

Suzuki Type Unique Common Tripled Fixed Point Theorem for Four Maps under Ψ - Φ Contractive Condition in Partial Metric Spaces

V. M. L. Hima Bindu¹, G. N. V. Kishore²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, K L University, Vaddeswaram, Guntur - 522 502, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract: In this paper, we obtain a Suzuki type unique common tripled fixed point theorem for four maps in partial metric spaces.

Keywords and phrases: Partial metric, weakly compatible maps, Suzuki type contraction, Triple fixed point

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10, 54E50

1. Introduction

The notion of a partial metric space was introduced by Matthews [9] as a part of the study of denotational semantics of data flow networks. In fact, it is widely recognized that partial metric spaces play an important role in constructing models in the theory of computation and domain theory in computer science.

Matthews [9] and Romaguera [11] and Altun et al. [2] proved some fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces for a single map. For more works on fixed, common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces, we refer [1-12]). The aim of this paper is to study Suzuki type unique common tripled fixed point theorem for four maps satisfying a ψ - ϕ contractive condition in partial metric spaces.

First we give the following theorem of Suzuki [15].

Theorem 1.1. (See [15]): Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a mapping on X . Define a non - increasing

function θ from $[0, 1]$ onto $(\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ by

$$\theta(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, \\ \frac{1-r}{r^2} & \text{if } \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} \leq r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \frac{1}{1+r} & \text{if } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq r < 1. \end{cases}$$

Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$\theta(r)d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$ implies $d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then there exists a unique fixed point z of T .

Moreover $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z$ for all $x \in X$.

First we recall some basic definitions and lemmas which play crucial role in the theory of partial metric spaces.

Definition 1.4. (See [1,9]) A partial metric on a nonempty

set X is a function $p : X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(p_1)x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y),$$

$$(p_2)p(x, x) \leq p(x, y), p(y, y) \leq p(x, y),$$

$$(p_3)p(x, y) = p(y, x),$$

$$(p_4)p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z).$$

The pair (X, P) is called a partial metric space (PMS).

If p is a partial metric on X , then the function $p^s : X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ given by

$$p^s(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y), \quad (1.1)$$

is a metric on X .

Example 1.5. (See e.g. [9]) Consider $X = [0, \infty)$ with $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$. Then (X, p) is a partial metric space. It is clear that p is not a (usual) metric. Note that in this case $p^s(x, y) = |x - y|$.

Example 1.6. Let $X = \{[a, b] : a, b \in R, a \leq b\}$ and define $p([a, b], [c, d]) = \max\{b, d\} - \min\{a, c\}$. Then (X, p) is a partial metric space.

We now state some basic topological notions (such as convergence, completeness, continuity) on partial metric spaces (see e.g. [1, 2, 7, 8, 9].)

Definition 1.7.

(i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in the PMS (X, p) converges to the limit x if and only if

$$p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n).$$

(ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in the PMS (X, p) is called a Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) \text{ exists and is finite.}$$

(iii) A PMS (X, p) is called complete if every Cauchy

sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges with respect to τ_p , to a point $x \in X$ such that $p(x, x) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m, x_n)$.

The following lemma is one of the basic results in PMS([1, 2, 7, 8, 9]).

Lemma 1.8.

$$\text{Moreover } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(x, x_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m, x_n).$$

Next, we give two simple lemmas which will be used in the proof of our main result. For the proofs we refer to [1].

Lemma 1.9.

Assume $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in a PMS (X, p) such that $p(z, z) = 0$. Then $p(z, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, y)$ for every $y \in X$.

Lemma 1.10. Let (X, p) be a PMS. Then

- (A) If $p(x, y) = 0$ then $x = y$,
- (B) If $x \neq y$, then $p(x, y) > 0$.

Remark 1.11. If $x = y$, $p(x, y)$ may not be 0.

Definition 1.12. Let Ψ be the set of all altering distance functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

such that

- (i) ψ is continuous and non-decreasing,
- (ii) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Definition 1.13. Let Φ be the set of all functions $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

- (i) ϕ is continuous .

$$(2.1.1) \frac{1}{2} \min\{p(fx, S(x, y, z)), p(gu, T(u, v, w))\} \leq \max\{p(fx, gu), p(fy, gv), p(fz, gw)\}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2} \min\{p(fy, S(y, z, x)), p(gv, T(v, w, u))\} \leq \max\{p(fx, gu), p(fy, gv), p(fz, gw)\}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2} \min\{p(fz, S(z, x, y)), p(gw, T(w, u, v))\} \leq \max\{p(fx, gu), p(fy, gv), p(fz, gw)\}$$

implies that

$$\psi(p(S(x, y, z), T(u, v, w))) \leq \psi(M(x, y, z, u, v, w)) - \phi(M(x, y, z, u, v, w)),$$

