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Abstract: Many physical systems such as transportation systems and logistics systems can be regarded as flow networks in which arcs have independent and multi-valued random capacities. Such a flow network is a multistate system with multistate components. For such a flow network with two different types of commodity, it is very desirable to compute its system reliability for level \((d_1,d_2,c)\), i.e., the probability that two different types of commodity can be transmitted from the source node to the sink node such that the demand level \((d_1,d_2)\) is satisfied and the total transmission cost is less than or equal to \(c\), can be computed in terms of minimal path vectors to level \((d_1,d_2,c)\) (named \((d_1,d_2,c)\)−MPs here). The main objective of this article is to present an intuitive algorithm to generate all \((d_1,d_2,c)\)−MPs of such a flow network for each level \((d_1,d_2,c)\) in terms of minimal pathsets. An example is given to illustrate how all \((d_1,d_2,c)\)−MPs are generated by our algorithm and the system reliability is then computed.
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1. Introduction

Reliability evaluation is an important issue in the planning, designing and operation of a system. Traditionally, it is assumed that the system under study is represented by a stochastic graph in a binary-state model, and the system operates successfully if there exists at least one path from the source node to the sink node. In such a case, reliability is considered as a matter of connectivity only and so it does not seem to be reasonable as a model for some real-world systems. Many real-world systems such as transportation systems and logistics systems can be regarded as flow networks whose arcs have independent and multi-valued random capacities. To evaluate the system reliability of such a flow network, different approaches have been presented [4, 6, 13-15, 18]. However, these models have assumed that the flow along any arc consisted of a single commodity only. For such a flow network with two different types of commodity, it is very desirable to compute its reliability for level \((d_1,d_2,c)\), i.e., the probability that two different types of commodity can be transmitted from the source node to the sink node such that the demand level \((d_1,d_2)\) is satisfied and the total transmission cost is less than or equal to \(c\).

In general, reliability evaluation can be carried out in terms of minimal pathsets (MPs) in the binary-state model case and \((d,c)\)-MPs (i.e., minimal path vectors to level \((d,c)\) [2], lower boundary points of level \((d,c)\) [10], or upper critical connection vector to level \((d,c)\) [5]) for each level \((d,c)\) in the multistate model case. The two-commodity stochastic-flow network with budget constraints here can be treated as a multistate system of multistate components and so the need of an efficient algorithm to search for all of its \((d_1,d_2,c)\)−MPs arises. The main purpose of this paper is to present a simple and intuitive algorithm to generate all \((d_1,d_2,c)\)−MPs of such a network in terms of minimal pathsets. An example is given to illustrate how all \((d_1,d_2,c)\)−MPs are generated and the reliability is calculated by further applying the state-space decomposition method [2].

2. Assumptions

Let \(G=(N,A,U)\) be a directed stochastic-flow network with the source node \(s\) and the sink node \(t\), where \(N\) is the set of nodes, \(A=[a_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq n]\) is the set of arcs, and \(U=(u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_n)\), where \(u_i\) denotes the maximum capacity of arc \(a_i\) for \(i=1,2,\ldots,n\). Such a flow network is assumed to further satisfy the following assumptions:[13-15]

1) Each node is perfectly reliable. Otherwise, the network will be enlarged by treating each of such nodes as an arc [1].

2) The capacity of each arc \(a_i\) is an integer-valued random variable that takes integer values from 0 to \(u_i\) according to a given distribution.

3) Every unit flow of commodity \(\ell\) consumes a given amount \(\rho'\) of the capacity associated with each arc.

4) The capacities of different arcs are statistically independent.

5) Flow in the network must be integer-valued and satisfy the so-called flow-conservation law [7]. This means that no flow will disappear or be created during the transmission.

