ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Teachers' Motivation, Stress, and Awards Received and Pupils' Academic Performance in Elementary Schools in Northern Samar: Implications: For Policy Redirections

Gina De Asis-Galvez, PhD

Faculty, University Laboratory Elementary School, University of Eastern Philippines, Catarman, Northern Samar

Abstract: This study looked into the teachers' level of motivation, stress and awards as they relate to the pupils' academic performance in elementary schools in Northern Samar during the School Year 2015-2016. Specially, it determined the levels of motivation of elementary grades teachers'; assessed their levels of stress; and identified the awards they received. Evaluated were the pupils' academic performance as well as its relationship to the teachers' level of motivation; teachers' level of stress; awards received. This descriptive correlational research involved the grade three teachers and pupils in elementary schools in Northern Samar. A questionnaire or motivation and stress and a checklist on awards received by teachers were the main data gathering instruments that were served to the respondents who are selected through a multi-stage sampling technique. Frequency counts, means, percentages, and multiple regression analysis were used in the data analysis. The grade three teachers in the elementary schools had high levels of motivation, moderate stress, and awards received on the district level. The majority of the grade three pupils had average academic performance. The pupils academic performance was found to be significantly related to the awards received by teachers but not to the teachers' level of motivation and stress. The study included that the majority of the elementary school teachers were highly motivated. Despite lack of school facilities, resources and financial aspects, they were doing well in their job, indicating that the teachers had a sincere desire to work with children and help them succeed. The teachers were affected by moderate stress at any given situation, with low salary as the most stressful. This indicated that the work of a teacher was not property compensated. The awards received by teachers mostly came from the district level. District teacher awardees were from central schools. The majority of barangay teachers did not received any award, implying that the giving of awards was not fair and square. That the pupils' academic performance was average indicating that insufficient teaching facilities, implementing new trends, strategies, and techniques without thorough orientation, and increasing pupil disciplinary problems greatly affected the performance of teachers. That there was a significant relationship between the awards teachers received and the pupils' academic performance imply that teachers who are given awards are those who perform best; consequently they improve their pupils' performance. On the other hand, there was no significant relationship between the elementary teachers' level of motivation and stress and the pupils' academic performance.

1. Introduction

In any organization, motivation plays an important role in shaping behavior. It influences productivity and work performance. It is a need, drive or desire that serves to organize behavior and directs it towards a goal. It makes a person continue his/heractivity or work as a human being.

Former U.S President Eisenhower said, "motivation" is the ability to get people to do it". This implies that motivation is an exchange between the individuals and their social environment.¹

When one thinks of the hard work and sacrifice associated with teaching, she/he may ask, "Does it pay to be a teacher?" This very stressful of all vocations requires thorough preparation, and is demanding and taxing upon vitality; yet it is considered the noblest profession. Teachers, evaluation of all teachers are made using theperformance Appraisal System for Teachers (PAST). It is commonly observed, however,that these guidelines are not always, followed; rather, those who get the awards are often the personal choice of the superior. This situation leads to the demoralization of those who deserve to get the award, which eventually results in their poor performance and ultimately in poor pupils' performance.

According to the Department of Education (DepEd) graduating high school and Elementary students are not doing well in their subjects and could continue floundering into college due to poor reading skills.²

DepEd Undersecretary Luz said that based on the high school readiness test conductedin 2004, the entering high school students got 32-38% in English, Science, and Mathematics against the passing mark of 60%. He attributed this not to be poorteaching skills, lack of books and materials, overcrowded rooms, and lack of reading materials in the homes of the students but the desire and motivational factors of the teachers to teach well their pupils in order for them to attain lasting academic performance.³

It is along this vein that this study is being conducted. It is hoped that this study will make teachers reflect on their motivation and help them cope with stressors as they go along their main task of making their pupils learn.

2. Objectives of the Study

This study aimed to:

- 1) Determine the level of motivation of elementary grades teachers:
- 2) Assess their level of stress;
- 3) Find out the awards received by the teachers;

Volume 6 Issue 12, December 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

- 4) Evaluate the level of pupils academic performance;
- 5) Find out the relationship between pupils academic performance; and
 - a) teachers level of motivation;
 - b) teachers level of stress; and
 - c) awards received; and
- 6) Draw implications for policy redirections from the findings of this study.

