International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN (Online): 2319-7064
Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

A Comparative Surveyon Various Routing Protocols for Mobile Sink in WSN

Amit Kumar[1], Abhijeet Das[2]

[1, 2]School of Computer Science and Engineering, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, India

Abstract: ***Wireless sensor network performance mainly depend on proper deployment of mobile sink node within the cluster among the network used in routing protocols. Mobile sink node legitimately utilized as a part of routing techniques for the enhancement for power utilization. Since the mobile sink hub based WSN would enhance the entire system lifespan by balancing transmitting power within each and every sensor hub in system network. In this paper, various routing techniques for mobile sink based WSN has been studied on the source of currently existing protocols in the market. Comparison of this existing technique is done by means of: protocol procedure, route formation, power usage, system assembly. while comparing it has been observed that the elastic routing protocol performance is better contrasted with different other existing protocols.***

Keywords: WSN, Mobile Sink, Routing protocols, MWSN

1. Introduction

Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) has picked up part of consideration now days because of wide improvement in sensor arrange innovation. A WSN comprises of sensor hubs conveyed in huge region, to screen different physical occasions from condition, prepare those occasions and exchange them to a sink hub (base station). Every hub in the system is a little sensor with low handling, stockpiling and power capacity [1]. Wireless sensors are generally battery fuelled and devour substantial power amid correspondence. Henceforth the system lifespan is a noteworthy worry in WSN. In WSN, every hub conveyed in the system will be persistent. The most part utilized in WSN for low transfer speed, defer lenient based applications that incorporates war zone observation, natural surroundings checking, movement observing and security based devices and applications. Another continuous application in corporate reconnaissance and military operation, catastrophic event help, bio-restorative, wellbeing checking, seismic detecting and dangerous condition investigation. WSN helps a number of these applications to handle those data immediately. A WSN comprises huge number of sensor hubs conveyed with more than one sink hub sent for preparing the detected information. There are a few novel components for WSNs like exceptional system topology, various applications, one of a kind movement attributes and asset imperatives [2].

A hub in a WSN comprises of the following devices: low power detecting gadgets, installed processor, correspondence channel and power module. The processor is utilized for preparing the detected information gathered from nature amid detecting and transmits them to the mobile sink hub. The energy module will be typically battery implanted inside the detecting module. There can be discretionary energy module added to the hub. A handset is likewise incorporated into the module for broadcast and gathering process. Energy will get exhausted soon amid correspondence prepare and henceforth effective conventions must be intended for the system that would expand its lifespan. The correspondence diverts which is being utilized as a part of the greater part of the WSN depends upon IEEE 802.15.4 standard. A conventional WSN comprises of sensor hubs sent in a way such that, all hubs stay static. A noteworthy restriction in this framework is, to the point that the power of the hubs nearer to the base station would get drained rapidly, as they expend parcel of power for routing the bundles originating from all hubs in the system. This diminishes the whole system's lifespan. Consequently, the requirement for fuelling up the hubs to enhance the system lifespan. MWSN (mobile WSN) resolve such issues. A MWSN comprises of sensor hubs sent in a wide range that can move inside the system. MWSN were additional adaptable contrasted with basic WSN, MWSN can be sent in any situation and adapt to quick topology variations. Now and again, the sensor hubs may move because of nature in which they are set.

Many points of interest of utilizing portable wireless sensor organize over static wireless sensor arrange. One noteworthy preferred standpoint is that the whole system's lifespan can be expanded. Additionally, portability can lessen power utilization amid correspondence. The sensor hubs in the versatile WSN are sent haphazardly in the system. There are extensive variety of utilizations for utilizing portable WSN. Numerous applications of the WSN involve the utilization of portable sinks, for example, for troopers in the front line of the battlefield for rival discovery and a savior might transfer in hazardous situation scanning for survivals. These mobile sensor hubs can likewise be connected to individuals for observing their wellbeing for following heart rate, circulatory strain and so forth. Creatures might have sensors connected to them keeping in mind the end goal for monitoring its developments for identifying their movement examples and nourishing propensities. Other real applications arise in the area of martial reconnaissance, fire and security and creature movement checking [3].

Nostable topology for portable WSN and subsequently steering the information from source to goal is a significant testing errand. The conventions outlined particularly for MWSNs are quite often multi jump. The following two difficulties in MWSNs; equipment and condition. The primary equipment imperatives are restricted battery power and minimal effort necessities. The difficulties because of condition is created because of sand, wind and so forth.