Assumption 4 is made just for convenience. If it fails in practice, the proposed algorithm to search for all \((d_1,d_2,c)\)−MPs is still valid except that the reliability computation in terms of such \((d_1,d_2,c)\)−MPs should take the joint probability distributions of all arc capacities into account.
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Since there are two different types of commodity within the network, the system demand level can be represented as a 2-tuple vector \((d_i,d_j)\) where \(d_i\) is the demand level of commodity \(i\) for \(j=1,2\). Let \(X=(x_1,x_2,...,x_n)\) be a system-state vector (i.e., the current capacity of each arc \(a_i\) under X is \(x_i\), where \(x_i\) takes integer values \(0,1,2,...,u_i\)), and \(V(X)=(V(X)_1,V(X)_2)\), the system maximal flow vector under X where \(V(X)_i\) denotes the maximal flow of commodity \(j\) under X. Under the system-state vector \(X=(x_1,x_2,...,x_n)\), the arc set A has the following three important subsets: \(N_X\{a_i\in A|\exists x_i>0\}\) \(N_X\{a_i\in A|\exists x_i=0\}\), and \(S_X\{a_i\in N_X|V(X-x_i)<V(X)\}\) where \(e_i=(\delta_{ij},\delta_{ji},...,\delta_{nn})\), with \(\delta_{ii}=1\) if \(j=i\) and 0 if \(j\neq i\). In fact, \(A=S_X \cup (N_X \setminus S_X) \cup Z_X\) is a disjoint union of \(A\) under \(X\).

A system-state vector \(X\) is said to be a \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP if and only if: (1) its system capacity level is \((d_i,d_j)\) (i.e., \(V(X)=(d_i,d_j)\)), (2) each nonzero-capacity arc under X is sensitive (i.e., \(N_X=S_X\)), and (3) the total transmission cost is less than or equal to \(c\). If level \((d_i,d_j,c)\) is given, then the probability that two different types of commodity can be transmitted from the source node to the sink node in the way that the demand level \((d_i,d_j)\) is satisfied and the total transmission cost is less than or equal to \(c\), is taken as the system reliability.

### 3. Model Building

Suppose that \(P^1,P^2,...,P^m\) are the collection of all MPs of the system, and let \(C=(c_1,c_2,...,c_n)\) denote the transmission cost vector where \(c_i^j\) is the unit transmission cost of commodity \(j\) through arc \(a_i\). For each \(P^i\), \(W_i^j=\sum\{c_i^j|a_i\in P^i\}\) and \(L_i=\min\{u_i|a_i\in P^i\}\) are taken as the unit transmission cost of commodity \(j\) and maximum capacity through it, respectively. Under the flow-conservation law, any feasible flow pattern from \(s\) to \(t\) should satisfy that (1) the total flow-in and the total flow-out of each commodity for any given node (except for \(s\) and \(t\)) are equal, and (2) every unit flow of each commodity from \(s\) to \(t\) should travel through one of the MPs. Hence, under the system-state vector \(X=(x_1,x_2,...,x_n)\) with \(V(X)=(d_i,d_j)\), any feasible flow pattern that the total transmission cost is less than or equal to \(c\) can be represented as a flow vector \((f_1^1,f_1^j,...,f_m^1,f_m^j)\) where \(f_j^i\) is the flow of commodity \(j\) transmitted through \(P^i\) such that the following four conditions are satisfied:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j^i = d_i \text{ for each } i=1,2
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} W_j^i f_j^i \leq c
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j^i \rho_j^i \leq L_j \text{ for each } j=1,2,...,m
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \{f_j^i \rho_j^i | a_i \in P^i\} \leq u_i \text{ for each } i=1,2,...,n
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} W_j^i f_j^i \leq c
\]

Note that \(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \{f_j^i \rho_j^i | a_i \in P^i\}\) is the least amount of capacity needed for \(a_i\) under such a flow pattern \((f_1^1,f_1^j,...,f_m^1,f_m^j)\) and so, under the system-state vector \(X\), \(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \{f_j^i \rho_j^i | a_i \in P^i\}\) does not exceed the current capacity \(x_i\) of \(a_i\). This fact is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let \(X=(x_1,x_2,...,x_n)\) be any system-state vector for which \(V(X)=(d_i,d_j)\). Then, the following is a necessary condition for the flow-conservation law to hold under \(X\):

\[
x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \{f_j^i \rho_j^i | a_i \in P^i\} \text{ for each } i=1,2,...,n
\]

for any \((f_1^1,f_1^j,...,f_m^1,f_m^j)\) which is a feasible flow pattern of flow \((d_i,d_j)\) under \(X\).