for all x, y, z, u, v, w in X , where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$ are functions and

$$M(x, y, z, u, v, w) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(fx, gu), p(fy, gv), p(fz, gw), \\ p(fx, S(x, y, z)), p(fy, S(y, z, x)), \\ p(fz, S(z, x, y)), p(gu, T(u, v, w)), \\ p(gv, T(v, w, u)), p(gw, T(w, u, v)), \\ \frac{1}{2} [p(fx, T(u, v, w)) + p(gu, S(x, y, z))], \\ \frac{1}{2} [p(fy, T(v, w, u)) + p(gv, S(y, z, x))], \\ \frac{1}{2} [p(fz, T(w, u, v)) + p(gw, S(z, x, y))] \end{array} \right\},$$

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the PMS (X, p) if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space (X, p^s) .
- (ii) A PMS (X, p) is complete if and only if the metric space (X, p^s) is complete.

- (ii) $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Definition 1.14. [14] An element $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ is called

- (i) a tripled coincident point of $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $fx = F(x, y, z)$, $fy = F(y, z, x)$ and $fz = F(z, x, y)$,
- (ii) a common tripled fixed point of $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if

$$x = fx = F(x, y, z), y = fy = F(y, z, x) \text{ and } z = fz = F(z, x, y).$$

Definition 1.15. [14] The mappings $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ are called w -compatible if $f(F(x, y, z)) = F(fx, fy, fz)$, $f(F(y, z, x)) = F(fy, fz, fx)$ and $f(F(z, x, y)) = F(fz, fx, fy)$ whenever $fx = F(x, y, z)$, $fy = F(y, z, x)$ and $fz = F(z, x, y)$.

Now we prove our main result.

2. Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and let $S, T : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

(2.1.2) $S(X \times X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, $T(X \times X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$,

(2.1.3) either $f(X)$ or $g(X)$ is a complete subspaces of X ,

(2.1.4) the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible.

Then S , T , f and g have a unique common tripled fixed point of the form $(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \in X \times X \times X$.

Proof: Let $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ be arbitrary points in X . From (2.1.2), there exist sequences of $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$, $\{u_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$, $\{w_n\}$ in X such that

For simplification we denote

$$R_n = \max\{p(u_n, u_{n+1}), p(v_n, v_{n+1}), p(w_n, w_{n+1})\}.$$

Clearly

$$\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(fx_{2n}, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n})), \\ p(gx_{2n+1}, T(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1})) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max\{p(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}), p(fy_{2n}, gy_{2n+1}), p(fz_{2n}, gz_{2n+1})\}.$$

From (2.1.1), we

$$\psi(p(S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}), T(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}))) \leq \psi(M(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1})) \\ - \phi(M(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1})).$$

$$M(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1})$$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}), p(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}), p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}), \\ p(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}), p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}), p(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n}), \\ p(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \frac{1}{2}[p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n+1}) + p(u_{2n}, u_{2n})], \\ \frac{1}{2}[p(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n+1}) + p(v_{2n}, v_{2n})], \frac{1}{2}[p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n+1}) + p(w_{2n}, w_{2n})] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{But } \frac{1}{2}[p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n+1}) + p(u_{2n}, u_{2n})] \leq \frac{1}{2}[p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}) + p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})], \text{ from (p}_4\text{)}$$

$$\leq \max\{p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}), p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\}.$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{2}[p(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n+1}) + p(v_{2n}, v_{2n})] \leq \max\{p(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}), p(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})\}.$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}[p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n+1}) + p(w_{2n}, w_{2n})] \leq \max\{p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), p(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})\}.$$

Hence

$$M(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}), p(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}), p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \\ p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), p(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), p(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ = \max\{R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\}.$$

Thus

$$\psi(p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})) \leq \psi(\max\{R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\}) - \phi(\max\{R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\}).$$

Similarly,

$$\psi(p(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})) \leq \psi(\max\{R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\}) - \phi(\max\{R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\})$$

and

$$\psi(p(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})) \leq \psi(\max\{R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\}) - \phi(\max\{R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\}).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(R_{2n}) &= \psi(\max\{p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), p(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), p(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \max\{\psi(p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})), \psi(p(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})), \psi(p(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1}))\} \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\}) - \phi(\max\{R_{2n-1}, R_{2n}\}).\end{aligned}$$

We have the following two cases.

Case (a) : If R_{2n} is maximum in the right hand side, we obtain

$$\psi(R_{2n}) \leq \psi(R_{2n}) - \phi(R_{2n}).$$

It follows that $\phi(R_{2n}) = 0$ so that $R_{2n} = 0$:

Thus

$$\max\{p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), p(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), p(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})\} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) = 0, \quad p(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1}) = 0.$$

Thus $u_{2n} = u_{2n+1}$, $v_{2n} = v_{2n+1}$ and $w_{2n} = w_{2n+1}$.