Because of fluctuating system topology, the multi jump ways from sensors to the sink are not steady. This paper gives a writing study to different routing protocols contemplated in later past for directing the information shape source hub to a versatile sink and their relative review. Whatever remains of the paper is sorted out as takes after. Segment 2 gives a depiction about how portability can be fused in WSN. Segment 3 gives a review of different directing conventions used by the portable sink based WSN. Area 4 finishes up the paper.

2. Mobility in WSN

Acquainting portability with a few or all hubs in a WSN, enhances the system lifetime. It likewise gives more channel limit and upgrades scope and focusing on. The essential engineering of a three level Mobile Wireless Sensor Network. The sensor hubs are sent arbitrarily in the system. These hubs can speak with each other and the portable specialists. The versatile specialists can move anyplace and whenever and they are in charge of gathering the detected information and forward them to the settled system comprising of Access Point.

There are different methodologies for concentrate the portability for information gathering in WSNs. Portability example of different hubs in the system must be modelled [4], which could be fused for different routing protocols. As indicated by [ref an overview of versatility models for WSN], the portability model can be for the most part ordered into two Homogeneous/ Group portability and Heterogeneous/individual or substance versatility models. The homogeneous portability model is the one in which a gathering of versatile sensor hubs move as indicated by a similar model in the given organization range. They can be additionally ordered into two - Random model and Controlled model. The Random versatility model can be additionally ordered into Partially Random and Totally Random models. In Partially portability show, the versatile hubs rely on upon each other to determine the development bearing in the system. Absolutely Random versatility model will permit the gathering of portable hubs moving in an irregular heading. The Controlled portability model will permit an arrangement of hubs to move in a predefined bearing.

In heterogeneous portability model [5], the versatile hubs will move autonomously without contingent upon whatever other hub in the system. Different hubs in the system will move as per their received portability demonstrate. In this manner in a system, different versatility models are received. Heterogeneous portability model can be additionally classified into four classifications - Random versatility show, Controlled versatility display, Predictable versatility model and Geographic versatility demonstrate. The Random versatility model will separate the movement of the portable hub into respite period and movement period. They will permit the hubs to move in the system in an irregular example. In Controlled versatility demonstrate, the portable hubs would visit the sensor hubs in view of the predefined plan that is manufactured in light of the examining rate of the sensors and occasion event rate. The following grouping of versatility model, Predictable portability demonstrate where the sensor hubs know the way in which the versatile sinks will utilize. Until the anticipated time of information exchange, the sensor hubs will be in rest mode, in this manner sparing a lot of power. From that point onward, the sensor hubs go to dynamic mode and will begin sending information to the portable sink. The geographic portability model is the one in which the versatile hubs development can be limited by the geographic way of nature in which a versatile hub or sink is conveyed.

1) Mobile base station (MBS)-based
2) Mobile data collector (MDC)-based
3) Rendezvous based solutions

In a great WSN, where every one of the hubs are static, part of power get exhausted for the hub near the sink. This over the top power consumption is because of the persistent transmission and reaction by the sensor hub near the sink. The essential point of MBS based arrangements is expanding the lifetime of the system by uniformly conveying the power utilization. If there should be an occurrence of MDC based arrangements, the information is accumulated from sensors by going by them separately. In view of the portability example of the MDCs, there can be Random versatility, Predictable portability, and Controlled portability [12].

3. Routing Protocols

Directing is the procedure in which the information parcels are sent to the base station. The information isdirected to the goal in an effective way immediately and bundle misfortune. Generally, the system layer handles the way toward directing the information. The best routing protocolis the one that covers all conditions of a predefined arrange and won't devour excessively organize assets. In a portable based wireless sensor organize, limiting the power utilization is essential. Henceforth productive routing protocols must be outlined independent of overhead, deferral and throughput.
Theserouting protocols for MWSN can be primarily grouped in light of the system structure, condition of data, portability and power proficient procedures. In view of the portability of hubs, different directing conventions have been considered. The significant test for characterizing the directing convention in MWSN happens because of the way that the topology of the system is occasionally evolving. In this paper, steering with just versatile sinks is considered. Because of the versatility of the sink, the arrangement of sensors situated close to the sink changes after some time. This would help in adjusting the power utilization and subsequently dragging out the system life time. As talked about before, the sink can take after three sorts of portability examples in MWSN.

1) Random portability
2) Predictable/settled way portability
3) Controlled portability

If there should be an occurrence of Random mobility [5], the sink takes after an arbitrary way in the sensor field and executes a force procedure for information accumulation from the sensor hubs. Information can be asked for from it is possible that one jump or k bounce neighbours of the sink. In Predictable/settled way portability, versatile direction of sink is along a known settled way. The fundamental test in Controlled portability is to outline the sensor organize

conventions that can abuse versatile segments viably and take care of the navigational issues for portable components [13].

