Obtaining Minimum Error of Classification with Modified Fisher's Cutoff Point

Ogbonna, Eric Nnamdi¹, Abam Ayeni Omini², Nsien, Edwin Frank³

¹Department of Statistics, School of Science and Industrial Technology, Abia State Polytechnic, Aba Abia State Nigeria

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Federal University Lafia Nigeria

³Department of Statistics, Faculty of Science University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State Nigeria

Abstract: Fisher's method of adjusting the cutoff point to obtain a balance on the total probability of misclassification are disadvantaged in the sense that they inflate the classification error in the presence of outliers. The proposed method of Modified Fisher's Cutoff Point (MFCP) is aimed at addressing this problem. To accomplish this purpose, linear discriminant analysis was performed using Fisher's technique and the modified approach. Misclassification errors were computed for both methods and the modified procedure was observed to have minimum error than the Fisher's procedure. The results obtained in applying the cutoff point showed that the modified approach performs better than the Fisher's linear discriminant cut off point. The application of this new method reduces the effect of outliers to the barest minimum.

Keywords: Cutoff point, outliers, misclassification, apper, and discriminant

1. Introduction

Fisher's linear discriminant analysis is a conventional multivariate technique for dimension reduction and classification (Pohar etal, 2004 & Sugiyama, M. 2007;). Discriminant analysis deals with the problems of discrimination and classification (Johnson and Wichern, 2007) Fisher's discriminant analysis is concerned with the problem of classifying an object of unknown origin into one or more distinct groups or population on the basis of observations made on it. Hawkins (1982). These observations form the training sample which is used to construct a discriminant rule for the allocation of new individual objects into one of the groups. The basic objective of discriminant analysis is to classify and predict problems when the dependent variables are in numerical form, Alvin (2002).

An automobile engineer may classify an auto mobile engine into grade I, grade II, or grade III on the basis of measurements of its output, shape, size and shape. Nutritionist may classify food items into carbohydrate, protein, minerals, fat and oil based on measurements observed about the food composition. These examples illustrate range of problems that can be solved through the use of discriminant analysis.

Fisher (1936) proposed the transformation of multivariate x to univariate y such that the y observations from π_1 and

 π_2 has maximum separation and that the mean difference determines this separation. His work was based on the assumption of equal covariance matrices. Fisher's technique is determined by the optimal transformation of minimizing the within and between class separation.

The proposed method of Modifying Fisher's cutoff point has the advantage of reducing the classification error or the probability of misclassification. Theorems that will be developed will be used to solve the proposed method. We are therefore proposing to develop a robust Fisher's linear discriminant function by modifying the Fisher's cutoff point using the moving average method. In carring out this study we shall consider only two groups discriminant analysis. The emphasis is on Fisher's two group discrimination.

2. Linear Discriminant Function

Discriminant analysis is performed by making the weight of each variable to maximize the between and within group variance. The linear discriminant function is given by

$$D = B + C_1 X_1 + C_2 X_2 + \dots + C_i X_i$$
 1

B is the discriminant constant

D

 C_i , j = 1, 2, ..., k is the discriminant weight or coefficient,

 $X_i, i = 1, 2, ..., k$ is the independent variable or predictor

Chen and Muirhead (1994) proposed two methods- the first is a projection pursuit index on the Fisher's discriminant ratio of between class variation and within class variation. The second is the total probability of misclassification. Robust linear discriminant functions were constructed by applying projection pursuit optimization algorithm and the rank cut off point for robust location estimates. Randles, Brofitt, Ramberg and Hogg (1978) modified the work by improving the balance between two classification rates for linear discriminant and quadratic functions. Chen (1989) applied the cutoff point which minimizes the error rate in classifying the training samples. See Anderson (1984) and Gnadadesikan (1988) for other procedures of cutoff points.

3. Methodology

The major aim of discriminant analysis is to distinguish

between two known populations, group ${}^{G_1}_{and} {}^{G_2}_{\cdot}$. The purpose is to create a classification rule which can be used

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

2

for classifying individual units that belong to any of the two groups based on specified characteristics.

