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Abstract: Ad-hoc network is a collection of two or more nodes with wireless communication. They have the ability to communicate without centralized manner. Ad-hoc network is temporary. Infrastructure less and decentralized network. It is a self-configuring network. Nodes do not sleep in ad-hoc network. Mobile ad-hoc network (MANET) is a collection of mobile nodes. There are many types of routing protocols for maintaining the devices over the network. The main objective of routing protocols is accurate and efficient route establishment between mobile nodes so that message may be delivered in time. In this thesis, we analyze two routing protocols for MANET: the destination sequenced distance vector (DSDV), table driven protocol and Ad-hoc on demand distance vector (AODV) an on-demand protocol and evaluates both protocols with increasing number of nodes, sources based on jitter, send packets, received packets, routing packets, packet delivery fraction, Normalized routing load, average end to end delay, number of dropped packets. Additionally, we evaluate both protocols with varying traffic (congestion/CBR Load) and mobility of nodes and calculate the number of send, received and routing packets.
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1. Introduction

Ad-hoc wireless networks are having a capability that operates without the support of fixed infrastructure. Hence, they are also known as Infrastructure less networks. In comparison to cellular networks, routing is complex in Ad-hoc networks because there is no base station or central coordinator. In ad-hoc networks, the routing is performing in a distributed manner through each node.

Wireless communication between mobile users is more popular than before.

Ad-hoc network is an approach to communicate many users in one network. In Ad-hoc network, every node creates certain packets that deliver from sender to receiver but transmission ranges of this type of network is smaller as compared to typical cellular system which consist of central control system or base station. But Ad-hoc network is better on comparing with cellular system.

The benefits of MANETs are:
- On demand
- Fault acceptance
- Uncontrolled connectivity

There is no pre-established infrastructure in Ad-hoc network because it is on demand and since there is no central controller on it, every node has ability to create path and send information. This type of network is useful when the network life is short and we need it for short time period. For example, when people taking part in conference and can form network for short time period. In disaster recovery and communication where rapid changes of communication network is needed.

In Ad-hoc network, because of the absence of base station nodes are forwarding packets to other nodes. For this we need some routing protocols and routing algorithms for it. Every protocol has some advantages and disadvantages too and useful on some circumstances only.

2. AD-HOC Routing Protocol

Basically, there are four types of routing protocols named as Proactive, Reactive, Hybrid and Geographical routing protocol. Proactive routing protocol is table driven that updates its own routing table by all the nodes. Reactive routing protocols are also known as on-demand it does not maintain any routing table but gives information only when it is needed. Hybrid routing protocol is a combination of proactive and reactive routing protocol, it takes the features of both the routing protocols. Geographical routing protocol is based on the topology of network. It changes when topology of node changes.

![Figure 1: Classification of Ad-hoc routing protocols](image)

2.1 Proactive protocols are also referred to as table-driven routing protocols. In these types of protocols source node make routing table for each destination node.

2.1.1 Destination Sequenced distance vector (DSDV)

DSDV routing protocol is based on bellman ford routing algorithm. Every cellular station maintains routing entries of all the available destination nodes. Number of hops visit to...
reach destination and sequence number is also used. When
new packet arrives then sequence number is increased by
one. The station periodically transfers the routing
information to its neighboring node.

![Figure 2: Route Establishment in DSDV](image)

In figure: Node 1 is the source node and node 15 is
destination. Here routes are already available. Routing table
indicates that shortest route from sender to receiver is
through node 5 with 4 hops.

2.2 Reactive Routing protocols (On-demand)

As compare to table driven, On-demand routing protocols
execute path finding and exchange information regarding
routing when path is required by node.

2.2.1 Ad-hoc on demand distance vector routing protocol
(AODV)

AODV share’s DSR’s on demand characteristics in that it
also discovers routes on an as needed basis via a correlated
route discovery process. However, AODV adopts a totally
different mechanism to take care of routing information. The
AODV is reactive as opposed to proactive protocols like
distance vector i.e. AODV only request a route once
required and will not need nodes to manage routes to
destination that do not seem to be active in communication.

AODV uses sequence numbers to maintain at each
destination to updates routing data to all nodes. All routing
packets carry these sequence number. A necessary feature of
AODV is that it maintains timer based on states in every
node, regarding utilization of individual routing table
entries. A routing table entry is expired if not used recently.

When one node wants to communicate with other node and
tries to find a route then it broadcasts route request (RREQ)
message to its entire neighboring node. The RREQ
propagates with network and node which knows the route of
destination. The destination sends a Route Reply (RREP)
message with same path from which route request has
arrived.

AODV need to keep track of following fields for each
routing entry:
- Destination IP address
- Destination sequence number.

- Hop count -> Number of hops.
- Lifetime -> Time for which route is alive.
- Next hop -> Neighbor hop
- Active neighbor list -> Neighbor node that are actively
  participating in route.
- Request buffer -> It make ensure that a request is only
  processed once.

2.2.1.1 AODV route discovery process

When a node does not have a route for the destination or if
route is previously expired. After broadcasting a RREQ, the
node waits for RREP, and if reply is not received with in
certain time interval the node may rebroadcast the RREQ.
RREP is generated and unicast backward to requesting
node. This is a route discovery process of on demand
protocol.

![Figure 3: Route discovery in AODV](image)

2.2.1.2 AODV route maintenance

When a route is no longer valid, it will remove from the
routing entries and send a link failure message to all nodes,
which are active in route. For, this purpose AODV maintain
an active neighbor list. AODV does not repair a broken path.
When a source node knows about path break or failure, it
reestablishes the route to destination.