3. Methodology

This study was conducted in the Division of Northern Samar which is composed of 24 municipalities with Catarman as its capital. These municipalities are grouped into three major geographical areas, namely; Balicuatro Area which comprises Allen, Biri, Capul, Lavezares, San Antonio, San Isidro, San Vicente, and Victoria the Central area, which includes Bobon, Catarman, Lope De Vega, Mondragon, Rosario, San Jose and San Roque; and the Catubig Pacific Valley Area, Which is composed of lapinig, Mapanas, Gamay, Palapag, Pambujan, Laoang, Catubig, Las Navas and Silvino Lobos.

This study covered 9 Central schools having 55 teachers and 9 barangay schools having 13 teachers in the Division of Northern Samar.

From the Balicuatro Area, the central schools covered were those in San Antonio, Allen, and Lavezares; and the barangay schools were San Juan, Jubasan and Enriqueta Elementary school having 12 and 5 centraal and baranggay teachers.

The schools from the Central Area were Catarman I, Mondragon, and San Roque as respondent in the central school; the barangay schools involved Macagtas, Bugko and Bantayan Elementary School. It consist of 25 central teachers and barangay teachers, respectively.

In the Pacific Area, the central schools involved were Laoang I, Palapag and Catubig; the barangay schools comprised Rawis, Mapno and Calingan Elementary Schhool. It has 18 central teachers and 3 barangay teachers.

The Respondents of this study were 55 grade three central and 13 Barangay School and pupils in the Division of Northern Samar. The teachers' were made to accomplish the questionnaire on motivation and stress and the checklist on awards received while the pupils were made to take the achievement test to evaluate their academic performance.

The study's four variables were teachers' motivation, stress, awards received and pupils academic performance.

Motivation, which refers to a teachers' ability, willingness to perform and opportunity in his/her work in school, was measured by Roura's questionnaire on motivation.

Stress is the tension experienced or encountered by the teachers in their work that greatly affected their performance and was measured by Manansala's questionnaire on stress.

Awards received refers to the honors or awards received by the teachers for an exemplary performance according to the guidelines of CSC MC No. 01, s. 2001, otherwise known as "Program on Awards and Incentives for Service Excellence" (PRAISE).

Academic performance is the pupils' ability in English, Science and Mathematics as measured by their scores in an achievement test patterned from the 2005 Regional Elementary Achievement Test (REAT)

This study used the descriptive normative correlational survey research method. This is descriptive because it looked into the problems that affect the performance of teachers and pupils that could possibly influence pupils' performance. This method has been used by some researchers in finding solutions to problems encountered by teachers which parallel those of the present study. It is correlational because it looked into the relationship between teachers' motivation, stress and awards received and pupils' academic performance.

In order to determine the level of motivation, the questionnaire on motivation by Roura was used. The instrument contains 30 items using five choices with the following weighted points.

Strongly - 5 Agree - 4 Uncertain - 3 Disagree - 2 Strongly disagree - 1

The teacher questionnaire on stress, which was patterned after the instrument of Salye, as modified and used by Manansala, was used to measured stress. This was in turn, modified by the researcher. It contains 30 items.

The choice and the corresponding points are as follows:

Very Much Affected - 5
Oftentimes Affected - 4
Sometimes Affected - 3
Rarely Affected - 2
Never Affected - 1

The third instrument was the evaluation checklist on awards received by the teachers according to the guidelines of CSC MC No. 01, s. of 2001, the awards have the following g points.

National level - 4
Regional level - 3
Division level - 2
District level - 1

The fourth instrument is the achievement test, which contains 80 items. It determined the pupils' academic performance.

