \circ \underset{h}{\zeta}, \zeta = \left\{ \underset{C_B(e)}{g} \right\}, \zeta = \left\{ \underset{C_B(e)}{h} \right\}$$

$$Bg \circ Bh = (\underset{g}{\zeta} \circ B) \circ (B \circ \underset{h}{\zeta})$$

$$= \underset{g}{\zeta} \circ (B \circ B) \circ \underset{h}{\zeta}$$

But $B \circ B = B$ see [16]

$$Bg \circ Bh = (\underset{g}{\zeta} \circ B) \circ \underset{h}{\zeta}$$

$$= (B \circ \underset{g}{\zeta}) \circ \underset{h}{\zeta}$$

$$= B \circ (\underset{g}{\zeta} \circ \underset{h}{\zeta})$$

$$= B \circ \underset{gh}{\zeta}$$

$$= Bgh$$

Now to show that this operation is well defined, let $Bg = Bg_1, Bh = Bh_1$, so we can write:

$C_B(ag^{-1}) = C_B(ag_1^{-1})$ and $C_B(ah^{-1}) = C_B(ah_1^{-1})$, now we have

$$C_B(a(gh)^{-1}) = C_B(a h^{-1} g^{-1}), \text{ put } t = ah^{-1}$$

$$C_B(a(gh)^{-1}) = C_B(tg^{-1}) = C_B(tg_1^{-1})$$

$$= C_B(t) = C_B(ah^{-1}) = C_B(ah_1^{-1})$$

$$= C_{Bg_1 h_1}(a)$$

$$C_B(a(gh)^{-1}) = C_{Bg_1 h_1}(a)$$

$$C_{Bgh}(a) = C_{Bg_1 h_1}(a) \forall a \in \Gamma$$

$$Bgh = Bg_1 h_1$$

Which means that the operation is well defined with completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.2: Let Γ be a group and $B \in AMG(\Gamma)$, and $G = \Gamma/B = \{Bg : g \in \Gamma\}$ and let " \circ " be an operation on G such that $Bg \circ Bh = B(gh)$ then $\langle G, \circ \rangle$ is the group, moreover this group is isomorphic to $G = \Gamma/B_{\zeta(e)}$ i.e. $\Gamma/B \cong \Gamma/B_{\zeta(e)}$.

Proof: From the previous theorem (i.e. theorem 3.1) we have shown that the operation is closed and well defined and in our previous note (see [16]), we have shown that the operation " \circ " is associative.

Now it is easy to see that B is the identity element of $G = \Gamma/B = \{Bg : g \in \Gamma\}$

$B \circ Bg = Be \circ Bg = B(eg) = Bg \quad \forall g \in \Gamma$, also for existence of the inverse $Bg \circ Bg^{-1} = B(gg^{-1}) = Be = B \quad \forall g \in \Gamma$, and hence $G = \Gamma/B$ is a group. Since $B \in AMG(\Gamma)$, then $\Gamma/B_{\zeta(e)}$ is a group (since $B_{\zeta(e)}$ is normal subgroup of Γ).

Now to prove that Γ/B and $\Gamma/B_{\zeta(e)}$ are isomorphic, let us consider the mapping $\theta: \Gamma/B \rightarrow \Gamma/B_{\zeta(e)}$ where

$\theta(Bg) = B_{\zeta(e)}(g)$, θ is injection, since if

$\theta(Bg) = \theta(Bh)$, thus

$B_{\zeta(e)}(g) = B_{\zeta(e)}(h)$, and from theorem 2.6, we get

$Bg = Bh$, so θ is an injection, θ preserves the operation:

$$\theta(Bg \circ Bh) = \theta(B(gh))$$

$$= B_{\zeta(e)}(gh) = B_{\zeta(e)}g \cdot B_{\zeta(e)}h$$

$$= \theta(Bg) \cdot \theta(Bh)$$

The surjection of θ is clear and hence θ is an isomorphism and so $\Gamma/B, \Gamma/B_{\zeta(e)}$ are isomorphic, hence our required result follows.

4. Conclusion

Cosets and quotient groups in the multi-group perspective and some of their properties were discussed.

References

[1] H. Aktas and N. Cagman, Soft sets and soft groups, Inform. Sci. 177 (2007) 2726–2735.
 [2] S. Alkhalzede, A. R. Salleh and N. Hassan, Soft multiset theory, Appl. Math. Sci. 5(72) (2011) 3561–3573.
 [3] W. D. Blizard, Multiset theory, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic, 30 (1989) 36–66.
 [4] K. Chakraborty, On bags and fuzzy bags, Adv. Soft Comput. Techniq. Appl. 25 (2000) 201–212.
 [5] K. Chakraborty, Notion of fuzzy IC-bags, Internat. J. Uncertain. Fuzziness Knowledge-Based Systems 12(3) (2004) 327–345.
 [6] M. Delgado, M. J. Martin-Bautista, D. Sanchez and M. A. Vila, An extended characterization of fuzzy bags, Int. J. Intell. Syst. 24 (2009) 706–721.
 [7] M. Delgado, M. D. Ruiz and D. Sanchez, RL-bags: A conceptual, level-based approach to fuzzy bags, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 208 (2012) 111–128.
 [8] YU Feng, Intuitionistic L-fuzzy groups, School of Power Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, P.R.China.
 [9] K. P. Girish and S. J. John, Relations and functions in multiset context, Inform. Sci. 179(6)(2009) 758–768.
 [10] B. Li, W. Peizhang and L. Xihui, Fuzzy bags with set-valued statistics, Comput. Math. Appl. 15 (1988) 3–39.
 [11] P. Majumdar and S. K. Samanta, Soft multisets, J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2(6) (2012) 1700–1711.

[12] S. Miyamoto, Operations for real-valued bags and bag relations, in ISEA–EUSFLAT, (2009)612–617.
 [13] S. Miyamoto, Two generalizations of multisets, in: M. Inuiguchi, S. Tsumito eds., Rough Set theory & Granular computing, Springer, (2003) pp 59–68.
 [14] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35 (1971) 512–517.
 [15] R. R. Yager, On the theory of bags, Internat. J. Gen. Systems 13(1) (1987) 23–37.
 [16] S.F. El-Hadidi, Algebraic structures on Multi-groups I, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) vol. 6, Issue 5, 2017, 759-767.