

Treatment with Nilotinib 300 Mg Twice Daily in Newly Diagnosed Chronic Phase Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Albanian Patients

A. Cili¹, A. Ivanaj²

Hematology Clinic, University Hospital Center "Mother Teresa", Tirana, Albania

Abstract: *The introduction and the use of nilotinib in the first-line treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia have been based on company-sponsored trials. Independent confirmations are extremely important¹. We are reporting a study of nilotinib 300 mg twice daily in 41 chronic myeloid leukemia patients in chronic phase. A deep molecular response was achieved in 45% (MR^{4.0}) and 16% (MR^{4.5}) of patients at 18 months; 55% of the enrolled patients achieved a MR^{4.0} at least once, with a sustained MR^{4.0} in 52% of them. With a median observation of 50 months (range 48–60 months), 95% of patients were still on treatment with nilotinib. The reason for discontinuation was death in 2 patients. An evaluation of metabolic effects showed an increase of total cholesterol and an increase in fasting glucose². This study in Albanian patients with newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukemia confirms the efficacy of nilotinib 300 mg twice daily (BID) and provides information on the type and incidence of non-hematologic and metabolic adverse events³.*

Keywords: CML, Albanian patients, nilotinib, chronic phase

1. Introduction

Nilotinib is a second generation BCR-ABL1 tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI). It has been approved for the first-line treatment of newly diagnosed, chronic phase (CP) Philadelphia chromosome-positive (Ph+), BCR-ABL1-positive (BCR-ABL1+) chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), following phase 3 prospective randomized trial (ENESTnd) comparing nilotinib to imatinib 400 mg once daily (QD)⁴. Several updates of the study, over 6 years, have confirmed the initial findings that nilotinib was superior to imatinib for any degree of molecular response, and for the rapidity of the response⁵. The progression-free survival (PFS) was reported to be marginally improved and no difference in overall survival (OS) was detectable. In the ENESTnd trial, two different nilotinib doses were tested, namely 300 mg twice daily (BID) and 400 mg BID⁶. The 300 mg BID dose was selected for approval because it was reported to be as effective as, but less toxic than, the 400 mg BID dose⁴.

This concern was by other independent studies, for the most part retrospective and mainly in second-line treatment, reporting a significant incidence of cardiovascular adverse events (CVAEs) during nilotinib treatment. With a minimum observation of 24 months, the molecular response rates in a second single-arm company-sponsored study of nilotinib 300 mg BID, the ENEST1st trial, were even higher compared to the ENESTnd results, with consistent safety data⁷. There are no independent, investigator-sponsored studies of the drug in first-line treatment, with the exception of the two small pilot studies that were performed prior to the approval of nilotinib in first-line treatment, at the dose registered for second-line treatment (400 mg bid). When the 300 mg BID dose became the standard in first-line therapy. Since all patients have now been followed for a minimum of 4 years, we report the results of the main analysis of response and adverse events (AEs)⁸.

2. Methods

A single-arm study of nilotinib, 300 mg BID was applied in adult patients⁹. Pre-treatment with imatinib for up to 30 days was permitted. The primary endpoint was the rate of EMR at 3 months¹⁰. The cut-off date for this analysis was September 15, 2017.

The detection of a Ph chromosome and/or a BCR-ABL1 fusion gene associated with consistent morphologic features were required to confirm the CML diagnosis and the chronic (CP), accelerated (AP) or blast disease phase (BP) were defined according to current ELN criteria¹⁰. Risk scores were calculated according to Sokal¹⁷¹⁸¹⁹ formulations. The molecular response (MR) was assessed by peripheral blood RT-PCR, according to the International Scale (IS). Definitions: early molecular response (EMR), BCR-ABL1 transcript $\leq 10\%$ at 3 months; major molecular response (MMR or MR^{3.0}), BCR-ABL1 transcript $\leq 0.1\%$; MR^{4.0} and MR^{4.5}, BCR-ABL1 transcript $\leq 0.01\%$, and $\leq 0.0032\%$, respectively, in samples with $> 10,000$, and $> 32,000$ ABL1 copies, respectively; sustained MR^{4.0} or MR^{4.5}, stable response for > 1 year with > 3 evaluable tests. Molecular tests were performed every 6 months. The cytogenetic response was assessed by chromosome banding analysis at 3, 6 and 12 months; if there were < 20 available metaphases, a fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) analysis on peripheral blood cells was accepted (complete cytogenetic response, CCyR, $\leq 1\%$ of BCR-ABL1 positive nuclei, > 200 nuclei analyzed). OS, PFS, and event-free survival were calculated from treatment start until death (OS), until death or progression to AP or BP (PFS), or until death, progression to AP or BP. Probabilities of OS, PFS and EFS were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method.¹¹