A few routing protocols have been proposed in many papers relying upon different criteria. The utility of these conventions differs contingent upon different applications and they have their own particular upsides and downsides. For the most part, the directing conventions of MWSN can be characterized in view of their system structure, condition of data, versatility and power productivity strategies. In light of the portability of hubs in the system, the directing calculations are ordered into numerous. Among those conventions, just when the sink is versatile is considered as a unique case. An examination of each one of those conventions alluded from different papers is depicted beneath:

3.2 Elastic Routing

Flexible Routing [6], is a geographic steering strategy which make utilization of covetous sending component for refreshing the area of the versatile sink. At first, the area of the sink will be known utilizing some area benefit. The occasion recognized by the source will be steered to the sink jump by bounce, utilizing covetous sending method, where the hub chooses the neighbour hub that is nearest to the sink as the following bounce.

As the sink moves outside the radio scope of last jump sending hub A, the new area of the sink winds up noticeably obscure to hub A. Other than sending intermittent signal messages to neighbour hubs, a sink additionally illuminates its present area to the hub from which it got the last information bundle by avaricious sending. The new area of the sink will be refreshed to hub A by insatiable sending system.

Presently hub A resets the sink area data in the information parcel to the new sink area and chooses the hub B as the following bounce sending hub to forward the consequent information bundles. Presently hub B turns into the last bounce sending hub to the sink. In this way, the portability of the versatile sink can be followed along these lines. The capacity of the portable sink is as demonstrated as follows:

- Send reference point messages to report its present area to neighbour sensor hubs.
- Checks whether it has moved out scope of the last jump sending hub; assuming this is the case, educates its present area to the last bounce sending hub by uni-throwing

The capacity of the sensor hubs for area proliferation of the versatile sinks is abridged as takes after:

- Checks whether the sink is situated in its radio range; assuming this is the case, record the sink ID and area.
- On catching a transmission, for example, hub M catches a transmission from hub N, versatile steering is actualized.

3.3 Mobi-Route Protocol

Mobi Route is another steering method towards the versatile sink for enhancing the lifetime of the sensor arrange. Mobi Route applies guide component for following the versatility of the sink. The sink as a rule communicates signal messages intermittently. Once a hub gets the s-signal, it would set(reset) its recognizing clock. On the off chance that the clock has planned out, then it would show a connection breakage and subsequently another parent ought to be picked. The Mobi Route depends on Berkeley Mint Route routing protocol. The course messages are intermittently traded among neighbour hubs. The traded course messages help to assess the separation from the sink [14].

3.4 LBDD Protocol

The LBDD called the Line Based Data Dissemination convention chips away at the premise of meet area, which is a vertical line isolating the system into two sections. The significant operation of LBDD comprises of two primary strides Dissemination and Collection. The hubs which are in the limit of the meet line is brought in-line nodes, while different hubs are called standard hubs. The first in-line hub that gets the question proliferates it in both bearings along the line until it comes to the in-line hub putting away the information. The information will be then exchanged specifically to the sink.

3.5 Two-Tier Data Dissemination Protocol (TTDD)

At the point when a source creates information [7], it would begin the information spread by building matrix structures. The source itself goes about as one intersection purpose of the framework and it would send information declaration message to each of its four adjoining crossing focuses. Every information declaration message at long last stops on a sensor hub that is nearest to the intersection point determined in the message. The hub stores the source data and advances the message to its adjoining crossing indicate with the exception of the one from which it got the message. This procedure rehashes until the sensors that are nearest to the intersection areas turn into the spread hub of the given source.

Once a matrix has been constructed, the sink can then surge the inquiry message inside the nearby lattice to get the information. The inquiry will be then gotten by closest scattering hub, which would then proliferate the question upstream to other dispersal hubs towards the source. The asked for information would then stream in the turnaround way to the sink.

3.6 Gradient Broadcast (GRAB)

Angle Broadcast Protocol [8] is a sink activated full system based flooding arrangement, where the sink surges its area data consistently to the system. Snatch generally constructs and keeps up a cost field, giving every sensor the bearing to forward the detected information. The sink first forms a cost field by proliferating promotion (ADV) bundles in the system. The cost at a specific hub is the base power overhead to forward the parcel to the sink along a way.