We assume that the we have n x p observations of data matrix from G groups and the data matrix X contains the observed values x_{ij} of the jth variable of the ith individual, i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., p. It also has a variable $g_i \in (1, 2, ..., G)$, whose value indicates the group the observation belongs to.

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ g_2 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_n & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{np} \end{pmatrix}$$

Here we have n individual items and on each item we have measurements on p variables. Each individual item belongs to only one group and many individuals will be allocated to a specified group.

Let n_g be the number of individual items that belong to group g.

g =1,2...,G.

Let x_{gi} be the ith individual item in group g, i=1, 2,... n_g . Let G be the number of group and each group has m-

dimensional samples.**4. Determination of Fisher's cutoff point**

Let $(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2)^2$ and $(\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2)^2$ be the separation of the two populations which represents the distance that measures the variation between means of the discriminant scores. We find a vector a that maximizes the standardized difference $(\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2) \\ s_y$

$$\frac{\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2}{s_v}$$

measures the difference between the transformed means $\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2$ with respect to the sample standard deviation s_y

The separation of the projected y is measured by the ratio of the squared difference.

$$\frac{(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)^2}{{s_y}^2} = \frac{(a'\bar{x}_1 - a'\bar{x}_2)^2}{a'Sa} = \frac{[a'(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)'a]}{a'Sa}$$

and

$$D^{2} = (\overline{x}_{1} - \overline{x}_{2})'S^{-1}(\overline{x}_{1} - \overline{x}_{2})$$

Is the maximum of this ratio and is known as the Mahalanobi's squared distance. The vector of coefficient a that maximizes the standardized difference and the squared

distance is the ratio
$$\frac{[a'(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2)]^2}{a'Sa}$$
 (refer to eq.70) and the separation is maximized for $a' = (\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2)'S^{-1}$ The linear

separation is maximized for the linear combination

$$y = a'x = (\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)S^{-1}x$$
 5

maximizes the ratio.

We proceed and apply the allocation rule as follows; Allocate

$$x_o$$
 to π_1 if $y_o = (\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) S_p^{-1} x_o \ge \widehat{m}$ 6

Allocate
$$x_o$$
 to π_2 if $y_o = (\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2)S_p^{-1}x_o < \widehat{m}$

Where
$$m = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) S_p^{-1} (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2)$$
 8

This rule is known as Fisher's linear discriminant function and m is the CUTOFF POINT. That is

$$m = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{y}_1 + \overline{y}_2) \qquad 9$$

$$\overline{y}_1 = (\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2)' S_p^{-1} \overline{x}_1 = \widehat{a}' \overline{x}_1$$
 10

$$\overline{y}_2 = (\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2)' S_p^{-1} \overline{x}_2 = \widehat{a}' \overline{x}_2$$
 11

$$Y_{c} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{y}_{1} + \bar{y}_{2})$$
12

The cutoff point ^c is the projection of the midpoint between the two population sample means into the same subspace. The classification rule based on the cutoff point is Assign an observation x_a to π_1 if $Y \ge Y_c$

Assign an observation x_o to π_2 if $Y < Y_c$

This is called the linear discriminant analysis. (Fisher, 1936,).

Graphical display of separation by discriminant analysis.

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY DOI: 10.21275/ART20174021 International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391





The samples are projected very close to each other but the means are far apart from each other.

The Apparent error rate (APER) will be evaluated using the confusion matrix as presented below. It indicates the number of correct and incorrect classified individuals in the data set.



Where

 $n_1 c_1$ is the number of individuals from π_1 correctly classified as π_1

 $n_2 c$ is the number of individuals from π_2 correctly classified as π_2

 $n_1 m_{\text{is the number of individuals from}} \pi_1_{\text{misclassified as}} \pi_2$ $n_2 m_{\text{is the number of individuals from}} \pi_2_{\text{misclassified as}} \pi_1$ The apparent error rate (APER) is given as

$$APER = \frac{n_1 m + n_2 m}{n_1 + n_2}$$
 14

The hit ratio (HR) is given as

$$HR = \frac{n_1 c + n_2 c}{n_1 + n_2}$$
 15

$$\hat{p}(2|1) = \frac{n_{1m}}{n_1} \hat{p}(1|2) = \frac{n_{2m}}{n_2} \hat{p}_1 = \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2}$$
$$\hat{p}_2 = \frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$

The confusion matrix and APER is to justify how good or bad the rule is. The APER is an estimate of the probability that a classification procedure based on a given data will misclassify a future observation.