The time to response was calculated from treatment start until the first achievement of the response. The cumulative probability of response was calculated taking into

consideration the presence of competing risks (failure, progression or death¹²).

The AEs were graded according to the NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0 (CTCAE v4.0). Lipid modifications were graded according to adapted American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) criteria, and glucose abnormalities according to adapted American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria¹³.

3. Results

Baseline characteristics

Forty one patients were enrolled between June 2011 and November 2012 at Hematology clinic in University Hospital Center "Mother Teresa" in Tirana, Albania. The median age was 47.59 years. Sixty-eight percent were males. High risk patients were 21% according to Sokal risk score.

Patient disposition

The median follow-up was 50 months (range 48-60 months). Overall, 97% of patients were still on nilotinib, mainly at the initial 300 mg BID dose. Two of these patients progressed to AP or BP after 6 and 19 months, respectively; and passed away after that.

Responses and outcome

The 3-month EMR was achieved in 91.6 % of patients (ITT). At 24 months, according to the ITT principle, 65% of patients were in MMR, 46% were in MR^{4.0}, and 17% were in MR^{4.5}. Overall 58% achieved a MR^{4.5} at least once and 52% achieved a sustained MR^{4.0}; The duration of observation is still too short for a detailed analysis of the stability of deep molecular response¹⁴.

Safety

A grade 3-4 thrombocytopenia and neutropenia were recorded in 10% and 11 % of patients¹⁵. Hematologic AEs caused early permanent treatment discontinuation in 2 patients. Twenty-five AEs listed under a comprehensive definition of cardiovascular AEs (CVAEs) were reported in 2 patients: arterial thrombosis; venous thrombosis, arrhythmias, 3 events (2 atrial fibrillations, 1 atrio-ventricular blockade); congestive heart failure¹⁵. The treatment was temporarily discontinued. Among the other non-hematologic and non-cardiovascular AEs, only fatigue (14% grade 1-2 and 1% grade 3), bone and muscle and joint pain (22% grade 1-2 and 1% grade 3), and skin rash (23% grade 1-2 and 1% grade 3) were reported in more than 10% of patients. Grade 3-4 laboratory abnormalities were as follows: grade 3 transaminase increase 2% (permanent treatment discontinuation, 1 case), grade 3 bilirubin increase 5%, grade 3 and grade 4 lipase increase 12% and 2%, respectively, and grade 3 amylase increase 1% (no pancreatitis)¹⁶.

According to adapted ADA criteria, and considering the maximum grade reached by each patient while on study, 47%, 11%, 5% and 6% of patients experienced a grade 1 (101-125 mg/dl), grade 2 (126-150 mg/dl), grade 3 (151-200 mg/dl) and grade 4 (>200 mg/dl) hyperglycemia, respectively; 29% of patients had an increase of fasting

glucose at 1 year, compared to baseline levels ($P < 0.001$). According to adapted ADA criteria, 47% of patients had a grade 1 (5.7-6.4%), 10% a grade 2 (6.5-6.9%), 3% a grade 3 (7-7.9%) and 5% a grade 4 ($\geq 8\%$) glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), respectively, (maximum grade reached on our study); no significant increase of glycated hemoglobin has been observed from baseline¹⁷.