Now we claim that $u_{2n+2} = u_{2n+1}$, $v_{2n+2} = v_{2n+1}$ and $w_{2n+2} = w_{2n+1}$.

Clearly

$$\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(fx_{2n+2}, S(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2})), \\ p(gx_{2n+1}, T(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1})) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \{p(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}), p(fy_{2n+2}, gy_{2n+1}), p(fz_{2n+2}, gz_{2n+1})\}.$$

From (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(p(S(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}), T(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}))) &\leq \psi(M(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1})) \\ &\quad - \phi(M(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1})).\end{aligned}$$

$$M(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}) =$$

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}), \quad p(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n}), \quad p(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \quad p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}), \\ p(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}), \quad p(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+2}), \quad p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}), \quad p(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n}), \\ p(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \quad \frac{1}{2} [p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1}) + p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2})], \\ \frac{1}{2} [p(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+1}) + p(v_{2n}, v_{2n+2})], \quad \frac{1}{2} [p(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}) + p(w_{2n}, w_{2n+2})] \end{array} \right\}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2} [p(u_{2n+2}, u_{2n}) + p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1})] &= \frac{1}{2} [p(u_{2n+2}, u_{2n+1}) + p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq p(u_{2n+2}, u_{2n+1}), \text{ from } (p_2).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{2} [p(v_{2n+2}, v_{2n}) + p(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+1})] \leq p(v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+1})$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} [p(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n}) + p(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1})] \leq p(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1}).$$

Hence

$$M(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}) = R_{2n+1}, \text{ from } (p_2).$$

Thus

$$\psi(p(u_{2n+2}, u_{2n+1})) \leq \psi(R_{2n+1}) - \phi(R_{2n+1}).$$

Similarly,

$$\psi(p(v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+1})) \leq \psi(R_{2n+1}) - \phi(R_{2n+1})$$

and

$$\psi(p(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1})) \leq \psi(R_{2n+1}) - \phi(R_{2n+1}).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(R_{2n+1}) &= \psi(\max\{p(u_{2n+2}, u_{2n+1}), p(v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+1}), p(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \max\{\psi(p(u_{2n+2}, u_{2n+1})), \psi(p(v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+1})), \psi(p(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1}))\} \\ &\leq \psi(R_{2n+1}) - \phi(R_{2n+1}).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\phi(R_{2n+1}) \leq 0$. So that $R_{2n+1} = 0$.

Hence $u_{2n+2} = u_{2n+1}$, $v_{2n+2} = v_{2n+1}$ and $w_{2n+2} = w_{2n+1}$.

Continuing in this way, we can conclude that $u_n = u_{n+k}$, $v_n = v_{n+k}$ and $w_n = w_{n+k}$ for all $k > 0$.

Thus, $\{u_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences.

Case(b): If R_{2n-1} is maximum, then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(R_{2n}) &\leq \psi(R_{2n-1}) - \phi(R_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq \psi(R_{2n-1}).\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Since ψ is monotone increasing, we have $R_{2n} \leq R_{2n-1}$.

Similarly $R_{2n+1} \leq R_{2n}$.

Continuing in this way we can conclude that $\{R_n\}$ is non-increasing sequence of non-negative real numbers and must converges to a real number $r \geq 0$ say.

Suppose $r > 0$.

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (1), we get

$$\psi(r) \leq \psi(r) - \phi(r) < \psi(r),$$

a contradiction.

Hence $r = 0$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{p(u_n, u_{n+1}), p(v_n, v_{n+1}), p(w_n, w_{n+1})\} = 0.\tag{2}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(u_n, u_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(v_n, v_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(w_n, w_{n+1}) = 0.$$

(2)

Hence from (p₂), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(u_n, u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(v_n, v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(w_n, w_n) = 0.\tag{3}$$

From (2) and (3), and by definition of p^s , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon &\leq \max\{p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k})\} \\ &\leq \max\{p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_{k-2}}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_{k-2}}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k-2}})\} \\ &\quad + \max\{p^s(u_{2n_{k-2}}, u_{2n_{k-1}}), p^s(v_{2n_{k-2}}, v_{2n_{k-1}}), p^s(w_{2n_{k-2}}, w_{2n_{k-1}})\} \\ &\quad + \max\{p^s(u_{2n_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2n_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2n_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k})\} \\ &< \varepsilon + \max\{p^s(u_{2n_{k-2}}, u_{2n_{k-1}}), p^s(v_{2n_{k-2}}, v_{2n_{k-1}}), p^s(w_{2n_{k-2}}, w_{2n_{k-1}})\} \\ &\quad + \max\{p^s(u_{2n_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2n_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2n_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k})\}.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and then using (4), (5) and (6), we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max\{p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k})\} = \varepsilon.\tag{9}$$