Scoring and Interpretation of Data

Volume 6 Issue 12, December 2017 www.jsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

The teachers scores on Roura's questionnaire on motivation were categorize as:

150- up Very high motivation 120-149 High motivation 90-119 Moderate motivation 60-89 Low motivation 30-59 Very low motivation

Stress level was determined by adding the respondents total weighted scores using Manansala's questionnaire and were categorized as:

150-up	Very high stress
120-149	High stress
90-119	Moderate stress
60-89	Low stress
30-59	Very low stress

The awards received, measured through the CSC MC NO. 01 S. 2001 evaluation

Checklist, were categorized in terms of the total number of points received by the teacher-respondents.

The pupils' total achievement test raw scores were categorized as:

Raw Scores

50-80 Above Average27-53 Average0-26 Below Average

The total scores of all the pupil respondents in every respondent school were added and divided by the number of pupils' to get the mean score. The mean score was used in the multiple regression analysis.

This study involved the grade three pupils and their teachers in Northern Samar. All the grade three pupils in the respondent school took the achievement test except those who are absent at the time the test was conducted. Their teachers automatically became the teacher respondents.

In order to determine the respondents schools in the central and barangay schools the following procedure was resorted to:

The schools were grouped according to geographical area, namely: Balicuatro Area, Central Area, and Catubig Pacific Valley Area.

The "fish bowl" technique was used to determine the three schools in each geographical area that would be involved in the study. Municipalities with two or more districts were represented by only one central schools that was selected also using this technique.

The questionnaires on motivation and teacher stress have been used by many researchers. However, since these have been modified, the revised instruments were validated through field testing.

The checklist on awards received by teachers has been developed by the Civil Service Commission and therefore needed no validation.

The achievement test for grade three pupils was a standardized test by the DepEd; therefore it needed no validation.

Permission to conduct the study was sought from the Schools Division Superintendent and from the district superiors and principals of the respondent districts and schools.

The researcher personally administrated the sets of questionnaire following strictly the instructions therein. A research assistant, however, helped the researcher in retrieving the questionnaires.

In order to determine the teacher' motivation, stress and awards received and pupils' academic performance, this study used descriptive and inferential statistics. The descriptive statistics used were the frequency counts, means, percentages and weighted mean were computed. The inferential statistics used were multiple regression analysis, with the level of significance was set at .05.

4. Findings

Teachers' Level of Motivation

Table 1a shows that out of the 68 teachers' respondents, 34 or 50 percent had a high level of motivation; 32 or 47.06 percent had a moderate level; and two or 2.94 percent had a low level.

Table 1 (a): Teachers' Level of Motivation

Tuble 1 (u). Teachers Level of Motivation										
Level of	Ce	ntral	Ba	rangay	Total					
Motivation	F	%	f	%	f	%				
High	28	50.91	6	46.15	34	50.00				
Moderates	26	47.27	6	46.15	32	47.06				
Low	1	1.82	1	7.70	2	2.94				
Total	55	100.00	13	100.00	68	100.00				

Table 1(b): Level of Motivation

Table 1(b). Level of Motivation													
ITEMS		Α	A A		U		1	O	S		_	MEAN	DANE
		WS	F	WS	F	WS	F	WS	F	WS		MEAN	BANK
1. I am proud that I am a teacher.	56	280	12	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	328	4.82	1
2. I like to work toward some goal that I have to set for myself.				96	4	12	3	6	0	0	299	4.4	3.5
5. I maintain a smooth relationship with my co-teachers in my own standards.							15	60	8	40	213	3.13	
13. I submit reports on or before the deadline.				112	3	9	0	0	0	0	306	4.5	3.5
14. I give rewards to pupils who follow instructions/rules.	30	150	35	140	2	6	1	2	0	0	298	4.38	8
15. I like my profession more than anything else.				100	6	18	1	2	0	0	300	4.41	5
18. I compliment superiors who are target oriented.				132	13	39	8	16	5	5	237	3.49	15
20. I conduct meetings with parents regularly.	18	90	35	140	11	33	3	6	1	1	270	3.97	11.5

Volume 6 Issue 12, December 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

