

FI-Semihollow and FI- Semilifting Modules

Dr.Sahira M. Yaseen¹, Layla H. Helal²

¹Department of Math., College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

²Department of Civil Eng. College of Eng. University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let M be a left unital R -module. In this paper we also give a generalization of semihollow and modules, namely FI- semihollow, FI- semilifting modules respectively. We study the properties of this concept.

Keywords: semihollow module, semilifting module, fully invariant submodule.

1. Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be a left unital R -module. A submodule N of R -module M is called small in M (denoted by $N \ll M$) if $N + K \neq M$ for each proper submodule K of M and M is called a hollow module if every proper submodule is small in M , [2]. A submodule N of R -module M is called fully invariant submodule of M if $f(N) \subseteq N$, for every $f \in \text{Hom}(M, M)$. Clearly 0 and M are fully invariant submodule of M . The R -module is called duo module if every submodule of M is fully invariant [3].

In [4] there was given the concepts of semismall submodule semihollow modules and semilifting modules as a generalization of the concepts of small submodule, hollow modules and lifting modules. Where a submodule N of R -module M is called semismall ($N \ll_s M$) if $N=0$ or for each nonzero submodule K of M , then $N/K \ll M/K$.

In this paper we introduce the concept of FI-semihollow module and FI-semilifting module as a generalization of semihollow and semilifting modules and study the basic properties of this type of modules and give some characterizations for such modules.

Lemma (1):[4]

Let N be a submodule of R -module M , $N \ll_s M$ iff $N+L=M$ for all $L \leq M$ implies $K+L=M$ For all $K \leq N$, $K \neq (0)$.

Lemma (2):[4]

- 1) IF $N \ll_s M$ and $A < N$ then $A \ll_s M$.
- 2) IF X, Y are submodule of M such that $X \ll_s Y$ then $X \ll_s M$.
- 3) IF $N \ll_s M$ and $K < M$ such that $K \subseteq N$ then $N/K \ll_s M/K$.
- 4) Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ and $N < M$ such that $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$, IF $N \ll_s M$ then $N_1 \ll_s M_1$ and $N_2 \ll_s M_2$.
- 5) Let $N < N_1 < M$ if $N \ll_s M$ and N_1 is direct summand then $N \ll_s N_1$.

1- FI-Semi Hollow module

An non-zero R -module M is called semihollow iff every proper submodule of M is Semismall, [4]. In this section we introduce the concept of FI-semihollow module and we study the properties of this concept.

Definition (1.1):- A non zero R -module M is called FI-semihollow if every fully invariant proper submodule of M is Semismall.

A ring R is called FI-semihollow if R is FI-semihollow as an R -module, Equivalently every two sided ideal of R is semismall.

Remarks and Examples (1.2):-

- 1) Every hollow and semihollow is FI-semihollow module.
- 2) IF M is Duo module then semihollow and FI-semihollow are equivalent.
- 3) Z as Z -module is not semihollow and not FI-semihollow since $2Z$ is fully invariant proper submodule and $2Z+3Z=Z$ but $6Z+3Z \neq M$, $6Z < 2Z$
- 4) $Z_2 \oplus Z_8$ as Z -module is FI-semihollow which is not Duo module.
- 5) Every simple R -module is FI-semihollow

Proposition (1.3):

FI-semihollow is closed under isomorphism.

Proof:

Let M, M' be R -modules and M is FI-semihollow, let $f: M \rightarrow M'$ be an R -isomorphism, we have to show that M' is FI-semihollow, let N be fully invariant proper Submodule of M' , Now, $f^{-1}(N)$ is proper submodule of M , If $f^{-1}(N) = M$ then $f(f^{-1}(N)) = N = M'$ which is contradiction. Thus $f^{-1}(N)$ is proper submodule of M to show $f^{-1}(N)$ is fully invariant of M . Let $g: M \rightarrow M$, since N is fully invariant of M' , thus $gf^{-1}(N) \subseteq N$ and $f^{-1}f(gf^{-1}(N)) \subseteq f^{-1}(N)$, then $g(f^{-1}(N)) \subseteq f^{-1}(N)$. Thus $f^{-1}(N)$ is fully invariant of M , since M is FI-semihollow, thus $f^{-1}(N) \ll_s M$ and by [4] prop. (1.3) and $(N \ll_s M')$.

Proposition (1.4):

Let M be a FI- semihollow and N be a submodule of M with N/K is direct summand of M/K for each proper submodule K of N then N is FI-semihollow.

Proof: Let L be a proper fully invariant submodule of N then $L \ll_s M$, by Lemma(2).

Let K be a proper submodule of L then $L/K \ll M/K$ and by hypothesis L/K is a direct summand of M/K then $L/K \ll_s M/K$ [4], hence $L \ll_s N$

Remark (1.5): FI-semihollow need not be indecomposable, Z_6 as Z -module is semihollow then FI-semihollow which is decomposable.