5. Modifying the Fisher's cutoff point

Theorem 1.

If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a set of observations and

 $y_{(1)} \le y_{(2)} \le \dots \le y_{(n)}$ is the ordered n observations Where

$$y_{(1)} = \min[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$$
 and $y_{(n)} = \max[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$
17

If $y_{(1)}, y_{(2)}, ..., y_{(n)}$ belong to two regions R_1 and R_2 where $R_1, R_2 \in R_{\Omega}$ and R_{Ω} is the sample space, then the cutoff point is the midpoint of the moving average of R_{Ω} .

Proof.

Let
$$x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in R_1$$
 and R_2
And $R_{\Omega} = (y_{(1)}, y_{(2)}, ..., y_{(n)}) : (y_{(1)} \le y_{(2)} ... \le y_{(n)})$ 18
Then $\overline{R}_{\Omega M A} = \frac{y_{(1)} + y_{(2)}}{2}, \frac{y_{(2)} + y_{(3)}}{2}, ..., \frac{y_{(n)} + y_{(n+1)}}{2}$ 19

Hence, the nth term is

$$\Gamma_n = \frac{y_{(n)} + y_{(n+1)}}{2}$$
 20

Therefore, the midpoint is

$$\frac{Y_n}{2} = \frac{y_{(n)} + y_{(n+1)}}{2} / 2 21$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{Z}_{C} = \frac{T_{n}}{2} = \frac{y_{(n)/2} + y_{(n+1)/2}}{2}$$

The cutoff point is

$$\overline{Z}_{C} = \frac{\frac{y_{(n)}}{2} + \frac{y_{(n+1)}}{2}}{2}$$
 23

6. Problem on FLDF

This problem on Fisher's linear discriminant function was chosen from one of the text books. Compute the Fisher's linear discriminant function for two data set.

Samples for
$$n_1$$
:
 $X_1(x_1, x_2) = (6,7), (7,5), (9,10), (8,8), (8,9), (10,9)$
Samples for
 $n_2: X_2(x_1, x_2) = (13,11), (15,16), (22,20), (11,16), (12,11), (13,14)$
The matrix form of the data sets is

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

DOI: 10.21275/ART20174021

$$X_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 5 \\ 9 & 10 \\ 8 & 8 \\ 8 & 9 \\ 10 & 9 \end{pmatrix} X_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 13 \\ 15 & 16 \\ 22 & 20 \\ 11 & 16 \\ 12 & 11 \\ 13 & 14 \end{pmatrix}$$

Application of Fisher's cutoff point

The mean class for each group

$$\mu_{1} = \frac{1}{n_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} X = \binom{8}{8}$$
$$\mu_{2} = \frac{1}{n_{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} X = = \binom{15}{15}$$

The covariance matrix for n_1 sample

$$S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} (x - \mu_1) (x - \mu_1)^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 9\\ 9 & 16 \end{pmatrix}$$

The covariance matrix for n_2 samples

$$S_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} (x_i - \mu_2) (x_i - \mu_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 82 & 59 \\ 59 & 48 \end{pmatrix}$$

The within class scatter matrix

$$S_{p} = \frac{S_{1} + S_{2}}{n_{1} + n_{2} - 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 9.2 & 6.8 \\ 6.8 & 6.4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$S_{p}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5063 & -0.5379 \\ -0.5379 & 0.7278 \end{pmatrix}$$

The optimal direction 'a'

$$a = S_p^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2212 \\ -1.3293 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, the discriminant function is $Y = a'x = 0.2212x_1 - 1.3293x_2$ Computation of Fisher's CUTOFF point

$$Y_{1} = a' \bar{x}_{1} = -8.8648$$

$$\overline{Y}_{2} = a' \bar{x}_{2} = -16.6215$$

The Fisher's CUTOFF point is

$$Y_{c} = \frac{\overline{Y}_{1} + \overline{Y}_{2}}{2} = -12.74315$$

The classification rule is

Assign the variable x to π_1 if $Y > Y_c$

Assign the variable x to π_2 if $Y \leq Y_c$

The discriminant scores for π_1

 $Y_1 = -7.9779, -5.0981, -11.3022, -8.8648, -0.1941, -9.7517.$ $Y_2 = -14.8477, -17.9508, -21.7196, -18.8356, -11.9679, -15.7356.$ The discriminant scores for π_2