21. I try to achieve my maximum level of performance even if pupils cannot.	10	80	18	2	12	36	18	36	`10	10	204	3	14
24. I stay in school beyond office hours.	21	105	41	164	1	3	4	8	1	1	281	4.13	10
25. I avoid rivalry towards promotions.	18	90	37	148	4	12	6	12	3	3	265	3.89	11.5
27. I take responsibility without being told.	33	165	28	112	4	12	3	6	0	0	295	4.34	6
28. I know everything about my professional.	13	65	33	132	17	51	5	10	0	0	258	3.79	12
29. I appreciate resourceful teachers.	41	205	24	96	1	3	2	4	0	0	308	4.53	2
30. I want pupils to learn easily.	31	155	31	124	4	12	2	4	0	0	295	4.34	7
3. I work when I'm told.	2	2	1	2	3	9	18	72	31	155	240	4.36	4
4. I feel nervous when giving a talk before a group.	0	0	22	44	23	69	15	60	8	40	213	3.13	14
6. I like to see others work under pressure by their superior/head.	1	1	7	14	7	21	27	100	26	130	274	4.03	8.5
7. I mind criticism seriously.	2	2	15	30	20	60	22	88	9	45	255	3.31	12.5
8. I teach when I am in the mood.	1	1	0	0	3	9	21	84	43	215	309	4.54	1
9. I work late if I am told to do so.	1	1	3	6	2	6			33	165	294	4.32	5
10. I respond negatively to my superior.	1	1	4	8	3	9	22	88	38	190	296	4.35	3
11. I feel tired teaching.	3	3	5	10	10	30	24	96	26	130	269	3.96	8.5
12. I envy teachers who receive an award.	1	1	4	8	7				30	150	284	4.18	6.5
16. I like to work with limitations.	6	6	14	28	16	48			9		219	3.22	12.5
17. I am not bothered when pupils' evaluation are poor.	0	0	6	12	5	15	27	108	30	150	285	4.19	6.5
19. I delegate my work to others for personal welfare.	4	4	6	12	3	9	34	136	21	105	266	3.91	10
22. I feel nervous when my superiors come to my room.	3	3	11	22	19	-		84	14	70	236	3.47	11
23. I ask support from the community for my own benefit alone.	2	2	1	2	2	6	22	88	41	205	303	4.46	2
26. I expect much from my pupils.	6	6	26	52	21	63	12	48	3	15	174	2.55	15

Presented in Table 1c and 1d are the items on the questionnaire on motivation for the Central and Barangay schools teachers

Teachers' Level of Stress

As shown in Table 2a, 36 or 52.94 percent had a moderate level of stress; 19 or 27.94 percent had high stress; 13 or 19.12 percent had low stress. Presented in Table 1c and 1d are the items on the questionnaire on motivation for the Central and Barangay schools teachers

Teachers' Level of Stress

As shown in Table 2a, 36 or 52.94 percent had a moderate level of stress; 19 or 27.94 percent had high stress; 13 or 19.12 percent had low stress.

Teachers' Level of Stress

As shown in Table 2a, 36 or 52.94 percent had a moderate level of stress; 19 or 27.94 percent had high stress; 13 or 19.12 percent had low stress.

Table 2 (a): Level of Stress of Elementary Teachers'

Level of	C	entral	Ba	rangay	Total		
Stress	F	%	f	%	F	%	
High	17	30.91	2	15.38	19	27.94	
Moderates	28	50.91	8	61.54	36	52.94	
Low	10	18.18	3	23.08	13	19.12	
Total	55	100.00	13	100.00	68	100.00	

As shown in Table 2b, the top five stressors are: low salary (X=4.74); pupils disciplinary problems increasing every year (X=4.26); attending in-service training on own expense (X=4.16); implementing new trends, strategies and techniques in teaching without thorough orientation and training (X=4.13); and insufficient teaching facilities (X=4.09).

Awards Received by Teachers

Table 3 presents the awards received by the teacher-respondents. Out of the 68 teacher-respondents, 26 or 38.24 percent had received awards on the direct level; while 23 or 38.82 percent had not; 12 or 17.65 percent were division

level awards and seven or 10.29 percent were regional awards.