Hence from definition of p^s , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}) - p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2m_k}) - p(u_{2n_k}, u_{2n_k}), \\ 2p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}) - p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2m_k}) - p(v_{2n_k}, v_{2n_k}), \\ 2p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k}) - p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}) - p(w_{2n_k}, w_{2n_k}) \end{array} \right\} = \varepsilon.$$

By using (3), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \{ p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k}) \} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (10)$$

From (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k}) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_{k-1}}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \} \quad (11) \\ &\leq \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_{k-1}}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), p^s(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), p^s(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k}) \} \\ &= 2 \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_{k-1}}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, using (4), (5), (6), (9) and (11), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \} = \varepsilon. \quad (12)$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \{ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (13)$$

Again from (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k}) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_{k-1}}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), p^s(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), p^s(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2n_{k+1}}, w_{2n_k}) \} \quad (14) \\ &\leq \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_{k-1}}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), p^s(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), p^s(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2n_{k+1}}, w_{2n_k}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2n_{k+1}}, w_{2n_k}) \} \\ &= 2 \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2m_{k-1}}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_{k-1}}) \} \\ &\quad + \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k}) \} \\ &\quad + 2 \max \{ p^s(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), p^s(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), p^s(w_{2n_{k+1}}, w_{2n_k}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and then using (4), (5), (6), (9) and (14), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \{ p^s(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), p^s(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), p^s(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) \} = \varepsilon. \quad (15)$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \{ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) \} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (16)$$

Again from (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon &\leq \max \left\{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k}) \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) \right\} \\ &\quad + \max \left\{ p^s(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p^s(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p^s(w_{2n_{k+1}}, w_{2n_k}) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, using (4),(5) and (6), we have

$$\varepsilon \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ p^s(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p^s(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p^s(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) \right\} + 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}}) - p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2m_k}) - p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \\ 2p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_{k+1}}) - p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2m_k}) - p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \\ 2p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) - p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}) - p(w_{2n_{k+1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) \right\}, \text{from(3).}\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) \right\}$$

By the properties of ψ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) &\leq \psi\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) \right\} \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \psi(p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}})), \quad \psi(p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_{k+1}})), \quad \psi(p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k+1}})) \right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

Now , we show that

$$\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), p(u_{2n_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}}) \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

On contrary suppose that

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \end{array} \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), p(u_{2n_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}}) \right\}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, in above we get $\varepsilon < 1$.

It is a contradiction.

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), p(u_{2n_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}}) \right\} \leq \max \left\{ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \right\}$$

From (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(u_{2m_k}, u_{2n_{k+1}})) &= \psi(p(S(x_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}), T(x_{2n_{k+1}}, y_{2n_{k+1}}, z_{2n_{k+1}}))) \\ \psi(M(x_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, x_{2n_{k+1}}, y_{2n_{k+1}}, z_{2n_{k+1}})) - \phi(M(x_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, x_{2n_{k+1}}, y_{2n_{k+1}}, z_{2n_{k+1}})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}), \quad p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}), \\ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

$$- \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}), \quad p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \quad \frac{1}{2} [p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k})], \\ \frac{1}{2} [p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k})], \quad \frac{1}{2} [p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k})] \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

since ψ is increasing.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(v_{2m_k}, v_{2n_{k+1}})) &= \psi(p(S(x_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}), T(x_{2n_{k+1}}, y_{2n_{k+1}}, z_{2n_{k+1}}))) \\ \leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}), \quad p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}), \\ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \end{array} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$- \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}), \quad p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \quad \frac{1}{2} [p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k})], \\ \frac{1}{2} [p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k})], \quad \frac{1}{2} [p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k})] \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k+1}})) &= \psi(p(S(x_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}), T(x_{2n_{k+1}}, y_{2n_{k+1}}, z_{2n_{k+1}}))) \\ \leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}), \quad p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}), \\ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \end{array} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$-\phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}), \quad p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k})], \\ \frac{1}{2}[p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k})], \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k})] \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

Hence from (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}), \quad p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}), \\ p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &- \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}), \quad p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k})], \\ \frac{1}{2}[p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k})], \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k})] \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &= \psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) - \phi(t), \\ t &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k}), \quad p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2m_k}), \\ p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2m_k}), \quad p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2m_k}), \quad p(u_{2n_{k+1}}, u_{2n_k}), \quad p(v_{2n_{k+1}}, v_{2n_k}), \\ p(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}), \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(u_{2m_{k-1}}, u_{2n_k})], \\ \frac{1}{2}[p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(v_{2m_{k-1}}, v_{2n_k})], \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k+1}}) + p(w_{2m_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k})] \end{array} \right\} > 0 \\ &< \psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right), \text{ since } \phi(t) > 0 \text{ for } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Is a contradiction.

