

The Study of Functional Outcome of Lumbar Spine Disorders Treated with Laminectomy and Conservatively

Dr Atul Ajith¹, Dr Thomas George²

¹Resident, Department of Orthopedics, Azeezia Institute of Medical Sciences and Research

²Assistant Professor. Department of Orthopedics, Azeezia Institute of Medical Sciences and Research

Abstract: Degenerative arthritis of lumbar spine follows structural abnormality of spine or chronic disc lesion of lumbar spine both resulting in relative lumbar canal stenosis resulting in symptoms of neurologic claudication and clinical evidence of neurological deficit following nerve root entrapment. Treatment modalities not only immediate pain relief but also to prevent the long term disabilities caused by chronic back ache and spinal instability. With our modern advancements in better understanding of the clinicopathological correlation treatment has a wide spectrum from conservative modalities to decompression and fusion with or without instrumentation. Research is going on to identify the various factors that accelerate degeneration and decrease its progression. Replacement of the nucleus pulposus has been introduced. The study envisages the analysis of two methods of treatment of degenerative spine disease namely conservative treatment which include physiotherapy, medications and surgical management as laminectomy and decompression of entrapped nerve roots. This study puts in a sincere effort to find out the functional outcome of lumbar spine disorders treated with laminectomy and conservatively.

Keywords: Functional Outcome, Lumbar Spine Disorder, Roland Morris Score.

1. Introduction

Chronic degenerative arthritis of lumbar spine is one of the commonest affection in patients who are complaining of back pain. Life time incidence about 60 to 70 percentage^{1,2}. The affected persons workdays lost and adversely effects the economy. Degenerative spine disease is not as such a disease condition, but a natural aging process due to wear and tear of the spinal column.

The spinal stenosis caused by the degenerative arthritis is the most common type³. There are congenital forms like achondroplasia and dysplastic spondylolisthesis which are not that common other processes are pagets disease, fluorosis, kyphosis, and fracture causing canal narrowing. Degenerative spine disorders come under acquired causes, arthritic changes seen in the facet joints and ligamentum flavum hypertrophy. This narrowing can be in single, double or multiple motion segment of the spine

Degenerative arthritis of lumbar spine follows structural abnormality of spine or chronic disc lesion of lumbar spine both resulting in relative lumbar canal stenosis⁴ resulting in symptoms of neurologic claudication and clinical evidence of neurological deficit following nerve root entrapment.

Treatment modalities not only immediate pain relief but also to prevent the long term disabilities caused by chronic back ache and spinal instability. With our modern advancements in better understanding of the clinicopathological correlation treatment has a wide spectrum from conservative modalities to decompression and fusion with or without instrumentation. Research is going on to identify the various factors that accelerate degeneration and decrease its progression. Replacement of the nucleus pulposus⁵ has been introduced.

The study envisages the analysis of two methods of treatment of degenerative spine disease namely conservative treatment which include physiotherapy, medications and surgical management as laminectomy and decompression of entrapped nerve roots. This study puts in a sincere effort to find out the functional outcome of lumbar spine disorders treated with laminectomy and conservatively.

2. Aims and Objectives

To study of functional outcome of lumbar spine disorders treated with laminectomy and conservatively.

3. Materials and Methods

Study design: Prospective study

Study period : Two year July 2014-July 2016

Study population: Patients above the age of 35 years presenting with lumbar canal stenosis to medical college

Inclusion criteria

1. Degenerative Lumbar spine stenosis
2. Age of the patient: 35 years and above

Exclusion criteria

1. Pathological fracture
2. Grade 4 Osteoporosis
3. Old fracture spine
4. Skin Infections

Study setting:

.....

Data analysis

A total of 30 patients, separated into two groups of surgical and conservative were compared and Data analysis was done by comparing the results of present study with the other standard international studies done by various authors. Independent Samples Mann Whitney U Test (two tailed, independent) has been used to find the significance of study parameters between two groups (Intergroup analysis). Chi-square/Fisher Exact test has been used to find the significance of study parameters on categorical scale between two or more groups.

Statistical Methods

Descriptive and inferential statistical analysis has been carried out in the present study. Results on scale measurements are presented on Median □ SD (Min-Max) and results on categorical measurements are presented in Number (%). Significance is assessed at 5% level of significance.

4. Results

Table 1: SLRT

SLRT	Conservative	Surgical
20-29	1	2
30-39	2	2
40-49	4	6
50-59	4	2
60-69	3	3
70-79	1	0

Table 2: Femoral Stretch test


FST	Conservative	Surgical
YES	12	14
NO	3	1

Table 3: Extensor HallusisLongus Power.

EHL	Conservative	Surgical
GRADE III RIGHT	0	2
GRADE IV B/L	2	1
GRADE IV LEFT	5	6
GRADE IV RIGHT	8	6

Table 4: Flexor HallusisLongus Power.

FHL	Conservative	Surgical
Grade IV Left	1	2
Grade V B/L	14	13



Graph 3: Sensory Deficit. (Left Conservative and Right Surgical)

Table 5: Spine Flexion.