Every hub can evaluate the cost of sending information to close-by neighbours. The cost of all hubs in the system shapes the cost field. At the point when a hub advances a bundle, it won't assign which hubs are at the following

bounce. It will basically incorporate its own particular cost in the bundle. Just its neighbours with littlest cost will keep sending the bundle. Neighbours with higher or equivalent costs drop the parcel. In this manner, the bundles go in a cost field like dilute streaming to the base of the channel; i.e., they stream toward diminishing expense to reach to the base of the cost field, which is the sink.

3.7 SEAD

SEAD which is a Scalable Energy-productive Asynchronous Dissemination convention is a conveyed self-sorting out convention, that would lessen the correspondence power. SEAD typically manufactures an ideal dispersal tree. A stationary sensor hub replaces the versatile sink for building the dispersal tree. Information dispersal ways to these stationary terminals are chosen to limit power cost. As sink moves far from the terminals, the sending postponement to the sink increments. Here power utilization is lessened. The SEAD convention comprises of four stages: membership question, door copy look, reproduction arrangement and d-tree administration. At the membership stage, the sink guides a join question to the source by means of its get to hub. At the door imitation hunt stage, an entryway reproduction is resolved, which fill in as the joining point from which a branch to the new get to point is developed. The copy position stage locally straightens out the tree in the area of the entryway reproduction to additionally diminish correspondence power [9].

3.8 Grid-Based Energy-Efficient Routing Protocol (GBEER)

GBEER [10] diminish the recurrence of transmitting the control parcels which fabricate the network structure and publicize and ask for bundles so as to lessen the battery control utilization. Here, they separate the detecting field into a few framework structures utilizing worldwide area data. A header will be arbitrarily picked and it advances the information to the sink. As the source recognizes the occasion, it sends the information to the header. The header then publicizes the information to the system by means of information declaration parcels to different headers. At the point when a sink requires the information, it sends the information ask for parcel to the closest header with neighbourhood flooding. The information ask for bundle is then send to the source's header and the information is then sent back to the sink.

3.9 LPTD

LPTD is a meet point based answer for refreshing the area of the versatile sink. It is additionally called Line Proxy Target Detection Protocol. LPTD depends on the presumption that all sensor hubs are time synchronized and accomplish a similar fleeting based hash work. Here the system is partitioned into cells (square zones). All cells in a similar line or segment turn into the meet focuses (line intermediaries), contingent upon the fleeting based hash work. The meet focuses are substituted after some time for system stack adjust. At the point when a sink needs to inquiry some occasion, it first ascertains the meet focuses by a similar fleeting based hash work and sends an intrigue enlistment message to the meet focuses. The intrigue enrolment message is then overflowed to all sensors inside a similar line or section. At the point when a source recognizes some occasion, it sends an objective enrolment message to a similar meet focuses by a similar hash work. The cell where the intrigue enrolment message and target enlistment message are covered, advances the information parcel to the sink. The sink may straightforwardly make an impression on approach the hotspot for consistent announcing. On the off chance that the sink moves too far from its past area, it accomplishes an indistinguishable procedure from said above.

3.10 MADD Protocol

The Mobile Agent-based Directed Diffusion Protocol (MADD) consider the portable operators without the bunch head. In MADD, the sink at first surges an intrigue bundle to find the source that sends the parcel. There is an objective district and if the sources in that area get the intrigue bundle, they surge the exploratory information to the sink separately. The sink will then get the exploratory bundles from different sources and would choose the rundown of sources that will be gone by the Mobile Agent. At the point when the objective source gets the comparing interest, they would have sent exploratory information, along different ways towards the sink.

3.11 Quorum-based location service

Majority based area benefit [11] is a proficient instrument for refreshing the area of the portable sink in the system. At the point when a hub needs to refresh its area data, it would spread its area data in both north and south headings lastly achieves its limits. Every one of the hubs that get the refresh parcel shape a north-south segment. At the point when a questioning hub needs the position of the goal hub, it will first check whether the area recorded in the database is out-dated. Provided that this is true, the hub proliferates its pursuit bundle in both east and west bearings to achieve the limits. All hubs included shape an east-west column. The hunt bundle will undoubtedly acquire the required area from a meet hub between a couple of segment and line majorities. The solicitations subsequent to achieving the closures of the line can be sent to the goal as indicated by the data acquired from the meet hub. The goal will answer back its exact area to fulfil the inquiry ask. This convention is an area based methodology.