Hence $\{u_{2n}\}, \{v_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are Cauchy sequences in the metric space (X, p^s) .

Letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ in

$$|p^s(u_{2n+1}, u_{2m+1}) - p^s(u_{2n}, u_{2m})| \leq p^s(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}) + p^s(u_{2m+1}, u_{2m})$$

we have

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p^s(u_{2n+1}, u_{2m+1}) = 0.$$

Similarly we have

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p^s(v_{2n+1}, v_{2m+1}) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p^s(w_{2n+1}, w_{2m+1}) = 0.$$

Thus $\{u_{2n+1}\}, \{v_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{w_{2n+1}\}$ are Cauchy sequences in the metric space (X, p^s) .

Hence $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in the metric space (X, p^s) .

Hence, we have $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p^s(u_n, u_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p^s(v_n, v_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p^s(w_n, w_m) = 0$.

Now, from the definition of p^s and from (3), we obtain

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(u_n, u_m) = 0. \quad (18)$$

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(v_n, v_m) = 0. \quad (19)$$

and

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(w_n, w_m) = 0. \quad (20)$$

Suppose $g(X)$ is complete.

Since $u_{2n} = S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}) = gx_{2n+1}$, it follows $\{u_{2n}\} \subseteq g(X)$, $\{v_{2n}\} \subseteq g(X)$ and $\{w_{2n}\} \subseteq g(X)$ are Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space $(g(X), p^s)$, it follows that $\{u_{2n}\}, \{v_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are convergent in $(g(X), p^s)$.

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(u_{2n}, \alpha) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(v_{2n}, \beta) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(w_{2n}, \gamma) = 0, \text{ for some } \alpha, \beta \text{ and } \gamma \in g(X).$$

Since $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in g(X)$, there exist $s, t, r \in X$ such that $\alpha = gs$, $\beta = gt$ and $\gamma = gr$.

Since $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X and $\{u_{2n}\} \rightarrow \alpha$, $\{v_{2n}\} \rightarrow \beta$

and $\{w_{2n}\} \rightarrow \gamma$, it follows that $\{u_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow \alpha$, $\{v_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow \beta$ and $\{w_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow \gamma$.

From Lemma 1:5 (b), we have

$$p(\alpha, \alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(u_{2n+1}, \alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(u_{2n}, \alpha) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(u_n, u_m). \quad (21)$$

$$p(\beta, \beta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(v_{2n+1}, \beta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(v_{2n}, \beta) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(v_n, v_m) \quad (22)$$

and

$$p(\gamma, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(w_{2n+1}, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(w_{2n}, \gamma) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(w_n, w_m). \quad (23)$$

From (23), (22), (21), (20), (19) and (18) we obtain

$$p(\alpha, \alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(u_{2n+1}, \alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(u_{2n}, \alpha) = 0. \quad (24)$$

$$p(\beta, \beta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(v_{2n+1}, \beta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(v_{2n}, \beta) = 0 \quad (25)$$

and

$$p(\gamma, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(w_{2n+1}, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(w_{2n}, \gamma) = 0. \quad (26)$$

Now we claim that, for each $n \geq 1$, atleast one of the following assertions holds.

$$\frac{1}{2} p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}) \leq \max\{p(u_{2n-1}, \alpha), p(v_{2n-1}, \beta), p(w_{2n-1}, \gamma)\}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2} p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}) \leq \max\{p(u_{2n}, \alpha), p(v_{2n}, \beta), p(w_{2n}, \gamma)\}$$

Suppose to the contrary that

$$\frac{1}{2} p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}) > \max\{p(u_{2n-1}, \alpha), p(v_{2n-1}, \beta), p(w_{2n-1}, \gamma)\}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}) > \max\{p(u_{2n}, \alpha), p(v_{2n}, \beta), p(w_{2n}, \gamma)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}) &\leq p(u_{2n-1}, \alpha) + p(\alpha, u_{2n}) - p(\alpha, \alpha) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}) + p(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

is a contradiction .

Hence claim holds.

Sub case(a) :

Claim : Show that $\alpha = T(s, t, r)$, $\beta = T(t, r, s)$ and $\gamma = T(r, s, t)$.

Suppose $\frac{1}{2} p(u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}) \leq \max\{p(u_{2n-1}, \alpha), p(v_{2n-1}, \beta), p(w_{2n-1}, \gamma)\}$

Suppose $\alpha \neq T(s, t, r)$ or $\beta \neq T(t, r, s)$ or $\gamma \neq T(r, s, t)$.

From (2.1.1), we get

$$\psi(p(S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}), T(s, t, r)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(fx_{2n}, \alpha), p(fy_{2n}, \beta), p(fz_{2n}, \gamma), \\ p(fx_{2n}, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n})), p(fy_{2n}, S(y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n})), \\ p(fz_{2n}, S(z_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n})), p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), \\ p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t)), \\ p(fx_{2n}, T(s, t, r)), p(fy_{2n}, T(t, r, s)), p(fz_{2n}, T(r, s, t)), \\ p(\alpha, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n})), p(\beta, S(y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n})), p(\gamma, S(z_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n})) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &- \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(fx_{2n}, \alpha), p(fy_{2n}, \beta), p(fz_{2n}, \gamma), \\ p(fx_{2n}, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n})), p(fy_{2n}, S(y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n})), \\ p(fz_{2n}, S(z_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n})), p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), \\ p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t)), \\ \frac{1}{2} [p(fx_{2n}, T(s, t, r)) + p(\alpha, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}))], \\ \frac{1}{2} [p(fy_{2n}, T(t, r, s)) + p(\beta, S(y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n}))], \\ \frac{1}{2} [p(fz_{2n}, T(r, s, t)) + p(\gamma, S(z_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n}))] \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(\alpha, T(s, t, r))) &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\}) \\ &- \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(\beta, T(t, r, s))) &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\}) \\ &- \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))) &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\}) \\ &- \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\}) \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\}) \\ &= \max\{\psi(p(\alpha, T(s, t, r))), \psi(p(\beta, T(t, r, s))), \psi(p(\gamma, T(r, s, t)))\} \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\max\{p(\alpha, T(s, t, r)), p(\beta, T(t, r, s)), p(\gamma, T(r, s, t))\} = 0.$$

Therefore $T(s, t, r) = \alpha = gs, T(t, r, s) = \beta = gt$ and $T(r, s, t) = \gamma = gr$.

Since (g, T) is weakly compatible, we have

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = g\alpha, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = g\beta \text{ and } T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = g\gamma.$$

We claim that

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = \beta \text{ and } T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = \gamma.$$

Let us suppose that

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \neq \alpha \text{ or } T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) \neq \beta \text{ or } T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) \neq \gamma.$$

$$\text{Since } \frac{1}{2}\min\{p(fx_{2n}, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n})), p(g\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))\} \leq \max\{p(fx_{2n}, g\alpha), p(fy_{2n}, g\beta), p(fz_{2n}, g\gamma)\}.$$

From (2.1.1), we get

$$\psi(p(S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}), T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(fx_{2n}, g\alpha), p(fy_{2n}, g\beta), p(fz_{2n}, g\gamma), \\ p(fx_{2n}, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n})), p(fy_{2n}, S(y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n})), \\ p(fz_{2n}, S(z_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n})), p(g\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), \\ p(g\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(g\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)), \\ p(fx_{2n}, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(fy_{2n}, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(fz_{2n}, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)), \\ p(g\alpha, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n})), p(g\beta, S(y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n})), p(g\gamma, S(z_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n})) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &- \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(fx_{2n}, g\alpha), p(fy_{2n}, g\beta), p(fz_{2n}, g\gamma), p(fx_{2n}, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n})), \\ p(fy_{2n}, S(y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n})), p(fz_{2n}, S(z_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n})), p(g\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(g\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), \\ p(g\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)), \frac{1}{2}[p(fx_{2n}, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) + p(g\alpha, S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}))], \\ \frac{1}{2}[p(fy_{2n}, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)) + p(g\beta, S(y_{2n}, z_{2n}, x_{2n}))], \frac{1}{2}[p(fz_{2n}, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)) + p(g\gamma, S(z_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n}))] \end{array} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))) &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha))) &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))) &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\}) \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\}) \\ &= \max\{\psi(p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))), \psi(p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha))), \psi(p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)))\} \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that.

$$\max\{p(\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)), p(\gamma, T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta))\} = 0.$$

Hence

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = g\alpha = \alpha, \quad T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = g\beta = \beta \quad \text{and} \quad T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = g\gamma = \gamma. \quad (27)$$

Since $T(X \times X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, then there exist $a, b, c \in X$ such that

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = fa = \alpha, \quad T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = fb = \beta \quad \text{and} \quad T(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = fc = \gamma.$$

Claim: $S(a, b, c) = fa = \alpha$, $S(b, c, a) = fb = \beta$ and $S(c, a, b) = fc = \gamma$.

Suppose that $S(a, b, c) \neq \alpha$ or $S(b, c, a) \neq \beta$ or $S(c, a, b) \neq \gamma$.

Clearly,

$$\frac{1}{2} \min\{p(fa, S(a, b, c)), p(g\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))\} \leq \max\{p(fa, g\alpha), p(fb, g\beta), p(fc, g\gamma)\}.$$

From (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(S(a, b, c), fa)) &= \psi(p(S(a, b, c), T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))) \\ &\leq \psi(M(a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \\ &\quad - \phi(M(a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(\alpha, \alpha), \quad p(\beta, \beta), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma), \quad p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \\ p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b)), \quad p(\alpha, \alpha), \quad p(\beta, \beta), \\ p(\gamma, \gamma), \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(\alpha, \alpha) + p(\alpha, S(a, b, c))], \\ \frac{1}{2}[p(\beta, \beta) + p(\beta, S(b, c, a))], \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(\gamma, \gamma) + p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))] \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}, \text{ from (24), (25) and (26).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(S(a, b, c), \alpha)) &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(S(b, c, a), \beta)) &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(S(c, a, b), \gamma)) &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}) \\ &= \max\{\psi(p(\alpha, S(a, b, c))), \quad \psi(p(\beta, S(b, c, a))), \quad \psi(p(\gamma, S(c, a, b)))\} \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\max\{p(\alpha, S(a, b, c)), \quad p(\beta, S(b, c, a)), \quad p(\gamma, S(c, a, b))\} = 0.$$

Hence $S(a, b, c) = fa = \alpha$, $S(b, c, a) = fb = \beta$, and $S(c, a, b) = fc = \gamma$.

Since (S, f) is weakly compatible.

Thus $S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = f\alpha$, $S(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = f\beta$, and $S(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = f\gamma$.

Suppose $S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \neq \alpha$ or $S(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) \neq \beta$ or $S(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) \neq \gamma$.

Clearly,

$$\frac{1}{2} \min \{p(f\alpha, S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(g\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha))\} \leq \max \{p(f\alpha, g\alpha), p(f\beta, g\beta), p(f\gamma, g\gamma)\}.$$

From (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(f\alpha, \alpha)) &= \psi(p(S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha))) \\ &\leq \psi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, s, t, r)) \\ &\quad - \phi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, s, t, r)). \end{aligned}$$

$M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, s, t, r)$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(f\alpha, \alpha), \quad p(f\beta, \beta), \quad p(f\gamma, \gamma), \quad p(f\alpha, f\alpha), \\ p(f\beta, f\beta), \quad p(f\gamma, f\gamma), \quad p(\alpha, \alpha), \quad p(\beta, \beta), \\ p(\gamma, \gamma), \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(f\alpha, \alpha) + p(f\alpha, \alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2}[p(f\beta, \beta) + p(f\beta, \beta)], \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(f\gamma, \gamma) + p(f\gamma, \gamma)] \end{array} \right\}$$

= $\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}$, from (24), (25) and (26).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(f\alpha, \alpha)) &\leq \psi(\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(f\beta, \beta)) &\leq \psi(\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(f\gamma, \gamma)) &\leq \psi(\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}) &= \max \{\psi(p(f\alpha, \alpha)), \psi(p(f\beta, \beta)), \psi(p(f\gamma, \gamma))\} \\ &\leq \psi(\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that.

$$\max \{p(f\alpha, \alpha), p(f\beta, \beta), p(f\gamma, \gamma)\} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = f\alpha = \alpha, \quad S(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = f\beta = \beta \quad \text{and} \quad S(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = f\gamma = \gamma. \quad (28)$$

From (27) and (28), (α, β, γ) is common tripled fixed point of S, T, f and g . Suppose $(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$ is another common tripled fixed point of S, T, f and g .

Clearly

$$\frac{1}{2} \min \{p(f\alpha, S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(g\alpha', T(\alpha', \beta', \gamma'))\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(f\alpha, g\alpha'), \\ p(f\beta, g\beta'), \\ p(f\gamma, g\gamma') \end{array} \right\}.$$

From (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(p(\alpha, \alpha')) &= \psi(p(S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), T(\alpha', \beta', \gamma'))) \\ &\leq \psi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha', \beta', \gamma')) \\ &\quad - \phi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha', \beta', \gamma')).\end{aligned}$$

$$M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha', \beta', \gamma') = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma'), \quad p(\alpha, \alpha), \\ p(\beta, \beta), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma), \quad p(\alpha', \alpha'), \quad p(\beta', \beta'), \\ p(\gamma', \gamma'), \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(\alpha, \alpha') + p(\alpha', \alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2}[p(\beta, \beta') + p(\beta', \beta)], \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(\gamma, \gamma') + p(\gamma', \gamma)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\}, \text{ from (24), (25), (26) and (p_2).}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(p(\alpha, \alpha')) &\leq \psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\}).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(p(\beta, \beta')) &\leq \psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(p(\gamma, \gamma')) &\leq \psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\}).\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\}) &= \max \{\psi(p(\alpha, \alpha')), \quad \psi(p(\beta, \beta')), \quad \psi(p(\gamma, \gamma'))\} \\ &\leq \psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\}).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that.

$$\max \{p(\alpha, \alpha'), \quad p(\beta, \beta'), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma')\} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\alpha = \alpha', \quad \beta = \beta' \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \gamma'.$$

Therefore (α, β, γ) is a unique common tripled fixed point of S, T, f and g.

Now we claim that $\alpha = \beta, \quad \beta = \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \alpha$.

Suppose $\alpha \neq \beta$ or $\beta \neq \gamma$ or $\gamma \neq \alpha$.

Clearly

$$\frac{1}{2} \min \{p(f\alpha, S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)), p(g\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha))\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(f\alpha, g\beta), \\ p(f\beta, g\gamma), \\ p(f\gamma, g\alpha) \end{array} \right\}$$

From (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(p(\alpha, \beta)) &= \psi(p(S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), T(\beta, \gamma, \alpha))) \\ &\leq \psi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \beta, \gamma, \alpha)) \\ &\quad - \phi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \beta, \gamma, \alpha)).\end{aligned}$$

$$M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \beta, \gamma, \alpha) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha), \quad p(\alpha, \alpha), \\ p(\beta, \beta), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma), \quad p(\beta, \beta), \quad p(\gamma, \gamma), \\ p(\alpha, \alpha), \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(\alpha, \beta) + p(\beta, \alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2}[p(\beta, \gamma) + p(\gamma, \beta)], \quad \frac{1}{2}[p(\gamma, \alpha) + p(\alpha, \gamma)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\}.$$

$$\psi(p(\alpha, \beta)) \leq \psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\})$$

$$- \phi(\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\}).$$

Similarly,

$$\psi(p(\beta, \gamma)) \leq \psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\})$$

$$- \phi(\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\}).$$

and

$$\psi(p(\gamma, \alpha)) \leq \psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\})$$

$$- \phi(\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\}).$$

Now,

$$\psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\}) = \max \{\psi(p(\alpha, \beta)), \quad \psi(p(\beta, \gamma)), \quad \psi(p(\gamma, \alpha))\}$$

$$\leq \psi(\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\})$$

$$- \phi(\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\}).$$

It follows that,

$$\max \{p(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\beta, \gamma), \quad p(\gamma, \alpha)\} = 0.$$

Hence $\alpha = \beta, \beta = \gamma$ and $\gamma = \alpha$.

Therefore (α, α, α) is a unique common tripled fixed point of S, T, f and g. Sub case (b): There exist a unique common

tripled fixed point of S, T, f and g when $\frac{1}{2} p(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}) \leq \max \{p(u_{2n}, \alpha), \quad p(v_{2n}, \beta), \quad p(w_{2n}, \gamma)\}$ holds.

References

- [1] Abdeljawad T, Karapınar E, Tas K., Existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point on partial metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2011, 24 (11), 1894 - 1899.
- [2] Altun I, Sola F, Simsek H, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topology and its Applications* 2010, 157 (18), 2778 -2785.
- [3] Altun I, Erduran A. Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2011, Article ID 508730, 10 pages, doi:10.1155/2011/508730.
- [4] Aydi H. Fixed point results for weakly contractive mappings in ordered partial metric spaces, *Journal of Advanced Mathematical and Studies* 2011, Volume 4, Number 2.
- [5] Heckmann R, Approximation of metric spaces by partial metric spaces, *Appl. Categ. Structures* 1999, No. 1 - 2 (7), 71 - 83.
- [6] Ilić D, Pavlović V, Rakočević V, Some new extensions of Banach's contraction principle to partial metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Letters*, doi:10.1016/j.aml.2011.02.025.
- [7] Karapınar E, Erhan IM, Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2011, 24 (11), 1900 – 1904.
- [8] Karapınar E. Generalizations of Caristi Kirk's Theorem on Partial metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.* 2011, 2011:4.
- [9] Matthews SG. Partial metric topology, in Proceedings of the 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications 1994. vol. 728, pp. 183-197, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1994.
- [10] Rao KPR, Kishore GNV. A unique common fixed point theorem for four maps under $\psi - \phi$ contractive condition in partial metric spaces. *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2011, 3(3), 56 - 63.

- [11] Romaguera S. A Kirk type characterization of completeness for partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2010, Article ID 493298, 6 pages.
- [12] Valero O. On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces. *Applied General Topology* 2005, 6 (2), 229 - 240.
- [13] Berinde, V, Borcut, M Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces.
- [14] Nonlinear Anal. 74(15), 4889-4897 (2011).
- [15] K.P.R.Rao, G.N.V.Kishore and N.SrinivasaRao, A unique common 3-tupled fixed point theorem for contractions in partial metric spaces, *Mathematica Aeterna*, Vol.1,no.7(2011),491-507.
- [16] T .Suzuki, A generalized Banach contraction principle which characterizes metric complete ness. *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.* 2008. vol. 136, pp. 1861-1869.