

# FI-Semihollow and FI- Semilifting Modules

Dr.Sahira M. Yaseen<sup>1</sup>, Layla H. Helal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Math., College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>2</sup>Department of Civil Eng. College of Eng. University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

**Abstract:** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $M$  be a left unital  $R$ -module. In this paper we also give a generalization of semihollow and modules, namely FI- semihollow, FI- semilifting modules respectively. We study the properties of this concept.

**Keywords:** semihollow module, semilifting module, fully invariant submodule.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $M$  be a left unital  $R$ -module. A submodule  $N$  of  $R$ -module  $M$  is called small in  $M$  (denoted by  $N \ll M$ ) if  $N + K \neq M$  for each proper submodule  $K$  of  $M$  and  $M$  is called a hollow module if every proper submodule is small in  $M$ , [2]. A submodule  $N$  of  $R$ -module  $M$  is called fully invariant submodule of  $M$  if  $f(N) \subseteq N$ , for every  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, M)$ . Clearly  $0$  and  $M$  are fully invariant submodule of  $M$ . The  $R$ -module is called duo module if every submodule of  $M$  is fully invariant [3].

In [4] there was given the concepts of semismall submodule semihollow modules and semilifting modules as a generalization of the concepts of small submodule, hollow modules and lifting modules. Where a submodule  $N$  of  $R$ -module  $M$  is called semismall ( $N \ll_s M$ ) if  $N=0$  or for each nonzero submodule  $K$  of  $M$ , then  $N/K \ll M/K$ .

In this paper we introduce the concept of FI-semihollow module and FI-semilifting module as a generalization of semihollow and semilifting modules and study the basic properties of this type of modules and give some characterizations for such modules.

### Lemma (1):[4]

Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $N \ll_s M$  iff  $N+L=M$  for all  $L \leq M$  implies  $K+L=M$  For all  $K \leq N$ ,  $K \neq (0)$ .

Lemma (2):[4]

- 1) IF  $N \ll_s M$  and  $A < N$  then  $A \ll_s M$ .
- 2) IF  $X, Y$  are submodule of  $M$  such that  $X \ll_s Y$  then  $X \ll_s M$ .
- 3) IF  $N \ll_s M$  and  $K < M$  such that  $K \subseteq N$  then  $N/K \ll_s M/K$ .
- 4) Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  and  $N < M$  such that  $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$ , IF  $N \ll_s M$  then  $N_1 \ll_s M_1$  and  $N_2 \ll_s M_2$ .
- 5) Let  $N < N_1 < M$  if  $N \ll_s M$  and  $N_1$  is direct summand then  $N \ll_s N_1$ .

### 1- FI-Semi Hollow module

An non-zero  $R$ -module  $M$  is called semihollow iff every proper submodule of  $M$  is Semismall, [4]. In this section we introduce the concept of FI-semihollow module and we study the properties of this concept.

**Definition (1.1):**-A non zero  $R$ -module  $M$  is called FI-semihollow if every fully invariant proper submodule of  $M$  is Semismall.

A ring  $R$  is called FI-semihollow if  $R$  is FI-semihollow as an  $R$ -module, Equivalently every two sided ideal of  $R$  is semismall.

### Remarks and Examples (1.2):-

- 1) Every hollow and semihollow is FI-semihollow module.
- 2) IF  $M$  is Duo module then semihollow and FI-semihollow are equivalent.
- 3)  $Z$  as  $Z$ -module is not semihollow and not FI-semihollow since  $2Z$  is fully invariant proper submodule and  $2Z+3Z=Z$  but  $6Z+3Z \neq M$ ,  $6Z < 2Z$
- 4)  $Z_2 \oplus Z_8$  as  $Z$ -module is FI-semihollow which is not Duo module.
- 5) Every simple  $R$ -module is FI-semihollow

### Proposition (1.3):

FI-semihollow is closed under isomorphism.

### Proof:

Let  $M, M'$  be  $R$ -modules and  $M$  is FI-semihollow, let  $f: M \rightarrow M'$  be an  $R$ -isomorphism, we have to show that  $M'$  is FI-semihollow, let  $N$  be fully invariant proper Submodule of  $M'$ , Now,  $f^{-1}(N)$  is proper submodule of  $M$ , If  $f^{-1}(N) = M$  then  $f(f^{-1}(N)) = N = M'$  which is contradiction. Thus  $f^{-1}(N)$  is proper submodule of  $M$  to show  $f^{-1}(N)$  is fully invariant of  $M$ . Let  $g: M \rightarrow M$ , since  $N$  is fully invariant of  $M'$ , thus  $gf^{-1}(N) \subseteq N$  and  $f^{-1}f(gf^{-1}(N)) \subseteq f^{-1}(N)$ , then  $g(f^{-1}(N)) \subseteq f^{-1}(N)$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(N)$  is fully invariant of  $M$ , since  $M$  is FI-semihollow, thus  $f^{-1}(N) \ll_s M$  and by [4] prop. (1.3) and  $(N \ll_s M')$ .

### Proposition (1.4):

Let  $M$  be a FI- semihollow and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$  with  $N/K$  is direct summand of  $M/K$  for each proper submodule  $K$  of  $N$  then  $N$  is FI-semihollow.

**Proof:** Let  $L$  be a proper fully invariant submodule of  $N$  then  $L \ll_s M$ , by Lemma(2).

Let  $K$  be a proper submodule of  $L$  then  $L/K \ll M/K$  and by hypothesis  $L/K$  is a direct summand of  $M/K$  then  $L/K \ll_s N/K$  [4], hence  $L \ll_s N$

**Remark (1.5):** FI-semihollow need not be indecomposable,  $Z_6$  as  $Z$ -module is semihollow then FI-semihollow which is decomposable.

Recall that a submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called coclosed in  $M$  if whenever  $N/K' \ll M/K$  then  $N=K \forall K$  submodule of  $M$  contained in  $N[5]$

This means  $N$  is coclosed if whenever  $K < N$ ,  $N/K$  is not small in  $M/K$ , it is known that the only proper coclosed submodule in semihollow module is simple submodule.

We have the following Remark.

**Remark:** Every proper fully invariant coclosed submodule of a semihollow module is a simple submodule.

**Proof:** let  $N$  be fully proper coclosed submodule of FI-semihollow module  $M$ , since  $M$  is FI-semihollow then  $N/K \ll M/K \forall K \leq N$  since  $N$  is coclosed,  $N=K$  then  $N$  is simple.

**Corollary:** Every non-zero coclosed fully invariant submodule of FI-semihollow module is FI-semihollow.

**Proof:** Since every simple is FI-semihollow.

## 2. FI-Semilifting modules

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called semilifting if for any submodule  $N$  of  $M$  there exist submodules  $K, K'$  of  $M$  such that  $M=K \oplus K'$  with  $K \leq N$  and  $N \cap K' \ll_s N$  (equivalently  $N \cap K' \ll_s M$ ). In this section we introduce the notion of FI-semilifting modules and discuss some properties of this kind of modules which are generalization of semilifting module.

### Definition (2.1) :

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called FI-semilifting if for any fully invariant submodule  $N$  of  $M$  there exist submodules  $K, K'$  of  $M$  such that  $M=K \oplus K'$  with  $K \leq N$  and  $N \cap K' \ll_s N$ . [4] The following theorem gives a characterization of FI-semilifting modules.

**Theorem (2.2) :** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module, then the following statements are equivalent :

- 1)  $M$  is FI-semilifting
- 2) Every fully invariant submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $N$  can be written as  $N=A \oplus B$  where  $A$  is direct summand of  $M$  and  $B \ll_s M$ .
- 3) For every fully invariant submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , there exist a direct summand  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $K \leq N$  and  $N/K \ll_s M/K$ .

**Proof:** It is clear like theorem 3.3 in [4].

It is known that every hollow is lifting, but the converse is not true (see Remark 1.1.7. in [1]).

### Remark (2.3) :

- 1) Every FI-semihollow is FI-semilifting

**Proof:** - let  $N$  be fully invariant submodule of  $M$  if  $N \neq M$ ,  $N \ll_s M$ ,  $N = (0) \oplus N$  the result follows directly by (theorem 2.2)

- 2) Every semisimple module is lifting hence semilifting and then FI-semilifting.

### Proposition (2.4) :

An indecomposable  $R$ -module is FI-semihollow if and only if FI-semilifting.

**Proof:** Let  $M$  be FI-semihollow then  $M$  is FI-semilifting by Remark (2.3)

Conversely suppose that  $M$  is FI-semilifting and  $A$  fully invariant proper submodule of  $M$ , by (Theorem.2.2), We have  $A = N \oplus D$  where  $N$  is a direct summand of  $M$  and  $D \ll_s M$  but  $M$  is indecomposable. Then either  $N = (0)$  or  $N = M$  then  $M = N \subseteq A$  which implies that  $A = M$  which is contradiction, thus  $N = (0)$ , So  $A = D \ll_s M$ , hence  $M$  is FI-semihollow.

### Proposition (2.6) :

If an  $R$ -module  $M$  is FI-semihollow then  $M/N$  is FI-semihollow for every fully invariant proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $M$  is FI-semihollow and let  $N$  be fully invariant proper submodule of  $M$

Let  $K/N$  be fully invariant proper submodule of  $M/N$ , Such that  $\frac{M}{N} = \frac{K}{N} + \frac{H}{N}$  where  $H \subseteq M$  and  $N \subset H$ . Then  $\frac{M}{N} = \frac{K+H}{N}$ , So it implies  $M = K+H$ , now since  $K/N$  is fully invariant of  $M/N$  and  $N$  is fully invariant proper of  $M$  thus  $K$  is fully invariant by (lemma 1.2.23[6]) then  $K \ll_s M$ , So  $K+H=M$ ,  $H \leq M$  then  $K'+H=M$ ,  $\forall K' \subset K$  (Lemma 1). Hence we have  $\frac{K'+H}{N} = \frac{M}{N}$  then  $\frac{M}{N} = \frac{K'}{N} + \frac{H}{N} \forall \frac{K'}{N} \subseteq \frac{K}{N}$ ,  $K' \neq N$ , thus  $\frac{M}{N}$  is FI-semihollow module.

## References

- [1] D.Kasch, Modules and rings, Academic Press, London, 1982
- [2] P.Feury, "Hollow Modules and Local Endomorphism Rings", pac.J.Math., 53 (1974), 379-385.
- [3] A.C. Ozcan, Duo Modules, Glasgow math.J.Trust 48, 2006, pp.533-545.
- [4] I.M.Ali and L.S.Mahmood, "Semismall submodules and Semi-lifting Modules", Proc. of 3<sup>rd</sup> Scientific Conference of College of Science, University of Baghdad 24-26 March(2009), 385-393.
- [5] Y.Talebi and T.amoozegar fully invariant TM- Lifting Module, Albanian J. of Math. V.3(2009), 49-53, ISSN 1930-1235.
- [6] Y. Talebi and T.AmoozeGar, strong FI-Lifting module. International Electronic J. of Algebra 3(2008), 75-82.