Spine Flexion	Conservative	Surgical
Restricted	12	13
Not Restricted	3	2

In the present study of 30 cases of degenerative lumbar spine disease 15 treated surgically another 15 conservatively the following results were obtained:

- The results have been compared with some of the standard series and found to be satisfactory.
- Mean age of patients in the present study is 55.23 years, with mean age in surgical group to be 54.4 years and in conservative group to be 56.06 years. The youngest patient aged 37 years while the oldest was of 68 years.
- In the present study, degenerative lumbar spine disease was more commonly seen in patients who were in age group of 61 years to 65 years, constituting 10 patients. (33.3 %).
- Most of the patients had endured the pain for two years. The earliest presentation was at 6 months duration. On an average patient presented after 24 months of pain, the maximum duration being 6 years.
- In the present study 8 patients had only leg pain 11 patients had both leg pain and back pain and 11 patients had only back pain.
- In the present study 17 patients had SLRT less than 50 deg. 13 patients presented with SLRT more than 50.
- EHL weakness was found in whole study population while FHL weakness was found only in 3 patients.
- 23 patients had reduced sensations in either or both the lower limbs.
- Deep tendon reflexes were diminished in 14 patients (46%).
- 4 patients in the conservative group were changed to surgical group following worsening of symptoms or recurrence

The mean Roland Morris Score for surgical and conservative treatment at 6 weeks was 14.47 and 12.87, 3 months was 9.07 and 10.13, at 6 months it was 3.6 and 6.27 respectively

5. Discussion

- The mean age group of this study was 55. CAPUTY ET AL⁷ their study had a mean age of 67 years, JOHNSON ET AL⁸ study has a mean age of 60 years
- This study had a female predominance, most of the studies have a female preponderance like CAPUTY ET AL and KATZ ET AL⁷
- Most of the patients had pain for 1-2 years in the present study this is compatible with CAPUTY ET AL, KE JOHNSON ET AL⁸
- Patients initially given a conservative line of management. If they are not better with the conservative modality like the pain is as of the same intensity or worsening during the course of treatment⁹. With support of the radiological investigation and of course clinical findings, the exact pathology of nerve root compression is identified and managed surgically with procedures like decompression, decompression with fusion or decompression fusion with instrumentation.
- The symptomatic improvement with treatment according to RMD (Roland-Morris Disability)¹⁰ questionnaire given to the patients during their follow-ups and assessment of the neurological status.
- This study didn't have any correlation with the signs and symptoms. The pain wasn't associated with the neurological status. The improvement of neurological status was better in the surgical group than in conservative group.
- The outcome of the surgical group was better when in patients who had pain for shorter duration. As the duration of pain increases it need not give a better result in form of outcome like pain and neurological status improvement.
- Patients under surgical group became better in relation to pain, claudication and neurological deficits than the conservative group for a follow up period of 6 months.
- In this study the functional outcome was better for a period of 6 months after surgery. A study conducted by ATLAS SJ et al⁶ who conducted a follow up study of such patient for long duration of time 8-10 years both the group became better in relation to pain but the surgical group had an initial advantage of faster pain relief and improvement in the neurological status.

6. Conclusion

In this study the functional outcome was better for a period of 6 months after surgery.

References

- [1] Svensson HO, Andersson GB. Low-back pain in 40- to 47-year-old men: work history and work environment factors. *Spine*. 1983 Apr;8(3):272-6.
- [2] Svensson HO, Andersson GB. The relationship of low-back pain, work history, work environment, and stress. A retrospective cross-sectional study of 38- to 64-year-old women. *Spine*. 1989 May;14(5):517-22.
- [3] PFU Jr, MD, Orthopedic Surgeon. Lumbar Spinal Stenosis: A Definitive Guide [Internet]. *Spine-health*. [cited 2016 Nov 25]. Available from: [http://www.spine-](http://www.spine-health.com/conditions/spinal-stenosis/lumbar-spinal-stenosis-a-definitive-guide)

- health.com/conditions/spinal-stenosis/lumbar-spinal-stenosis-a-definitive-guide
- [4] Medtronic. Lumbar Spinal Stenosis Treatment & Symptoms | Back.com [Internet]. [cited 2016 Nov 25]. Available from: <http://www.back.com/back-pain/conditions/lumbar-spinal-stenosis/index.htm>
- [5] Ise S, Abe K, Orita S, Ishikawa T, Inage K, Yamauchi K, et al. Surgical treatment for far-out syndrome associated with abnormal fusion of the L5 vertebral corpus and L4 hemivertebra: a case report. *BMC Res Notes* [Internet]. 2016 Jun 28 [cited 2016 Nov 26];9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4924308/>
- [6] Atlas SJ, Keller RB, Wu YA, Deyo RA, Singer DE. Long-term outcomes of surgical and nonsurgical management of lumbar spinal stenosis: 8 to 10 year results from the maine lumbar spine study. *Spine*. 2005 Apr 15;30(8):936-43.
- [7] Caputy AJ, Luessenhop AJ. Long-term evaluation of decompressive surgery for degenerative lumbar stenosis. *J Neurosurg*. 1992 Nov 1;77(5):669-76.
- [8] The Natural Course of Lumbar Spinal Stenosis. : *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* [Internet]. LWW. [cited 2016 Dec 1]. Available from: http://journals.lww.com/corr/Fulltext/1992/06000/The_Natural_Course_of_Lumbar_Spinal_Stenosis_10.aspx
- [9] Yuan PS, Booth RE, Albert TJ. Nonsurgical and surgical management of lumbar spinal stenosis. *Instr Course Lect*. 2005;54:303-12.
- [10] Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire [Internet]. [cited 2016 Dec 1]. Available from: <http://www.rmdq.org/>