Table 3: Awards Received by Teachers

Awards	Central Ba			rangay	TOTAL		
Received By Teachers'	F	%	f	%	f	%	
Regional Level	7	12.73	0	0	7	10.29	
Division Level	10	18.18	2	15.39	12	17.65	
District Level	21	38.18	5	38.46	26	38.24	
None	17	30.91	6	46.15	23	33.82	
Total	55	100.00	13	100.00	68	100.00	

Pupils Academic Performance

Table 4 shows the data on pupils' academic performance in English, Science and Mathematics.

Table 4: Pupils' Academic Performance

Academic	Cer	ıtral	Bar	angay	Total			
Performance	F	%	f	%	f	%		
Above Average	297	17.58	63	14.69	360	17.00		
Average	785	46.48	175	40.79	960	45.32		
Below Average	607	35.94	191	44.52	798	37.68		
Total	1,689	100.00	429	100.00	2,118	100.00		

Table 4b and 4c show the comparison of pupils' academic performance in the three subject areas in central and barangay schools.

Table 5: Summary Table on Test of Relationships between Pupils' Academic Performance and the Dependent Variables

Variables	F Ratio	Significant F	r2	Coefficient Of Determination	Interp- retation						
X ₁ Motivation	.15538	.6947	.00235	0.235%	Not significant						
X ₂ Stress	.04172	.8388	.00063	.063%	Not Significant						
X ₃ Awards Received	6.28183	.0147	.08691	8.691%	Significant						

Volume 6 Issue 12, December 2017

www.ijsr.net

<u>Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY</u>

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

5. Conclusion and Implications

The findings of this study, led to these conclusions and implications.

The majority of the teachers were highly motivated. It can be said that teachers have their sincere desire to work with children and help them succeed.

Teachers stress was found to be moderate, but most stressful to them was the low salary they are receiving. This indicates that teachers are not properly compensated, hence, they are not very much satisfied with their jobs.

Most of the teachers experienced increased pupil disciplinary problems every year. It can be implied has teachers are lax in pupil discipline.

The majority of the teachers attend in-service training on their own expense. It appeared that the government had no budgetary allocation in terms of educational training of teachers.

On the implementation of new trends, strategies and techniques without thorough orientation and training, teachers were found moderately stressed. It can be implied that the DepEd has no adequate educational program for the training or re-training of teachers on these areas.

Because the most common problem met by the teachers was insufficient budget to support/sustain its physical facilities.

The awards received by the teachers were mostly from the district level. As far as they were concerned, the teachers thought that they were performing well because the awards were given to them which qualified them up to the regional level only. It can be said that there is injustice and no equity in the giving of awards.

The pupils' academic performance in the achievement test in English, Science and Mathematics was average. It indicates the need for more teaching facilities. Implementing new trends, strategies, and techniques without thorough orientation and increasing pupil disciplinary problems greatly affect the performance of pupils.

The awards received by teachers were significantly related to pupils' academic performance. It can be inferred that the ability of the teacher to receive an award enhance them to work harder and increase their level of performance. Teacher factors still play an important role in pupil achievement. The more awards the teacher receives, the better s/he performs.

References

Books

- [1] Aquino, Gaudencio V. and Norma C. Miranda.Introduction to Psychology. Mandaluyong City. National Bookstore, Inc. 1997
- [2] Baltrus, Rita K. Personal Psychology for life and work.4th Edition. New York: McGraw-Hills Co., 1997
- [3] Beerens, Daniel R. Evaluating Teachers for Professional Growth. California: Corwin Press, Inc. 2000.