Results of FCP

The confusion matrix table constructed resulted to the following classification errors

$$p(2/1) = 0, p(1/2) = 0.2$$

Aper = 0.083

HR = 91.7%

Problem on MFCP

Given the same Fisher's dataset above, Perform the linear discriminant analysis using the MFLDF.

7. Manual application MFCP analysis for equal sample size

The discriminant scores for π_1 and π_2 above are combined and arranged in ascending order. -21.7196,-18.8356,-17.9508,-15.7356,-14.8477,-11.9679,-11.3022,-10.1941, -9.7517, -8.8648, -7.9779, -5.0981 The moving average is obtained as follows -20.2776 -18.3932 -17.8432 -15.2917, - 13.0750, - 11.6351 -10.7482 -9.9729 -9.3083 -8.4214 -6.5380

The cutoff point is given as

$$z_c = \frac{x_{n/2} + x_{n+1/2}}{2}$$

Given that n=12,

$$Z_{c} = \frac{\frac{x_{12/2} + x_{12+1/2}}{2}}{2}$$
$$Z_{c} = \frac{x_{6} + x_{7}}{2} = \frac{-11.9679 + (-11.3022)}{2} = -11.6351$$

The modified cut off point, $Z_c = -11.6351$ The allocation procedure becomes Assign the variable x to π_1 if $Y > Z_c$ Assign the variable x to π_2 if $Y \le Z_c$

8. Results of MFCP

The confusion matrix table constructed resulted to the following classification errors.

P(2/1) = 0, P(1/2) = 0Aper = 0 HR = 100%

9. Summary

A theorem was developed which was used to solve problems on Fisher's Linear discriminant analysis and the modified procedure.

It was discovered that the modified solution yielded minimum error of classification than that of Fisher's technique as observed in table 2. The apparent error rate for Fisher is 0.083 while the modified is 0. The MFCP showed a 100% correct classification while that of FCP showed 91.7% correct classification. The results of the experiment

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

conducted suggested a comparable classification procedure to the Fisher's linear discriminant function.

10. Conclusion

A modified cutoff point was developed to solve problems in discriminant analysis. This proposed procedure reduces the effect of outliers and yields minimum error of classification when compared with the Fisher's discriminant function.

References

- [1] Anderson, T.W. (1984). An introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis, 2nd edition Wiley, New York.
- [2] Chen, Z. & Muirhead, R. (1994). A comparison of robust discriminant procedures using projection pursuit methods.
- [3] Chen, Z.Y. (1989)robust discriminant procedures using projection pursuit Methods. Ph.D Desertation, Department of Statistics. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.
- [4] Fi sher, R., (1936). The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems, Ann. Eugenics 7, 179 188.
- [5] Gnanadesikan, R. (1988). Discriminant analysis and clustering. Board of Mathematical sciences, National Academy Press.
- [6] Hawkins, D. M.(1982). Topics in Applied Multivariate Analysis. Cambridge University Press, first edition new York.
- [7] Johnson R. A. & Wichern, D. W. (2007). Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis 6th edition, Pearson Hall, upper Saddle River, New jersey 07458.
- [8] Pohar, M., Blas, M., & Turk, S. (2004). Comparison of logistic regression and linear discriminant analysis: A simulation study. Metodoloski zvezki, 1, 143 – 161.
- [9] Randles, R.H.,Brofitt, J.D., Ranberg, J.S. & Hogg, R.V. (1978a). Discriminant Analysis based on ranks. Journal of American Statistic assoc., 73, 379 – 384
- [10]
 - encher, A.C.(2002). Methods of Multvariate Analysis. 2^{nd} ed., John Wiley and sons, inc. New York.

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

R