**Theorem 2.** Let \(X=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \{f_j^i \rho_j^i | a_i \in P^i\}\) for each \(i=1,2,...,n\) for any \((f_1^1,f_1^j,...,f_m^1,f_m^j)\) which is a feasible flow pattern of flow \((d_i,d_j)\) under \(X\).

The vector \(X=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \{f_j^i \rho_j^i | a_i \in P^i\}\) obtained by first solving \((f_1^1,f_1^j,...,f_m^1,f_m^j)\) subject to constraints (1) - (4) and then transforming \((f_1^1,f_1^j,...,f_m^1,f_m^j)\) to \(X=(x_1,x_2,...,x_n)\) by applying the relationship in (6), will be taken as a \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP candidate. To make it clearer that all \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MPs can be generated by the proposed method, the following theorem is necessary.

**Theorem 3.** Every \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP is a \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP candidate.

In this article, we first find feasible solutions \(F=(f_1^1,f_1^j,...,f_m^1,f_m^j)\) subject to constraints (1) - (4) by applying an implicit enumeration method (e.g., backtracking or branch-and-bound [9]) and then transform such integer-valued solutions into \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP candidates \((x_1,x_2,...,x_n)\) via the relationship in (6). Each \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP candidate \(X\) must be checked whether all nonzero-capacity arcs under \(X\) (i.e., \(arc \in X\)) belong to \(S_X\). If the answer is “yes”, then \(X\) is a \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP. Otherwise, \(X\) is not a \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP. The following two theorems play the crucial roles in checking whether a \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP candidate is a \((d_i,d_j,c)\)-MP.
Theorem 4. For each \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP candidate \(X\), there exists at least one \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP \(Y\) such that \(Y \leq X\). In particular, \(X\) is not a \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP if such a \(Y\) satisfies \(Y < X\) (where \(Y \leq X\) if and only if \(y_i \leq x_i\) for \(i=1, 2, \ldots, n\) and \(Y < X\) if and only if \(Y \leq X\) and \(y_j < x_j\) for at least one \(i\)).

Theorem 5. If the network is acyclic (i.e., contains no directed cycle), then each \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP candidate is a \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP.

Suppose that \(X^1, X^2, \ldots, X^t\) are total \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP candidates. We can thus conclude, by Lemma 4, that \(X^j\) is a \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP if \(X^j \notin X^i\) for all \(j=1, 2, \ldots, q\) but \(j \neq i\).

4. Algorithm

Suppose that all MPs, \(P^1, P^2, \ldots, P^m\), have been stipulated in advance [12, 17], the family of all \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MPs can then be derived by the following steps:

Step 1. For each \(P^j (j=1, 2, \ldots, m)\), calculate

\[
L_j = \min\{u_i \mid a_i \in P^j\} \quad \text{and} \quad W_j = \sum_i \{c_i \mid a_i \in P^j\}
\]

Step 2. Find all feasible solutions

\[
F = \{f_1^j, f_2^j, f_3^j, \ldots, f_m^j\}
\]

subject to the following constraints by applying an implicit enumeration method:

1. \(\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_i^j = d_i\) for each \(\ell = 1, 2\)

2. \(\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_i^j \leq L_j\) for each \(j=1, 2, \ldots, m\)

3. \(\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_i^j \rho_j \leq W_j\) for each \(i=1, 2, \ldots, n\)

4. \(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_j f_i^j \leq c\)

where \(f_i^j\) is a nonnegative integer for \(j=1, 2, \ldots, m\) and \(\ell = 1, 2\).

Step 3. Transform the solutions \((f_1^j, f_2^j, f_3^j, \ldots, f_m^j)\)

into \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP candidates \(X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\) via \(x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \{f_i^j \rho_j \mid a_i \in P^j\}\) for \(i=1, 2, \ldots, n\).

Step 4. Check each candidate \(X\) one at a time whether it is a \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP:

A. If the network is acyclic, then each candidate is a \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP.

B. If the network is cyclic, and suppose \(\{X^1, X^2, \ldots, X^t\}\) is the family of all such \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP candidates, then \(X^j\) is a \((d_1, d_2, c)\)−MP if \(X^j \notin X^i\) for all \(j=1, 2, \ldots, q\) but \(j \neq i\).