- [4] Best, John W., James W. Kahn.Research in Education.9th Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc. c: 2003
- [5] Children, Doc Lew. Self-Emprovement, The Heart Approach to Stress Management. San Francisco, CA: Planetary Elliot, Stephen N., Thomas R. Kratochwill, Joan Littlefield, John F. Travers. Educational Psychology.2nd Edition. U.S.A.: Brown and Benchmark, Inc.1996
- [6] Gibson, James L. John M. Ivancevich, James H. Donnely, Jr., Robert Konopaske. Organization, Behavior, Structure, Processess.11th Edition.New York, McGraw-Hills, Co. c. 2003.
- [7] Greenberg, Jerald S. Stress Management. 6th Ed. California McGraw-Hills Co. 1999.
- [8] Ivancevich, John M. and Michael T. Matteson. Organizational Behavior and Management, 6th Ed. New York: McGraw-Hills Co.c. 2002.
- [9] Martinez, Cesar and Galileo S. Fule.Management Theory and Practice. 2nd Ed. Manila: GIC Enterprises & Co. c. 1997.
- [10] Martires, Conception R. Human Behavior in Organization. 3rd Ed. Mandaluyong City. National Bookstore, Inc. c. 2002
- [11] Miranda, Gregorio S. Supervisory Management. Revised Edition.Mandaluyong City: National Bookstore, Inc. c. 1999
- [12] Reeve, JohnMarshall.Motivating Others, Nurturing Inner Motivational Resource. Massachusetts: Allyn and Bacon, A Scion and Schustefr Co. c. 1996
- [13] Robbins, Stephen P. Organizational Behavior. 8th Ed. Singapore: Prentice Hall, Inc. A Simon & Schuster Co. c: 1998
- [14] Sanchez, Custodiosa A. and Elvi S. Berins. Psychology Applied to Business and Industry 3rd Ed. Quezon City: National Bookstore, Inc. c. 1999
- [15] Schaffer, Walt. Stress Management for Wellness. 2nd Ed. Tokyo: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich College Publishers. C. 1998
- [16] Schell, Bernadette H. A Self-Diagnostic Approach to Understanding Organizational and Personal Stressor. London: Quorum Books Westport. C. 1997
- [17] Sherman, Arthur, George Bolander, and Scott Snell.Managing Human Resources. 10th.Ed. Cincinnati, Ohio: South-Western College Publishing. C. 1996.
- [18] SchermerhornJr, John R. Management. 6th Ed. California: John Willy & Sons, Inc. c. 2001.
- [19] Travers, Cheryl J. and Cary 1. Cooper. Teachers Under Pressure: Stress in the Teaching Proffesion. London: Routledge. C. 1996
- [20] Zuleta, Francisco M. and Greg Melchor Castro De Lara Human Behavior in Organizations. Manila: National Bookstore, Inc. c. 2002

Periodicals

- [21] Alcuin, Papa. The Philippine Daily Inquirer Vol. 20, No. 96, March 14, 2005
- [22] Aranete, Sandy, The Philippine Star. Vol. XIX, No, 203, March 15, 2005.

Unpublished Materials

[23] Basibas, Trofimo A. "Stress, Job Satisfaction and Performance of the UEP Faculty System" Unpublished

Volume 6 Issue 12, December 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