4. Discussion

The introduction and the extended clinical use of second generation TKIs is becoming a very important issue in first-line therapy for CML. They offer a treatment choice that must be weighed for short- and long-term efficacy and toxicity, and for cost-efficacy.¹⁸ The information on nilotinib is limited to data coming from two company-sponsored studies, of which one was designed to compare nilotinib and imatinib, and the other to confirm the rate of deep molecular response on nilotinib¹⁹. There are no data from independent studies. This study is the first study providing company-independent data on the treatment of newly diagnosed CP CML patients with nilotinib 300 mg BID. The study has some limitations. The major limitation is the limited number of patients. The ENESTnd and the ENEST1st trials were reported with a big number of patients

Two major issues are important in the treatment of CML. One issue is the rapidity- and the depth of the molecular response. We found that EMR was achieved more frequently than in both ENEST trials²⁰.

The significance of these differences cannot be assessed because the three studies are different, with different age distribution and proportion of high-risk (Sokal) patients (28% in ENESTnd, 18% in ENEST1st, 21% in this study), with different enrolment criteria and different guidelines for dose reduction or treatment discontinuation²¹.

The second major issue is the so-called cardiovascular toxicity, that includes different events, with different physiopathologic mechanisms and different clinical relevant :arterial thrombosis and atrial fibrillation. The incidence, the severity and the consequences of these complications are difficult to assess and to compare, because they may depend on different variables, including not only the patients characteristics, the baseline cardiovascular risk, the prior treatments and the drug dose²². But also the definition of the events and importantly, the accuracy of the event reports, that depends on the retrospective or prospective nature of the data collection. When the GIMEMA study was designed, the cardiovascular toxicity was not yet pointed out, apart from QTc prolongation, and the cardiovascular risk at baseline was not routinely assessed. However, the cardiovascular toxicity was revealed as soon as patient enrolment began, so that the identification and the reporting of CVAEs, in facts, became prospective²³. However, monitoring, prophylaxis, and the treatment of CVAEs were left to local investigators, because it was not possible to provide guidelines. Several reports indicated a possible metabolic non-target effect of nilotinib, potentially related to CVAEs; importantly, in our study, fasting glucose, glycated hemoglobin and serum lipids were prospectively assessed. Moreover, to evaluate the clinical impact of metabolic effects, we decided to

classify the abnormalities according to specific criteria, as recommended by ADA and AACE guidelines²⁴.

In conclusion, this independent study highlights the therapeutic efficacy of nilotinib, confirming the rates, the velocity, and the depth of molecular response; moreover, it confirms that the risk of cardiovascular toxicity, including several different events, is higher in patients with high cardiovascular risk, requiring specific measures of prophylaxis and monitoring²⁵.