Table 1: Comparison on mobile sink based Routing protocols

Various Routing Protocol	*Protocol Procedure*	*Route Formation*	*Power Usage*	*System assembly*
Elastic Routing	Q	P	Ltd.	LB
Mobi-Route	Q	P	Ltd.	F
LBDD		P	Ltd.	LB
TTDD	Q	P	Ltd.	H
GRAB		P	Ltd.	F
SEAD	Q	P	Ltd.	RP
GBEER		P	Ltd.	LB
LPTD		P	Ltd.	TB
MADD		P	Ltd.	LB
Quorum-based location service	Q	R/P	Ltd.	LB

Where:

Q = Query	P = Proactive	R = Reactive
Ltd. = Limited	LB = Location Based	F = Flat
H = Hierarchical	RP = Replica Placement	TB = Target Based

4. Conclusions

In this paper, different directing conventions for haphazardly conveyed portable sink based WSNs were talked about. The portability of the sinks in WSN definitely decreases power utilization of the system and in this way,it expands the system lifespan. The information bundles bound to the sink must be proficiently directed immediately and much overhead. In light of the study of different routing protocols accessible, Elastic Routing is thought to be a productive directing convention for refreshing the area of the portable sink lastly spreading the bundle to the goal. It utilizes a novel geographic steering system, which make utilization of the catching component of wireless transmission. Different conventions like TTDD, LPTD, GRAB and so on., are likewise proficient, yet they bring about high overhead because of inordinate power utilization and higher crash.

References

[1] J. Yick, B. Mukherjee, and D. Ghosal, "Wireless sensor network survey," Computer networks, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 2292–2330, 2008.
[2] J. Lee, W. Yu, and X. Fu, "Energy-efficient target detection in sensor networks using line proxies," International Journal of Communication Systems, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 251–275, 2008.
[3] C. Fu, Z. Jiang, W. Wei, and A. WEI, "An energy balanced algorithm of leach protocol in wsn," IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 354–359, 2013.
[4] A. A. Taleb, T. Alhmiedat, O. A.-h. Hassan, and N. M. Turab, "A survey of sink mobility models for wireless sensor networks," Journal of Emerging Trends in Computing and Information Sciences, vol. 4, no. 9, 2013.
[5] E. Ekici, Y. Gu, and D. Bozdag, "Mobility-based communication in wireless sensor networks," IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 44, no. 7, p. 56, 2006.
[6] F. Yu, S. Park, E. Lee, and S.H.Kim, "Elastic routing: a novel geographic routing for mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks," *IET Communications*, vol. 4, no.6, pp, 716-727,2010
[7] F. Ye, H. Luo, J. Cheng, S. Lu, and L. Zhang, "A two-tier data dissemination model for large-scale wireless sensor networks," in Proceedings of the 8th annual international conference on Mobile computing andnetworking, pp. 148–159, ACM, 2002.
[8] F. Ye, G. Zhong, S. Lu, and L. Zhang, "Gradient broadcast: A robust data delivery protocol for large scale sensor networks," Wireless Networks, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 285–298, 2005.
[9] G. Wang, T. Wang, W. Jia, M. Guo, and J. Li, "Adaptive location updates for mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks," The Journal of Supercomputing, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 127–145, 2009.
[10] K. Kweon, H. Ghim, J. Hong, and H. Yoon, "Grid-based energy-efficient routing from multiple sources to multiple mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks," in Wireless Pervasive Computing, 2009. ISWPC 2009. 4thInternational Symposium on, pp. 1–5, IEEE, 2009.
[11] I. Stojmenovic, D. Liu, and X. Jia, "A scalable quorum-based location service in ad hoc and sensor networks," International Journal of Com-munication Networks and Distributed Systems, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 71–94, 2008.
[12] G. S. Sara and D. Sridharan, "Routing in mobile wireless sensor network: a survey," Telecommunication Systems, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 51–79, 2014.
[13] T. He, C. Huang, B. M. Blum, J. A. Stankovic, and T. Abdelzaher, "Range-free localization schemes for large scale sensor networks," in Proceedings of the 9th annual international conference on Mobile computing and networking, pp. 81–95, ACM, 2003.
[14] H. S. Kim, T. F. Abdelzaher, and W. H. Kwon, "Minimum-energy asychronous dissemination to mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks," in Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Embedded networked sensor systems, pp. 193–204, ACM, 2003.

Author Profile

Amit Kumarwas born in Madhepura, Bihar, India. He received B.tech Degree in Computer Science from Dr. M.G.R. Educational and Research Institute, Chennai, India in 2012 and currently pursuing M.tech Degree in Computer Science from Galgotias University, Greater Noida, India.

Abhijeet Daswas born in Purnea, Bihar, India. He received B.tech Degree in Information Technology from Future Institute of Engineering and Technology, Bareilly, India in 2014 and currently pursuing M.tech Degree in Computer Science from Galgotias University, Greater Noida, India.