Recall that a submodule N of an R -module M is called coclosed in M if whenever $N/K \ll M/K$ then $N=K \forall K$ submodule of M contained in $N[5]$

This means N is coclosed if whenever $K < N$, N/K is not small in M/K , it is known that the only proper coclosed submodule in semihollow module is simple submodule.

We have the following Remark.

Remark: Every proper fully invariant coclosed submodule of a semihollow module is a simple submodule.

Proof: let N be fully proper coclosed submodule of FI-semihollow module M , since M is FI-semihollow then $N/K \ll M/K \forall K \leq N$ since N is coclosed, $N=K$ then N is simple.

Corollary: Every non-zero coclosed fully invariant submodule of FI-semihollow module is FI-semihollow.

Proof: Since every simple is FI-semihollow.

2. FI-Semilifting modules

An R -module M is called semilifting if for any submodule N of M there exist submodules K, K' of M such that $M=K \oplus K'$ with $K \leq N$ and $N \cap K' \ll_s N$ (equivalently $N \cap K' \ll_s M$). In this section we introduce the notion of FI-semilifting modules and discuss some properties of this kind of modules which are generalization of semilifting module.

Definition (2.1) :

An R -module M is called FI-semilifting if for any fully invariant submodule N of M there exist submodules K, K' of M such that $M=K \oplus K'$ with $K \leq N$ and $N \cap K' \ll_s N$. [4] The following theorem gives a characterization of FI-semilifting modules.

Theorem (2.2) : Let M be an R -module, then the following statements are equivalent :

- 1) M is FI-semilifting
- 2) Every fully invariant submodule N of M , N can be written as $N=A \oplus B$ where A is direct summand of M and $B \ll_s M$.
- 3) For every fully invariant submodule N of M , there exist a direct summand K of M such that $K \leq N$ and $N/K \ll_s M/K$.

Proof: It is clear like theorem 3.3 in [4].

It is known that every hollow is lifting, but the converse is not true (see Remark 1.1.7. in [1]).

Remark (2.3) :

- 1) Every FI-semihollow is FI-semilifting

Proof: - let N be fully invariant submodule of M if $N \neq M$, $N \ll_s M$, $N = (0) \oplus N$ the result follows directly by (theorem 2.2)

- 2) Every semisimple module is lifting hence semilifting and then FI-semilifting.

Proposition (2.4) :

An indecomposable R -module is FI-semihollow if and only if FI-semilifting.

Proof: Let M be FI-semihollow then M is FI-semilifting by Remark (2.3)

Conversely suppose that M is FI-semilifting and A fully invariant proper submodule of M , by (Theorem.2.2), We have $A = N \oplus D$ where N is a direct summand of M and $D \ll_s M$ but M is indecomposable. Then either $N = (0)$ or $N = M$ then $M = N \subseteq A$ which implies that $A = M$ which is contradiction, thus $N = (0)$, So $A = D \ll_s M$, hence M is FI-semihollow.

Proposition (2.6) :

If an R -module M is FI-semihollow then M/N is FI-semihollow for every fully invariant proper submodule N of M .

Proof: Assume that M is FI-semihollow and let N be fully invariant proper submodule of M

Let K/N be fully invariant proper submodule of M/N , Such that $\frac{M}{N} = \frac{K}{N} + \frac{H}{N}$ where $H \subseteq M$ and $N \subset H$. Then $\frac{M}{N} = \frac{K+H}{N}$, So it implies $M = K+H$, now since K/N is fully invariant of M/N and N is fully invariant proper of M thus K is fully invariant by (lemma 1.2.23[6]) then $K \ll_s M$, So $K+H=M$, $H \leq M$ then $K'+H=M$, $\forall K' \subset K$ (Lemma 1). Hence we have $\frac{K'+H}{N} = \frac{M}{N}$ then $\frac{M}{N} = \frac{K'}{N} + \frac{H}{N} \forall \frac{K'}{N} \subseteq \frac{K}{N}$, $K' \neq N$, thus $\frac{M}{N}$ is FI-semihollow module.

References

- [1] D.Kasch, Modules and rings, Academic Press, London, 1982
- [2] P.Feury, "Hollow Modules and Local Endomorphism Rings", pac.J.Math., 53 (1974), 379-385.
- [3] A.C. Ozcan, Duo Modules, Glasgow math.J.Trust 48, 2006, pp.533-545.
- [4] I.M.Ali and L.S.Mahmood, "Semismall submodules and Semi-lifting Modules", Proc. of 3rd Scientific Conference of College of Science, University of Baghdad 24-26 March (2009), 385-393.
- [5] Y.Talebi and T.amoozegar fully invariant TM- Lifting Module, Albanian J. of Math. V.3(2009), 49-53, ISSN 1930-1235.
- [6] Y. Talebi and T.AmoozeGar, strong FI-Lifting module. International Electronic J. of Algebra 3(2008), 75-82.