- Masters' Thesis, University of Eastern Philippines, University Town, Northern Samar, 2000.
- [24] Casquite, Bimbo. "The Relationship of the Attitude Towards and the Performance of Grade VI Pupils' in Mathematics" Unpublished Masters Thesis, University of Iloilo, March 2000.
- [25] Dagle, S r. Mary Emmanuel A. "School Stressors, Coping Mechanism and Work Performance of the Catholic of the Principals and Coordinators of the Catholic System of the Diocese of San Pablo" Unpublished Mater's Thesis, Philippines Normal University, Manila, October, 1997.
- [26] Datu, Joseph Voltare L. "Motivational and Deterrent Factors in the Pursuit of Graduate Education of Elementary School Teachers in Palayan City District, Division of Nueva Ecija" Unpublished Master's Thesis Philippine Statesman College, Cabanatuan City, March 2004.
- [27] De Guzman, Julie. "Motivational State and Reaction Attributes Public Elementary School Teachers: Basis to Enhance Guidance Service in Schools" Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, AraulloUniversity, Cabanatuan City, April 2001.
- [28] Dela Rosa, Jesus Salazar. "Stressors and Faculty Productivity in State Universities in Region III" Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Central Luzon State University, Nueva Ecija, October 1995.
- [29] De Sahagun, Marissa E. "Stress and Burnout and their Relation to Guidance and Counseling Among Public Elementary School Teachers in Mecauayan East
- [30] District Division of Bulacan" Unpublished Master's Thesis, Araullo University, Cabanatuan City, June 1998
- [31] Espejo, Zenaida F. "Academic Performance of Grade VI Pupils in the Laboratory Grade Schools of state Universities in Region I as Related to Some Selected Variables" Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Northern Philippines, virgin, Ilocus Sur, 2000.
- [32] Galenzoga, Divina M. "Factors Underlying the Choice of Teaching as a Profession in Relation to Academic Performance of the Junior and Senior Education Students of the UEP, Catarman, Northern Samar" Unpublished Masters's University of Eastern Philippines, University Town, Northern Samar, 1987.
- [33] Freo, Nicolas E. "Teachers Motivational Factors in Science Teaching: An Appraisal "Unpublished Master's Thesis, Sorsogon State College, Sorsogon, sorsogon, March 2000.
- [34] Gallon, Grace Imelda C. "The Quality of life and Motivation of Elementary School Teachers in the Division of Sorsogon" Unpublished Master's Thesis, Bicol University, Legaspi City, January 2001.
- [35] Javaluyas, Luz E. "Stress Among Public Elementary School Teachers: Implications for Educational Management" Unpublished Master's Thesis Araulo University" Cabanatuan City, October 1996.
- [36] Lamigo, carmencitadasargo. "A Correlation Study on the Performance of Grade V Pupils in English and Mathematics Achievement Tests 1997-1998 in Schools of Matalam South District, Division of Cotabato, SY 1998-1999" Unpublished Master's Thesis, Mindanao Central Colleges, Kidapawan City, 2000.

- [37] Lelis, Edna Dones. "Employees Promotion in Selected Offices of the Department of Education Culture and Sports (DECS)" Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Technological University of the Philippines, Manila, October 2000.
- [38] Mangulabnan, Perlita Bautista. "Disruptive Behavior of Pupils and Stress Manifestation and Management of Teachers" Unpublished Master's Thesis, Araullo University, Cabanatuan City, March 1994.
- [39] Mauricio, Alex, "Work Motivation and Organizational Commitment of Teachers in Nueva Ecija Segovia Archdiocesan Catholic Schools" Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Northern Philippines, Nueva Ecija, 2001.
- [40] Muyo, Flaviano Jr. M. "Factors Related to Work Stress and Performance Among Teachers of Romblon" Unpublished Masters Thesis, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City, March 9, 2002.
- [41] Ramos, Jonathan R. "Stress Related Factors and Coping Mechanism of the Public Secondary School Teachers In Relation to Work Performance" Unpublished Masters Thesis, Philippine Normal University, Taft Avenue, Manila, March 2003.
- [42] Roura, L. Aurora. "Work Motivation, Life Styles, Stress System and Coping Strategies of Secondary School Teachers: Their implication for Education" Unpublished Masters Thesis, Dra. Gloria Lacson Colleges, San Leonardo, Pampanga, March 1998.
- [43] Tarog, Gina Quinta, "Motivational Factors Related to the Teachers Performance in Dosol East District, Division of Sorsogon" Unpublished Masters Thesis, Bicol University, Legaspi City, February 2000
- [44] Tolentino, Regina R. "Stress Management Strategies Among Notre Dame University Faculty and Administrators" Unpublished Masters Thesis, Norte Dame University, Catobato City. February 2000
- [45] Valdivia, MarietaBuendia. "Determinants of Academic and Achievement Test Performance in English, Science and Mathematics of Grade Six Pupils: Inputs to training Program for Teachers" Unpublished Masters Thesis, University of Rizal System, Morong, Rizal, February 2003

Other Source

- [46] Civil Service Commission, MC No. 01, Series of 2001
- [47] Encyclopedia of Education.2nd Ed. Vol. 2. USA: MacMillan Reference. The Gale Group, Inc. c: 2003.

Volume 6 Issue 12, December 2017 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY