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Abstract: Background: The incidence of colorectal cancer has been increasing dramatically following the financial and economic advancements. Now, colorectal cancer is the third foremost cause of cancer deaths in both males and females in the United States. Many diagnostic tools had been emerging in the screening and early diagnosis of the disease including fecal occult blood and colonoscopy, but from a radiological viewpoint; Magnetic Resonance (MR) imaging is still by far the primary cross-sectional imaging modality used for preoperative local staging of rectal cancer. Patient-follow up after resection surgery and neoadjuvant chemotherapy due to its high spatial resolution and marked soft-tissue characterization. Objective: The objective of this study is to assess the role of MR imaging in recurrent rectal tumor detection, its site, signal characteristics and appearance on variable sequences and whether or not the tumor is resectable.

Patients and method: This is a retrospective study compromised of 50 patients; it was performed during the period of November 2016 to September 2017 in MR unit in the Oncology Teaching Hospital, Medical City Compound, where the patients were referred from the Oncology Clinic then their imaging findings were assessed by specialist radiologists. Results: A review of the study that compromised of 50 patients with rectal cancer and underwent surgery; 20 were males and 30 were females, their age ranges between 28-85 years, 12 patients (24%) showed no recurrence while the remaining 38 patients (76%) showed recurrence. However, of the recurrent tumor patients; 12 had recurrence at ileoanal anastomosis site in which 5 had intratumoral (41%), 5 had extratuminal (41%) and 2 patients had both intra- and extramural recurrence. While in patients with abdominoperineal resection; 9 had anterior local recurrence (34.6%) and 7 cases of presacral regrowth were found (27%), only 3 patients showed lateral pelvic wall muscular invasion (11.5%). Also, lymph nodes recurrence was found in 7 patients mainly involving the mesorectal group (57%). The obturator group involved in (28%) and least involved nodes were the inguinal group in (15%). The results of the MR signal in corresponding to nearby pelvic muscles on T1 and T2 weighted images were isointense in 68% and greater than in 32%, while the enhancement pattern after intravenous Gadolinium is equal to ileum wall enhancement in 76% and greater than in 24%. Conclusion: MR imaging is the preferred imaging modality in evaluation and patient follow-up with suspicious recurrence after rectal malignancy surgery. Its value was demonstrated in characterization and diagnosis of pelvic lesion(s) by assessing their appearance on T1, T2 and fat suppression T2 MR sequences, as well as assessing their enhancement pattern after intravenous Gadolinium in fat suppression sequence.
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1. Introduction

MR imaging is the leading imaging modality employed for preoperative and postoperative staging of primary rectal cancer and assessing it recurrence and thus its ability to differentiate the completely curable and stable from progressive cancer in the follow up of the primary rectal cancer status after chemotherapy and radiotherapy [1,2].

Local recurrence of rectal cancer after resection is associated with high patient morbidity and mortality[3]. The expected percentage of local recurrence varying from 3% to 32% in different studies [4,5]. Also, factors that control the degree of local recurrence include tumor stage, grade and the right administration of appropriate treatment [6,7,8].

Most local recurrences of rectal cancer happen within the first 3 years of primary surgery [5]. Early detection of local recurrence is vital in preventing progression to a state beyond resectability [9, 10]. Since recurrence is asymptomatic in 23%–30% of cases; regular follow-up imaging can facilitate early detection [11].

Recurrences may be classified as either central, anastomotic recurrence after resection, local recurrence at the primary site after transanal excision, and perineal recurrence; (b) anterior, in this case; the recurrence invades the bladder, uterus, prostate, vagina, or seminal vesicles; (c) posterior, in this case, it involves the invasion of the sacrum and coccyx or involvement of the presacral fascia; (d) lateral, the tumor in this region penetrates the bony pelvic wall or the iliac vasculature, terminal ureters, lateral lymph nodes, nerves in pelvic floor and musculature [12].