References

- [1] Cross NC, White HE, Muller MC, Saglio G, Hochhaus A. Standardized definitions of molecular response in chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(10):2172–2175. [PubMed]
- [2] Cross NC, White HE, Colomer D, et al. Laboratory recommendations for scoring deep molecular responses following treatment for chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2015;29(5):999–1003. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [3] Soverini S, Hochhaus A, Nicolini FE, et al. BCR-ABL kinase domain mutation analysis in chronic myeloid leukemia patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors: recommendations from an expert panel on behalf of European LeukemiaNet. *Blood*. 2011;118(5):1208–1215. [PubMed]
- [4] Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. *J Am Stat Assoc*. 1958;53:457–481.
- [5] Pffirmann M, Hochhaus A, Lauseker M, Saussele S, Hehlmann R, Hasford J. Recommendations to meet statistical challenges arising from endpoints beyond overall survival in clinical trials on chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2011;25(9):1433–1438. [PubMed]
- [6] Gooley TA, Leisenring W, Crowley J, Storer BE. Estimation of failure probabilities in the presence of competing risks: new representations of old estimators. *Stat Med*. 1999;18(6):695–706. [PubMed]
- [7] Mechanick JI, Camacho PM, Garber AJ, et al. American association of clinical endocrinologists and american college of endocrinology protocol for standardized production of clinical practice guidelines, algorithms and checklists-2014 update and the AACE G4G program. *Endocrine Practice*. 2014;20(7):692–702. [PubMed]
- [8] American Diabetes Association. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2015;38 Suppl:S8–S16. [PubMed]
- [9] Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, et al. European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012). The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). *Eur Heart J*. 2012;33(13):1635–1701. [PubMed]
- [10] Kantarjian H, Shah NP, Hochhaus A, et al. Dasatinib versus imatinib in newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2260–2270. [PubMed]
- [11] Jabbour E, Kantarjian HM, Saglio G, et al. Early response with dasatinib or imatinib in chronic myeloid leukemia: 3-year follow-up from a randomized phase 3 trial (DASISION). *Blood*. 2014;123(4):494–500. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [12] Cortes J, Saglio G, Kantarjian HM, et al. Final 5-Year Study Results of DASISION: The Dasatinib Versus Imatinib Study in Treatment-Naïve Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Patients Trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016. May 23, DOI 10.1200/JCO.2015.64.8899 [Epub ahead of print]. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Cross Ref]
- [13] Cortes JE, Kim DW, Kantarjian HM, et al. Bosutinib versus imatinib in newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia: results from the BELA trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(28):3486–3492. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [14] Brümmendorf TH, Cortes JE, de Souza CA, et al. Bosutinib versus imatinib in newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukaemia: results from the 24-month follow-up of the BELA trial. *Br J Haematol*. 2015;168(1):69–81. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [15] Lipton JH, Chuah C, Guerci-Bresler A, et al. Ponatinib versus imatinib for newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukaemia: an international, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2016. April 12, DOI 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)00080-2 [Epub ahead of print]. [PubMed] [Cross Ref]
- [16] Jain P, Kantarjian H, Jabbour E, et al. Ponatinib as first-line treatment for patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia in chronic phase: a phase 2 study. *Lancet Haematol*. 2015;2(9):e376–e383. [PMC free article][PubMed]
- [17] Hoffmann VS, Baccarani M, Hasford J, et al. The EUTOS population-based registry: incidence and clinical characteristics of 2904 CML patients in 20 European Countries. *Leukemia*. 2015;29(6):1336–1343. [PubMed]
- [18] Castagnetti F, Gugliotta G, Breccia M, et al. Long-term outcome of chronic myeloid leukemia patients treated frontline with imatinib. *Leukemia*. 2015;29(9):1823–1831. [PubMed]
- [19] Experts in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia. The price of drugs for chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a reflection of the unsustainable prices of cancer drugs: from the perspective of a large group of CML experts. *Blood*. 2013;121(22):4439–4442. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [20] O'Brien S, Radich JP, Abboud CN, et al. Chronic myelogenous leukemia, version 1.2015. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. 2014;12(11):1590–1610. [PubMed]
- [21] Marin D, Ibrahim AR, Lucas C, et al. Assessment of BCR-ABL1 Transcript Levels at 3 Months Is the Only Requirement for Predicting Outcome for Patients With Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Treated With Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(3):232–238. [PubMed]
- [22] Hanfstein B, Muller MC, Hehlmann R, et al. Early molecular and cytogenetic response is predictive for long-term progression-free and overall survival in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). *Leukemia*. 2012;26(9):2096–2102. [PubMed]

- [23] Jain P, Kantarjian H, Nazha A, et al. Early responses predict better outcomes in patients with newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukemia: results with four tyrosine kinase inhibitor modalities. *Blood*. 2013;121(24):4867–4874. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [24] Hughes TP, Saglio G, Kantarjian HM, et al. Early molecular response predicts outcomes in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase treated with frontline nilotinib or imatinib. *Blood*. 2014;123(9):1353–1360. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [25] Hehlmann R, Muller MC, Lauseker M, et al. Deep molecular response is reached by the majority of patients treated with imatinib, predicts survival, and is achieved more quickly by optimized high-dose imatinib: results from the randomized CML-study IV. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(5):415–423. [PubMed]