![Figure 4: Route maintenance in AODV](image)
Comparison of Table driven and On demand routing protocol is shown in below table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Protocol Type</th>
<th>Table-Driven (Proactive)</th>
<th>On-Demand (Reactive)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Attempt</td>
<td>A route is made only when it is required.</td>
<td>No cyclic updates. Control information is not traversed unless topology is changed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>No cyclic updates. Control information is not traversed unless topology is changed.</td>
<td>Does not incur heavy traffic and power consumption compared to table driven.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Incurs heavy</td>
<td>First packet latency is more when correlated with table driven because event needs to be built.</td>
<td>First packet latency is more when correlated with table driven.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A route to each other node in Ad-hoc network is always reachable.</td>
<td>Not Available.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The simulator, NS2 was developed by University of California at Berkeley and VINT. The NS2 was recently extended to give simulation support for Ad-hoc networks by Carnegie Mellon University. The NS-2 simulator provides many options that make it appropriate for our simulations.

A network condition for Ad-hoc networks.
- Wireless route modules (e.g. 802.11)
- Routing along numerous ways.
- Mobile hosts for Wi-Fi cellular networks.

NS-2 is an object oriented simulator written in OTCL and C++. The simulator supports a class hierarchy in C++ and an matching class hierarchy among OTCL interpreter. There is correspondence between interpreted hierarchies and one within the compile hierarchy. Two completely different programming languages is used because OTCL is appropriate for the programs and configurations that demand frequent and quick modification and C++ is appropriate for the programs that have high demand in speed.

NS2 provide support for TCP and routing over wired and wireless network [14]. Once NS2 is download and installed, it contains the C++ files for several different wired and wireless protocols from all layers in its repository. To implement a particular simulation, we write a TCL file to select which protocols we want to use from this NS2 collection. The TCL file is implemented on a scenario file. Scenarios are simulated environments generated by NS2. When user supplies information like the Number of Nodes, the size or area, simulation time etc., scenario files get generated. These scenario files can be saved and different protocols modifications can be run on them. This way, changes in the code can be measured and studied. We decide to choose NS-2 as network simulator for our thesis because:-
- NS-2.35 is open source freely available software. It can be easily downloaded and installed.
- Programming language C++ is compatible.

4. Simulation Scenario

For simulation, random may point model is used as a mobility model. The traffic source is CBR and data packet size is 40 bytes. The source destination pair is spread over network in rectangular field of 500x500. During the simulation each node starts its journey from random spot to a random chosen destination. Once a destination is reached, node takes a pause in seconds and after pause time, each node change its position with speed of 20m/s. This process repeats throughout simulation, causing continuous changes in topology of network. To estimate the performance of protocols, simulation was conducted in which we have varied the number of nodes, mobility and pause time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulator</td>
<td>NS2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC Type</td>
<td>802.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation time</td>
<td>150 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Type</td>
<td>Wireless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna</td>
<td>Omni Antenna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation area</td>
<td>500mx500m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic type</td>
<td>CBR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface queue length</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface queue type</td>
<td>Priority queue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of nodes</td>
<td>20,40,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pause time</td>
<td>0,10,20,40,100 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility model</td>
<td>Random way point mobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Connections/Traffic/CBR Load</td>
<td>5,10,15,20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Performance Results

Comparison of AODV and DSDV:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Nodes</th>
<th>AODV (PDR in %)</th>
<th>DSDV (PDR in %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>99.59</td>
<td>99.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>99.49</td>
<td>99.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>99.58</td>
<td>99.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>99.34</td>
<td>99.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Nodes</th>
<th>AODV(Normalized Routing Load)</th>
<th>DSDV(Normalized Routing Load)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 Performance based on Number of Send, received and route packets with pause time and CBR load:
6. Conclusion

6.1 Conclusion

We have evaluated performance of MANET routing protocols based on different performance metrics under various network circumstances like increasing number of nodes, increasing pause time and number of connections. The performance of one proactive (DSDV) and one reactive (AODV) routing protocol is evaluated.

Results shows DSDV have less end to end delay because DSDV updates routing information on each node regularly. Average end to end delay of AODV is higher than DSDV. When number of nodes increases, AODV delivers a greater percentage of data packets, Packet delivery ratio of DSDV is better as compared to AODV and Jitter of AODV is high as compared to DSDV. Under high mobility (pause time) AODV has better performance than DSDV. When Traffic (CBR Load) increases means increases number of connections than both AODV and DSDV has almost same performance.

6.2 Applications

Battlefields consist of humans as well as large number of communication equipments like wireless radios and many computational devices need to communicate amongst themselves to enhance the effectiveness in battles. Most of defence related networks are infrastructure-less as they builds on the basis of need in battlefields therefore such networks will be a perfect example of Mobile Ad-hoc network where the nodes are moving, some nodes are destroyed and hence nodes coordinate with each other to maintain the network. Such network is ad-hoc in nature. In these kind of networks, Reactive routing protocol AODV can be used for terminals as the overhead of this routing protocol are less, the routing overhead is an important factor in these kind of terminals used in battlefields because they are battery driven and hence they need to save power for longer times.

6.3 Future Scope

Another application of these protocols can be VANET (Vehicular Ad hoc networks) where vehicles act as moving nodes. In these kinds of networks the nodes mostly share their location information amongst themselves and hence form a network. Though the performance of these protocols is good for MANET but we can customize the protocol further as per application. For example in case of VANET the mobility patterns of vehicles in a convoy is not completely random as most of the vehicles in VANET move in a particular direction. The speed of vehicles are also within a known range therefore simulation studies can be carried out in future for such environment and the protocols can be further optimized for achieving maximum throughput whereas in such cases routing overhead is not that critical factor. Therefore in future I plan to customize on of such protocols for VANET characteristics.
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