The role of imaging is dual; firstly, the radiologic evaluation is used to determine whether the recurrent disease is restricted to the pelvis or extended outside. Secondly, the imaging is used to determine the local extent of the recurrent disease and its localization within the pelvis to help surgeons determine the probability of resection and plan the best approach [12]. The tumor recurrence and the postoperative fibrosis remain a dilemma for MR in its ability to reach the final diagnosis and accurate differentiation because the fibrosis usually shows angular margin and in contrast to recurrence that often has a rounded margin as in the condition for post radiation changes and probable invasion of nearby structure still major issue. However, the interval of the increase in tumor size over time at serial follow-up imaging is helpful in differentiating postoperative and post radiation therapy changes from tumor recurrence [13].

2. Patients and method

This is a retrospective study compromised of 50 patients; it was performed during the period of November 2016 to September 2017 in MR unit in the Oncology Teaching Hospital, Medical City Compound, where the patients were referred from the Oncology Clinic then their imaging findings were assessed by specialist radiologists.

- **Patients and method:** This is a retrospective study compromised of 50 patients; it was performed during the period of November 2016 to September 2017 in MR unit in the Oncology Teaching Hospital, Medical City Compound, where the patients were referred from the Oncology Clinic then their imaging findings were assessed by specialist radiologists.

- **Results:** A review of the study that compromised of 50 patients with rectal cancer and underwent surgery; 20 were males and 30 were females, their age ranges between 28-85 years, 12 patients (24%) showed no recurrence while the remaining 38 patients (76%) showed recurrence. However, of the recurrent tumor patients; 12 had recurrence at ileoanal anastomosis site in which 5 had intratumoral (41%), 5 had extratuminal (41%) and 2 patients had both intra- and extramural recurrence. While in patients with abdominoperineal resection; 9 had anterior local recurrence (34.6%) and 7 cases of presacral regrowth were found (27%), only 3 patients showed lateral pelvic wall muscular invasion (11.5%). Also, lymph nodes recurrence was found in 7 patients mainly involving the mesorectal group (57%). The obturator group involved in (28%) and least involved nodes were the inguinal group in (15%). The results of the MR signal in corresponding to nearby pelvic muscles on T1 and T2 weighted images were isointense in 68% and greater than in 32%, while the enhancement pattern after intravenous Gadolinium is equal to ileum wall enhancement in 76% and greater than in 24%.

- **Conclusion:** MR imaging is the preferred imaging modality in evaluation and patient follow-up with suspicious recurrence after rectal malignancy surgery. Its value was demonstrated in characterization and diagnosis of pelvic lesion(s) by assessing their appearance on T1, T2 and fat suppression T2 MR sequences, as well as assessing their enhancement pattern after intravenous Gadolinium in fat suppression sequence.
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Those patients were diagnosed to have rectal adenocarcinoma by colonoscopy and were operated upon by resection or anal anastomosis or had abdominoperineal resection and after that they were referred to oncologists for their full assessment and treatment. The oncologists on other hand sent them to the radiological unit including ultrasound and MR. Furthermore, in the MR unit the patients underwent pelvis MRI using Siemens 1.5 Tesla machine, the patients were examined in supine position the coil is wrapped around their pelvis and the examination was done from the iliac crest to perineum using T1, T2,T2 fat suppression sequences, the sequences were obtained in axial and coronal plain, then intravenous contrast was injected using Gadolinium and the images acquired in fat suppression sequence. The Gadolinium was used in a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg body weight, patients with renal failure were not given the contrast. The presence or absence of local pelvic lesion (s), their signal intensity in different sequences and the pattern of enhancement were assessed, the presence or absence of adenopathy (depending on the use of lymph node short-axis diameter of 10 mm or greater and shape of it to identify suspicious lymph nodes) and their regions and local pelvis organs invasion were also evaluated, then the patients with MR diagnosed to have local recurrence were subjected to follow up MR scan to assess the response to treatment whether chemo- or radiotherapy.

3. Results

Our study is a review study composed of 50 patients, 40 % are males and 60 % are females. The age ranges between 28-85 years with the mean 51.1 years. The sex and age distribution is discussed in (figure 1 and table 1)
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According to contrast enhanced MR imaging with detailed examination; no locoregional recurrence was seen in 12 patients while clear recurrence was noticed in 38 patients as shown in figure 2.

![Age Distribution](image2)

Regarding the MR imaging findings of recurrent rectal tumor, the recurrence is isointense in the skeletal pelvic muscle on T1 and T2 weighted images in 26 patients out of 38 patients (68%), while being lower than muscles on T2 in remaining 12 patients (32%).

After Intravenous injection of Gadolinium; most of the recurrent tumors depict enhancement similar to small bowel pelvic ileal loops which represents 76%. On top of that; the remaining 24% had enhancement greater than that of bowel.

Table 1: Age distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding local recurrence, it was divided according to the type of surgery, the recurrence following the tumor resection. End to end anastomosis was seen in 12 patients (31%) of them. While, 41% had intramural recurrence, 41% extramural regrowth, combined recurrence was seen in 18%, table 2.

![Recurrence Distribution](image3)

![Figure 2: recurrence versus no recurrence by contrast enhanced MR imaging](image4)

Table 2: Recurrence after tumor resection and end to end anastomosis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recurrence site</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intramural</td>
<td>5 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extramural</td>
<td>5 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>2 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of those who had abdominoperineal resection, recurrence was observed in 26 of them distributed in the following sites; anterior in 9 patients (34.6%), presacral in 7 patients (27%), Lymph nodes deposit was seen in 7 patients (27%) and finally lateral recurrence within the pelvic lateral muscles was seen in 3 patients which represents (11.5%), detailed list of site of recurrence is shown in table 3 below.

Table 3: Local recurrence sites after abdominoperineal resection of rectal tumor:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recurrence site</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Cervix and vagina 7/9 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prostate 2/9 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presacral</td>
<td>7/26 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph nodes</td>
<td>Mesorectal 4/7 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obturator 2/7 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inguinal 1/7 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>3/26 (11.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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![Figure 3: Detail findings of MR imaging and enhancement patterns](image6)
enhancement pattern

Figure 3: pattern of recurrent tumor enhancement.

4. Discussion


In this study, no local recurrence was observed in 12 patients in whom we reviewed the histopathological and MR imaging reports which revealed that the tumor was limited inner to lamina propria in 6 of them, while 4 of them had only polypoidal mucosal lesion, while in the last 2, the tumor caused a bulge of lamina propria with minimal fatty stranding in mesorectal fatty tissue. Nonetheless, the tumor in the remaining 38 patients was observed to be locally recurrent at the site of anastomosis and it was either seen as intramural growth in 41%, extramural in 41% or combined in 18%. Also, it appeared as nearly well-defined heterogeneous enhancing lesion in 9 of them, the remaining 3 showed tumor with necrotic center and variable peripheral mural thickening and enhancing, those were referred to a surgeon.

In the 26 patients with abdominoperineal resection, 9 patients had anterior recurrence, while the uterine cervix and vagina were involved in 7 patients, while the bladder and prostate were involved in only 2 patients. In addition to that, 7 patients had presacral recurrence who revealed thickening and heterogeneously enhanced presacral fascia up to 2nd sacral vertebra, follow-up MR two months after chemotherapy revealed reduction in tumor size, lymph nodes invasion was seen as rounded lost hilum heterogeneously enhanced lymph nodes involving the mesorectal group in 4/7 patients, obturator group in 2/7 patients and one patient showed inguinal lymphadenopathy in whom fine needle aspiration revealed adenocarcinoma cells.

The pattern of enhancement of the recurrent tumor is either similar to bowel wall in the majority of patients or greater than in the remaining ones and that is in accord with pema PJ et al. [14], the T2 weighted image signal is similar to muscles in most of patients while greater in a few of them and that is in accord with Dresen RC et al. [15].

5. Conclusion

MR imaging is a vital imaging technique for the early detection of locoregional recurrence of rectal adenocarcinoma, MR imaging similarly offers a preoperative scheme for surgeons to decide whether the tumor is resectable or not after primary surgery.