5. An Example

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Figure 1: A bridge network.}
\end{align*}
\]

Table 1: Probability distributions of transmission time and transmission cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>arc</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a_1)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Unit transmission cost on each arc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>arc</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a_1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_6)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consider the network in Figure 1. It is known that \(U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\} = (3, 2, 1, 2, 3)\), \(\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (1, 2, 3)\), and there exists four MPs; \(P^1 = \{a_1, a_2\}, P^2 = \{a_1, a_3\}, P^3 = \{a_2, a_3\}, P^4 = \{a_3, a_4\}\). Given \((d_1, d_2) = (2, 1)\) and \(c = 16\), the family of \((2, 1, 16)\)−MPs is derived as follows:

Step 1. \(L_1 = \min\{3, 2\} = 2, L_2 = \min\{3, 1, 3\} = 1, L_3 = \min\{2, 1, 2\} = 1\), \(L_4 = \min\{2, 3\} = 2, W_1^1 = 2 + 2 = 4, W_2^1 = 2 + 3 = 5, W_3^1 = 3 + 3 = 6, W_4^1 = 2 + 2 = 4,\) and \(W_5^1 = 3 + 2 = 5\).
Step 2. Find all feasible solutions 
\[(f'_1, f'_2, f'_3, f'_4, f'_5, f'_6, f'_7)\] subject to the following constraints by applying an implicit enumeration method:
\[
\begin{align*}
 f'_1 + f'_2 + f'_3 + f'_4 &= 2 \\
 f'_5 + f'_7 + f'_8 + f'_9 &= 1 \\
 f'_1 \times 4 + f'_2 \times 3 &\leq 2 \leq 3 \\
 f'_2 \times 3 + f'_3 \times 2 &\leq 2 \leq 3 \\
 f'_4 \times 2 + f'_5 \times 2 &\leq 2 \leq 3 \\
 f'_6 + f'_7 + f'_8 + f'_9 &= 3 \\
 f'_7 + f'_8 + f'_9 &\leq 4 \leq 5 \\
 f'_8 + f'_9 &\leq 5 \leq 6 \\
 f'_9 &\leq 6 \\
 f'_1 + f'_2 + f'_3 + f'_4 + f'_5 + f'_6 + f'_7 + f'_8 + f'_9 &= 18
\end{align*}
\]
Total feasible solutions are
\[
\begin{align*}
 F^1 &= (0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0), \\
 F^2 &= (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0), \\
 F^3 &= (0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1), \text{ and} \\
 F^4 &= (1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0).
\end{align*}
\]
Step 3. Transform such feasible solutions into (1,1,1,2) – MP candidates 
\[X = (x_i, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)\]
via
\[x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{f'_i \rho' : a_i \in P'\} \text{ for } i = 1,2,..,6. \text{ Then} \]
\[X^1 = (2,1,1,0,2,3), \quad X^2 = (2,2,0,0,2,2), \text{ and} \quad X^3 = (3,2,1,0,1,2) \]
are total (1,1,1,2) – MP candidates.

Step 4. The network is cyclic, and \(X^1, X^2, X^3\) is the family of all (1,1,2) – MP candidates. Since \(X^4 \subset X^j\), every (1,1,2) – MP candidate is a (1,1,2) – MP. The result is listed in Table 2.

### Table 3: List of all (1,1,2)-MPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1,1,2) – MP candidate</th>
<th>(1,1,2) – MP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X^1 = (2,1,1,0,2,3))</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X^2 = (2,2,0,0,2,2))</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X^3 = (3,2,1,0,1,2))</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. Conclusion

Given all MPs that are stipulated in advance, the proposed method can generate all \((d_1, d_2, c)\) – MPs of a capacitated-flow network with two different types of commodity under budget constraints for each level \((d_1, d_2, c)\). The system reliability, i.e., the probability that two different types of commodity can be transmitted from the source node \(s\) to the sink node \(t\) in the way that the demand level \((d_1, d_2)\) is satisfied and the total transmission cost is less than or equal to \(c\), can then be computed in terms of these \((d_1, d_2, c)\) – MPs. This algorithm can also apply to the capacitated-flow network with single commodity. Hence, earlier algorithm [14] is shown to be a special case of this new one.
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